### International Mathematical Forum, Vol. 14, 2019, no. 7, 263 - 266 HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com https://doi.org/10.12988/imf.2019.91141

# A Note on Symmetric Orthogonal Circulant Matrices

#### Norichika Matsuki

Japan Tissue Engineering Co., Ltd. 6-209-1 Miyakitadori, Gamagori, Aichi 443-0022, Japan

This article is distributed under the Creative Commons by-nc-nd Attribution License. Copyright © 2019 Hikari Ltd.

#### Abstract

We prove that there is no symmetric orthogonal circulant matrix with complex entries and that the number of distinct symmetric orthogonal circulant matrices of order n is  $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}$ .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15B10

**Keywords:** Symmetric orthogonal circulant matrices, Eigenvalues

# 1 Introduction and preliminaries

A symmetric orthogonal circulant matrix is the simplest orthogonal matrix such that the sum of elements in each column equals 1. Orthogonal matrices with this property can be applied to data anonymization in the field of statistics [3]. Craigen and Kharaghani [1] proved that there is no circulant Hermitian complex Hadamard matrix of order larger than 4. In this paper we study the relationship between the eigenvalues of an orthogonal circulant matrix, a real orthogonal circulant matrix, and a symmetric orthogonal circulant matrix. By using these relationships, we prove that there is no symmetric orthogonal circulant matrix with complex entries and that the number of distinct symmetric orthogonal circulant matrices of order n is  $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}$ .

We denote by  $(a_{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}$  the  $n \times n$  matrix whose (i+1,j+1)-th entry is  $a_{ij}$ . We also denote by  $\operatorname{circ}(a_0,\ldots,a_{n-1})$  the circulant matrix whose first row is  $(a_0\ldots a_{n-1})$ , by  $\operatorname{diag}(d_0,\ldots,d_{n-1})$  the diagonal matrix whose i-th diagonal element is  $d_i$ , and by  $F_n$  the  $n \times n$  Fourier matrix  $(\omega_n^{ij})_{0 \le i,j \le n-1}$ , where  $\omega_n =$ 

264 Norichika Matsuki

 $e^{2\pi\sqrt{-1}/n}$ . It is well known [2] that an  $n \times n$  matrix  $C_n$  is circulant if and only if there exist  $d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$C_{n} = F_{n} \operatorname{diag}(d_{0}, \dots, d_{n-1}) F_{n}^{-1} = \frac{1}{n} F_{n} (d_{i} \omega_{n}^{-ij})_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \omega_{n}^{ik} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-kj} \right)_{0 \leq i, j \leq n-1}$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{circ} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-0k}, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-1k}, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-(n-1)k} \right).$$

From this property, we shall derive our results.

## 2 Main results

We write

$$\frac{1}{n} \operatorname{circ} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-0k}, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-(n-1)k} \right) = \begin{pmatrix} v_0 \\ \vdots \\ v_{n-1} \end{pmatrix}.$$

Then it holds that

$$v_{0} \cdot v_{0} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-ik} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-ik} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq n-1} d_{s} d_{t} \omega_{n}^{-i(s+t)} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( d_{0}^{2} + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} d_{t} d_{n-t} \right),$$
(1)

$$v_{0} \cdot v_{i} = \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-jk} \right) \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k} \omega_{n}^{-(j-i)k} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left( \sum_{0 \leq s, t \leq n-1} d_{s} d_{t} \omega_{n}^{-j(s+t)+it} \right)$$

$$= \frac{1}{n} \left( d_{0}^{2} + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} d_{t} d_{n-t} \omega_{n}^{it} \right)$$
(2)

for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . From these equatios, the following lemma is derived.

**Lemma 2.1.**  $C_n$  is an orthogonal circulant matrix if and only if there exist  $d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following conditions:

(i)  $d_0^2 = 1$  and  $d_i d_{n-i} = 1$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ .

(ii)

$$C_n = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{circ} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-0k}, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-1k}, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-(n-1)k} \right).$$

*Proof.* By (1) and (2), a circulant matrix  $C_n$  is orthogonal if and only if  $d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  such that

$$d_0^2 + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} d_t d_{n-t} = n, (3)$$

$$d_0^2 + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} d_t d_{n-t} \omega_n^{it} = 0 (4)$$

for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . (4) is equivalent to asserting that  ${d_0}^2 + \sum_{t=1}^{n-1} d_t d_{n-t} x^t$  is divisible by  $\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} x^t$ . Hence (3) and (4) is equivalent to (i).

Furthermore, we have the following lemmas

**Lemma 2.2.**  $C_n$  is a real orthogonal circulant matrix if and only if there exist  $d_0, \ldots, \underline{d_{n-1}} \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the conditions of Lemma 2.1 and

(iii) 
$$d_i = \overline{d_{n-i}}$$
 for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ .

*Proof.* An orthogonal circulant matrix  $C_n$  is a real matrix if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-ik} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \overline{d_k} \omega_n^{ik}$$
 (5)

for  $0 \le i \le n-1$ . (5) is equivalent to asserting that  $(d_0 - \overline{d_0}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (d_{n-i} - \overline{d_i}) x^i$  is divisible by  $x^n - 1$ . Hence (5) is equivalent to (iii). Note that the condition  $d_0 = \overline{d_0}$  can be omitted because of  $d_0^2 = 1$ .

**Lemma 2.3.**  $C_n$  is a symmetric orthogonal circulant matrix if and only if there exist  $d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1} \in \mathbb{C}$  satisfying the following conditions

(iv) 
$$d_i = \pm 1 \text{ for } 0 \le i \le n - 1,$$

(v)  $d_i = d_{n-i} \text{ for } 1 \le i \le n-1,$ 

and the condition (ii) in Lemma 2.1.

266 Norichika Matsuki

*Proof.* An orthogonal circulant matrix  $C_n$  is symmetric if and only if

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-ik} = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_k \omega_n^{-(n-i)k}$$
 (6)

for  $1 \leq i \leq n-1$ . (6) is equivalent to asserting that  $\sum_{i=1}^{n-1} (d_{n-i} - d_i) x^i$  is divisible by  $\sum_{t=0}^{n-1} x^t$ . Hence (6) is equivalent to (v), so that (i) can be replaced by (iv).

These lemmas imply the following theorems.

**Theorem 2.4.** A symmetric orthogonal circulant matrix is a real matrix.

*Proof.* Suppose that  $C_n$  is a symmetric orthogonal circulant matrix. By (iv) and (v), we have  $d_i = d_{n-i} = \overline{d_{n-i}}$  for  $1 \le i \le n-1$ . By Lemma 2.2, the theorem follows.

**Theorem 2.5.** The number of distinct symmetric orthogonal circulant matrices of order n is  $2^{\lfloor n/2 \rfloor + 1}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $C_n$  and  $C_n'$  be symmetric orthogonal circulant matrices and let

$$C_{n'} = \frac{1}{n} \operatorname{circ} \left( \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k'} \omega_{n}^{-0k}, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k'} \omega_{n}^{-1k}, \dots, \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} d_{k'} \omega_{n}^{-(n-1)k} \right).$$

If  $C_n = C_{n'}$ , then  $\operatorname{diag}(d_0, \ldots, d_{n-1}) = \operatorname{diag}(d_0', \ldots, d_{n-1}')$ . Hence, by Lemma 2.3, we see that there is a one-to-one correspondence between the set of all symmetric orthogonal circulant matrices and

$$\{(d_0,\ldots,d_{\lfloor n/2\rfloor})\mid d_0=\pm 1,\ldots,d_{\lfloor n/2\rfloor}=\pm 1\}=\{\pm 1\}^{\lfloor n/2\rfloor+1}.$$

References

- [1] R. Craigen and H. Kharaghani, On the nonexistence of Hermitian circulant complex Hadamard matrices, *Australas. J. Combin.*, **7** (1993), 225 227.
- [2] P.J. Davis, Circulant Matrices, second edition, Chelsea, New York, 1994.
- [3] N. Matsuki, A construction of special orthogonal matrices, *Appl. Math. Sci.*, **13** (13) (2019), 623 625. https://doi.org/10.12988/ams.2019.9682

Received: November 29, 2019; Published: December 12, 2019