# Sum of the Reciprocal of the Primes in the Prime Factorization of n!

### Rafael Jakimczuk

División Matemática, Universidad Nacional de Luján Buenos Aires, Argentina jakimczu@mail.unlu.edu.ar

In memory of my sister Fedra Marina Jakimczuk (1970-2010).

#### Abstract

Let  $\alpha_m(n)$  be the sum of the reciprocal of the m-th powers of the different primes in the prime factorization of n. We prove the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_m(i) = A_m \ n + o(n),$$

where  $A_m$  is a constant defined in this article.

Let  $\beta_m(n)$  be the sum of the reciprocal of the *m*-th powers of the primes in the prime factorization of n. We prove the asymptotic formula

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \beta_m(i) = B_m \ n + o(n),$$

where  $B_m$  is a constant defined in this article.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 11A99

**Keywords:** Primes, reciprocal of prime-powers, prime factorization of n!

## 1 Preliminary Results

Let  $N_p(n)$  be the number of primes p in the prime factorization of n. For example  $N_p(1) = 0$ ,  $N_2(24) = N_2(2^3.3) = 3$ ,  $N_3(125) = N_3(5^3) = 0$  and  $N_p(p^k) = k$ . Clearly, the following equation holds

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_p(i) = N_p(n!). \tag{1}$$

2000 R. Jakimczuk

The following Legendre's result is well-known (see [1], pages 90-91)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_p(i) = N_p(n!) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left[ \frac{n}{p^k} \right], \tag{2}$$

where  $\lfloor x \rfloor$  denotes the integer part of x. Note that

$$0 \le x - \lfloor x \rfloor < 1.$$

We shall need the following well-known formula

$$1 + x + \dots + x^{n-1} = \frac{1 - x^n}{1 - x} \qquad (x \neq 1).$$
 (3)

We also have the following result (see [1], page 95)

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \frac{1}{i} \sim \log n. \tag{4}$$

Let  $\pi(x)$  be the prime counting function. We shall need the following well-known weak equation

$$\pi(x) = o(x). \tag{5}$$

Besides, we shall need the following lemma.

Lemma 1.1 The following formula holds (see (2))

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} N_p(i) = N_p(n!) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor = \frac{n}{p-1} - r_p(n) - f_p(n) \qquad (n \ge p), \quad (6)$$

where

$$\frac{1}{p-1} \le r_p(n) \le \frac{p}{p-1},$$
$$0 \le f_p(n) \le \frac{\log n}{\log p}.$$

Proof. If  $n \geq p$  then the inequality

$$p^k \leq n$$

has the solutions

$$k = 1, 2, \dots, s_n = \left| \frac{\log n}{\log p} \right|. \tag{7}$$

Therefore we have (see (7))

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor = \sum_{k=1}^{s_n} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^k} \right\rfloor = n \sum_{k=1}^{s_n} \frac{1}{p^k} - f_p(n), \tag{8}$$

where

$$0 \le f_p(n) \le \frac{\log n}{\log p}.\tag{9}$$

Note that (see (3))

$$\sum_{k=1}^{s_n} \frac{1}{p^k} = \frac{1}{p} \sum_{k=0}^{s_n-1} \frac{1}{p^k} = \frac{1}{p} \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{s_n}}{1 - \frac{1}{p}} = \frac{1 - \left(\frac{1}{p}\right)^{s_n}}{p - 1} = \frac{1}{p - 1} - \frac{1}{p - 1} \frac{1}{p^{s_n}}.$$
 (10)

Now, we have

$$\frac{1}{p^{s_n}} = \frac{1}{p^{\left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log p} \right\rfloor}} = \frac{1}{p^{\left(\frac{\log n}{\log p} - g_n\right)}} = \frac{p^{g_n}}{n},\tag{11}$$

where

$$0 \le g_n \le 1. \tag{12}$$

Consequently (see (11) and (12))

$$\frac{1}{n} \le \frac{1}{p^{s_n}} \le \frac{p}{n}.\tag{13}$$

Finally, equations (8), (9), (10) and (13) give equation (6). The lemma is proved.

#### 2 Main Results

Let  $\alpha_m(n)$  be the sum of the reciprocal of the m-th powers of the different primes in the prime factorization of n, where  $m \geq 1$  is a positive integer. For example  $\alpha_1(2^3.5^2.11) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{11}$  and  $\alpha_3(2^3.5^2.11) = \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{5^3} + \frac{1}{11^3}$ . We have the following theorem.

**Theorem 2.1** The following asymptotic formula holds

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_m(i) = A_m \ n + o(n), \tag{14}$$

where

$$A_m = \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^{m+1}}.$$

Proof. We have

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \alpha_m(i) = \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^m} \left[ \frac{n}{p} \right] = \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^m} \left( \frac{n}{p} - \epsilon_p(n) \right) = n \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} - \sum_{p \le n} \frac{\epsilon_p(n)}{p^m}$$
 (15)

2002 R. Jakimczuk

where  $0 \le \epsilon_p(n) < 1$ .

Note that

$$\sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} = \sum_{p} \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} + o(1). \tag{16}$$

Besides (see (4))

$$0 \le \sum_{p \le n} \frac{\epsilon_p(n)}{p^m} \le \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^m} \le \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p} \le \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = o(n).$$

Therefore

$$\sum_{p \le n} \frac{\epsilon_p(n)}{p^m} = o(n). \tag{17}$$

Equations (15), (16) and (17) give equation (14). The theorem is proved.

Let  $\beta_m(n)$  be the sum of the reciprocal of the m-th powers of the primes in the prime factorization of n, where  $m \geq 1$  is a positive integer. For example  $\beta_1(2^3.5^2.11) = \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{5} + \frac{1}{11}$  and  $\beta_3(2^3.5^2.11) = \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{2^3} + \frac{1}{5^3} + \frac{1}{5^3} + \frac{1}{11^3}$ . We have the following theorem.

## **Theorem 2.2** The following asymptotic formula holds

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \beta_m(i) = B_m \ n + o(n), \tag{18}$$

where

$$B_m = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^m(p-1)}.$$

Proof. We have (see (2) and lemma 1.1)

$$\sum_{i=2}^{n} \beta_{m}(i) = \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p^{m}} \left( \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left\lfloor \frac{n}{p^{k}} \right\rfloor \right) = \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p^{m}} \left( \frac{n}{p-1} - r_{p}(n) - f_{p}(n) \right)$$

$$= n \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p^{m}(p-1)} - \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p^{m}} r_{p}(n) - \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p^{m}} f_{p}(n). \tag{19}$$

Note that

$$\sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^m(p-1)} = \sum_p \frac{1}{p^m(p-1)} + o(1). \tag{20}$$

We have (see lemma 1.1)

$$\frac{1}{p-1} \le r_p(n) \le \frac{p}{p-1}.$$

Consequently

$$0 \le \frac{1}{p^m} \frac{1}{p-1} \le \frac{1}{p^m} r_p(n) \le \frac{1}{p^{m-1}} \frac{1}{p-1} \le \frac{1}{p-1} \le 1.$$

That is

$$0 \le \frac{1}{p^m} r_p(n) \le 1.$$

Therefore (see (5))

$$0 \le \sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^m} r_p(n) \le \pi(n) = o(n).$$

That is

$$\sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^m} r_p(n) = o(n). \tag{21}$$

We have (see lemma 1.1)

$$0 \le f_p(n) \le \frac{\log n}{\log p}.$$

Consequently

$$0 \le \frac{1}{p^m} f_p(n) \le \frac{1}{p^m} \frac{\log n}{\log p} \le \frac{1}{p \log p} \log n.$$

Therefore (see (4))

$$0 \leq \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p^m} f_p(n) \leq \log n \sum_{p \leq n} \frac{1}{p \log p} \leq \log n \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{1}{i \log i} \leq \log n \sum_{i=2}^n \frac{\log i}{\log 2} \frac{1}{i \log i} \\ \leq \frac{\log n}{\log 2} \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{i} = o(n).$$

That is

$$\sum_{p \le n} \frac{1}{p^m} f_p(n) = o(n). \tag{22}$$

Equations (19), (20), (21) and (22) give equation (18). The theorem is proved.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The author is very grateful to Universidad Nacional de Luján.

## References

[1] W. J. LeVeque, *Topics in Number Theory*, Volume 1, Addison-Wesley, First Edition, 1958.

Received: March, 2012