

On Congruences and BE-Relations in BE-Algebras

Yong Ho Yon

Department of Mathematics, Chungbuk National University
Cheongju 361-763, Korea
yhyonkr@hanmail.net

Sang Moon Lee

Department of Computer Science, Chungju National University
Chungju 380-702, Korea
smlee@cjnu.ac.kr

Kyung Ho Kim

Department of Mathematics, Chungju National University
Chungju 380-702, Korea
ghkim@cjnu.ac.kr

Abstract

In this paper, a construction of a congruence having a given filter is presented. Also as a generalization of an BE-algebra homomorphism, the notion of a relation on BE-algebra, called an BE-relation is introduced and some fundamental properties to BE-algebras are discussed.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 06F35, 03G25, 08A30

Keywords: BE-algebra, self-distributive, filter, congruence, BE-relation, BE-algebra homomorphism

1 Introduction

Y. Imai and K. Iséki introduced two classes of abstract algebras: BCK-algebras and BCI-algebras([3, 4]). It is known that the class of BCK-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCI-algebras. In [1, 2], Q. P. Hu and X. Li introduced a wide class of abstracts: BCH-algebras. They have shown that the class of BCI-algebras is a proper subclass of the class of BCH-algebras. In [5], H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim introduced the notion of a BE-algebra as a dualization of

a generation of a BCK-algebras. In this paper, a construction of a congruence having a given filter is presented. Also as a generalization of an BE-algebra homomorphism, the notion of a relation on BE-algebra, called an BE-relation is introduced and some fundamental properties to BE-algebras are discussed.

2 Preliminaries

In what follows, let X denote an BE-algebra unless otherwise specified.

By an *BE-algebra* we mean an algebra $(X; *, 1)$ of type $(2, 0)$ with a single binary operation “ $*$ ” that satisfies the following identities: for any $x, y, z \in X$,

- (BE1) $x * x = 1$ for all $x \in X$,
 (BE2) $x * 1 = 1$ for all $x \in X$,
 (BE3) $1 * x = x$ for all $x \in X$,
 (BE4) $x * (y * z) = y * (x * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$.

We introduce a relation “ \leq ” on X by $x \leq y$ imply $x * y = 1$. An BE-algebra $(X, *, 1)$ is said to be *self-distributive* if $x * (y * z) = (x * y) * (x * z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$. A non-empty subset S of an BE-algebra X is said to be a *subalgebra* of X if $x * y \in S$ whenever $x, y \in S$.

In an BE-algebra, the following identities are true:

- (p1) $x * (y * x) = 1$.
 (p2) $x * ((x * y) * y) = 1$.

Definition 2.1. Let $(X, *, 1)$ be an BE-algebra and F a non-empty subset of X . Then F is said to be a *filter* of X if

- (F1) $1 \in F$,
 (F2) If $x \in F$ and $x * y \in F$, then $y \in F$.

Example 2.2. Let $X = \{1, a, b, c, d\}$ in which “ $*$ ” is defined by

$*$	1	a	b	c	d
1	1	a	b	c	d
a	1	1	b	c	d
b	1	a	1	c	c
c	1	1	b	1	b
d	1	1	1	1	1

It is easy to know that X is a BE-algebra, and $F_1 = \{1, a\}$, $F_2 = \{1, b\}$, $F_3 = \{1, c\}$, $F_4 = \{1, a, b\}$ are filters of X .

3 Congruences

For a non-empty subset I of X we define the binary relation \sim_I in the following way:

$$x \sim_I y \text{ if and only if } x * y \in I \text{ and } y * x \in I.$$

The set $\{b \mid a \sim_I b\}$ will be denoted by $[a]_I$.

Lemma 3.1. *In the above relation \sim_I , if $1 \in I$, then $[1]_I = I$.*

Proof. If $x \in I$, then $1 * x = x \in I$ and $x * 1 = 1 \in I$, which gives $1 \sim_I x$. Hence $I \subseteq [1]_I$.

Conversely, if $x \in [1]_I$, then $1 \sim_I x$, i.e. $x = 1 * x \in I$ by the definition of \sim_I . Thus $[1]_I \subseteq I$. Therefore $[1]_I = I$. □

Corollary 3.2. *If the above relation \sim_I is reflexive, then $[1]_I = I$.*

Proof. Since \sim_I is reflexive, we have $1 = x * x \in I$ for any $x \in X$ □

Corollary 3.3. *If \sim_I is an equivalence relation, then*

$$x \in I, x * y \in I \text{ and } y \leq x \text{ imply } y \in I.$$

Proof. $1 \in I$ by Corollary 3.2. If $y \leq x$, then $y * x = 1 \in I$ which, together with $x * y \in I$ gives $x \sim_I y$. Since \sim_I is an equivalence, x, y belong to the same class of \sim_I . By Corollary 3.2, $x \in I = [1]_I$ and in the consequence $y \in I$. □

Theorem 3.4. *Let X be a self-distributive BE-algebra and I a filter of X . Then \sim_I is an equivalent relation on X .*

Proof. By Definition 2.1, it follows that $1 \in I$ since I is a filter of X . For any $x \in X$, we have $x * x = 1 \in I$, so $x \sim_I x$. If $x \sim_I y$, then by the definition of \sim_I , it is obvious that $y \sim_I x$. Now let $x \sim_I y$ and $y \sim_I z$. Then $x * y, y * x \in I$ and $y * z, z * y \in I$. Thus we get

$$\begin{aligned} (y * z) * ((x * y) * (x * z)) &= (y * z) * (x * (y * z)) \\ &= x * ((y * z) * (y * z)) \\ &= x * 1 = 1 \in I, \end{aligned}$$

which implies $(x * y) * (x * z) \in I$, and so $x * z \in I$ by (F2). Similarly, we have $z * x \in I$. Thus \sim_I is an equivalence. □

Let \sim_I be a binary relation on a set X . \sim_I is said to be *compatible* if $a \sim_I b$ and $c \sim_I d$ imply $a * c \sim_I b * d$. A compatible equivalence on X is called a *congruence* on X .

Theorem 3.5. *The above relation \sim_I in Theorem 3.4 is a congruence on X .*

Proof. If $x \sim_I u$ and $y \sim_I v$, then we have $(x * u) * ((u * y) * (x * y)) = (x * u) * ((x * ((u * y) * y))) = x * (u * ((u * y) * y)) = x * ((u * y) * (u * y)) = x * 1 = 1 \in I$ and $x * u \in I$, which gives $(u * y) * (x * y) \in I$. Similarly, $(x * y) * (u * y) \in I$. Hence $x * y \sim_I u * y$. On the other hand, $(y * v) * ((u * y) * (u * v)) = (y * v) * (u * (y * v)) = u * ((y * v) * (y * v)) = u * 1 = 1 \in I$ and $y * v \in I$ imply $(u * y) * (u * v) \in I$. In the same way, from $(v * y) * ((u * v) * (u * y)) = 1 \in I$ and $v * y \in I$, we obtain $(u * v) * (u * y) \in I$. Thus $u * y \sim_I u * v$. Since the relation \sim_I is transitive, we have $x * y \sim_I u * v$ which prove that the relation \sim_I is a congruence. \square

Lemma 3.6. *If the relation \sim_I is a congruence on X , then*

$$[1]_I = \{x \in X \mid x \sim_I 1\}$$

is a filter of X .

Proof. Obviously, $1 \in [1]_I = \{x \in X \mid x \sim_I 1\}$. If $x, x * y \in [1]_I$, then $x * y \sim_I 1$ and $x \sim_I 1$. On the other hand, $y \sim_I y$ imply $1 \sim_I x * y \sim_I 1 * y = y$. Thus $y \sim_I 1$, that is, $y \in [1]_I$. This completes the proof. \square

Since $[1]_I = I$ for any congruence defined by Theorem 3.5, as a consequence of the above results, we obtain

Corollary 3.7. *Any filter of an BE-algebra is determined by some congruence.*

Corollary 3.8. *The lattice of all congruences of an BE-algebra is complete. The least congruence is defined by the filter $\{1\}$, the greatest by $I = X$.*

Definition 3.9. Let $X = (X, *, 1)$ and $X' = (X', *', 1')$ be two BE-algebras. A mapping $f : X \rightarrow X'$ is called an *BE-algebra homomorphism* from X into X' if for any $x, y \in X$, $f(x * y) = f(x) *' f(y)$ holds. If in addition, $f(X) = X'$, then f is called an *epimorphism* and X' is said to be a *homomorphism image* of X .

4 BE-relation

We introduce the notion of a relation on BE-algebras, called *BE-relation*, which is a generalization of an BE-algebra homomorphism.

Definition 4.1. Let X and Y be BE-algebras. A non-empty relation $\mathcal{B} \subseteq X \times Y$ is called an *BE-relation* if it satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{B}1) & (\forall x \in X)(\exists y \in Y)(x\mathcal{B}y), \\
 (\mathcal{B}2) & (\forall x, y \in X)(\forall a, b \in Y)(x\mathcal{B}a, y\mathcal{B}b \Rightarrow (x * y)\mathcal{B}(a * b)).
 \end{aligned}$$

We usually denote such relation by $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$. It is clear from $(\mathcal{B}1)$ and $(\mathcal{B}2)$ that $1_X\mathcal{B}1_Y$.

Example 4.2. Let $X = \{1, a, b, c\}$ in which “ $*$ ” is defined by

$*$	1	a	b	c
1	1	a	b	c
a	1	1	a	a
b	1	1	1	a
c	1	1	a	1

Then X is an BE-algebra. Define a relation $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow X$ as follows: $1\mathcal{B}1, 1\mathcal{B}a, 1\mathcal{B}b, 1\mathcal{B}c, a\mathcal{B}1, a\mathcal{B}a, a\mathcal{B}b, a\mathcal{B}c, b\mathcal{B}1, b\mathcal{B}a, c\mathcal{B}1, c\mathcal{B}a, c\mathcal{B}b$. It is easy to verify that \mathcal{B} is an BE-relation.

Proposition 4.3. *Every homomorphism of BE-algebras is an BE-relation.*

Proof. Suppose that $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$ be a homomorphism of BE-algebras. Clearly, \mathcal{B} satisfies conditions $(\mathcal{B}1)$ and $(\mathcal{B}2)$. □

Note that every diagonal \mathcal{B} -relation on an BE-algebra (i.e., an \mathcal{B} satisfying $x\mathcal{B}x$ for all $x \in X$ in which $x\mathcal{B}y$ is false whenever $x \neq y$) is clearly a BE-algebra homomorphism. But in general, the converse of Proposition 4.3 need not be true as seen in the following example.

Example 4.4. In Example 4.2, the BE-relation is not an BE-algebra homomorphism.

Let $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$ be an BE-relation. For any $x \in X$ and $y \in Y$,

$$\mathcal{B}[x] := \{y \in Y \mid x\mathcal{B}y\}, \text{ and } \mathcal{B}^{-1}[y] := \{x \in X \mid x\mathcal{B}y\}.$$

Note that $\mathcal{B}[x]$ and $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[y]$ are not subalgebras of Y and X , respectively, as seen in the following example.

Example 4.5. Let $X = \{1, a, b\}$ in which “ $*$ ” is defined by

$*$	1	a	b
1	1	a	b
a	1	1	1
b	1	1	1

Then X is an BE-algebra. Define a relation $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow X$ as follows: $1\mathcal{B}1, a\mathcal{B}a, b\mathcal{B}b$. It is easy to verify that \mathcal{B} is an BE-relation. Then $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[b] = \{b\}$ (resp. $\mathcal{B}[a] = \{a\}$) is not a subalgebra of X (resp. Y).

Theorem 4.6. *For any \mathcal{B} -relation $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$, we have*

- (1) $\mathcal{B}[1_X]$, called the zero image of \mathcal{B} , is a subalgebra of Y .
- (2) $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[1_Y]$, called the kernel of \mathcal{B} and denoted by $\text{Ker}\mathcal{B}$, is a subalgebra of X .

Proof. (1) Since $1_X\mathcal{B}1_Y$, we have $\mathcal{B}[1_X] \neq \emptyset$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{B}[1_X]$. Then $1_X\mathcal{B}y_1$ and $1_X\mathcal{B}y_2$. It follows from (B2) that $1_X\mathcal{B}(y_1 * y_2)$, that is, $y_1 * y_2 \in \mathcal{B}[1_X]$.

(2) Let $x_1, x_2 \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{B}$. Then we have $x_1\mathcal{B}1_Y$ and $x_2\mathcal{B}1_Y$. By using (B2), we get $(x_1 * x_2)\mathcal{B}1_Y$, and so $x_1 * x_2 \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{B}$. This completes the proof. □

Proposition 4.7. *Let $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$ be an BE-relation. Then we have*

- (1) If $\mathcal{B}[a] \cap \mathcal{B}[b] \neq \emptyset$ where $a, b \in X$, then $a * b \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{B}$.
- (2) If $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[u] \cap \mathcal{B}^{-1}[v] \neq \emptyset$ where $u, v \in Y$, then $u * v \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{B}[1_X]$.

Proof. (1) Let $a, b \in X$ be such that $\mathcal{B}[a] \cap \mathcal{B}[b] \neq \emptyset$. Taking $y \in \mathcal{B}[a] \cap \mathcal{B}[b]$, we have $a\mathcal{B}y$ and $b\mathcal{B}y$. It follows from (B2) that $(a * b)\mathcal{B}(y * y) = (a * b)\mathcal{B}(y * y) = (a * b)\mathcal{B}1_Y$ so that $a * b \in \text{Ker}\mathcal{B}$.

(2) Let $x \in \mathcal{B}^{-1}[u] \cap \mathcal{B}^{-1}[v]$. Then $x\mathcal{B}u$ and $x\mathcal{B}v$. Using (B2), we obtain $(x * x)\mathcal{B}(u * v) = 1_X\mathcal{B}(u * v)$, i.e., $u * v \in \mathcal{B}[1_X]$. This completes the proof. □

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$ be an BE-relation and let S be a subalgebra of X . Then*

$$\mathcal{B}[S] := \{y \mid x\mathcal{B}y \text{ for some } x \in S\}$$

is a subalgebra of Y .

Proof. Clearly, $\mathcal{B}[S] \neq \emptyset$ since $1_X\mathcal{B}1_Y$. Let $y_1, y_2 \in \mathcal{B}[S]$. Then $x_1\mathcal{B}y_1$ and $x_2\mathcal{B}y_2$ for some $x_1, x_2 \in S$. Using (B2), we obtain $(x_1 * x_2)\mathcal{B}(y_1 * y_2)$ which implies that $y_1 * y_2 \in \mathcal{B}[S]$ since $x_1 * x_2 \in S$. Therefore $\mathcal{B}[S]$ is a subalgebra of Y . This completes the proof. □

Corollary 4.9. *Let $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$ be an BE-relation. Then we have*

- (1) $\mathcal{B}[X]$ is a subalgebra of Y ,

$$(2) \mathcal{B}[X] = \bigcup_{x \in X} \mathcal{B}[x],$$

(3) The zero image of \mathcal{B} is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}[X]$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward. (3) Let $a, b \in \mathcal{B}[1_X]$. Then $1_X \mathcal{B}a$ and $1_X \mathcal{B}b$, and so $1_X \mathcal{B}(a * b)$, i.e., $a * b \in \mathcal{B}[1_X]$. Therefore $\mathcal{B}[1_X]$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}[X]$. \square

Theorem 4.10. Let $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$ be an BE-relation and let T be a subalgebra of Y . Then

$$\mathcal{B}^{-1}[T] := \{x \in X \mid x \mathcal{B}y \text{ for some } y \in T\}$$

is a subalgebra of X .

Proof. Obviously, $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[T] \neq \emptyset$ since $1_X \mathcal{B}1_Y$. Let $x_1, x_2 \in \mathcal{B}^{-1}[T]$. Then there exist $y_1, y_2 \in T$ such that $x_1 \mathcal{B}y_1$ and $x_2 \mathcal{B}y_2$. Note that $y_1 * y_2 \in T$ since T is a subalgebra of Y . It follows from (B2) that $(x_1 * x_2) \mathcal{B}(y_1 * y_2)$ so that $x_1 * x_2 \in \mathcal{B}^{-1}[T]$. Hence $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[T]$ is a subalgebra of X . \square

Corollary 4.11. Let $\mathcal{B} : X \rightarrow Y$ be an BE-relation. Then

(1) $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[Y]$ is a subalgebra of X ,

$$(2) \mathcal{B}^{-1}[Y] = \bigcup_{y \in Y} \mathcal{B}[y],$$

(3) The kernel of \mathcal{B} is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[Y]$.

Proof. (1) and (2) are straightforward. (3) Let $x, y \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{B}$. Then $x \mathcal{B}1_Y$ and $y \mathcal{B}1_Y$. It follows from (B2) that

$$(x * y) \mathcal{B}(1_Y * 1_Y) = (x * y) \mathcal{B}1_Y$$

so that $x * y \in \text{Ker} \mathcal{B}$. Hence $\text{Ker} \mathcal{B}$ is a subalgebra of $\mathcal{B}^{-1}[Y]$. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On BCH-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes 11 (1983), 313-320.
- [2] Q. P. Hu and X. Li, *On proper BCH-algebras*, Math Japonicae 30 (1985), 659-661.
- [3] K. Iseki and S. Tanaka, *An introduction to theory of BCK-algebras*, Math Japonicae 23 (1978), 1-20.

- [4] K. Iseki, *On BCI-algebras*, Math. Seminar Notes 8 (1980), 125-130.
- [5] H. S. Kim and Y. H. Kim, *On BE-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japo. 66 (1) (2007), 113-116.
- [6] S. S. Ahn and K. S. So, *On ideals and uppers in BE-algebras*, Sci. Math. Japo. Online e-2008, 351-357.

Received: March, 2010