

On Dimensions of Spectral Spaces of Finite Lattices

M. Rostami

Departamento de Matematica
Universidade da Beira Interior
6200-Covilha, Portugal
rostami@mat.ubi.pt

Abstract

In this note we prove that the Krull dimension of a finite distributive lattice (L, \leq) coincide with the inductive dimension and the derived dimension of the spectral space of L .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06B30, 54F45

Keywords: Krull dimension, derived dimension, inductive dimension, spectral spaces and finite lattices

Let (P, \leq) be a poset. For $x \in P$, we define $\downarrow x = \{y \in P : y \leq x\}$ and $\uparrow x = \{y \in P : x \leq y\}$. For $A \subseteq P$, $\downarrow A = \cup\{\downarrow a : a \in A\}$ and $\uparrow A = \{\uparrow a : a \in A\}$. The set A is called a *lower set* if $A = \downarrow A$ and A is called an *upper set* if $A = \uparrow A$. Let us recall that a lattice (L, \vee, \wedge, \leq) is a poset in which every pair of elements has a join and a meet; we denote a lattice simply by L or by (L, \leq) if we want to emphasize on order structure of L . A lattice is *complete* if any of its subsets has join and meet.

The classical Stone Representation Theorem for Boolean algebra states that any Boolean algebra B is associated to a totally disconnected (zero-dimensional) compact Hausdorff topological space $spec B$, called *Stone space* and conversely, the lattice of *clopen*¹ subsets of any topological space X is a Boolean algebra, $clop X$. Moreover, a Boolean algebra B and its counterpart, $clop(spec B)$, are isomorphic.

Recall that in a complete distributive lattice (L, \leq) , an element $x \in L$ is called *prime* if for every $a, b \in L$ $a \wedge b \leq x$ implies $a \leq x$ or $b \leq x$; $x \in L$ is

¹A subset which is closed and open.

called *join-irreducible* if $x = a \vee b$ implies $x = a$ or $x = b$. Denote by $\text{spec } L$ the set of all prime elements of L other than 1. We have $y = \inf(\uparrow y \cap \text{spec } L)$ where $y \in L$.

Let $h(a) = \{p \in \text{spec } L : a \leq p\} = \uparrow a \cap \text{spec } L$, then $h(a)$ is called the *hull* of a . Let $\text{spec } L$ be given a topology with closed sets $\{h(a) : a \in L\}$. With respect to this topology there is a lattice isomorphism

$$\begin{aligned} L &\rightarrow \Gamma(\text{spec } L) = \text{closed subsets of } \text{spec } L \\ x &\mapsto \uparrow x \cap \text{spec } L \end{aligned}$$

So if $X(L) = \text{spec } L$ is equipped with the above topology, then L and $\Gamma(X(L))$ are isomorphic lattices.

For more details see ([2], Chapter V).

Let X be a topological space. For any ordinal α define *derived set* of order α by $X_0 = X$, $X_1 = X'$, the limit points of X , and $X_{\alpha+1} = X'_\alpha$; if α is limit ordinal then $X_\alpha = \bigcap_{\beta < \alpha} X_\beta$. Hence $X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq X_\alpha \supseteq X_{\alpha+1} \supseteq \dots$. The smallest ordinal α such that $X_\alpha = \emptyset$ is called *derived dimension* of X and it is denoted by $d'(X)$ [3].

Now let L be a finite lattice. We shall consider three different ways of approaching the notion of dimension in L . Luckily, all those turn out to be equal.

First the the Krull dimension of L .

Definition 0.1 For a finite distributive lattice L we define the krull dimension of L by

$$K \dim(L) = \text{Sup} \{ n : \exists \text{ a prime chain of length } n \text{ in } L \} .$$

Now, it is possible to topologize L by using $\overline{\{y\}} = \{x \in L : x \leq y\}$ as a sub-basis for the closed sets, i.e., by taking the lower sets to be the closed sets. On the other hand, let X be any finite T_0 -space. Then for $x \in X$, we can form the minimal open set containing x by U_x to be the intersection of all open sets containing x . Clearly, these minimal neighborhoods are basis for the topology of X . For distinct x, y in X , we can define a partial order relation \leq on X by setting $x \leq y$ when $U_y \subseteq U_x$. This order is the same as the natural order, called the *specialization order*, defined by letting $x \leq y$ if and only if $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$. Indeed, if x and y are two distinct elements of X then $y \in U_x$ implies that for any open set O containing x we have $(O - \{x\}) \cap \{y\} \neq \emptyset$. Therefore $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$.

Conversely, $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ implies that for every open set O of x we have $O \cap \{y\} \neq \emptyset$. So, $y \in O$. Now, by choosing $O = U_x$, we get $U_x \supseteq U_y$ or $x \leq y$. Since closed sets in a finite topological space are just unions of closures of points,

we see that any T_0 -space X can be completely determined by the (unique) specialization order defined on X . Moreover, a map between finite T_0 -spaces is continuous if and only if it is order preserving. Hence the *category* of finite T_0 topological spaces and continuous maps is isomorphic to the category of finite posets and order-preserving maps.

As we observed at above, any finite distributive lattice L is order isomorphic to the lattice of the closed subsets of $X(L) = \text{spec } L$. Notice that points of $X(L) = \text{spec } L$ are prime elements of L . Let us denote by $X^*(L)$ the dual space of the space $X(L) = \text{spec } L$, i.e., the topological space having closed sets as open sets of $X^*(L)$. Hence $X^*(L)$ is determined by the reverse order of the specialization order of L .

Now, let X be a topological space and $x \in X$. Then, with respect to the natural order, $\{x\}$ is *minimal* if $\{x\}$ is closed in X and it is *maximal* if $\{x\}$ is open in X . In the latter case, x is an isolated point of the space X .

Definition 0.2 *The inductive dimension of a topological space X , $\text{ind } X$, is defined inductively by taking $\text{ind } X$ to be -1 if $X = \emptyset$. For $X \neq \emptyset$ define $\text{ind } X \leq n$ if for each x and for each open set O_x containing x , there exists an open set $U \subseteq O_x$ where $x \in U$ such that the boundary of U , $\partial U = \overline{U} \cap (\overline{X - U})$, has inductive dimension at most $n - 1$; $\text{ind } X = n$ if $\text{ind } X \leq n$ and $\text{ind } X$ is not less than or equal to $n - 1$.*

Let X be a finite T_0 -space. Then \mathcal{B} , the collection of all minimal neighborhoods for each of its points, called a *minimal basis* for X . Note that, any other basis for X contains \mathcal{B} . Therefore if $\text{ind } X \leq n$, then $\text{ind } (\partial B_x) \leq n - 1$, $x \in X$.

For the simple proof of the next proposition and many other properties of finite topological spaces we refer the reader to [1].

Proposition 0.3 *Let X be a finite T_0 -space with minimal basis \mathcal{B} . Then $\text{ind } X \leq n$ if and only if $\text{ind } (\partial B_x) \leq n - 1$, for every $B_x \in \mathcal{B}$.*

Our main theorem is a consequence of the following proposition and its corollary.

Proposition 0.4 *For a finite space X with $\text{ind } X = n$, the length of the longest chain of closures of points $\overline{\{x_0\}} \subseteq \overline{\{x_1\}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \overline{\{x_k\}}$ is n .*

Proof:

The proof is by induction on n . It is clearly true for $n = -1$. Assume that the proposition holds for dimension $n - 1$. Now let $\text{ind } X = n - 1$. We are going to prove that X has a chain of length n . Let x be a point with smallest open set U containing x such that $\text{ind } (\partial U) = n - 1$. By hypothesis there exists a chain of length n where $\overline{\{x_1\}} \subseteq \overline{\{x_2\}} \subseteq \dots \subseteq \overline{\{x_n\}}$ in $\partial U = \overline{U} \cap (\overline{X - U})$.

On the other hand, $x_1 \in \overline{U} = \{y \in X : \exists x_0 \in U \ni y \leq x_0\}$, hence there exists $x_0 \in U$ with $x_1 \leq x_0$. But x_1 is not in U , therefore $x_1 \neq x_0$ and since for $x, y \in X$, $\overline{\{x\}} \subset \overline{\{y\}}$ is equivalent to $y < x$, where $y < x$ means $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$. Then $x_0 > x_1 > x_2 > \dots > x_n$ and, consequently, $\overline{\{x_0\}} \subset \overline{\{x_1\}} \subset \dots \subset \overline{\{x_n\}}$, which is a chain of length n in X .

Now it remains to prove that there exists no chain of closures of points in X of length $> n$. Suppose that $\overline{\{x_0\}} \subset \overline{\{x_1\}} \subset \dots \subset \overline{\{x_k\}}$ is a chain of length k in X . Let U_k be the smallest open set containing x_k . Then $\text{ind}(\partial U_k) \leq n - 1$. Since U_k is the smallest open set of x_k and $x_{k-1} < x_k$, we have that $\overline{\{x_{k-1}\}} \cap U_k = \emptyset$. Therefore $\overline{\{x_0\}} \subset \overline{\{x_1\}} \subset \dots \subset \overline{\{x_{k-1}\}}$ is a chain in $\partial U_k = \overline{U_k} \cap (X - U_k) = \overline{U_k} - U_k$. By induction hypothesis we have $k - 1 \leq n - 1$. That is, $k \leq n$. This completes the proof of the proposition. \square

Now we have the following corollary .

Corollary 0.5 *For a finite space X , $\text{ind} X = \text{ind} X^*$.*

Proof:

The routine verification is left to the reader. Note that $\overline{\{x\}} \subset \overline{\{y\}}$ is equivalent to $y < x$ for $x, y \in X$. \square

Using this we can prove the following result for finite lattices.

Theorem 0.6 *Let L be a finite distributive lattice endowed with its natural topology. Then*

$$\text{ind}(\text{spec } L) = d'(\text{spec } L) = K \dim(L).$$

Proof:

Recall that we have denoted the dual of $X(L)$ by $X^*(L)$ and $x \in X(L) = \text{spec } L$ is maximal (with respect to the natural order) if $\{p \in \text{spec } L : p \geq x\} = \{x\}$ is open in $X(L) = \text{spec } L$. But then $\{x\}$ is a closed set in $X^*(L)$. So, if M_0 is the set of all maximal points of $X(L)$ and M_j is the set of all maximal points of $X(L) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{j-1} M_i$, then $X(L) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n M_i = \bigcup_{i=0}^n M_j^o$, where $\text{ind } X(L) = n$ and M_j^o is the set of all closed points of $X^*(L) \setminus \bigcup_{i=0}^{j-1} M_i^o$. By the last proposition, $\text{ind } X(L) = \text{ind } X^*(L) = n$. Now recall that for each i , M_i is a set of isolated (maximal) points and hence a discrete subspace of X . Then, by Proposition ??, $\text{ind } M_i = 0$ and $M_{n+1} = \emptyset$. Consequently, we have the relation $X_0 \supseteq X_1 \supseteq \dots \supseteq X_n \supseteq X_{n+1}$ which implies that $d'(\text{spec } L) = n$.

On the other hand, since $X(L) = \bigcup_{i=0}^n M_i = \bigcup_{i=0}^n M_j^o$ and $\text{ind } M_i = 0$ for each i , the maximal length of chains of prime elements of $X(L)$ has length n .

Indeed, each discrete subset M_i can have at most one point in such a chain of prime elements. This implies that each prime chain of $X(L)$ has length at most n . Thus $\text{ind } X = d'(X) = K \dim(L)$, where $X = X(L) = \text{spec } L$. Therefore for finite distributive lattices all of those dimensions coincide. \square

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. The author wishes to thank Deolinda Isabel Mendes for comments and careful reading of the manuscript.

References

- [1] A. El-Fattah El-Atik, M. E. Abd El-Monsef and E. I. Lashin, On finite T_0 topological spaces, *Proceedings of the Ninth Prague Topological Symposium in Prauge*, (2001) 75 - 90.
- [2] G. Gierz et al., A Compendium of Continuous Lattices, *Springer-Verlag*, New York (1980).
- [3] Karamzadeh, O.A.S., On Classical Krull Dimension of Rings, *Fund. Math.* **117.2** (1983) 103 - 108.

Received: March, 2009