

Weighted Dirichlet Norm Estimate-Bound for Cauchy Transform, $\hat{K}$

Berhanu Kidane

University of North Georgia
Department of Mathematics

Abebaw Tadesse

Langston University
Department of Mathematics

This article is distributed under the Creative Commons by-nc-nd Attribution License.
Copyright © 2026 Hikari Ltd.

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to give estimates for Cauchy Transform of weighted Dirichlet space norm of weight 0.5; that is find an estimate for $\|\hat{K}\|_{0.5}$, where $\hat{K}$ is the Cauchy Transform. In general, Estimate $\|\hat{K}\|_{\alpha}$, $\alpha > 0$ is instrumental for proving the Coronal problems in weighted Dirichlet spaces; [KT], [TT1] and [TT2].

1. Preliminaries

Notations, in this paper:

- $\mathbb{D}$, denotes the open unit disc
- $Hol(\mathbb{D})$, denotes the set holomorphic functions on $\mathbb{D}$
- $\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}: |z| \leq 1 + \epsilon\}$
- $C^2(\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon})$ the space of functions on $\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}$ whose first and second derivatives are continuous.
- $\mathcal{D}_{0.5}(\mathbb{D})$ or $\mathcal{D}_{0.5}$, weighted Dirichlet space of weight 0.5
- $\|\hat{K}\|_{0.5} = \|\hat{K}\|_{\mathcal{D}_{0.5}(\mathbb{D})}$

Definition 1.1. (Integral operator): Suppose (X, μ) is a measure space and K is a function on $X \times X$, then $Tf(x) = \int_X K(x, y)f(y)d\mu(y)$ is an integral operator with kernel K .

Theorem 1.1. (Shur's Theorem)

Suppose K is a non-negative measurable function on $X \times X$. Let T be the integral operator with kernel K and $1 < p < \infty$, with $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. If there are positive constants C_1, C_2 and positive measurable functions h and l on X such that

$$\int_X K(x, y)[h(y)]^q d\mu(y) \leq C_1[l(x)]^q \text{ a.e } x \in X$$

and

$$\int_X K(x, y)[l(x)]^p d\mu(x) \leq C_2[h(y)]^p \text{ a.e } y \in X$$

then T is a bounded linear operator on $L^p(X, d\mu)$ with norm $\leq C_1^{1/q} C_2^{1/p}$.

Proof: [KZ] ■

Lemma 1.1. Suppose $z \in \mathbb{D}, c \in \mathbb{R}, t > -1$, and $I_{c,t}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{(1-|w|^2)^t}{|1-z\bar{w}|^{2+t+c}} dA(w)$, then the following hold:

- i. If $c < 0$, then the function $I_{c,t}(z)$ is bounded from above and below on $\mathbb{D}$.
- ii. If $c > 0$, then $I_{c,t}(z) \sim \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^c}$, $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$

Proof: [HKZ] ■

Lemma 1.2. If $c > 0$ and $|z| \rightarrow 1^-$, then $\sum_1^\infty n^{c-1}|z|^{2n} \sim \frac{1}{(1-|z|^2)^c}$.

Proof: [KZ]

Theorem 1.2. (Pompeiu's Formula).

Let Ω be a bounded domain. If $f \in C^1(\bar{\Omega})$ and $w \in \Omega$, then

$$f(w) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\Omega} \frac{f(z)}{z-w} dz - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\Omega} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \bar{u}}(u) \frac{dA(u)}{u-w}$$

Proof: [AN] ■

Lemma 1.3. Let $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon})$, then

$$\varphi(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\varphi(u)}{u-z} du - \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\partial\varphi/\partial\bar{u}}{u-w} dA(u). \quad (1.3.1)$$

And if $\varphi_1(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\partial\mathbb{D}} \frac{\varphi(u)}{u-z} du$ and $\varphi_2(z) = \frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\partial\varphi/\partial\bar{u}}{u-z} dA(u)$, then

$$\varphi_1(e^{it}) = \sum_0^\infty \varphi_n e^{int}, \text{ where } \varphi_n = \int_{-\pi}^\pi \varphi(e^{it}) e^{-int} d\sigma(t) = \langle \varphi, e^{int} \rangle \quad (1.3.2)$$

and

$$\varphi_2(e^{it}) = \sum_1^\infty \varphi_{-n} e^{-int}, \text{ where } \varphi_{-n} = \int_{-\pi}^\pi \varphi(e^{it}) e^{int} d\sigma(t) \quad (1.3.3).$$

Proof: (1.3.1) follows from **Theorem 1.2**.

To prove (1.3.2), let $u = e^{it}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi_1(z) &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{\varphi(e^{it})}{e^{it}-z} i e^t dt \\ &= \int_{-\pi}^\pi \frac{\varphi(e^{it})}{1-ze^{-it}} d\sigma, \text{ where } d\sigma = dt/2\pi \\ &= \sum_0^\infty \int_{-\pi}^\pi [\varphi(e^{it}) e^{-int} d\sigma(t)] z^n \\ &= \sum_0^\infty \varphi_n z^n, \text{ where } \varphi_n = \int_{-\pi}^\pi \varphi(e^{it}) e^{-int} d\sigma(t) = \langle \varphi, e^{int} \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

To prove (1.3.3), note $\varphi \in C^2(\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon})$ has Fourier series representation.

$$\begin{aligned} \varphi(e^{i\theta}) &= \sum_{-\infty}^\infty \varphi_n e^{in\theta} \\ &= \sum_0^\infty \varphi_n e^{in\theta} + \sum_1^\infty \varphi_{-n} e^{-in\theta} \\ &= \varphi_1(e^{i\theta}) + \sum_1^\infty \varphi_{-n} e^{-in\theta} \end{aligned}$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} -\frac{1}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{\partial \varphi / \partial \bar{u}}{u - e^{-i\theta}} dA(u) &= \varphi_2(e^{i\theta}) \\ &= \sum_1^\infty \varphi_{-n} e^{-in\theta}, \text{ where } \varphi_{-n} = \int_{-\pi}^\pi \varphi(e^{it}) e^{int} d\sigma(t). \quad \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

2. $\|\widehat{K}\|_{0.5}$ Estimate (Bound)

Definition 2.1. Let $z \in \mathbb{D}$, and K smooth on $\bar{\mathbb{D}}$. Then the Cauchy transform $\widehat{K}$ is defined by $\widehat{K}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{k(w)}{z-w} dA(w)$, where $dA(w) = \frac{1}{\pi} dm$.

Theorem 2.1. $\bar{\partial}_z \widehat{K} = k$ in $\mathbb{D}$.

Proof: [AM] $\blacksquare$

Corollary 2.1.1. In Lemma 1.3. $\widehat{\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial u}}(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_1^\infty \varphi_{-n} e^{-in\theta}$.

Theorem 2.2. Let $k \in C^2(\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon})$ and $\widehat{K}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{k(w)}{z-w} dA(w)$, where $z \in \mathbb{D}$ and $dA(w) = \frac{1}{\pi} dm$. Then

$$\|\widehat{k}\|_{0.5}^2 \sim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} \frac{1}{(1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}} dA(w) dA(z)$$

Proof: First note that $\int_{-\pi}^\pi e^{i(n-1-k)t} d\sigma(t) = \begin{cases} 0, & k \neq n-1 \\ 1, & k = n-1 \end{cases}$

$$\widehat{K}(e^{i\theta}) = \sum_1^\infty \widehat{K}_{-n} e^{-in\theta}, \text{ where } \widehat{K}_{-n} = \int_{-\pi}^\pi \widehat{K}(e^{it}) e^{int} d\sigma(t) = \langle \widehat{K}, e^{-int} \rangle$$

$$\int_{-\pi}^\pi \widehat{K}(e^{it}) e^{int} d\sigma(t) = \int_{-\pi}^\pi \left(- \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{k(w)}{w-e^{it}} dA(w) \right) e^{int} d\sigma(t)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \frac{e^{i(n-1)t}}{1-we^{-it}} d\sigma(t) \right) k(w) dA(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \left(\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} e^{i(n-1)t} e^{-ikt} w^k d\sigma(t) \right) k(w) dA(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} e^{i(n-1-k)t} d\sigma(t) w^k k(w) dA(w) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) w^{n-1} dA(w)
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, for $z \in \partial\mathbb{D}$, the weighted Dirichlet norm for $\hat{K}$ is ([KB], [KT])

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\hat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 &= \sum_1^{\infty} (|-n| + 1)^{0.5} |\hat{K}_{-n}|^2 \\
&= \sum_1^{\infty} (n+1)^{0.5} \left| \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) w^{n-1} dA(w) \right|^2 \\
&= \sum_1^{\infty} (n+1)^{0.5} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} w^{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} dA(w) dA(z)
\end{aligned}$$

By Stirling's formula:

$$\sum_1^{\infty} (n+1)^{0.5} \sim \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1.5)}{\Gamma(1.5)\Gamma(n+1)}$$

Thus,

$$\|\hat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 \sim \sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1.5)}{\Gamma(1.5)\Gamma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} w^{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} dA(w) dA(z)$$

And

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1.5)}{\Gamma(1.5)\Gamma(n+1)} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} w^{n-1} \bar{z}^{n-1} dA(w) dA(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} \left(\sum_1^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n+1.5)}{\Gamma(1.5)\Gamma(n+1)} (w\bar{z})^{n-1} \right) dA(w) dA(z) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} \frac{1}{(1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}} dA(w) dA(z)
\end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\|\hat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 \sim \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} \frac{1}{(1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}} dA(w) dA(z) \blacksquare$$

Definition 2.2. Define the norm $\|\hat{K}\|_{0.5}^2$ by:

$$\|\hat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 = \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} \frac{1}{(1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}} dA(w) dA(z)$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $k(z)$ be smooth on $\mathbb{D}_{1+\epsilon}$ and $L(z) = k(z)(1 - |z|^2)^{\beta/2}$, where

$\beta \in (0, 2)$. Let $K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1-|w|^2)^{\beta/2}(1-|z|^2)^{\beta/2}(1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}}$ and

$TL(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(z)| K(z, w) dA(z)$. If there is a positive function P such that

$\int_{\mathbb{D}} P(z) K(z, w) dA(z) \leq C_0 P(w)$ for some constant C_0 , then the integral operator T is bounded with $\|T\| \leq C_0$.

Proof: Immediate consequence of Shur's Theorem

Theorem 2.3. Let $\widehat{K}$ be as in Theorem 2.2. Then there is a constant C_0 such that

$$\|\widehat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 \leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |k(z)(1 - |z|^2)^{1.5/2}|^2 dA(z)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Proof: } \|\widehat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} k(w) \overline{k(z)} \frac{1}{(1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}} dA(w) dA(z) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} \frac{k(w)(1-|w|^2)^\beta}{(1-|w|^2)^\beta} \frac{\overline{k(z)}(1-|z|^2)^\beta}{(1-|z|^2)^\beta (1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}} dA(w) dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$K(z, w) = \frac{1}{(1-|w|^2)^{\beta/2} (1-|z|^2)^{\beta/2} (1-w\bar{z})^{1.5}} \text{ and } L(z) = k(z)(1 - |z|^2)^{\beta/2}$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 &= \int_{\mathbb{D}} \int_{\mathbb{D}} L(w) \overline{L(z)} K(z, w) dA(w) dA(z) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(w)| \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(z)| K(z, w) dA(z) dA(w) \\ &\leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(z)|^2 dA(z) \end{aligned} \tag{2.3.1}$$

To prove (2.3.1) define

$$Tf(w) = \int_{\mathbb{D}} f(z) K(z, w) dA(z)$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \|\widehat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(w)| \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(z)| K(z, w) dA(z) dA(w) \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(w)| T(|L(w)|) dA(w) = \langle |L|, T(|L|) \rangle_2 \\ &\leq \|T\| \|L\|^2 \\ &\leq \|T\| \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(z)|^2 dA(z) \end{aligned}$$

Then by **Lemma 2.1.**

$$\|\widehat{K}\|_{0.5}^2 \leq C_0 \int_{\mathbb{D}} |L(z)|^2 dA(z). \blacksquare$$

References

- [AM] J. Agler and J.E. McCarthy, Pick interpolation and Hilbert function spaces, *Amer. Math. Soc.*, 44 (2002). <https://doi.org/10.1090/gsm/044>
- [AN] M. Andersson, *Topics in complex analysis* Springer-Verlag, 1997.
- [HKZ] H. Hedenmalm, B. Korenblum and K. Zhu, *Theory of Bergman Spaces* Springer-Verlag, 2000. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0497-8>
- [KB] B. Kidane, *The corona theorem for multiplier algebras on weighted Dirichlet spaces*, Ph.D. Thesis, The University of Alabama, 2009.

- [KT] B. Kidane and T.T. Trent, The Corona Theorem For Multiplier Algebras On Weighted Dirichlet Spaces, *Rocky Mountain Journal of Mathematics*, **43** (1) (2013). <https://doi.org/10.1216/rmj-2013-43-1-241>
- [TT1] T. T. Trent, A Corona Theorem for Multipliers on Dirichlet Space, *Integral Equations Operator Theory*, **49** (2004), 123 – 139. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00020-002-1196-6>
- [TT2] T.T. Trent, A note on multiplier algebras on reproducing kernel Hilbert spaces, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **136** (8) (2008). <https://doi.org/10.1090/s0002-9939-08-09383-0>
- [KZ] K. Zhu, *Operator Theory in Function Spaces*, Second Ed., AMS, 2007. <https://doi.org/10.1090/surv/138>

Received: August 1, 2026; Published: August 19, 2026