

A Theorem on Semilattice-Ordered Semigroup¹

Melanija Mitrović

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, University of Niš
14, Aleksandra Medvedeva, 18000 Niš, Serbia
meli@junis.ni.ac.yu

Daniel Abraham Romano²

Department of Mathematics and Informatics, Banja Luka University
2, Mladen Stojanovic Street, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina
bato49@hotmail.com

Milovan Vinčić

Faculty of Mechanical Engineering, Banja Luka University
71, Stepe Stepanovića, 78000 Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina

Abstract

Semilattice-ordered semigroup is important algebraic structure. It is equipped with the natural defined positive quasi-antiorder relation.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 03F65; 06F05, 20M10

Keywords: Constructive mathematics, semigroups with apartness, semilattice-ordered semigroup, anti-order relation, quasi-antiorder relation, anti-ideal, positive quasi-antiorder relation

1 Introduction and preliminaries

This investigation is in Bishop's constructive algebra in sense of papers [9]-[12] and books [7] and [13] (Chapter 8: Algebra). Let $(S, =, \neq)$ be a constructive set (i.e. it is a relational system with the relation " \neq "). The *diversity relation* " \neq " ([10]) is a binary relation on S , which satisfies the following properties:

¹Partially supported by the Ministry of science and technology of Srpska, Banja Luka, Bosnia and Herzegovina.

²Corresponding author

$$\neg(x \neq x), x \neq y \implies y \neq x, x \neq y \wedge y = z \implies x \neq z .$$

If it satisfies the following condition

$$(\forall x, z \in S)(x \neq z \implies (\forall y \in S)(x \neq y \vee y \neq z)),$$

it called *apartness* (A. Heyting). For subset X of S we say that it is *strongly extensional subset* of S if and only if $x \in X \implies (\forall y \in S)(x \neq y \vee y \in X)$. Follows Bridges and Vita's definition, for subsets X and Y of S we say that set X is *set-set apartness* from Y , and it is denoted by $X \bowtie Y$, if and only if $(\forall x \in X)(\forall y \in Y)(x \neq y)$. We set $x \bowtie Y$ instead $\{x\} \bowtie Y$, and, of course, $x \neq y$ instead $\{x\} \bowtie \{y\}$. With $X^C = \{x \in S : x \bowtie X\}$ we denote *apartness complement* of X . For a function $f : (S, =, \neq) \longrightarrow (T, =, \neq)$ we say that it is a *strongly extensional function* if and only if $(\forall a, b \in S)(f(a) \neq_T f(b) \implies a \neq_S b)$.

Semilattice-ordered semigroup is important algebraic structure. It studied, for example, by Martin Kuril and Libor Polka ([6]). J van Plato studied in his article [8] semilattice in Constructive Algebra. In this article we take over from [12] definitions of semilattice-ordered semigroup S (with apartness and strongly extensional internal operation) and anti-ideals. (In that paper is given some examples of semilattice-ordered semigroups.) In this paper we give a natural construction of the positive quasi-antiorder relation σ on S , generated by an anti-ideal K of S .

Following to classical definition in [6], for algebraic structure $((S, =, \neq, \cdot, 1), \otimes)$ is called a (*strong*) *semilattice-ordered semigroup* if :

(i) $(S, =, \neq, \cdot, 1)$ is a semigroup, where the semigroup operation is strongly extensional in the following way

$$(\forall a, b, c \in S)((ac \neq bc \vee ca \neq cb) \implies a \neq b);$$

(ii) $(S, =, \neq, \otimes)$ is a semilattice, i.e. (S, \otimes) is a commutative semigroup with $(\forall x \in S)(x \otimes x = x)$ where the semigroup operation is strongly extensional:

$$(\forall a, b, c \in S)((a \otimes c \neq b \otimes c \vee c \otimes a \neq c \otimes b) \implies a \neq b);$$

(iii) $(\forall a, b, c \in S)((a(b \otimes c) = ab \otimes ac) \wedge ((a \otimes b)c = ac \otimes bc))$; and

(iv) $(\forall x \in S)(x \otimes 1 = 1)$.

A relation α on S is *antiorder* ([4], [5]) on S if and only if

$$\alpha \subseteq \neq, \alpha \subseteq \alpha * \alpha, \neq \subseteq \alpha \cup \alpha^{-1} \text{ (linearity),}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)((xz, yz) \in \alpha \implies (x, y) \in \alpha) \wedge ((zx, zy) \in \alpha \implies (x, y) \in \alpha)$$

and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in S)((x \otimes z, y \otimes z) \in \alpha \implies (x, y) \in \alpha).$$

A relation on S is a *quasi-antiorder* ([5], [11]) on S if and only if

$$\begin{aligned} & \sigma(\subseteq \alpha) \subseteq \neq, \sigma \subseteq \sigma * \sigma, \\ & (\forall x, y, z \in S)((xz, yz) \in \sigma \implies (x, y) \in \sigma) \wedge ((zx, zy) \in \sigma \implies (x, y) \in \sigma) \\ & \text{and} \\ & (\forall x, y, z \in S)((x \otimes z, y \otimes z) \in \sigma \implies (x, y) \in \sigma). \end{aligned}$$

In the following lemma we show that semilattice-ordered semigroup is equipped with the natural defined anti-order relation:

Lemma ([12], Lemma 2.2) *If $(S, =, \neq, \cdot, \otimes)$ is a semilattice-ordered semigroup and we define, for any a, b of S ,*

$$(a, b) \in \alpha \iff a \otimes b \neq a,$$

then the structure $(S, =, \neq, \cdot, \otimes)$ is an ordered semigroup under antiorder α .

Proof: (i) It is clear that the relation α is consistent.

(ii) Let a, b, c be arbitrary elements of S such that $(a, c) \in \alpha$, i.e. such that $a \otimes c \neq a$. Then,

$$a \otimes c \neq a \implies a \otimes c \neq b \otimes a \vee b \otimes a \neq a.$$

If $b \otimes a \neq a$, then $(a, b) \in \alpha$. Suppose that $a \otimes c \neq b \otimes a$. Then, $a \otimes c \neq a \otimes b \otimes c$ or $a \otimes b \otimes c \neq b \otimes a$. In the first case, we conclude:

$$\begin{aligned} a \otimes c \neq a \otimes b \otimes c & \implies a \neq a \otimes b \vee c \neq c \\ & \implies (a, b) \in \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

In the second case, we have

$$\begin{aligned} a \otimes b \otimes c \neq b \otimes a & \implies b \otimes c \neq b \vee a \neq a \\ & \implies (b, c) \in \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the relation α is cotransitive.

(iii) Let a and b be arbitrary element of S such that $a \neq b$. Thus, $a \neq a \otimes b$ or $a \otimes b \neq b$. So, we have $a \neq b \implies (a, b) \in \alpha \vee (b, a) \in \alpha$, and the relation α is linear.

(iv) Let a, b, c be arbitrary elements of semigroup $(S, =, \neq, \cdot, \otimes)$ such that $(ac, bc) \in \alpha$. Then,

$$\begin{aligned} ac \otimes bc \neq ac & \iff (a \otimes b)c \neq ac \\ & \implies a \otimes b \neq a \\ & \implies (a, b) \in \alpha. \end{aligned}$$

Analogously, we derive the implication $(ca, cb) \in \alpha \implies (a, b) \in \alpha$.

(v) Let a, b, c be elements of S such that $(a \otimes c, b \otimes c) \in \alpha$, i.e. such that $a \otimes c \otimes b \otimes c \neq a \otimes c$. Thus, $a \otimes b \otimes c \neq a \otimes c$ and $a \otimes b \neq a$. Hence, $(a, b) \in \alpha$.

Finally, the relation α is an antiorder relation on semigroup $(S, =, \neq, \cdot)$ and the structure $(S, =, \neq, \cdot, \alpha)$ is a semigroup ordered under antiorder. \square

Let $(S, =, \neq, \cdot, 1, \otimes)$ be a semilattice-ordered semigroup. A subset K of S is its anti-ideal if and only if

- (0) $ab \in K \implies a \in K \vee b \in K$,
- (1) $a \otimes b \in K \implies a \in K \vee b \in K$,
- (2) $b \in K \implies a\alpha b \vee a \in K$.

Remarks:

1. Any anti-ideal of semilattice ordered semigroup is strongly extensional subset of S . Indeed, if $b \in K$ then by (2) of definition, $a\alpha b \vee a \in K$. Since the relation α is consistent, then $a \neq b$ or $a \in K$. So, the set K is a strongly extensional subset of S .

2. If K is an anti-ideal of a semilattice-ordered semigroup S , then $(\forall a, b \in S)(a \in K \implies a \otimes b \in K)$ and $(\forall a, b \in S)(a \in K \wedge a \leq b \implies b \in K)$. Let $a \in K$ and b be arbitrary element of S . Then, by (2) of definition of anti-ideal, we have $(a \otimes b)\alpha a$ or $a \otimes b \in K$. Since $\neg((a \otimes b) \otimes a \neq a \otimes b)$, we have to $a \otimes b \in K$. The second implication immediately follows from the first.

3. $a \otimes b \bowtie K \implies (a \bowtie K \wedge b \bowtie K)$. In fact, suppose that $a \otimes b \bowtie K$. If t is an arbitrary element of K , then we have $t \neq a \vee a \in K$ and $t \neq b \vee b \in K$. Since in the case $a \in K$ or $b \in K$ we have $a \otimes b \in K$, we conclude $a \bowtie K$ and $b \bowtie K$.

4. Let K be an anti-ideal of semilattice-ordered semigroup $(S, =, \neq, \cdot, \otimes)$. Then sets $\neg K$ and K^C are ideals of S .

Proof: (i) Let $a \in \neg K$ and $b \leq a$. Suppose that $b \in K$. Then, by (2), we have $a\alpha b \vee a \in K$. It is impossible by hypothesis. So, $b \in \neg K$. Let $a \in \neg K$ and $b \in \neg K$. Then, from $a \otimes b \in K$ we conclude that $a \in K$ and $b \in K$. Since it is impossible, we have $a \otimes b \in \neg K$.

(ii) Let $a \in K^C$ and $b \leq a$, and let t be an arbitrary element of K . Then $t \neq b$ or $b \in K$. Since, from $b \in K$ follows $a \in K$. It is a contradiction. So, we have $b \bowtie K$. Let $a \in K^C$ and $b \in K^C$ and let t be an arbitrary element of K . Then, $t \neq a \otimes b$ or $a \otimes b \in K$. Since the second case is impossible, we conclude that $a \otimes b \bowtie K^C$. ♦

For undefined notions and notations of semigroup items we referred to book [2] and articles [6], [8] and of items of Constructive Algebra we referred to books [1], [3], [7] and [13], and to the papers [4], [5], [9]-[12].

2 The Result

A quasi-antiorder σ on a semilattice-ordered semigroup $((S, =, \neq, \cdot, 1), \otimes)$ is positive if and only if

$$(\forall a, b \in S)((a, ab) \bowtie \sigma \wedge (a, ba) \bowtie \sigma); \text{ and}$$

$$(\forall a, b \in S)((a, ab \otimes ba) \bowtie \sigma \wedge (b, ab \otimes ba) \bowtie \sigma).$$

In the following theorem we show that the semilattice-ordered semigroup is equipped with the natural defined quasi-antiorde relation:

Theorem: *Let K be an anti-ideal of a semilattice-ordered semigroup S . Then the relation σ on S , defined by $(a, b) \in \sigma \iff (\exists x, y \in S^1)(xby \in K \wedge xay \bowtie K)$ is a positive quasi-antiorde such that $\sigma \subseteq \alpha$.*

Proof: (i) If $(a, b) \in \sigma$, then $(\exists x, y \in S^1)(xby \in K \wedge xay \bowtie K)$ and out of $xby \neq xay$ we got $b \neq a$. So, the relation σ is a consistent relation.

(ii) Let a, b, c arbitrary elements of S such that $(a, c) \in \sigma$. Let u be an arbitrary element of K . Then, by strongly extensionality of K , we conclude: $u\alpha xby \vee xby \in K$. If $u \neq xby$ and $xay \in K$, then $(a, b) \in \sigma$. If $xby \in K$ and $xcy \bowtie K$, then $(b, c) \in \sigma$.

(iii) Let a, b, c be arbitrary elements of S such that $(ac, bc) \in \sigma$, i.e. $(\exists x, y \in S)(xacy \in K \wedge xbcy \bowtie K)$. Then, $(\exists x, cy \in S)(xa(cy) \in K \wedge xb(cy) \bowtie K)$. So, $(a, b) \in \sigma$. Similarly, we have $(ca, cb) \in \sigma \implies (a, b) \in \sigma$. Therefore, the relation σ is compatible with the operation "."

(iv) Suppose that a, b, c be arbitrary elements of S such that $(a \otimes c, b \otimes c) \in \sigma$. Then, there exist elements x, y of S such that $x(a \otimes c)y \in K \wedge x(b \otimes c)y \bowtie K$. Then, $xay \otimes xcy \in K$ and $xby \otimes xcy \bowtie K$, and out of this we conclude $(\exists x, y \in S)((xay \in K \vee xcy \in K) \wedge (xby \bowtie K \wedge xcy \bowtie K))$. Thus, $(\exists x, y \in S)(xay \in K \wedge xby \bowtie K)$ because the following $(\exists x, y \in S)(xcy \in K \wedge xcy \bowtie K)$ is impossible. Therefore, $(a, b) \in \sigma$.

(v) If $(a, b) \in \sigma$, ie. if $xay \in K$ and $xby \bowtie K$ for some x, y of S , then $(xay, xby) \in \alpha$ or $xby \in K$. Since the second case is impossible, we have $(xay, xby) \in \alpha$ and $(a, b) \in \alpha$.

(vi) Let a, b be arbitrary elements of S . Then:
 $(u, v) \in \sigma \implies (u, a) \in \sigma \vee (a, ab) \in \sigma \vee (ab, v) \in \sigma$
 $\implies (u, v) \neq (a, ab) \vee (a, ab) \in \sigma \subseteq \alpha$
 $\implies (a, ab) \neq (u, v) \in \sigma$

because out of $(a, ab) \in \sigma \subseteq \alpha$ we have a contradiction. Indeed, suppose that $a \otimes (ab) \neq a$. Thus, $a(1 \otimes b) \neq a$, i.e. $a \neq a$. For the fact $(a, ba) \bowtie \sigma$, we have similar proof.

(vii) Let a, b, u, v be elements of S such that $(u, v) \in \sigma$. Thus, $(a, ab \otimes ba) \in \sigma$ or $(a, ab \otimes ba) \neq (u, v)$. Since the first case is impossible, we have $(a, ab \otimes ba) \bowtie \sigma$. In fact, out of the hypothesis $(a, ab \otimes ba) \in \sigma \subseteq \alpha$ we conclude $a \neq a$. The proof for $(b, ab \otimes ba) \bowtie \sigma$ we got analogously. \square

References

- [1] E. Bishop, *Foundations of Constructive Analysis*, McGraw-Hill, New York, 1967.
- [2] S. Bogdanović and M. Ćirić: *Semigroups*; Prosveta, Niš 1993. (In Serbian)
- [3] D.S. Bridges and F. Richman, *Varieties of Constructive Mathematics*, London Mathematical Society Lecture Notes 97, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1987
- [4] S. Crvenković and D.A. Romano: *A Theorem on Anti-ordered Factor-semigroups*; Publications de l'Institut Mathématique, 82(96)(2007), 119-128
- [5] D. Jojić and D.A. Romano: *Quasi-antiorder Relational Systems*; Inter. J. Contemp. Math. Sciences, 3(27)(2008), 1307-1315
- [6] Martin Kuril and Libor Polak: *On Varieties of Semilattice-ordered Semigroups*; Semigroup Forum, 71(1) (2005), 27-48
- [7] R. Mines, F. Richman and W. Ruitenburg: *A Course of Constructive Algebra*; Springer-Verlag, New York 1988.
- [8] J. von Plato, *Positive lattices*, In: Reuniting the Antipodes-Constructive and Nonstandard Views of the Continuum (P. Schuster, U. Berger, H. Osswald eds.), Kluwer, Dordrecht, 2001, 185-197
- [9] D.A. Romano: *On construction of maximal coequality relation and its applications*; In : Proceedings of 8th international conference on Logic and Computers Sciences "LIRA '97", Novi Sad, September 1-4, 1997, (Editors: R. Tošić and Z. Budimac), Institute of Mathematics, Novi Sad 1997, 225-230
- [10] D. A. Romano: *Some Relations and Subsets Generated by Principal Consistent Subset of Semigroup with Apartness*; Univ. Beograd. Publ. Elektotehn. Fak. Ser. Math, 13(2002), 7-25.
- [11] D.A. Romano: *Consistent Positive and Linear Positive Quasi-antiorders*; Inter. Math. Forum, 3(18)(2008), 883-891
- [12] D.A. Romano: *On Semilattice-ordered Semigroup - A Constructive Point of View*; pp 1- 11 pp, (To appear)
- [13] A. S. Troelstra and D. van Dalen: *Constructivism in Mathematics, An Introduction*, Volume II; North - Holland, Amsterdam 1988.

Received: June, 2008