

# Normalizer of Sylow Subgroups and the Structure of a Finite Group<sup>1</sup>

Yong Xu

School of Mathematics and Statistics  
Henan University of Science and Technology  
Luoyang, Henan 471003, China  
xuy\_2011@163.com

Xinjian Zhang

School of Mathematics, Huaiyin Normal University  
Huaian, Jiangsu, 223300, China

## Abstract

In this paper, we prove the  $p$ -nilpotency of a finite group with assumption that some subgroups of Sylow subgroup are weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroups in the normalizer of Sylow subgroups. Our results unify and generalize some earlier results.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 20D10, 20D15

**Keywords:** weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroup; maximal subgroup;  $p$ -nilpotent; formation

## 1 Introduction

In this paper, all groups considered are finite. Let  $\pi(G)$  stand for the set of all prime divisors of the order of a group  $G$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}$  denote a formation,  $\mathcal{U}$  the class of supersolvable groups. The other notation and terminology are standard(see [5]).

For two subgroups  $H$  and  $K$  of  $G$ , we say  $H$  permutes with  $K$  if  $HK = KH$ . We say, following Chen [3], A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to

---

<sup>1</sup>This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant N. 11171243), the Scientific Research Foundation for Doctors, Henan University of Science and Technology (N. 09001610).

be  $s$ -semipermutable, or  $s$ -seminormal in  $G$  if it permutes with all Sylow  $p$ -subgroups  $P$  of  $G$  with  $(p, |H|) = 1$ . Recently, Xu and Li [8] introduced a new embedding property, namely, the weakly  $s$ -semipermutability of subgroups of a group. A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G$  if  $G$  has a subnormal subgroup  $K$  such that  $HK = G$  and  $H \cap K \leq H_{\bar{s}G}$ , where  $H_{\bar{s}G}$  is the subgroup of  $H$  generated by all subgroups of  $H$  which are  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G$ . The authors presented some conditions for a group to be  $p$ -nilpotent and supersolvable under the condition that some subgroups of Sylow subgroup are weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroups (see [9]).

On the other hand, normalizer of Sylow subgroups of a group play an important role in the structure of a group. Let  $P$  be a Sylow subgroup of a group  $G$ . A question who is always interesting in is the relation between the property of the normalizer of  $P$  and property of  $G$ . Many results have been obtained. For example, well know Burnside's Theorem.

**Theorem 1.1.** (*Burnside*) *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

Then, Hall in [6] got the following generalization of Burnside's Theorem:

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $P$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . If  $p'$ -elements of  $N_G(P)$  are commute to the elements of  $P$  and the class size of  $P$  is less than  $p$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

Wielandt, Ballester-Bolinchés and Esteban-Romero proved the following respectively.

**Theorem 1.3.** (*[5]*) *A group  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent if it has a regular Sylow  $p$ -subgroup whose  $G$ -normalizer is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**Theorem 1.4.** (*[2]*) *A group  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent if it has a modular Sylow  $p$ -subgroup whose  $G$ -normalizer is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

Now, under the assumption that all maximal subgroups of a Sylow subgroup  $P$  are weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroups of  $N_G(P)$ , we shall establish the structure of a group  $G$ . Some interesting new results are obtained.

## 2 Preliminary results

A subgroup  $H$  of a group  $G$  is said to be  $s$ -permutable,  $s$ -quasinormal, or  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$  if  $PH = HP$  for all Sylow subgroups  $P$  of  $G$  (see [7]).

**Lemma 2.1.** (*[7]* and *[10, Lemma 2.2]*) *Suppose that  $U$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in a group  $G$ ,  $H \leq G$  and  $K$  a normal subgroup of  $G$ . Then:*

- (a) If  $U \leq H$ , then  $U$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $H$ ;
- (b)  $UK$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$  and  $UK/K$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G/K$ ;
- (c) Let  $K \leq H$  and  $H/K$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G/K$ , then  $H$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ ;
- (d) If  $P$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal subgroup of  $G$  for some prime  $p$ , then  $N_G(P) \geq O^p(G)$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** ([8, Lemma 2.3]) Let  $G$  be a group and  $A \leq E \leq G$ . Then:

- (1) Let  $N \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $N \leq A$  and  $A$  is a  $p$ -group. If  $A$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G$ , then  $A/N$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G/N$ .
- (2) Suppose that  $K$  is normal in  $G$ , and  $A$  is a  $p$ -group,  $(|K|, p) = 1$ . If  $A$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G$ , then  $AK/K$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G/K$ .
- (3) If  $A$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G$ , then  $A$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $E$ .

**Lemma 2.3.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $G$  a group with a soluble normal subgroup  $N$  such that  $G/N \in \mathcal{F}$ . Assume that every Sylow subgroup of  $F(N)$  is cyclic, then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .

**Proof** Assume that the result is false and let  $G$  be a minimal counterexample on  $|G| + |N|$ . Suppose that all Sylow subgroups of  $F(N)$  are cyclic. Since  $F(N)/\Phi(N) = F(N/\Phi(N))$ , all Sylow subgroups of  $F(N/\Phi(N))$  are cyclic. So  $(G/\Phi(N), N/\Phi(N))$  satisfies the hypothesis of Lemma 2.3. Thus if  $\Phi(N) \neq 1$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ . Hence we may assume that  $\Phi(N) = 1$ . Since  $\Phi(F(N)) \subseteq \Phi(N)$ ,  $\Phi(F(N)) = 1$ . Let  $\pi(F(N)) = \{p_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq t\}$  and  $K_i \in \text{Syl}_{p_i}(F(N))$ , where  $p_1 < p_2 < \dots < p_t$ , then  $K_i \triangleleft G$  and  $|K_i| = p_i$ . So  $|\text{Aut}(K_i)| = p_i - 1$  and  $G/C_G(K_i)$  is isomorphic to a subgroup of  $\text{Aut}(K_i)$ . By  $\text{Aut}(K_i)$  is a cyclic, we get  $G/C_G(K_i)$  is cyclic. Let  $U = \bigcap_{i=1}^t C_G(K_i)$ , then  $G/U \in \mathcal{U}$  and so  $G/U \cap N \in \mathcal{F}$ . It is easy to see that  $F(U \cap N) = F(N)$ . Hence if  $U \cap N < N$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ , a contradiction. So we may assume that  $N \leq U$ . It is clear that  $U = C_G(F(N))$ . So  $N \leq C_G(F(N))$ ,  $F(N) \leq Z(N)$ . By  $N$  is solvable,  $N = C_N(F(N)) \leq F(N)$ , so  $N = F(N)$  and  $N$  is cyclic. Since  $(G/K_1)/(N/K_1) \cong G/N \in \mathcal{F}$ , and  $F(N/K_1) = N/K_1$  is cyclic, by the minimality of  $|G| + |N|$ , we get  $G/K_1 \in \mathcal{F}$ . So we may assume that  $N = K_1$  and  $K_1 \not\leq \Phi(G)$ . Let  $M$  be a maximal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G = K_1M$ , then

$M \cap K_1 = 1$ . Since  $K_1$  centralizes  $C_G(K_1) \cap M$  and  $M$  normalizes  $C_G(K_1) \cap M$ , we get  $C_G(K_1) \cap M \triangleleft G$ . Let  $T = C_G(K_1) \cap M$ , then  $K_1 \not\leq T$  and so  $K_1 \cap T = 1$ . By  $G = C_G(K_1)M$ , we have  $M/T \cong C_G(K_1)M/C_G(K_1) = G/C_G(K_1)$ . Since  $G/C_G(K_1)$  is cyclic and  $G/T = K_1T/T \rtimes M/T$ , we have  $G/T \in \mathcal{U}$ . Thus by  $\mathcal{F}$  is a formation, we have obtained that  $G \cong G/K_1 \cap T \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** (*[9, Theorem 3.1]*) *Suppose that  $N$  is a normal subgroup of a group  $G$  such that  $G/N$  is  $p$ -nilpotent and  $P$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $N$ , where  $p \in \pi(G)$  with  $(|G|, p-1) = 1$ . If all maximal subgroup of  $P$  are weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

### 3 Main Results

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $p$  a prime dividing the order of  $G$  with  $(|G|, p-1) = 1$ . If there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**Proof** Assume that the result is false. Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order.

(1) Let  $L$  be a normal subgroup of  $G$  contained in  $P$ , then  $G/L$  satisfies the hypothesis.

It is clear that  $(|G/L|, p-1) = 1$ . And for any maximal subgroup  $P_1/L$  of  $P/L$ ,  $p = |P/L : P_1/L| = |P : P_1|$ , so  $P_1$  is a maximal subgroup of  $P$ . By the hypothesis,  $P_1$  is a weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ . By Lemma 2.2 (1),  $P_1/L$  is a weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)/L = N_{G/L}(P/L)$ , and  $(P/L)' = P'L/L$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G/L$  by Lemma 2.1 (b), thus we have (1).

(2)  $1 \neq P' \leq O_p(G)$  and  $G$  is solvable.

For any  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(N_G(P))$  with  $q \neq p$ , it is clear that all maximal subgroups of  $P$  are weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $PQ$  by Lemma 2.2 (3). Thus  $PQ$  satisfy the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4, so  $PQ$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, hence  $Q \leq C_G(P)$ . Assume that  $P$  is abelian, when  $q$  run over  $\pi(N_G(P))$ , we have  $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$ , hence  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Burnside's Theorem, a contradiction. So  $P' \neq 1$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , thus  $P' \triangleleft \triangleleft G$ , therefore,  $O_p(G) \neq 1$ . By (1), we have  $G/O_p(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Since  $(|G|, p-1) = 1$ , we conclude that  $G/O_p(G)$  is solvable, thus  $G$  is solvable.

(3) End of the proof.

Let  $\{G_r \mid r \in \pi(G)\}$  be a Sylow system of  $G$  and  $H = G_p G_r$  for any  $r \in \pi(G)$  with  $r \neq p$ . By Lemma 2.1(a) and Lemma 2.2 (3), the hypothesis is still true for  $H$ . If  $|\pi(G)| > 2$ , then  $G_r \trianglelefteq H$ , which implies that  $G_p$  normalizes

$G_r$  for any  $r \in \pi(G)$ , hence  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. Thus we may assume that  $|G| = p^a q^b$ .

Let  $L$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$  and  $L \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $P'L$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ . Thus  $P'L/L$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G/L$  by Lemma 2.1. If  $L$  is a  $q$ -group, then we consider the quotient group  $G/L$ . Evidently,  $PL/L \in Syl_p(G/L)$ . For any maximal subgroup  $T/L$  of  $PL/L$ , we have  $p = |(PL/L) : (T/L)|$ , and

$$T = PL \cap T = (P \cap T)L.$$

Let  $P_1 = P \cap T$ , then  $P_1 \cap L = P \cap T \cap L = P \cap L$ , so

$$p = |PL : T| = |PL : (P \cap T)L| = |P : P \cap T| = |P : P_1|.$$

Thus  $P_1$  is a maximal subgroup of  $P$ . By the hypothesis,  $P_1$  is a weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)$ . Then there exists a subnormal subgroup  $K$  of  $N_G(P)$  such that  $N_G(P) = P_1K$  and  $P_1 \cap K \leq (P_1)_{\overline{s}N_G(P)}$ . Obviously, we have  $N_G(P)L/L = (P_1L/L)(KL/L)$  and  $KL/L \trianglelefteq \trianglelefteq N_G(P)L/L$ . Since  $(|P_1|, |L|) = 1$ , we get

$$|P_1 \cap KL| = \frac{|P_1| \cdot |KL|_p}{|P_1KL|_p} = \frac{|P_1| \cdot |K|_p}{|N_G(P)L|_p} = \frac{|P_1| \cdot |K|_p}{|N_G(P)|_p} = |P_1 \cap K|.$$

This implies  $P_1 \cap KL = P_1 \cap K$ , then

$$\begin{aligned} (P_1L/L) \cap (KL/L) &= (P_1L \cap KL)/L = (P_1 \cap KL)L/L \\ &= (P_1 \cap K)L/L \leq (P_1)_{\overline{s}N_G(P)}L/L \leq (P_1L/L)_{\overline{s}N_G/L(PL/L)}. \end{aligned}$$

thus  $G/L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by the minimality of  $G$ . Hence  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. Therefore,  $L$  is a  $p$ -group and  $L \leq P$ . By (1),  $G/L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. Similarly, if  $N$  is another minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $N \leq P$  and so  $G/N$  is also  $p$ -nilpotent. Now it follows that  $G \cong G/N \cap L$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. Thus  $L$  must be the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ . Since the class of  $p$ -nilpotent group is saturated formation,  $L \not\leq \Phi(G)$ , hence  $\Phi(G) = 1$ . By [11, Theorem 5.3], we get that  $O_p(G) = F(G) = L$ .

Since  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , we have  $N_G(P') \geq O^p(G)$  by Lemma 2.1 (d), and  $P$  normalizes  $P'$ , we get  $P' \trianglelefteq G$ , then  $P' = O_p(G) = L$  by the unique minimal normality of  $L$ . By (1), we have  $G/O_p(G)$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, hence  $O_p(G)Q \trianglelefteq G$ , where  $Q \in Syl_q(G)$ . Since  $O_p(G)Q \cap P = O_p(G) = P' \leq \Phi(P)$ ,  $O_p(G)Q$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by J. Tate Theorem([5, Theorem 4.4.7]). Thus  $Q \trianglelefteq O_p(G)Q \trianglelefteq G$ , this implies  $Q \trianglelefteq G$ ,  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.1.** (*[4, Theorem 3.1]*) *Let  $G$  be a group and  $p$  a prime dividing the order of  $G$  with  $(|G|, p-1) = 1$ . If there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $N_G(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**Theorem 3.2.** *Let  $G$  be a group and  $p$  a prime dividing the order of  $G$  with  $(|G|, p-1) = 1$ . Suppose that  $H$  is a normal subgroup of  $G$  such that  $G/H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent. If there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $H$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , then  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent.*

**Proof** Assume that the result is false. Let  $G$  be a minimal counterexample with least  $|G| + |H|$ .

By Lemma 2.1 (a) and Lemma 2.2 (3), it is clear that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_H(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $H$ , then  $H$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Theorem 3.1. Let  $M$  be a normal  $p$ -complement of  $H$ , then  $M \trianglelefteq G$ . Assume that  $M \neq 1$ . We consider the quotient subgroup  $G/M$ . Similar to the proof of (3) in Theorem 3.1, it is easy to see that the hypothesis is still true for  $(G/M, H/M)$ , hence  $G/M$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, and so  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. Thus we conclude that  $M = 1$ . Now  $H = P$  is a  $p$ -subgroup. Let  $T/P$  be a normal  $p$ -complement of  $G/P$ , it is clear that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_T(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $T$ , then  $T$  is  $p$ -nilpotent by Theorem 3.1, so  $T_p' \trianglelefteq T \trianglelefteq G$  and  $T_p'$  is also a Hall  $p'$ -subgroup of  $G$ , thus  $T_p' \trianglelefteq G$ , hence  $G$  is  $p$ -nilpotent, a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.3.** *Let  $G$  be a group. For any prime factor  $p$  of  $|G|$ , there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $G$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , then  $G$  is supersolvable.*

**Proof** Assume that the result is false. Let  $G$  be a counterexample of minimal order.

By Theorem 3.1, we know that  $G$  is a Sylow tower group of supersolvable type, so  $G$  is soluble. Let  $L$  be a minimal normal subgroup of  $G$ , then  $L$  is an elementary  $r$ -subgroup, where  $r \in \pi(G)$ , by Lemma 2.2 (1) and 2.2 (2), we have  $G/L$  satisfies the hypothesis, thus  $G/L$  is supersolvable by the minimal choice of  $G$ . Since the class of supersolvable subgroup is a saturated formation, we may assume that  $L$  is the unique minimal normal subgroup of  $G$  and  $L \not\leq \Phi(G)$ , so there exists a maximal subgroup  $M$  of  $G$  such that  $G = LM$  with  $L \cap M = 1$ . Let  $q = \max \pi(G)$  and  $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$ , then  $Q \trianglelefteq G$ , thus  $L \leq Q$  by the unique minimal normality of  $L$  in  $G$ . Since  $Q = O_q(G) \leq F(G) \leq C_G(L)$ ,  $L$  and  $M$

normalize  $Q \cap M$ , we get  $Q \cap M \triangleleft G$ . So  $Q \cap M = 1$  or  $L \leq Q \cap M$ . If the later happened, then  $L \leq M$ , that is,  $G = LM = M$ , a contradiction. So  $Q \cap M = 1$ , and  $L \cap M = 1$ . This implies that  $|Q| = |G : W| = |L|$ , hence  $L = Q$ . Let  $Q_1$  be a maximal subgroup of  $Q = L$ . By the hypothesis,  $Q_1$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $G = N_G(Q)$ , then there exists a subnormal subgroup  $K$  of  $G$  such that  $G = Q_1K$  and  $Q_1 \cap K \leq (Q_1)_{\overline{s}G}$ . Clearly,  $(Q_1)_{\overline{s}G}Q = Q(Q_1)_{\overline{s}G}$ , then  $(Q_1)_{\overline{s}G}$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , so  $N_G((Q_1)_{\overline{s}G}) \geq O^q(G)$  by Lemma 2.1 (d). Since  $Q$  is an elementary  $r$ -subgroup, we get  $(Q_1)_{\overline{s}G} \trianglelefteq G$ . By the unique minimal normality of  $L$  in  $G$  and  $L = Q$ , we have  $(Q_1)_{\overline{s}G} = 1$ , so  $Q_1 \cap K = 1$ . On the other hand, since  $Q \cap K \triangleleft \langle Q, K \rangle = G$ , we have  $Q \cap K = L = Q$  by the unique minimal normality of  $L$  in  $G$ , so  $Q \leq K$ . Thus  $K = G$ . Since  $Q_1 \leq K$  and  $Q_1 \cap K = 1$ , we get  $Q_1 = 1$  namely,  $|L| = |Q| = q$ . By Lemma 2.3, we have  $G$  is supersolvable, a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof of this theorem.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $G$  a group with a normal subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ . For any prime factor  $p$  of  $|H|$ , there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $H$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

**Proof** By Lemma 2.2 (3), we have  $H$  satisfies the hypothesis of Theorem 3.3, hence  $H$  is supersolvable. Let  $p = \max \pi(H)$  and  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(H)$ , then  $P \trianglelefteq G$ . We consider the quotient group  $G/P$ , then  $G/H \cong (G/P)/(H/P) \in \mathcal{F}$ . By Lemma 2.1 (a) and Lemma 2.2 (1), we have  $(G/P, H/P)$  satisfies the hypothesis, thus  $G/P \in \mathcal{F}$  by induction. Hence we may assume that  $H = P$ . By  $G = N_G(P)$  and [1, Theorem 1.3], we get  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2.** *([4, Theorem 3.4]) Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $G$  a group with a normal subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ . For any prime factor  $p$  of  $|H|$ , there exists a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup  $P$  of  $H$  such that every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $N_G(P)$  and  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*

Recall that a group  $G$  was called an  $\mathcal{A}$ -group if all of its Sylow subgroups are abelian. Let  $G$  be an  $\mathcal{A}$ -group, then for any  $P \in \text{Syl}_p(G)$ , we have  $P' = 1$ , of course, it is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , so we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.3.** *Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a saturated formation containing  $\mathcal{U}$  and  $G$  a group with normal  $\mathcal{A}$ -subgroup  $H$  such that  $G/H \in \mathcal{F}$ . If every maximal subgroup of each Sylow subgroup  $P$  of  $H$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable in  $N_G(P)$ , then  $G \in \mathcal{F}$ .*



## 4 Remarks

**Remark 4.1.** We point out that in Theorem 3.1, the condition,  $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ , can not be removed.

**Example** Let  $G = PSL_2(q)$ , where  $q \equiv 1 \pmod{8}$ . Let  $P$  be a Sylow 2-subgroup of  $G$ . By [5, II, Theorem 8.27], we have the Sylow 2-subgroup of  $PSL_2(q)$  is selfnormalizing in  $PSL_2(q)$ . Evidently, every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is normal in  $N_G(P) = P$ , and therefore every maximal subgroup of  $P$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroup of  $N_G(P)$ . However,  $G$  is not 2-nilpotent.

**Remark 4.2.** Even if  $G$  is solvable group and  $p$  is an odd prime, the hypothesis in Theorem 3.1 that " $P'$  is  $\pi$ -quasinormal in  $G$ " could not still omitted.

**Example** Let  $H = Z_3 \times Z_3 \times Z_3$  be an elementary abelian 3-group. It is clear that  $Aut(H)$  has a subgroup  $Z_{13} \rtimes Z_3$ . Now suppose that

$$G = (Z_3 \times Z_3 \times Z_3) \rtimes (Z_{13} \rtimes Z_3)$$

Let  $P_3$  be a Sylow 3-subgroup of  $G$ . It is obvious that  $N_G(P_3) = P_3$  and therefore every maximal subgroup of  $P_3$  is weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroup of  $N_G(P_3) = P_3$ . However,  $G$  is not 3-nilpotent.

*Acknowledgement.* The authors are grateful to the referees who read the manuscript carefully and provide many useful comments and modifying suggestions.

## References

- [1] M. Asaad, On maximal subgroup of finite group, *Comm Algebra*, 26(11) (1998), 3647-3652.
- [2] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero, Sylow permutable subnormal subgroups of finite groups, *Journal of Algebra*, 251 (2002), 727-738
- [3] Zhongmu Chen, On a theorem of Srinivasan, *J. of Southwest Normal Univ. Nat. Sci.*, 12(1) (1987), 1-4.
- [4] Xiuyun Guo, Xianhe Zhao,  $\pi$ -quasinormality of the Maximal Subgroups of a Sylow Subgroup in a Local Subgroup, *Acta Mathematica Scientia* (in Chinese), 28A(6) (2008), 1222-1226.
- [5] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer, New York, Berlin, 1967
- [6] P. Hall, On a theorem of Frobenius, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, 40 (1936), 468-501



- [7] O. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, *Math Z.*, 78 (1962), 205-211
- [8] Yong Xu, Xianhua Li, On weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroups of finite groups, *Front. Math. China*, 6(1) (2011), 161-175.
- [9] X. H. Li, Y. Xu and T. Zhao, Weakly  $s$ -semipermutable subgroups and  $p$ -nilpotency of finite groups. to appear.
- [10] Y. Li, Y. Wang, H. Wei, Influence of  $\pi$ -quasinormality of some subgroups of a finite group, *Arch Math.*, 81 (2003), 245-252
- [11] Mingyao Xu, *A Introduction to Finite Group*, Science Publisher, Beijing, 1999 (in Chinese)

**Received: December, 2011**