

The strong law of large numbers for arrays of NA random variables

K. J. Lee, H. Y. Seo, S. Y. Kim

Division of Mathematics and Informational Statistics,
Institute of Basic Natural Science, Wonkwang University
Iksan, Chonbuk 570-749
Republic of Korea

D. H. Ryu

Department of Computer Science
ChungWoon University
Republic of Korea

Abstract

Let $\{X_{ni} \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise negatively associated random variables under some suitable conditions. Then it is shown that for some $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$, $n^{-1/t} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni} \rightarrow 0$ completely as $n \rightarrow \infty$ if and only if $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$ and $E|X_{ni}| = 0$ and $\frac{1}{r_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni}| \rightarrow 0$ completely as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies $E|X|^{\frac{k+1}{r}} < \infty$.

AMS Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 60F05 ; Secondary 62E10, 45E10.

Keywords and phrases: Negatively associated random variables, Strong law of large numbers, Complete convergence.

1 Introduction

The concept of negatively associated random variables was introduced by Joag-Dev and Proschan ([7]) although a very special case was first introduced by Lehmann([9]). Many authors derived several important properties about negatively associated (NA) sequences and also discussed some applications in the area of statistics, probability, reliability and multivariate analysis. Compared

to positively associated random variables, the study of NA random variables has received less attention in the literature. Readers may refer to Karlin and Rinott([8]), Ebrahimi and Ghosh([3]), Block et al.([2]), Newman([12]), Joag-Dev([6]), Joag-Dev and Proschan([7]), Matula([11]) and Roussas([13]) among others.

Recently, some authors focused on the problem of limiting behavior of partial sums of NA sequences. Su et al.([14]) derived some moment inequalities of partial sums and a weak convergence for a strongly stationary NA sequence. Su and Qin([15]) studied some limiting results for NA sequences. More recently, Liang and Su([10]), and Baek, Kim and Liang([1]) considered some complete convergence for weighted sums of NA sequences.

Let $\{X_{nk}\}$ be an array of random variables with $EX_{nk} = 0$ for all n and k and let $1 \leq p < 2$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \sum_{k=1}^n X_{nk} \rightarrow 0 \text{ completely as } n \rightarrow \infty \quad (1.1)$$

and where complete convergence is defined (Hsu and Robbins ([4])) by

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P(|\frac{1}{n^{1/p}} \sum X_{nk}| > \varepsilon) < \infty \text{ for each } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (1.2)$$

Hu, Móricz and Taylor([5]) showed that for an array of i.i.d. random variables $\{X_{nk}\}$, (1.1) holds if and only if $E|X_{11}|^{2p} < \infty$.

The main purpose of this paper is to extend a similar results above to row-wise NA random variables, since independent and identically random variables are a special case of NA random variables. That is, we investigate the necessary and sufficient condition for $\frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} |\sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni}| \rightarrow 0$ completely as $n \rightarrow \infty$ where $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$ and let $\{k_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ be two increasing positive sequences satisfying some conditions, then, we show that $\frac{1}{r_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni}| \rightarrow 0$ completely as $n \rightarrow \infty$ implies $E|X|^{\frac{k+1}{r}} < \infty$ in NA setting.

Finally, in order to prove the strong law of large numbers for array of NA random variables, we give an important definition and some lemmas which will be used in obtaining the strong law of large numbers in the next section.

Definition 1.1([7]). Random variables $X_1, \dots, X_n$ are said to be negatively associated (NA) if for any two disjoint nonempty subsets A_1 and A_2 of $\{1, \dots, n\}$ and f_1 and f_2 are any two coordinatewise nondecreasing functions,

$$Cov\left(f_1(X_i, i \in A_1), f_2(X_j, j \in A_2)\right) \leq 0,$$

whenever the covariance is finite. An infinite family of random variables is NA If every finite subfamily is NA .

Lemma 1.2([10]). Let $\{X_i | i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables and $\{a_{ni} | 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of real numbers. If $P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{nj} X_j| > \varepsilon) < \delta$ for δ small enough and n large enough, then

$$\sum_{j=1}^n P(|a_{nj} X_j| > \varepsilon) = O(1) P(\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} |a_{nj} X_j| > \varepsilon)$$

for sufficient large n .

Lemma 1.3([5]). For any $r \geq 1$, $E|X|^r < \infty$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} P(|X| > n) < \infty.$$

More precisely,

$$r 2^{-r} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} P(|X| > n) \leq E|X|^r \leq 1 + r 2^r \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{r-1} P(|X| > n).$$

Lemma 1.4([5]). If $r \geq 1$ and $t > 0$, then

$$E|X|^r I(|X| \leq n^{1/t}) \leq r \int_0^{n^{1/t}} t^{r-1} P(|X| > t) dt$$

and

$$E|X| I(|X| > n^{1/t}) = n^{1/t} P(|X| > n^{1/t}) + \int_{n^{1/t}}^{\infty} P(|X| > t) dt.$$

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1. Let $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$ and let $\{X_{ni} | 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise NA random variables such that $EX_{ni} = 0$ and $P(|X_{ni}| > x) = O(1)P(|X| > x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. If $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni} \right| \longrightarrow 0 \text{ completely as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Proof. We define that for $1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1$ and $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$

$$Y_{ni} = X_{ni} I(|X_{ni}| \leq n^{1/t}) + n^{1/t} I(X_{ni} > n^{1/t}) - n^{1/t} I(X_{ni} < -n^{1/t}).$$

To prove Theorem 2.1, it suffices to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni} - \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ni} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}\right) < \infty \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0, \quad (2.1)$$

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k EY_{ni} \right| \longrightarrow 0, \quad (2.2)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ni} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}\right) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \varepsilon > 0. \quad (2.3)$$

The proofs of (2.1) – (2.3) can be found in the following Lemmas 2.1 - 2.3.

Lemma 2.1. If $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$, then (2.1) holds.

Proof.

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni} - \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ni} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^n X_{ni} \neq Y_{ni}\right) \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_{ni}| > n^{1/t}) \\ & = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} O(1)nP(|X| > n^{1/t}) \\ & \leq O(1) E|X|^{2t} < \infty, \end{aligned}$$

when $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$ since $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$.

Lemma 2.2. If $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$ and $EX_{ni} = 0$, then

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k EY_{ni} \right| \longrightarrow 0.$$

Proof. To prove $\frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k EY_{ni} \right| \longrightarrow 0$, it suffices to show that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k EY_{ni} \right| < \infty$. Note that by $EX_{ni} = 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k EY_{ni} \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_{ni}| I(|X_{ni}| > n^{1/t}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \sum_{i=1}^n n^{1/t} P(|X_{ni}| > n^{1/t}) \\ & =: I_1 + I_2 \quad (\text{say}). \end{aligned}$$

First, to estimate I_1 , by using Lemma 1.4,

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[n^{1/t} P(|X_{ni}| > n^{1/t}) + \int_{n^{1/t}}^{\infty} P(|X_{ni}| > x) dx \right] \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(|X| > n^{1/t}) + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{n}{n^{1/t}} \int_{n^{1/t}}^{\infty} P(|X| > x) dx =: I_1' \text{ (say).} \end{aligned}$$

Letting $x = n^{1/t}s$ and applying Lemma 1.3, we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_1' &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(|X| > n^{1/t}) + O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n \int_1^{\infty} P(|X| > n^{1/t}s) ds \\ &\leq O(1) E|X|^{2t} + O(1) \int_1^{\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(|s^{-1}X|^t > n) ds \\ &\leq O(1) E|X|^{2t} + O(1) E|X|^{2t} \int_1^{\infty} s^{-2t} ds \\ &= O(1) E|X|^{2t} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

As to I_2 , we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_2 &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \sum_{i=1}^n n^{1/t} P(|X_{ni}| > n^{1/t}) \\ &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_{ni}| > n^{1/t}) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(|X| > n^{1/t}) \\ &\leq O(1) E|X|^{2t} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 2.3. If $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$, then $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ni} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}\right) < \infty$ for all $\varepsilon > 0$.

Proof. From the definition of NA random variables, we know that $\{Y_{ni} | 1 \leq i \leq k, n \geq 1\}$ is still an array of rowwise NA random variables. Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ni} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}\right) \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} E\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k Y_{ni} \right|\right)^t \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E|Y_{ni}|^t \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\leq O(1) \left[\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_{ni}|^t I(|X_{ni}| \leq n^{1/t}) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_{ni}| > n^{1/t}) \right] \\ &=: I_3 + I_4 \text{ (say).} \end{aligned}$$

First, we prove that $I_3 < \infty$. Let $G_{ni}(x) = P(|X_{ni}| \leq x)$, then we have

$$\begin{aligned} I_3 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E|X_{ni}|^t I(|X_{ni}| \leq n^{1/t}) \\ &\leq O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^{n^{1/t}} \left(\frac{x}{n^{1/t}}\right)^t dG_{ni}(x) \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 \int_{(ns)^{1/t}}^{n^{1/t}} dG_{ni}(x) ds \\ &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^n \int_0^1 P((ns)^{1/t} < |X_{ni}| < n^{1/t}) ds \\ &\leq O(1) \int_0^1 \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n P(|X| > (ns)^{1/t}) ds \\ &\leq O(1) E|X|^{2t} < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

Also, the proof of I_4 is similar to that of Lemma 2.3.

Corollary 1 below is a corresponding result for a sequence of rowwise NA random variables.

Corollary 1. Let $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$ and let $\{X_i | i \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of NA random variables such that $EX_i = 0$ for all i and $P(|X_i| > x) = O(1)P(|X| > x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. If $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$, then

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^k X_i \longrightarrow 0 \text{ completely as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

Theorem 2.2. Let $\frac{1}{2} < t \leq 1$ and let $\{X_{ni} | 1 \leq i \leq n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise NA random variables such that $P(|X| > x) = O(1)P(|X_{ni}| > x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Assume that $\frac{1}{n^{1/t}} \max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni} \rightarrow 0$ completely as $n \rightarrow \infty$, then $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$ and $EX_{ni} = 0$.

Proof. From the assumptions, for any $\varepsilon > 0$,

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}\right) < \infty, \quad (2.4)$$

By Lemma 1.2, we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^n P(|X_{ni}| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}) = O(1)P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k X_{ni} \right| \geq \varepsilon n^{1/t}\right),$$

which, together with (2.4) and assumptions, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} nP(|X| \geq \epsilon n^{1/t}) < \infty$$

which is equivalent to $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$, by Lemma 1.3.

Now, under $E|X|^{2t} < \infty$, we obtain from Theorem 2.1 that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq k \leq n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^k (X_{ni} - EX_{ni}) \right| \geq \epsilon n^{1/t}\right) < \infty \quad \text{for any } \epsilon > 0 \quad (2.5)$$

(2.4) and (2.5) yield $EX_{ni} = 0$

Theorem 2.3. Let $\{X_{ni} \mid 1 \leq i \leq k_n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise NA random variables with $C_1 P(|X| > x) \leq C_1 \inf_{n,i} P(|X_{ni}| > x) \leq C_2 \sup_{n,i} P(|X_{ni}| > x) \leq C_2 P(|X| > x)$ for all $x \geq 0$. Assume that $\{k_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are two sequences satisfying $r_n \geq b_1 n^r, k_n \leq b_2 n^k$, for some $b_1, b_2, r, k > 0$. Let

$$\frac{1}{r_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni} \right| \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{completely as } n \longrightarrow \infty.$$

If $k+1 < r$, then $E|X|^{\frac{k+1}{r}} < \infty$.

Proof. Note that $\frac{1}{r_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni} \right| \longrightarrow 0$ completely as $n \longrightarrow \infty$.

i.e.

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni} \right| \geq \epsilon r_n\right) < \infty, \quad \text{for all } \epsilon > 0. \quad (2.6)$$

Since $\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |X_{nj}| \leq 2 \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} \left| \sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni} \right|$, (2.6) implies

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |X_{nj}| \geq r_n\right) < \infty, \quad (2.7)$$

and

$$P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |X_{nj}| \geq r_n\right) \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text{as } n \longrightarrow \infty. \quad (2.8)$$

By (2.7) and (2.8), and using Lemma 1.2, we obtain that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k_n} P(|X_{ni}| > r_n) = O(1)P\left(\max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |X_{nj}| \geq r_n\right),$$

which, together with (2.7), it follows that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{k_n} P(|X_{ni}| > r_n) < \infty.$$

Thus, using the assumptions of Theorem 2.3, we have

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} k_n P(|X| > b_1 n^r) < \infty,$$

which is equivalent to $E|X|^{\frac{k+1}{r}} < \infty$.

Corollary 2. Let $\{X_{ni} | 1 \leq i \leq k_n, n \geq 1\}$ be an array of rowwise identically distributed NA random variables. Assume that $\{k_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are two sequences satisfying $r_n \sim n^r, k_n \sim n^k$, for some $r, k > 0$ where $a_n \sim b_n$ means that $C_1 a_n \leq b_n \leq C_2 a_n$ for large enough n . If

(1) $k + 1 < r$ or

(2) $r \leq k + 1 < tr$ for some $0 < t < \frac{1}{2}$ and $EX_{ni} = 0$, then

$\frac{1}{r_n} \max_{1 \leq j \leq k_n} |\sum_{i=1}^j X_{ni}| \longrightarrow 0$ completely as $n \longrightarrow \infty$ if and only if $E|X|^{\frac{k+1}{r}} < \infty$.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS. This paper was supported by Wonkwang University Research in 2005.

References

- [1] J.I. Baek, T.S. Kim and H.Y. Liang, On the convergence of moving average processes under dependent conditions, *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Statistics*, **45**(2003), (3), 901-912.
- [2] H.W. Block, T.H.Savits and M.Shaked, Some concepts of negative dependence, *The Annals of Probability*, **10**(1982), 765-772.
- [3] N. Ebrahimi and M.Ghosh, Multivariate negative dependence, *Communications in Statistics. A. Theory and Methods*, **10**(1981), 307-337.
- [4] P.L. Hsu, H. Robbins, Complete convergence and the law of large numbers, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, **33**(1947), 25-31.
- [5] T.C. Hu, F. Móricz, R.L.Taylor, *Strong laws of large numbers for arrays of rowwise independent random variables*, Statistics Technical Report 27. University of Georgia, 1986

- [6] K. Joag-Dev, *Conditional negative dependence in stochastic ordering and interchangeable random variables*, In: Block, H. W., Simpson, A. R., Savits, T. H. (Eds.), *Topics in Statistical Dependence*, IMS Lecture Notes, 1990
- [7] K. Joag-Dev, F. Proschan, Negative association of random variables, with applications, *The Annals of Statistics*, **11**(1983), 286-295.
- [8] S. Karlin, Y. Rinott, Classes of orderings of measures and related correlation inequalities, II. Multivariate reverse rule distributions, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **10**(1980b), 499-516.
- [9] E. L. Lehmann, Some concepts of dependence, *Ann. Math. Stat.*, **73**(1966), 1137-1153.
- [10] H. Y. Liang, C. Su, Complete convergence for weighted sums of NA sequence, *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **45**(1999), 85-95.
- [11] P. Matula, A note on the almost sure convergence of sums of negatively dependent random variables, *Statistics and Probability Letters*, **15**(1992), 209-213.
- [12] C. M. Newman, *Asymptotic independence and limit theorems of probability and negatively dependent random variables*, In: Tong, Y. L. (Ed.), *Inequalities in Statistics and probability*, IMS Lecture Notes-Monograph Series, Vol. 5(1984). Institute of Mathematical Statistics, Hayward, CA, 127-140.
- [13] G. G. Roussas, Asymptotic normality of random fields of positively or negatively associated processes, *Journal of Multivariate Analysis*, **50**(1994), 152-173.
- [14] C. Su, L. C. Zhao and Y. B. Wang, Moment inequalities and weak convergence for NA sequences, *Science in China. Series A. Mathematics*, **26**(1996), 1091-1099 (in Chinese).
- [15] C. Su, Y. S. Qin, Limit theorems for negatively associated sequences, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, **42**(1997), 243-246.

Received: August 30, 2005