

The Category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$

Pyung Ki Lim, Sun Ho Kim and Kul Hur¹

Division of Mathematics and Informational Statistics
and Nanoscale Science and Technology Institute

Wonkwang University, Iksan

Chonbuk, Korea 570-749

pklim@wonkwang.ac.kr

sigel1999@hanmail.net

kulhur@wonkwang.ac.kr

Abstract

We introduce the new category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ consisting of H -fuzzy relation spaces and H -fuzzy mappings between them satisfying a certain condition, where the concept of H -fuzzy mapping is the modification of one of fuzzy mapping introduced by Demirci[6]. And we investigate $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ in the sense of a topological universe and show that $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is Cartesian closed over \mathbf{Set} . Moreover, we construct the category $\mathbf{VFRel}(\mathbf{H})$ consisting of all H -fuzzy relational spaces over H -fuzzy sets and relation preserving mappings between them, and we find some properties of the category $\mathbf{VFRel}(\mathbf{H})$. And we study the relations between the categories $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 04A72, 18B10

Keywords: H -fuzzy mapping, H -fuzzy relation, Cartesian closed, topological universe, topos

1. Introduction

Nel[18] introduced the concept of a topological universe which implies concrete guasitopos[1]. Thus every topological universe satisfies all the conditions

¹This paper was supported by Wonkwang University in 2009.

of a topos except one condition of the subobject classifier. The notion of a topological universe has already been put to effective use in several areas of mathematics[16,17,19].

Zadeh[21] introduced the notion of a fuzzy relation naturally as a generalization of crisp relations in fuzzy set theory. After that time, Cerruti[4] made categories of L -fuzzy relations and studies their some properties. In particular, Hur[11] introduced the category $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ consisting of H -fuzzy relations and proved that $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ is topological universe and Cartesian closed.

Up to now, almost all the researchers studying the categories of fuzzy relations have used morphisms between fuzzy relations as crisp mappings satisfying a certain condition. Recently, Demirci[6] introduced the notion of fuzzy mappings and obtained many results. In particular, Hur et al.[12] studied relations between a fuzzy mapping and a fuzzy equivalence relation. Furthermore, also Hur et al.[13] investigated the category $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ consisting of H -fuzzy spaces and H -fuzzy mappings between them satisfying a certain condition. In this paper, we introduce the new category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ consisting of H -fuzzy spaces and H -fuzzy mappings between them satisfying a certain condition, where the concept of H -fuzzy mapping is the modification of one of fuzzy mapping introduced by Demirci[6]. And we investigate $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ in the sense of a topological universe and show that $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is Cartesian closed over \mathbf{Set} . And we study the relations between the categories $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$

2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will introduce some basic definitions and well-known results from[2,8,15,18,20] which are needed in the next section.

Definition 2.1[15]. Let \mathbf{A} be a concrete category and $((Y_\alpha, \xi_\alpha))_\Gamma$ a family of objects in \mathbf{A} indexed by a class Γ . For any set X , let $(f_\alpha : X \rightarrow Y_\alpha)_\Gamma$ be a source of maps indexed by Γ . An \mathbf{A} -structure ξ on X is called *initial with respect to* $(X, (f_\alpha), ((Y_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)))$ provided that the following conditions hold :

- (1) For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $f_\alpha : (X, \xi) \rightarrow (Y_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)$ is an \mathbf{A} -morphism.
- (2) If (Z, ρ) is an \mathbf{A} -object and $g : Z \rightarrow X$ is a map such that for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, the map $f_\alpha \circ g : (Z, \rho) \rightarrow (Y_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)$ is an \mathbf{A} -morphism, then $g : (Z, \rho) \rightarrow (X, \xi)$

is an \mathbf{A} -morphism. In this case, $(f_\alpha : (X, \xi) \rightarrow (Y_\alpha, \xi_\alpha))_\Gamma$ is called an *initial source in \mathbf{A}* .

Dual notions : *final structure ; final sink*.

Definition 2.2[15]. A concrete category \mathbf{A} is called *topological over \mathbf{Set}* provided that for each set X , for any family $((Y_\alpha, \xi_\alpha))_\Gamma$ of \mathbf{A} -objects, and for any source $(f_\alpha : X \rightarrow Y_\alpha)_\Gamma$ of maps, there exists a unique \mathbf{A} -structure ξ on X which is initial with respect to $(X, (f_\alpha), ((Y_\alpha, \xi_\alpha)))$.

Dual notion : *cotopological category*.

Result 2.A[15, **Theorem 1.5**]. *A concrete category \mathbf{A} is topological if and only if \mathbf{A} is cotopological.*

Result 2.B[15, **Theorem 1.6; 9, Proposition in Section 1**]. *Let \mathbf{A} be a topological category over \mathbf{Set} . Then \mathbf{A} is complete and cocomplete.*

Definition 2.3[15]. Let \mathbf{A} be a concrete category.

- (1) The \mathbf{A} -fibre of a set X is the class of all \mathbf{A} -structure on X .
- (2) \mathbf{A} is called *properly fibred over \mathbf{Set}* provided that the following conditions hold :
 - (i) (*Fibre-smallness*) For each set X , the \mathbf{A} -fibre of X is a set.
 - (ii) (*Terminal separator property*) For each singleton set X , the \mathbf{A} -fibre of X has precisely one element.
 - (iii) If ξ, η are \mathbf{A} -structures on a set X such that $1_X : (X, \xi) \rightarrow (X, \eta)$ and $1_X : (X, \eta) \rightarrow (X, \xi)$ are \mathbf{A} -morphisms, then $\xi = \eta$.

Definition 2.4[8]. A category \mathbf{A} is called *Cartesian closed* provided that the following conditions hold :

- (1) For any \mathbf{A} -objects A and B , there exists a product $A \times B$ in \mathbf{A} .
- (2) Exponential objects exist in \mathbf{A} , i.e., for any \mathbf{A} -object A , the functor $A \times - : \mathbf{A} \rightarrow \mathbf{A}$ has a right adjoint, i.e., for any \mathbf{A} -object B , there exist an \mathbf{A} -object B^A and a \mathbf{A} -morphism $e_{A,B} : A \times B^A \rightarrow B$ (called the *evaluation*)

such that for any \mathbf{A} -object C and any \mathbf{A} -morphism $f : A \times C \rightarrow B$, there exists a unique \mathbf{A} -morphism $\bar{f} : C \rightarrow B^A$ such that the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A \times B^A & \xrightarrow{e_{A,B}} & B \\
 \swarrow \exists 1_A \times \bar{f} & & \nearrow f \\
 & A \times C &
 \end{array}$$

commutes.

Definition 2.5[18]. A category \mathbf{A} is called a *topological universe over Set* provided that the following conditions hold :

- (1) \mathbf{A} is well-structured over **Set**, i.e., (i) \mathbf{A} is a concrete category ; (ii) \mathbf{A} has the fibre-smallness condition ; (iii) \mathbf{A} has the terminal separator property.
- (2) \mathbf{A} is cotopological over **Set**.
- (3) Final episinks in \mathbf{A} are preserved by pullbacks, i.e., for any final episink $(g_\lambda : X \rightarrow Y)_\Gamma$ and any \mathbf{A} -morphism $f : W \rightarrow Y$, the family $(e_\lambda : U_\lambda \rightarrow W)_\Gamma$, obtained by taking the pullback of f and g_λ , for each λ , is again a final episink.

Definition 2.6[20]. A category \mathbf{A} is called a *topos* provided that the following conditions hold:

- (1) There is a terminal object U in \mathbf{A} .
- (2) \mathbf{A} has equalizers.
- (3) \mathbf{A} is Cartesian closed.
- (4) There is a subobject classifier in \mathbf{A} , i.e., there is an object Ω and morphism v from U to Ω such that for each monomorphism m from A' to A , there exists a unique morphism ϕ_m from A to Ω such that the following diagram is a pullback:

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 A' & \xrightarrow{\quad} & U \\
 m \downarrow & & \downarrow v \\
 A & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \Omega \\
 & \phi_m &
 \end{array}$$

Remark. Let \mathbf{A} be any category with a subobject classifier. If f is any isomorphism in \mathbf{A} , then f is an isomorphism in \mathbf{A} (cf. [3]).

Definition 2.7[2]. A lattice H is called a *complete Heyting algebra*, if H satisfies the following conditions hold :

- (1) H is a complete lattice.
- (2) For any $a, b \in H$, the set $\{x \in H : x \wedge a \leq b\}$ has a greatest element denoted by $a \rightarrow b$ (called *the relative pseudo - complement of a in b*), i.e., $x \wedge a \leq b$ if and only if $x \leq (a \rightarrow b)$.

In particular, if H is a complete Heyting algebra with the least element 0 , then for each $a \in H$, $N(a) = a \rightarrow 0$ is called the *negation* or the *pseudo - complement* of a .

Throughout this paper, we will use H as a complete Heyting algebra with the least element 0 and the largest element 1 .

3. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$

In this section, we introduce the category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ of fuzzy relational spaces and show that it has structures similar to those of $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ (see [13]).

Definition 3.1[6,13]. A mapping $E_X : X \times X \longrightarrow H$ is called an *H -fuzzy equality on X* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $E_X(x, y) = 1 \Leftrightarrow x = y \forall x, y \in X$,
- (ii) $E_X(x, y) = E_X(y, x) \forall x, y \in X$,
- (iii) $E_X(x, y) \wedge E(y, z) \leq E_X(x, z) \forall x, y, z \in X$.

We will denote the set of all H -fuzzy equalities on X as $E_H(X)$.

Definition 3.2[6,13]. A H -fuzzy relation f on $X \times Y$ is called an *H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$* , denoted by $f : X \longrightarrow Y$, if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y$ such that $f(x, y) > 0$,
- (ii) $\forall x, y \in X, \forall z, w \in Y, f(x, z) \wedge f(y, w) \wedge E_X(x, y) \leq E_Y(z, w)$.

Definition 3.3[6,13]. The *identity H-fuzzy mapping* I_X on X is a H -fuzzy relation on $X \times X$ defined by

$$I_X(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } x=y, \\ 0, & \text{if } x \neq y, \forall x, y \in X. \end{cases}$$

It is clear that $I_X \in E_H(X)$. Also, if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an (ordinary) mapping, then it is an H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $I_X \in E_H(X)$ and $I_Y \in E_H(Y)$.

Definition 3.4[6,13]. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$. Then f is said to be:

- (i) *strong* if $\forall x \in X, \exists y \in Y$ such that $f(x, y) = 1$,
- (ii) *surjective* if $\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X$ such that $f(x, y) > 0$,
- (iii) *strong surjective* if $\forall y \in Y, \exists x \in X$ such that $f(x, y) = 1$,
- (iv) *injective* if $f(x, z) \wedge f(y, w) \wedge E_Y(z, w) \leq E_X(x, y), \forall x, y \in X, \forall z, w \in Y$,
- (v) *bijective* if it surjective and injective,
- (vi) *strong bijective* if it is strong surjective and injective.

In particular, if $f(x, y) = 1$, then we will write $y = f(x)$. It is clear that I_X is a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$. Moreover, it is strong bijective w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$.

Definition 3.5[11]. Let X be a set. R is called an *H-fuzzy relation* (or simply, a *fuzzy relation*) on X if $\mu_R : X \times X \rightarrow H$ is a mapping. In this case, (X, R) is called an *H-fuzzy relational space* (or, simply, a *fuzzy relational space*).

Definition 3.6[6,13]. Let R and S be H -fuzzy relations on $X \times Y$ and $Y \times Z$, respectively. Then

(i) *the sup-min composition of R and S* , denoted by $S \circ R$, is a H -fuzzy relation on $X \times Z$ defined by

$$S \circ R(x, z) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} [R(x, y) \wedge S(y, z)] \quad \forall x \in X, \forall z \in Z,$$

(ii) *the inverse of R* , denoted by R^{-1} , is a H -fuzzy relation on $Y \times X$ defined by

$$R^{-1}(y, x) = R(x, y), \quad \forall x \in X, \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Result 3.A[13, Proposition 3.6]. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$, $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ and $E_Z \in E_H(Z)$. Then the sup-min composition $g \circ f$ is an H -fuzzy mapping $g \circ f : X \rightarrow Z$ w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Z \in E_H(Z)$.

Result 3.B[13, Corollary 3.6]. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be H -fuzzy mappings w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$, $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ and $E_Z \in E_H(Z)$. If f and g are strong [resp. surjective, strong surjective, injective, bijective and strong bijective], then $g \circ f$ is strong [resp. surjective, strong surjective, injective, bijective and strong bijective].

Definition 3.7[13]. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$, let $A \in H^X$ and let $B \in H^Y$.

(i) The *image of A under f* , denoted by $f(A)$, is an H -fuzzy set in Y defined as follows:

$$f(A)(y) = \bigvee_{x \in X} [A(x) \wedge f(x, y)] \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

(ii) The *preimage of B under f* , denoted by $f^{-1}(B)$, is an H -fuzzy set in X defined as follows:

$$f^{-1}(B)(x) = \bigvee_{y \in Y} [B(y) \wedge f(x, y)] \quad \forall x \in X.$$

Definition 3.8[13, Proposition 3.10]. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an H -fuzzy mapping $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$. Then $f^2 = f \times f : X \times X \rightarrow Y \times Y$ is called the *fuzzy product mapping of f w.r.t.* $E_{X \times X} = E_X \times E_X \in E_H(X \times X)$ and $E_{Y \times Y} = E_Y \times E_Y \in E_H(Y \times Y)$ if $f^2 : (X \times X) \times (Y \times Y) \rightarrow H$ is the mapping defined as follows:

$$f^2((x, x'), (y, y')) = f(x, y) \wedge f(x', y'), \quad \forall (x, x') \in X \times X, \quad \forall (y, y') \in Y \times Y.$$

Definition 3.9. Let (X, R_X) and (Y, R_Y) be H -fuzzy relational spaces and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be an H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$.

Then $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is called a *relation preserving mapping* if $R_X \subset f^{-1}(R_Y)$, where $f^{-2} = (f \times f)^{-1}$. In particular, a relation preserving mapping $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is called an *epimorphism* [resp. a *monomorphism*, an *isomorphism*] if it is surjective [resp. injective and bijective].

The following is the immediate result of Result 3.A and Definitions 3.7, 3.8 and 3.9.

Proposition 3.10. Let (X, R_X) , (Y, R_Y) and (Z, R_Z) be H -fuzzy relational spaces, and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : Y \rightarrow Z$ be H -fuzzy mappings w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$, $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ and $E_Z \in E_H(Z)$.

(a) The identity H -fuzzy mapping $I_X : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (X, R_X)$ w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ is a relation preserving mapping.

(b) If $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ and $g : (Y, R_Y) \rightarrow (Z, R_Z)$ are relation preserving mappings, then $g \circ f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Z, R_Z)$ is a relation preserving mapping.

From Result 3.B and Proposition 3.10, we can form the concrete category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ consisting of H -fuzzy relational spaces and strong relation preserving mappings between them. Every $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ strongmorphism will be called a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping.

Lemma 3.11. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is topological over \mathbf{Set} .

Proof. Let X be any set and let $((X_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any family of H -fuzzy relational spaces indexed by a class Γ . Suppose $(f_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha)_\Gamma$ is a source of strong H -fuzzy mappings w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H$ and $E_{X_\alpha} \in E_H(X_\alpha)$. Define $R_X : X \times X \rightarrow H$ by $R_X(R)(x, x') = [\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^{-2}(R_\alpha)](x, x')$, $\forall (x, x') \in X \times X$.

Then clearly (X, R_X) is a H -fuzzy relational space and each $f_\alpha : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, R_\alpha)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Let (Y, R_Y) be any H -fuzzy relational space and suppose $g : Y \rightarrow X$ is any strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ and E_X for which $f_\alpha \circ g : (Y, R_Y) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, R_\alpha)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. Then, for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$

$$R_Y \subset (f_\alpha \circ g)^{-2}(R_\alpha) = g^{-2}(f_\alpha^{-2}(R_\alpha)) = g^{-2}(f_\alpha^{-2}(R_\alpha)).$$

Thus $R_Y \subset g^{-2}(\bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f^{-2}(R_\alpha)) = g^{-2}(R_X)$. So $g : (Y, R_Y) \rightarrow (X, R_X)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Hence $(f_\alpha : (X, A_X) \rightarrow (X, A_\alpha))_\Gamma$ is an initial source in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. This completes the proof. \square

Example 3.11. (1) *The inverse image of a H -fuzzy relation structure.* Let X be a set, let (Y, R_Y) be a H -fuzzy relational space and let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$. Then there exists a unique H -fuzzy relation R_X in X for which $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. In fact, $R_X = f^{-2}(R_Y)$. In this case, R_X is called the *inverse image under f of the H -fuzzy relation structure R_Y .*

(2) *The H -fuzzy product structure.* Let $((X_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any family of H -fuzzy spaces, let $X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_\alpha$ and for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, let $\text{pr}_\alpha : X \rightarrow X_\alpha$ be the H -fuzzy projection w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_{X_\alpha} \in E_H(X_\alpha)$. Then there exists a unique H -fuzzy relation structure R_X in X w.r.t. $(X, (\text{pr}_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}, ((X_\alpha, R_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \Gamma})$. In this case, R_X is called *the H -fuzzy product of H -fuzzy relation structures* in the X_α and denoted by $R_X = \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha$, and $(\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} X_\alpha, \prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha)$ is called *the H -fuzzy product relational space* of $((X_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$. In fact, $\prod_{\alpha \in \Gamma} R_\alpha = \bigcap_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \text{pr}_\alpha^{-2}(R_\alpha)$. In particular, if $\Gamma = \{1, 2\}$, then $(R_1 \times R_2)((x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2)) = R_1(x_1, x_2) \wedge R_2(y_1, y_2)$ for any $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in X_1 \times X_2$.

The following is the immediate result of Lemma 3.11 and Result 2.B

Corollary 3.11. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is complete and cocomplete.

It is well-known[15] that a category is topological if and only if it is cotopological. However, we show directly that $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is cotopological.

Lemma 3.12. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is cotopological over \mathbf{Set} .

Proof. Let X be any set and let $((X_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any family of H -fuzzy relational spaces indexed by a class Γ . Suppose $(f_\alpha : X_\alpha \rightarrow X)_\Gamma$ is a sink of strong H -fuzzy mappings w.r.t. $E_{X_\alpha} \in E_H(X_\alpha)$ and $E_X \in E_H(X)$. We define

$R_X : X \times X \rightarrow H$ by $R_X = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^2(R_\alpha)$. Then clearly R_X is well-defined and each $f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow (X, R_X)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. For each H -fuzzy relational space (Y, R_Y) , let $g : X \rightarrow Y$ be a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. E_X and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ such that each $g \circ f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Then $R_\alpha \subset (g \circ f_\alpha)^{-2}(R_Y)$, $\forall \alpha \in \Gamma$. Thus, for each $(x_\alpha, x'_\alpha) \in X_\alpha \times X_\alpha$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_\alpha(x_\alpha, x'_\alpha) &\leq (g \circ f_\alpha)^{-2}(R_Y)(x_\alpha, x'_\alpha) \\ &= f_\alpha^{-2}(g^{-2}(R_Y))(x_\alpha, x'_\alpha) \\ &= \bigvee_{(x, x') \in X \times X} [g^{-2}(R_Y)(x, x') \wedge f_\alpha(x_\alpha, x) \wedge f_\alpha(x'_\alpha, x')] \\ &\leq \bigvee_{(x, x') \in X \times X} g^{-2}(R_Y)(x, x'), \end{aligned}$$

i.e., $R_\alpha(x_\alpha, x'_\alpha) \leq g^{-2}(R_Y)(x, x')$, $\forall (x, x') \in X \times X$. So

$$R_X(x, x') = [\bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^2(R_\alpha)](x, x') \leq g^{-2}(R_Y)(x, x') \quad \forall (x, x') \in X \times X.$$

i.e., $R_X \subset g^{-2}(R_Y)$. Hence $g : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Therefore $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is cotopological over \mathbf{Set} . □

Result 3.C[13, Proposition 3.11]. Let $f : X \rightarrow Y$ be a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$, and let $g : Z \rightarrow Y$ be a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_Z \in E_H(Z)$ and E_Y . Let $U = \{(x, z) \in X \times Z : \exists y \in Y \text{ such that } f(x, y) = 1 = g(z, y)\}$. Then the restriction $E_U = (E_X \times E_Z) |_{U \times U} : U \times U \rightarrow H$ is a H -fuzzy equality on U . Moreover, $\text{pr}_X : U \rightarrow X$ and $\text{pr}_Z : U \rightarrow Z$ are projections w.r.t. E_U and E_X , and E_U and E_Z , respectively.

Lemma 3.13. Final episinks in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ are preserved by pullbacks.

Proof. Let $(g_\alpha : (X_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y))_\Gamma$ be any final episink in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ w.r.t. $E_\alpha \in E_H(X_\alpha)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$, and let $f : (W, R_W) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ be any $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping w.r.t. $E_W \in E_H(W)$ any E_Y . For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, let

$$U_\alpha = \{(w, x_\alpha) \in W \times X_\alpha : \exists y \in Y \text{ such that } f(w, y) = 1 = g_\alpha(x_\alpha, y)\}$$

and let $R_{U_\alpha} = (R_W \times R_\alpha) |_{U_\alpha \times U_\alpha}$. Then clearly (U_α, R_{U_α}) is a H -fuzzy relational space. By Result 3.C, for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $e_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow W$ and $p_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow X_\alpha$ are projections of U_α w.r.t. E_{U_α} and E_W , and E_{U_α} and E_X , respectively. Furthermore, for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $e_\alpha : (U_\alpha, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (W, R_W)$ and $p_\alpha : (U_\alpha, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, R_\alpha)$

are $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mappings and the following diagram is a pullback square in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (U_\alpha, R_{U_\alpha}) & \xrightarrow{p_\alpha} & (X_\alpha, R_\alpha) \\ e_\alpha \downarrow & & \downarrow g_\alpha \\ (W, R_W) & \xrightarrow{f} & (Y, R_Y). \end{array}$$

Let $w \in W$. Since $f : W \rightarrow X$ is a strong H -fuzzy mapping, $\exists y_o \in Y$ such that $f(w, y_o) = 1$. Since $(g_\alpha)_\Gamma$ is a final episink, for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, and for $y_o \in Y$,

$$\exists x_{\alpha_o} \in X_\alpha \text{ such that } g_\alpha(x_{\alpha_o}, y_o) = 1.$$

Thus $(w, x_{\alpha_o}) \in U_\alpha$ and $e_\alpha((w, x_{\alpha_o}), w) = 1$. So $(e_\alpha)_\Gamma$ is an episink in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. Moreover $(e_\alpha)_\Gamma$ is final. : Let R_W^* be the final structure on W w.r.t. $(e_\alpha)_\Gamma$ and let $(w, w') \in W \times W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_W(w, w') &= R_W(w, w') \wedge R_W(w, w') \\ &\leq R_W(w, w') \wedge f^{-2}(R_Y)(w, w') \\ &\quad [\text{Since } f : (W, R_W) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y) \text{ is a } \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})\text{-mapping}] \\ &= R_W(w, w') \wedge \left(\bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \in Y \times Y \wedge f(w, y) \wedge f(w', y')] \right) \\ &= R_W(w, w') \wedge \left(\bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} [g_\alpha^2(R_\alpha)(y, y') \wedge f(w, y) \wedge f(w', y')] \right) \\ &\quad [\text{Since } (g_\alpha)_\Gamma \text{ is final}] \\ &= R_W(w, w') \wedge \left(\bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bigvee_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in X_\alpha \times X_\alpha} [R_\alpha(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \wedge g_\alpha(x_\alpha, y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \wedge g_\alpha(y_\alpha, y') \wedge f(w, y) f(w', y')] \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bigvee_{(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \in X_\alpha \times X_\alpha} [R_W(w, w') \wedge R_\alpha(x_\alpha, y_\alpha) \wedge \left(\bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} g_\alpha(x_\alpha, y) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \wedge g_\alpha(y_\alpha, y') \wedge f(w, y) \wedge f(w', y')] \right) \\ &= \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} \bigvee_{((w, x_\alpha), (w', y_\alpha)) \in U_\alpha \times U_\alpha} [R_{U_\alpha}(w, x_\alpha) \wedge R_{U_\alpha}(w', y_\alpha) \wedge e_\alpha((w, x_\alpha), \\ &\quad w) \wedge e_\alpha((w', y_\alpha), w')] [\text{Since } R_{U_\alpha} = (R_W \times R_\alpha) |_{U_\alpha \times U_\alpha}, \end{aligned}$$

f is

strong and g is strong surjective]

$$= R_W^*(w).$$

Thus $R_W \subset R_W^*$. On the other hand, since $(e_\alpha : (U_\alpha, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (W, R_W^*))_\Gamma$ is final, $I_W : (W, R_W^*) \rightarrow (W, R_W)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. So $R_W^* \subset R_W$. Hence $A_W = A_W^*$. This completes the proof. \square

For any singleton set $\{a\}$, since the H -fuzzy relation structure $R_{\{a\}}$ on $\{a\}$ is not unique, the category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is not properly fibred over \mathbf{Set} . Hence, by Lemmas 3.11 and 3.13, we obtain the following result.

Theorem 3.14. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ satisfies all the conditions of a topological universe over \mathbf{Set} except the terminal separator property.

Theorem 3.15. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is Cartesian closed over \mathbf{Set} .

Proof. It is obvious that $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ has products by Corollary 3.11. Then it is sufficient to show that $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ has exponential objects.

For any H -fuzzy spaces $\mathbf{X} = (X, R_X)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, R_Y)$, let Y^X be the set of all strong H -fuzzy mappings from X to Y . We define a mapping $R_{Y^X} : Y^X \times Y^X \rightarrow H$ as follows: for each $(f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_{Y^X}(f, g) &= \bigvee \{h \in H : R_X \cap h \subset (f^{-1} \times g^{-1})(R_Y)\} \\ &= \bigvee \{h \in H : R_X(x, x') \wedge h \leq \bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \wedge f(x, y) \\ &\quad \wedge g(x', y')], \forall (x, x') \in X \times X\} \end{aligned}$$

where $h(x) = h, \forall x \in X$. Since f and g are strong,

$$R_{Y^X}(f, g) = \bigvee \{h \in H : R_X(x, x') \wedge h \leq \bigvee_{f(x, y)=1, g(x', y')=1} R_Y(y, y')\}.$$

Then clearly $(Y^X, R_{Y^X}) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. Let $\mathbf{Y}^X = (Y^X, R_{Y^X})$. Then, by the definition of R_{Y^X} ,

$$R_X(x, x') \wedge R_{Y^X}(f, g) \leq \bigvee_{f(x, y)=1, g(x', y')=1} R_Y(x, y), \quad \forall (f, g) \in Y^X \times Y^X,$$

$\forall (x, x') \in X \times X$.

We define a mapping $e_{X, Y} : (X \times Y^X) \times Y \rightarrow H$ by

$$e_{X, Y}((x, f), y) = f(x, y) \quad \forall (x, f) \in X \times Y^X, \quad \forall y \in Y.$$

Then clearly $e_{X, Y}$ is an H -fuzzy relation on $(X \times Y^X) \times Y$. Now we define a mapping $E_{X \times Y^X} : (X \times Y^X) \times (X \times Y^X) \rightarrow H$ as follows : For any $(x, f), (x', g) \in X \times Y^X$,

$$E_{X \times Y^X}((x, f), (x', g)) = \left(\bigwedge_{y \in Y} f(x', y) \wedge \bigwedge_{y' \in Y} g(x, y') \right) \wedge E_X(x, x') \wedge$$

$E'_X(x, x')$,

where $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and $g : X \rightarrow Y$ are strong H -fuzzy mappings w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$, and $E'_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E'_Y \in E_H(Y)$, respectively.

Then, by the process of the proof of Theorem 4.8 in [13], $e_{X,Y} : X \times Y^X \rightarrow Y$ is a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_{X \times Y^X}$ and $E \in E_H(Y)$, where $E = E_Y \times E'_Y$ is an H -fuzzy equality on Y . Let $((x, f), (x', g)) \in (X \times Y^X) \times (X \times Y^X)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_{X,Y}^{-2}(R_Y)((x, f), (x', g)) &= \bigvee_{(y,y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \wedge e_{X,Y}((x, f), y) \\ &\quad \wedge e_{X,Y}((x', g), y')] \\ &= \bigvee_{(y,y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y) \wedge f(x, y) \wedge g(x', y')] \\ &= \bigvee_{\substack{f(x,y)=1, g(x',y')=1 \\ [\text{Since } f \text{ and } g \text{ are strong}]}} R_Y(y, y') \\ &\geq R_X(x, x') \wedge R_{Y^X}(f, g) \\ &= (R_X \times R_{Y^X})((x, f), (x', g)). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R_X \times R_{Y^X} \subset e_{X,Y}^{-2}(R_Y)$. So $e_{X,Y} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}^X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a **VRel(H)**-mapping.

For any $\mathbf{Z} = (Z, R_Z) \in \mathbf{VRel(H)}$, let $h : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a **VRel(H)**-mapping w.r.t. $E_{X \times Z} = E_X \times E_Z \in E_H(X \times Z)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$. We define a mapping $\bar{h} : Z \times (X \times Y) \rightarrow H$ as follows: $\forall z \in Z, \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y,$

$$\bar{h}(z)(x, y) = h((x, z), y), \text{ where } \bar{h}(z)(x, y) = \bar{h}(z, (x, y)).$$

Since h is strong, it is clear that $\bar{h}(z)$ is strong. Thus $\bar{h}(z) \in Y^X, \forall z \in Z$. So $\bar{h} : Z \rightarrow Y^X$ is a strong H -fuzzy mapping. Let $z, z' \in Z$ and let $x, x' \in X$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} R_X(x, x') \wedge R_Z(z, z') &= (R_X \times R_Z)((x, z), (x', z')) \\ &\leq h^{-2}(R_Y)((x, z), (x', z')) \\ &[\text{Since } h : X \times Z \rightarrow Y \text{ is a } \mathbf{VRel(H)}\text{-mapping}] \\ &= \bigvee_{(y,y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \wedge h((x, z), y) \wedge h((x', z'), y')] \\ &= \bigvee_{(y,y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \wedge \bar{h}(z)(x, y) \wedge \bar{h}(z')(x', y')] \\ &= \bigvee_{\substack{\bar{h}(z)(x,y)=1, \bar{h}(z')(x',y')=1 \\ [\text{Since } \bar{h}(z) \text{ is strong}]}} R_Y(y, y'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by the definition of R_{Y^X} ,

$$R_Z(z) \leq \bar{h}^{-2}(R_{Y^X})(z).$$

So $R_Z \subset \bar{h}^{-2}(R_{Y^X})$. Hence $\bar{h} : \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}^X$ is a **VRel(H)**-mapping. Moreover, \bar{h} is unique **VRel(H)**-mapping such that $e_{X,Y} \circ (I_X \times \bar{h}) = h$. This completes the proof. \square

4. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$

Definition 4.1[13]. The concrete category $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ is defined by: Objects (X, A_X) , called an H -fuzzy space, where X is any set and $A_X \in H^X$. A morphism $f : (X, A_X) \rightarrow (Y, A_Y)$ is a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ satisfying $A_X \leq f^{-1}(A_Y)(x)$, $\forall x \in X$. Every $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ -morphism is called a $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping.

Definition 4.2 [10]. An H -fuzzy relation R on an H -fuzzy space (X, A_X) is an H -fuzzy set in $X \times X$ satisfying $R(x, y) \leq A_X(x) \wedge A_X(y)$ for any $x, y \in X$. In this case, the triple (X, A_X, R) is called an H -fuzzy relational space over (X, A_X) .

Definition 4.3. An H -fuzzy mapping $f : (X, A_X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, A_Y, R_Y)$ w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ is called a *relation preserving mapping* if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (i) $f : (X, A_X) \rightarrow (Y, A_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping,
- (ii) $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping.

We denote the category of all H -fuzzy relational spaces over H -fuzzy spaces and relation preserving strong H -fuzzy mappings between them by $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$, and the mixture of the categories $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ by $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H}) \wedge \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ (cf [14]). Since $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ are topological over \mathbf{Set} by Lemma 4.4 in [13] and Lemma 3.11, so is the mixture $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H}) \wedge \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ with natural structures by Proposition 2 in [14].

Lemma 4.4. $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is a bi(co)reflective subcategory of $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H}) \wedge \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$.

Proof. Let (X, A, R) be an object in $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H}) \wedge \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. We define a mapping $A_X : X \rightarrow H$ as follows: For each $x \in X$,

$$A_X(x) = A(x) \vee \left[\bigvee_{y \in X} R(x, y) \right].$$

Then it is easily seen that $I_X : (X, A, R) \rightarrow (X, A_X, R)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -reflection of (X, A, R) . Now we define a mapping $R_X : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows: For any $x, y \in X$,

$$R_X(x, y) = R(x, y) \wedge A(x) \wedge A(y).$$

Then $I_X : (X, A, R_X) \rightarrow (X, A, R)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -coreflection of (X, A, R) . This completes the proof. \square

The following is the immediate result of Lemma 4.4 and Theorems 2.6 and 2.8 in [14].

Theorem 4.5. (a) The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is topological over \mathbf{Set} .

(b) Final episinks in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ are preserved by pullbacks.

Remark 4.6. (a) Let X be a set and let $(f_\alpha : X \rightarrow (X_\alpha, A_\alpha, R_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be a source, where $(X_\alpha, A_\alpha, R_\alpha) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$. We define two mappings $A_X : X \rightarrow H$ and $R_X : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows, respectively:

$$A(x) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^{-1}(A_\alpha)(x), \quad \forall x \in X$$

and

$$R_X(x, y) = \bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^{-2}(R_\alpha)(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then (X, A_X, R_X) is equipped with the initial structure w.r.t. $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$ in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$.

(b) Let X be a set and let $(f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, A_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow X)_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be a sink, where $(X_\alpha, A_\alpha, R_\alpha) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$, $\forall \alpha \in \Gamma$. We define two mappings $A_X : X \rightarrow H$ and $R_X : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows, respectively:

$$A_X(x) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha(A_\alpha)(x), \quad \forall x \in X$$

and

$$R_X(x, y) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha(R_\alpha)(x, y), \quad \forall x, y \in X.$$

Then (X, A_X, R_X) is equipped with the final structure w.r.t. $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$.

(c) Since both H -fuzzy set structures and H -fuzzy relational structures on a singleton set are not unique, $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is not properly fibred.

(d) Let $(g_\alpha : (X_\alpha, A_\alpha, R_\alpha) \rightarrow (Y, A_Y, R_Y))_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be any final episink in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ and $f : (W, A_W, R_W) \rightarrow (Y, A_Y, R_Y)$ be any H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_W \in E_H(W)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$ in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. For each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, let

$$U_\alpha = \{(w, x_\alpha) \in W \times X_\alpha : \exists y \in Y \text{ such that } f(w, y) = 1 = g_\alpha(x_\alpha, y)\},$$

let $A_{U_\alpha} = (A_W \times A_\alpha) |_{U_\alpha \times U_\alpha}$ and let $R_{U_\alpha} = (R_W \times R_\alpha) |_{U_\alpha \times U_\alpha}$. Then, for each $\alpha \in \Gamma$, $e_\alpha : (U_\alpha, A_{U_\alpha}, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (W, A_W, R_W)$ is the pullback of g_α along f in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$, where $e_\alpha : U_\alpha \rightarrow W$ is the H -fuzzy projection of U_α w.r.t. $E_{U_\alpha} \in E_H(U_\alpha)$ and E_W . Moreover, $(e_\alpha : (U_\alpha, A_{U_\alpha}, R_{U_\alpha}) \rightarrow (W, A_W, R_W))_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$

is a final episink in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$.

Remark 4.7. (a) The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is topological over $VSet(H)$: Let (X, A_X) be any H -fuzzy space and let $((X_\alpha, A_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any family of H -fuzzy relational spaces. Let $(f_\alpha : (X, A_X) \rightarrow (X_\alpha, A_\alpha))_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be any source in $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$. We define a mapping $R_X : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows: For any $x, y \in X$,

$$R_X(x, y) = \left(\bigwedge_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^{-2}(R_\alpha)(x, y) \right) \wedge A_X(x) \wedge A_X(y).$$

Then R_X is the initial structure on (X, A_X) w.r.t. $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$.

(b) The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is cotopological over $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$: Let (X, A_X) be any H -fuzzy space and let $((X_\alpha, A_\alpha, R_\alpha))_\Gamma$ be any family of H -fuzzy relational spaces. Let $(f_\alpha : (X_\alpha, A_\alpha) \rightarrow (X, A_X))_{\alpha \in \Gamma}$ be any sink in $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$. We define a mapping $R_X : X \times X \rightarrow H$ as follows: For any $x, y \in X$,

$$R_X(x, y) = \bigvee_{\alpha \in \Gamma} f_\alpha^2(R_\alpha).$$

Then R_X is the final structure on (X, A_X) w.r.t. $(f_\alpha)_\Gamma$.

Theorem 4.8. The category $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ is Cartesian closed.

Proof. Since $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ has finite products by Theorem 4.5, it is enough to show that $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ has exponentials.

For any H -fuzzy relational spaces $\mathbf{X} = (X, A_X, R_X)$ and $\mathbf{Y} = (Y, A_Y, R_Y)$, let Y_X be the set of all morphisms from X to Y in $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. We define two mappings $A_{Y^X} : Y^X \rightarrow H$ and $R_{Y^X} : Y_X \times Y_X \rightarrow H$ as follows, respectively:

$$A_{Y^X}(f) = \bigvee \{h \in H : A_X(x) \wedge h \leq \bigvee_{y \in Y} [A_Y(y) \wedge f(x, y)], \forall x \in X\}, \forall f \in Y^X$$

and

$$R_{Y^X}(f, g) = \bigvee \{h \in H : R_X(x, x') \wedge h \leq \left(\bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \wedge f(x, y) \wedge g(x', y')] \right) \wedge (A_{Y^X}(f) \wedge A_{Y^X}(g)) \forall x, x' \in X\}.$$

Then clearly R_{Y^X} is an H -fuzzy relation on (Y^X, A_{Y^X}) . Let $Y^X = (Y^X, A_{Y^X}, R_{Y^X})$.

We define a mapping $e_{X, Y} : (X \times Y^X) \times Y \rightarrow H$ as follows:

$$e_{X, Y}((x, f), y) = f(x, y) \forall (x, f) \in X \times Y^X, \forall y \in Y.$$

Then, by the proofs of Theorem 4.8 in [13] and Theorem 3.15, it can be easily seen that $e_{X, Y} : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Y}^X \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping.

For any $Z = (Z, A_Z, R_Z) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$, let $h : \mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Y}$ be a

$\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping, where $\mathbf{X} \times \mathbf{Z} = (X \times Z, A_X \times A_Z, R_X \times R_Z)$. We define a mapping $\bar{h} : Z \times (X \times Y) \rightarrow H$ as follows: $\forall z \in Z, \forall x \in X, \forall y \in Y,$

$$\bar{h}(z)(x, y) = h((x, z), y), \text{ where } \bar{h}(z)(x, y) = \bar{h}(z, (x, y)).$$

From the similar arguments of the proofs of Theorem 4.8 in [13] and Theorem 3.15, and the definitions of $A_{Y \times X}$ and $R_{Y \times X}$, we can easily prove that $\bar{h} : \mathbf{Z} \times \mathbf{Y}^X$ is a unique $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Such that $e_{X,Y} \circ (I_X \times \bar{h}) = h$. This completes the proof. \square

5. The relations between $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$

Definition 5.1 [11]. The concrete category $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ is defined by: Objects are (X, R_X) , called an H -fuzzy relational space (or simply, a fuzzy relational space), where X is any set and $R_X \in H^{X \times X}$. A morphism $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a mapping from X to Y satisfying $R_X(x, y) \leq R_Y(f(x), f(y)), \forall (x, y) \in X \times X$, i.e., $R_X \subset f^{-2}(R_Y)$ where “ \leq ” means the order induced by the operation “ \wedge ” or “ \vee ” in H . Every $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ -morphism is called a $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping.

Lemma 5.2. Define $F : \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ by $F(X, R_X) = (X, R_X)$ and $F(f) = f$. Then F is a functor.

Proof. It is clear that $F(X, R_X) = (X, R_X) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H}), \forall (X, R_X) \in \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$. Let $(X, R_X), (Y, R_Y) \in \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ and let $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ be a $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Then $R_X(x, y) \leq R_Y(f(x), f(y)), \forall (x, y) \in X \times X$. Since $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping, $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is a strong H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $I_X \in E_H(X)$ and $I_Y \in E_H(Y)$. Moreover, for each $(x, x') \in X \times X,$

$$\begin{aligned} f^{-2}(R_Y)(x, x') &= \bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \wedge f(x, y) \wedge f(x', y')] \\ &\geq R_Y(y_0, y'_0) \\ &\quad [\text{Since } f \text{ is strong, } \exists y_0 \in Y \text{ and } y'_0 \in Y \text{ such that} \\ &\quad \quad f(x, y_0) = 1 \text{ and } f(x', y'_0) = 1.] \\ &= R_Y(f(x), f(x')) \\ &\geq R_X(x, x'). \end{aligned}$$

Thus $R_X \subset f^{-2}(R_Y)$. So $F(f) = f \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. Hence $F(f) = f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Therefore F is a functor. \square

Lemma 5.3. We define $G : \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ by $G(X, R_X) = (X, R_X)$ and $G(f) = f_*$, where if $f : X \rightarrow Y$ is an H -fuzzy mapping w.r.t. $E_X \in E_H(X)$ and $E_Y \in E_H(Y)$, then $f_* : X \times Y \rightarrow 2 = \{0, 1\}$ is a mapping defined by $f_*(x, y) = f(x, y)$, $\forall(x, y) \in X \times Y$, and E_X^* and E_Y^* are H -fuzzy equalities on X and Y defined by $E_X^* = I_X$ and $E_Y^* = I_Y$, respectively. Then G is a functor.

Proof. It is clear that $G(X, R_X) = (X, R_X) \in \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$, $\forall(X, R_X) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$. Let $(X, R_X), (Y, R_Y) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ and let $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ be a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Then $R_X \subset f^{-2}(R_Y)$. By the definition of $G(f)$, $G(f) = f_* : X \rightarrow Y$ is a mapping. Let $(x, x') \in X \times X$. Since f is strong, $\exists(y_o, y'_o) \in Y \times Y$ such that $f(x, y_o) = 1 = f(x', y'_o)$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} R_Y(f_*(x), f_*(x')) &= R_Y(f(x), f(y)) \\ &= f^{-2}(R_Y)(x, x') \\ &= \bigvee_{(y, y') \in Y \times Y} [R_Y(y, y') \\ &\quad \wedge f(x, y) \wedge f(x', y')] = R_Y(f(x), f(x')) \\ &\geq R_X(x, x'). \end{aligned}$$

So $f_* : (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Hence G is a functor. \square

Lemma 5.4. The functor F is a left adjoint of the functor G .

Proof. For each $(X, R_X) \in \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$, $I_X : (X, R_X) \rightarrow GF(X, R_X) = (X, R_X)$ is a $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Let $(Y, R_Y) \in \mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ and let $f : (X, R_X) \rightarrow G(Y, R_Y)$ be a $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Then $R_X(x, x') \leq R_Y(f(x), f(x'))$, $\forall(x, x') \in X \times X$. Thus $R_X \subset f^{-2}(R_Y)$. So $f : F(X, R_X) = (X, R_X) \rightarrow (Y, R_Y)$ is a $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$ -mapping. Hence I_X is a G -universal map for (X, R_X) in $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$. This completes the proof. \square

Let $\mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H})$ denote the category with $\text{Mor}(\mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H})) = \{f_* : f \in \text{Mor}(\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H}))\}$. Then clearly $\mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H})$ is a full subcategory of $\mathbf{VRel}(\mathbf{H})$.

Theorem 5.5. Two categories $\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ and $\mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H})$ are isomorphic.

Proof. By Lemma 5.2, it is clear that $F : \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H})$ is a functor. Also, By Lemma 5.3, $G : \mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$ is a functor. Let $(X, R_X) \in \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})$. Then clearly $F(X, R_X) = (X, R_X)$. Thus $GF(X, R_X) = (X, R_X)$.

Thus $G \circ F = 1_{\mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H})}$. Similarly, we can easily see that $F \circ G = 1_{\mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H})}$. So $F : \mathbf{Rel}(\mathbf{H}) \rightarrow \mathbf{VRel}_*(\mathbf{H})$ is an isomorphism. This completes the proof. \square

References

- [1] J. Adámek and H. Herrlich, Cartesian closed categories, quasitopoi and topological universe, *Commentations Mathematicae Universitatis Carolinae*, 27(2) (1986), 235-257.
- [2] G. Birkhoff, *Lattice Theory Space* (A.M.S. Colloquium Publication, Vol. XXV, Providence, RI), (1967).
- [3] J.C.Carrega, The category $\mathbf{Set}(H)$ and $\mathbf{Fuz}(H)$, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 9 (1983), 327-332.
- [4] U. Cerruti, Categories of L -fuzzy relations, in: *Proc. Int. Conf. on Cybernetics and Applied Systems Research*, Vol. 5, Acapulco, (1980), (Pergamon Press, Oxford, 1980).
- [5] S.H.Chung, On relational spaces, *J. Korea Soc. Math. Education*, 24(1) (1985), 35-39.
- [6] M.Demirci, Fuzzy functions and their fundamental properties, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 106 (1999), 239-246.
- [7] E.J.Dubuc, Concrete quasitopoi, Applications of Sheaves. *Proc. Dunham 1977, Lect. Notes in Math.*, 753 (1979), 239-254.
- [8] H.Herrlich, Cartesian closed topological categories, *Math. Coll. Univ. Cape Town*, 9 (1974), 1-16.
- [9] H.Herrlich and G.E. Strecker, *Category Theory* (Allyn and Bacon, Newton, MA, 1973).
- [10] K.Hur, On H -fuzzy relations over H -fuzzy sets(I), *Comm. Korean Math. Soc.* 5(2) (1990), 1-5.
- [11] K.Hur, H -fuzzy relations(I): A topological universe viewpoint, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 61 (1994), 239-244.
- [12] G.H.Choi, K.Hur, and P.K.Lim, Fuzzy mappings and fuzzy equivalence relations, To submit.
- [13] K.Hur, H.W.Kang and P.K.Lim, The category $\mathbf{VSet}(\mathbf{H})$, To submit.
- [14] S.S.Hong and L.D.Nel, Spectral dualities involving mixed structures, *Categorical aspects of topology and analysis, Proc. ottacoa 1980, Lect. Notes in*

Math. 915, Springer (1982), 198-204.

[15] C.Y.Kim, S.S.Hong, Y.H.Hong and P.H.Park, Algebras in Cartesian closed topological categories, Lecture Note Series 1, Seminars in Math., Yon Sei Univ. (1979).

[16] A. Kriegl and L.D.Nel, A convenient setting for holomorphy, *Cahiers de topologie et géométrie différentielle catégoriques*, 26 (1985), 273-309.

[17] A. Kriegl and L.D.nel, Convenient vector spaces of smooth functions(preprint).

[18] L.D.Nel, Topological universes and smooth Gelfand-Naimark duality, Mathematical applications of category theory, Proc. A.M.S. Spec. Session Denver, 1983, *Contemporary Mathematics*, 30 (1984), 224-276.

[19] L.D.Nel, Enriched locally convex structures, differential calculus and Riesz representations, *J.Pure Appl. Algebra*, 42 (1986), 165-184.

[20] D.Ponasse, Some remarks on the category $\text{Fuz}(H)$ of M. Eytan, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 9 (1983), 199-204.

[21] L.A.Zadeh, Similarity relations and fuzzy orderings, *Inf. Sci.*, 3 (1991), 199-200.

Received: December, 2009