

## Fixed Point Theorem in Fuzzy Metric Spaces

Jitendra Singhi, Ramakant Bhardwaj, Sarvesh Agrawal

and Rajesh Shrivastava\*

Department of Mathematics, Truba Instt. of Engg. & I.T. Bhopal, India

Department of Mathematics, Govt. science & Commerce College  
Benazeer Bhopal, India

(Corresponding-Author) E-mail: jitendra.singhi2010@gmail.com,  
rkbhardwaj100@gmail.com

### Abstract

In the present paper we are proving a common fixed point theorem for fuzzy metric spaces for weakly commuting mappings.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25

**Keywords:** Fuzzy metric spaces, fuzzy 3- metric spaces, common fixed point.

### 1. Introduction and Preliminaries:

The study of common fixed points of mappings in a fuzzy metric space satisfying certain contractive conditions has been at the center of vigorous research activity. In

1965, the concept of fuzzy sets was introduced by Zadeh [36]. With the concept of fuzzy sets, the fuzzy metric space was introduced by O.Kramosil and J. Michalek [25] in 1975. Helpert [19] in 1981 first proved a fixed point theorem for fuzzy mappings. Also M.Grabiec [17] in 1988 proved the contraction principle in the setting of the fuzzy metric spaces. Moreover, A. George and P. Veeramani [16] in 1994 modified the notion of fuzzy metric spaces with the help of t-norm, by generalizing the concept of probabilistic metric space to fuzzy situation. Consequently in due course of time some metric fixed point results were generalized to fuzzy metric spaces by various authors.

Gähler in a series of papers [13, 14, and 15] investigated 2-metric spaces. Sharma, Sharma and Iseki [30] studied for the first time contraction type mappings in 2-metric space.

We know that that 2-metric space is a real valued function of a point triples on a set  $X$ , which abstract properties were suggested by the area function in Euclidean spaces. Now it is natural to expect 3-Metric space, which is suggested by the volume function. In the present paper we are proving a common fixed point theorem for **fuzzy 3-metric** spaces for weakly commuting mappings.

**Definition (2.A):** A binary operation  $*$ :  $[0, 1]^4 \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a continuous t-norm if  $([0, 1], *)$  is an abelian topological monoid with unit 1 such that

$a_1 * b_1 * c_1 * d_1 \geq a_2 * b_2 * c_2 * d_2$  Whenever  $a_1 \geq a_2, b_1 \geq b_2, c_1 \geq c_2$  and  $d_1 \geq d_2$  for all  $a_1, a_2, b_1, b_2, c_1, c_2$  and  $d_1, d_2$  are in  $[0, 1]$ .

**Definition (2.B):** The 3-tuple  $(X, M, *)$  is called a fuzzy 3-metric space if  $X$  is an arbitrary set,  $*$  is continuous t-norm monodies and  $M$  is a fuzzy set in  $X^4 \times [0, \infty]$  satisfying the following conditions:

$$(FM - 1) : M(x, y, z, w, 0) = 0$$

$$(FM - 2) : M(x, y, z, w, t) = 1, \forall t > 0,$$

Only when the threesimplex  $\langle x, y, z, w \rangle$  degenerate

$$(FM - 3) : M(x, y, z, w, t) = M(x, w, z, y, t) = M(z, w, x, y, t) = \dots$$

$$(FM - 4) : M(x, y, z, w, t + t_2 + t_3) \geq M(x, y, z, u, t_1) *$$

$$M(x, y, u, w, t_2) * M(x, u, z, w, t_3) * M(u, y, z, w, t_4)$$

$$(FM - 5) : M(x, y, z, w) : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1] \text{ is left continuous,}$$

$$\forall x, y, z, u, w \in X, t_1, t_2, t_3, t_4 > 0$$

**Definition (2.C):** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a fuzzy 3-metric space:

(1) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 3-metric space  $X$  is said to be convergent to a point  $x \in X$ , if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, x, a, b, t) = 1, \text{ for all } a, b \in X \text{ and } t > 0$$

(2) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in fuzzy 3-metric space  $X$  is called a Cauchy sequence, if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_{n+p}, x_n, a, b, t) = 1, \text{ for all } a, b \in X \text{ and } t, p > 0$$

(3) A fuzzy 3-metric space in which every Cauchy sequence is convergent is said to be complete.

**Definition (2.D)** A function  $M$  is continuous in fuzzy 3-metric space if

$$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y, \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(x_n, y_n, a, b, t) = M(x, y, a, t), \forall a, b \in X \text{ and } t > 0$$

**Definition (2.E):** Two mappings  $A$  and  $S$  on fuzzy 3-metric space  $X$  are weakly commuting iff,

$$M(ASu, SAu, a, b, t) \geq M(Au, Su, a, t) \quad \forall u, a, b \in X \text{ and } t > 0$$

### MAIN RESULT

**THEOREM 3.1** Let  $(X, M, *)$  be a complete fuzzy 3-metric space with the condition (FM-6) and let  $F$  and  $T$  be continuous mappings of  $X$  in  $X$ . Let  $A$  be a self mapping of  $X$  satisfying  $\{A, F\}$  and  $\{A, T\}$  are  $R$ -weakly commuting and

$$(3.1 \text{ a}) \quad A(X) \subseteq F(X) \cap T(X)$$

(3.1 b)

$$M(Ax, Ay, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Fx, Ty, a, bt), M(Fx, Ax, a, bt), M(Fx, Ay, a, b, t), \\ M(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), M(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), M(Fy, Ay, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

For all  $x, y \in X$ , Where  $r : [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a continuous function such that  $r(t) > t$ , for each

$0 \leq t \leq 1$  and  $r(t) = 1$  for  $t=1$   $a, b \in X$ . The sequence  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in  $X$  are such that

$$x_n \rightarrow x, y_n \rightarrow y \Rightarrow M(x_n, y_n, a, b, t) \rightarrow M(x, y, a, b, t), \text{ where } t > 0 \text{ -----}$$

(3.1 c)

Then  $F, T$  and  $A$  have a unique common fixed point in  $X$ .

**PROOF:** We define a sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $Fx_{2n+1} = Ax_{2n}$  and  $Tx_{2n+2} = Ax_{2n+1}$ ,  $n = 1, 2, \dots$ . We shall prove that  $\{Ax_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence for  $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

$$G_n = M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t) < 1; n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

$$\begin{aligned} G_{2n} &= M(Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, t) \\ &\geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &M(Fx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Fx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), M(Fx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \\ &M(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Fx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t) \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \\ &= r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &M(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \\ &M(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n-1}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t) \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \\ &\geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{aligned} &M(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n-1}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n+1}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \\ &M(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Ax_{2n-1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t) \end{aligned} \right\} \right] \\ &= r [\text{Min}\{G_{2n-1}, G_{2n}, 1, G_{2n-1}, G_{2n}, G_{2n-1}, G_{2n-1}\}] \quad \text{----- (3.1 c)} \end{aligned}$$

If  $G_{2n-1} > G_{2n}$ , then  $G_{2n} > r[G_{2n-1}] > G_{2n-1}$ ,

a contradiction, therefore  $G_{2n-1} \leq G_{2n}$

$$\text{Therefore from (3.1c), } G_{2n} \geq r(G_{2n-1}) \geq G_{2n-1} \quad \text{-----(3.1 d)}$$

Thus  $\{G_{2n} : n \geq 0\}$  is increasing sequence of positive real numbers in  $[0, 1]$  and therefore tends to a finite limit  $L \leq 1$ . It is clear that  $L = 1$  because if  $L < 1$  then on taking

limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$  in (3.1d) we get  $L \geq r(L) > L$ , a contradiction. Hence  $L = 1$

Now for any integer  $m$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+m}, a, b) &\geq M\left(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, a, b, \frac{t}{m}\right) * \dots * M\left(Ax_{n+m-1}, Ax_{n+m}, a, b, \frac{t}{m}\right) \\ &\geq M\left(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, a, b, \frac{t}{m}\right) * \dots * M\left(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, a, b, \frac{t}{m}\right) \end{aligned}$$

limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$   $M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t) \geq 1 * 1 * \dots * 1 = 1$ , because  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(Ax_n, Ax_{n+1}, t) = 1$  for  $t > 0$

Thus  $\{Ax_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence and by the completeness of  $X$ ,  $\{Ax_n\}$  converges to  $u \in X$ . So subsequence  $\{Fx_{2n+1}\}$  and  $\{Tx_{2n}\}$  of  $\{Ax_n\}$  also converges to same point  $u$ . Since  $A$  is  $R$ -weakly commuting with  $F$ , so

$$M(AFx_{2n+1}, FAx_{2n+1}, a, b, t) \geq M\left(Ax_{2n+1}, Fx_{2n+1}, a, b, \frac{t}{R}\right)$$

On taking limit  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ,  $AFx_{2n+1} = FAx_{2n+1} = Fu$ . Now we will prove that  $Fu = u$ . First suppose that

$Fu \neq u$  then there exists  $t > 0$  such that  $M(Fu, u, t) < 1$

Now

$$M(AFx_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(F^2x_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, a, b, t), M(F^2x_{2n+1}, AFx_{2n+1}, a, b, t), \\ M(F^2x_{2n+1}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Tx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t), \\ M(AFx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Fx_{2n}, Ax_{2n}, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(Fu, u, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Fu, u, a, b, t), M(Fu, Fu, a, b, t), M(Fu, u, a, b, t), \\ M(u, u, a, b, t), M(Fu, u, a, b, t), M(Fu, u, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$M(Fu, u, a, b, t) \geq r [M(Fu, u, a, b, t)] > M(Fu, u, a, b, t)$ , which is a contradiction.

Thus u is a fixed point of F. similarly we can show that u is also fixed point of A. Now we claim that u is fixed point of T. Suppose it is not so then for any  $t > 0$ ,  $M(u, Tu, t) < 1$

$$M(Au, ATx_{2n}, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Fu, T^2x_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Fu, Au, a, b, t), M(Fu, ATx_{2n}, a, b, t), \\ M(T^2x_{2n}, ATx_{2n}, a, b, t), M(Au, T^2x_{2n}, a, b, t), M(FTx_{2n}, ATx_{2n}, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(u, Tu, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(u, Tu, a, b, t), M(u, u, a, b, t), M(u, Tu, a, b, t), \\ M(Tu, Tu, a, b, t), M(u, Tu, a, b, t), M(Tu, Tu, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(u, Tu, a, b, t) \geq r [Min\{M(u, Tu, a, b, t)\}],$$

which is contradiction. So  $M(Tu, u, a, b, t) = 1$

Hence u is also a fixed point of T. That is u is common fixed point of T, F and A.

**Uniqueness:**

Suppose there is another fixed point  $v \neq u$ , then

$$M(Ax, Ay, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Fx, Ty, a, b, t), M(Fx, Ax, a, b, t), M(Fx, Ay, a, b, t), \\ M(Ty, Ay, a, b, t), M(Ax, Ty, a, b, t), M(Fy, Ay, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(Au, Av, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(Fu, Tv, a, b, t), M(Fu, Au, a, b, t), M(Fu, Av, a, b, t), \\ M(Tv, Av, a, b, t), M(Au, Tv, a, b, t), M(Fv, Av, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$$M(u, v, a, b, t) \geq r \left[ \text{Min} \left\{ \begin{array}{l} M(u, v, a, b, t), M(u, u, a, b, t), M(u, v, a, b, t), \\ M(v, v, a, b, t), M(u, v, a, b, t), M(v, v, a, b, t) \end{array} \right\} \right]$$

$M(u, v, a, b, t) \geq r [M(u, v, a, b, t)]$ , which is a contradiction. So  $u = v$ .

Hence A, F and T have unique common fixed point.

**References**

1. Badard, R. "Fixed point theorems for fuzzy numbers" *Fuzzy sets and systems* 13 (1984) 291-302.
2. Bose, B.K. and Sahani, D. "Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems" *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 21 (1984) 53-58.
3. Butnariu, D. "Fixed point for fuzzy mappings" *Fuzzy sets and Systems* 7 (1982) 191-207.
4. Chang, S.S. "Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 17(1985) 181-187.
5. Change, S.S., Cho, Y.J., Lee, B.S. and Lee, G.M. "Fixed degree and fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 87 (1997) 325-334.
6. Change, S.S., Cho, Y.J. , Lee B.S., June, J.S. and Kang, S.M. "Coincidence point and minimization theorems in fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 88 (1) (1997) 119-128.
7. Cho, Y.J. "Fixed points and fuzzy metric spaces" *J. Fuzzy Math.*5 (1997) no. 4, 949-962.
8. Deng, Z. "Fuzzy pseudo-metric space" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*86 (1982) 74-95.
9. Ekland, I. and Gahler, S. "Basic notions for fuzzy topology" *Fuzzy Sets and System* 26 (1988) 336-356.
10. Erceg, M.A. "Metric space in fuzzy set theory" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*69 (1979) 205-230.
11. Fang, J.X. "On fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 46 (1979) 107-113.
12. Fisher, B. "Mappings with a common fixed point" *Math. Seminar notes Kobe university* 7 (1979) 81-84.
13. Gahler, S. "Linear 2-normierte Raume" *Math. Nachr.* 28 (1964) 1-43.
14. Gahler, S. "Uber 2-Banach Raume", *Math. Nachr.* 42 (1969) 335-347
15. Gahler, S. "2- metrische Raume and ihre topologische structure", *Math. Nachr.*26 (1983) 115-148.
16. George, A. and Veramani, P. "On some results in fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 64 (1994) 395-399.
17. Grabiec, M. "Fixed points in fuzzy metric space" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 27 (1988) 385-389.
18. Hu, C. "Fuzzy topological space" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 110(1985)141-178.

19. Heipern, S. "Fuzzy mappings and fixed point theorems" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 83 (1981) 566-569.
20. Hadzic, O. "Fixed point theorems, for multi-valued mappings in some classes of fuzzy metric space" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 29(1989) 115-125.
21. Jung, J.S., Cho. Y.J. and Kim J.K.: Minimization theorems for fixed point theorems in fuzzy metric spaces and applications, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 61 (1994) 199-207.
22. Jung, J.S., Cho, Y.J., Chang, S.S. and Kang, S.M. "Coincidence theorems for set valued mappings and Eklund's variational principle in fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 79 (1996) 239-250.
23. Kaleva, O. and Seikkala, S. "On Fuzzy metric spaces" *Fuzzy Sets and Systems* 12 (1984) 215-229.
24. Kaleva, O. "The Completion of fuzzy metric spaces" *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 109(1985) 194-198.
25. Kramosil, I. and Michalek, J. "Fuzzy metric and statistical metric spaces" *Kybernetika* 11 (1975) 326-334.
26. Lee, B.S., Cho, Y.J. and Jung, J.S. "Fixed point theorems for fuzzy mappings and applications" *Comm. Korean Math. Sci.* 11 (1966) 89-108.
27. Kutukcu, S., Sharma, S. and Tokgoz, H. "A Fixed point theorem in fuzzy metric spaces" *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis* 1 (2007) no.18, 861-872.
28. Mishra, S.N., Sharma, N. and Singh, S.L. "Common fixed points of maps on fuzzy metric spaces" *Internet. J. Math. & Math Sci.* 17 (1966) 89-108.
29. Schweitzer, B. and Sklar, A. "Statistical metric spaces" *Pacific Journal Math.* 10 (1960) 313-334.
30. Sharma. P.L., Sharma. B.K. and Iseki, K. "Contractive type mapping on 2-metric spaces" *Math. Japonica* 21 (1976) 67-70.
31. Sharma, S. "On fuzzy Metric space" *Southeast Asian Bulletin of Mathematics* 26 (2002) 133-145.
32. Som, T. "Some results on common fixed point in fuzzy Metric spaces" *Soochow Journal of Mathematics* 4 (2007) 553-561.
33. Turkoglu, D and Rhodes, B.E. "A fixed fuzzy point for fuzzy mapping in complete metric spaces" *Mathematical Communications* 10 (2005) 115-121.
34. Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S. Choudhary, S. and Bhardwaj, R.K "Some fixed point theorems for rational inequality in 2- metric spaces" *Acta Ciencia Indica* 33 (2007) 709-714.

35. Yadava, R.N., Rajput, S.S. Choudhary, S. and Bhardwaj, R.K. "Some fixed point theorems for non contraction type mapping on 2- Banach spaces" Acta Ciencia Indica 33 (2007) 737-744.
36. Zadeh, L.A. "Fuzzy Sets" Information and control 8 (1965) 338-353.

**Received: November, 2009**