

International Journal of Mathematical Analysis
Vol. 19, 2025, no. 4, 151 - 167
HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com
<https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2025.912569>

A Simple Truncation Approach for Systematically Constructing Lax Pairs and Bäcklund Transformations for Integrable Equations

Tat Leung Yee

Department of Mathematics and Information Technology
The Education University of Hong Kong
Tai Po, New Territories, Hong Kong

This article is distributed under the Creative Commons by-nc-nd Attribution License.
Copyright © 2025 Hikari Ltd.

Abstract

This paper presents a systematic truncation method for constructing Lax pairs and Bäcklund transformations of integrable nonlinear differential equations. By combining the mirror method and the WTC approach, we establish connections between Painlevé analysis, Riccati linearization, and Lax integrability. Our framework enables the derivation of symmetric auto-Bäcklund transformations and Schlesinger transformations, offering a unified approach to understanding these systems. This approach yields a constructive algorithm that links geometric, algebraic, and analytical perspectives of integrability with significant implications for physical applications.

Keywords: Lax pair, Bäcklund transformation, integrability, WTC truncation, Painlevé analysis, singular manifold

1 Introduction

The study of integrable systems originated with the discovery of solitary wave solutions to the KdV equation by John Scott Russell in 1834 and their mathe-

mathematical formalization by Diederik Korteweg and Gustav de Vries in 1895. The modern era began with Clifford Gardner, John Greene, Martin Kruskal, and Robert Miura's discovery of the inverse scattering transform (IST) in 1967 [4], which solved the initial value problem for KdV. Peter Lax's subsequent formulation [8] of the Lax pair (1968) provided the operator-theoretic foundation for IST, showing that integrable equations arise as compatibility conditions for linear systems.

Bäcklund transformations (BTs), named after the geometric work of Albert Bäcklund in the 19th century, emerged as algebraic tools for solution generation in the 1970s. For integrable PDEs, BTs typically include a free parameter (Bäcklund parameter λ) relating different solutions, while for Painlevé equations, Schlesinger transformations connect solutions with shifted parameters [2, 9]. The profound connection between these structures was revealed through singularity analysis. The Painlevé property (movable pole singularities without branching) was recognized as a key integrability indicator by Ablowitz, Ramani, and Segur [1], leading to the WTC method [13] and later the Conte-Musette's method [10], which constructs BTs via singular manifold truncation.

Hu and Yan's mirror method [6, 7] advanced this paradigm by introducing resonance variables that regularize singularities, directly linking singularity structure to BTs. Despite these advances, a unified constructive approach to derive Lax pairs and BTs simultaneously has remained elusive. This work bridges that gap by developing a systematic procedure based on the Riccati linearization of mirror systems.

Our methodology is based on three foundational pillars: First, the *singularity structure* of integrable equations, where movable singularities organize into patterns determined by the equations order and nonlinear nature; pole orders and resonance positions shape the Laurent expansion. Second, *Riccati linearization* reveals that near these singularities, equations reduce to coupled Riccati systems, reflecting the underlying linear scattering problem rather than merely serving as a technical step. Third, *compatibility as integrability* establishes that the commutativity of space and time evolutions ($\partial_x \partial_t - \partial_t \partial_x = 0$) reproduces the original nonlinear equation, directly linking the construction of Bäcklund transformations to Lax integrability.

Our specific contributions include extending the mirror method to systematically construct both auto- and hetero-Bäcklund transformations, establishing an explicit connection between Riccati systems and Lax pairs, resolving the degeneracy of the Miura transformation through extended expansions, providing derivations of a symmetric Bäcklund transformation for mKdV and Schlesinger transformations for P4, and developing an algorithmic procedure

applicable to both PDEs and ODEs.

The structure of the paper is organized as follows. Section 2 presents the mathematical framework with detailed derivations. Sections 3 and 4 contain comprehensive applications to various integrable equations. Section 5 discusses implications and future directions.

2 Mathematical Formulation

The Painlevé property indicates that solutions are single-valued near movable singularities, extending to non-characteristic manifolds $\phi(x, t) = 0$ for PDEs. The WTC method tests this by expanding solutions into Laurent series around these manifolds and analyzing the recursion relations for consistency at resonance points. Truncating the series at the resonance level allows derivation of Bäcklund transformations and uncovers the integrable structure by linking local singularity behavior with global properties.

2.1 The Painlevé Property and WTC Method

A differential equation possesses the Painlevé property if all movable singularities are poles. For PDEs, this extends to the requirement that solutions be single-valued around all non-characteristic manifolds $\phi(x, t) = 0$. The WTC method tests this by substituting the Laurent expansion

$$u(x, t) = \phi(x, t)^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(x, t) \phi(x, t)^j, \quad (1)$$

where $\alpha > 0$ is the leading-order exponent determined by dominant balance. The recursion relations for coefficients u_j must be consistent up to the resonance orders [11].

The truncation approach assumes the series terminates at constant term

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} u_j \phi^{j-\alpha}. \quad (2)$$

Substituting (2) into the PDE determines ϕ and the u_j 's, yielding BTs when consistent [5].

2.2 Hu and Yan's Mirror Method

The mirror method enhances the WTC approach by introducing new variables at resonance positions. For a first-order ODE system in variables (X, Y, Z) , one first determines the leading behavior: $X = X_0(t - t_0)^{-k}$, $Y = Y_0(t - t_0)^{-l}$, $Z = Z_0(t - t_0)^{-m}$, choosing a positive exponent k and introducing the indicial normalization $X = \theta^{-k}$. Subsequently, formal Laurent series expansions are assumed,

$$\begin{aligned}\theta' &= a_0 + a_1\theta + a_2\theta^2 + \cdots, \\ Y &= \theta^{-l}(b_0 + b_1\theta + b_2\theta^2 + \cdots), \\ Z &= \theta^{-m}(c_0 + c_1\theta + c_2\theta^2 + \cdots),\end{aligned}\tag{3}$$

where coefficients depend on t . These coefficients are obtained recursively from the original system after substitution, with the dominant coefficients a_0, b_0, c_0 derived from dominant balance equations. Unlike the classical Painlevé test, where the singularity function ϕ depends solely on the singularity and resonance parameters, here θ incorporates resonance parameters implicitly. For example, derivatives such as $X' = -k\theta^{-k-1}\theta' = -k\theta^{-k-1}(a_0 + a_1\theta + \cdots)$ must be computed, along with similar expressions for Y' and Z' . In autonomous systems, the coefficients are constants, simplifying calculations. The recursive relation's coefficient matrix determinant, a degree-three polynomial, shares roots (resonances) with the classical Painlevé test, and compatibility requires that the largest resonance j be satisfied before proceeding. Next, the Laurent series are truncated at each resonance level by introducing new variables, such as ξ at resonance j_1 ,

$$Y = \theta^{-l}(b_0 + b_1\theta + \cdots + \xi\theta^{j_1}),$$

and expressing ξ in terms of the resonance parameter r_1 ,

$$\xi = p_1r_1 + q_1 + b_{j_1+1}\theta + \cdots,$$

then substituting back into the series for Y and similarly for Z with variable η , truncated at its resonance r_2 . The new variables (θ, ξ, η) transform the original system into a mirror system, which remains regular if the original passes the Painlevé test. For higher-order systems, derivatives like θ'' are expanded using similar series, involving derivatives of a_i and their recursive relations. The method extends straightforwardly to PDE systems by including derivatives such as $\partial_y\theta$, with series coefficients depending on multiple variables and derivatives, e.g., $\partial_y Y = \theta^{-l}(\partial_y b_0 + (\partial_y b_1)\theta + \cdots) + \theta^{-l-1}((-l)b_0 + \cdots)(\partial_y\theta)$, where higher derivatives of θ are incorporated similarly. This comprehensive framework thus generalizes the Painlevé analysis [15], systematically constructing

mirror systems through Laurent series truncations and variable transformations that reveal integrability structures.

2.3 Riccati Linearization Framework

The core innovation is to linearize the θ_x equation into a Riccati form, which simplifies the nonlinear problem into a form amenable to direct analysis and construction of integrability structures [14]. For evolution equations, we postulate

$$\theta_x = R_0 + R_1\theta + R_2\theta^2, \quad (4)$$

where the coefficients R_j are functions depending on the expansion coefficients u_k , the singular manifold ϕ , and possibly auxiliary variables introduced during the process. This quadratic form captures the essential nonlinear relation in a linearizable structure. The time evolution is similarly linearized as

$$\theta_t = S_0 + S_1\theta + S_2\theta^2, \quad (5)$$

with coefficients S_j also depending on u_k and auxiliary functions. The key to the integrability condition is the compatibility between these two equations, which requires satisfying the cross-derivative identity

$$(\theta_x)_t - (\theta_t)_x = f(\theta) \cdot E(u), \quad (6)$$

where $E(u) = 0$ is the original nonlinear PDE. When the Riccati system is compatible, ensuring u solves the PDE, the relations determine the coefficients R_j and S_j and guarantee the spectral problem's consistency. The Bäcklund transformation naturally arises by eliminating θ between the indicial normalization and the Riccati equations, producing explicit solution relationships. This approach encapsulates integrability through the linearized Riccati system, forming the basis for constructing Lax pairs and BTs from the singularity structure.

2.4 Algorithmic Procedure

The algorithmic procedure begins with a leading order analysis to determine α via dominant balance; for example, in the case of mKdV, $u \sim u_0\phi^{-1}$ with $\alpha = 1$. Next, resonance positions are identified through linearized recursion relations, such as resonances at $j = -1, 1, 3, 4$ for mKdV. The series is then truncated at the highest resonance, up to $j = 4$, by including terms like $u = u_0\theta^{-1} + u_1 + u_2\theta$. Subsequently, variables are introduced at resonance positions via a mirror transformation, for instance, at $j = 4$, incorporating $\eta\theta$. Assuming

θ_x in the Riccati form with undetermined coefficients, these are substituted into the mirror system and solved recursively. Compatibility conditions enforce that the mixed derivatives $(\theta_x)_t$ and $(\theta_t)_x$ are equal, which helps determine auxiliary functions. Finally, solving the Riccati and normalization relations yields explicit Bäcklund transformations, thereby systematically deriving both the BTs and the associated Lax pair.

3 Illustrative Examples

Here, we showcase specific examples to demonstrate how our mirror system approach applies to a variety of integrable equations. These include classical models like the modified KdV equation, which exhibit well-known integrability properties, as well as more complex systems where the method provides new insights. In the following, we focus on the modified KdV equation to illustrate the step-by-step construction of its auto-Bäcklund transformation.

3.1 Modified KdV Equation

The mKdV equation

$$u_t + (u_{xx} - 2\alpha^{-2}u^3)_x = 0 \quad (7)$$

models nonlinear wave propagation in diverse physical contexts including plasma physics and nonlinear optics. Its complete integrability was established by Wadati [12] through inverse scattering. We demonstrate our method by rederiving its auto-BT.

3.1.1 Singularity Analysis

Dominant balance $u \sim u_0\phi^{-1}$ yields $u_0^2 = \alpha^2$ (two branches $\epsilon = \pm 1$). Resonances at $j = -1, 1, 3, 4$ suggest the expansion

$$u = u_0\theta^{-1} + u_1 + u_2\theta, \quad (8)$$

and the inclusion of the $u_2\theta$ term is crucial; omitting it results in a degenerate Miura map instead of capturing the full Bäcklund transformation, highlighting the importance of resonance terms for a complete integrability structure.

3.1.2 Mirror Transformation

Introducing ξ and η at resonances $j = 3$ and $j = 4$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{u_0}{\theta} + u_1 + u_2\theta, \\ v &= -\frac{\epsilon u_0^2}{\alpha}\theta^{-2} - \frac{2\epsilon u_0 u_1}{\alpha}\theta^{-1} + \left(\frac{\alpha^2 \theta_t}{2u_0} - \frac{2\epsilon u_0 u_2}{\alpha} - \frac{\epsilon u_1^2}{\alpha}\right) + \xi\theta, \\ w &= \frac{2u_0^3}{\alpha^2}\theta^{-3} + \frac{6u_0^2 u_1}{\alpha^2}\theta^{-2} + \dots + \eta\theta. \end{aligned}$$

The mirror system contains equations for θ_x, ξ_x, η_x . Notably, the equation for θ_x has the form

$$\theta_x = (u_0 - u_2\theta^2)^{-1} \left[\frac{\epsilon u_0^2}{\alpha} + \dots + (u_{2x} - \xi)\theta^3 \right], \quad (9)$$

which explicitly demonstrates how ξ influences the θ_x dynamics through the resonance term, enabling recursive derivation of Bäcklund transformations and illustrating the systematic incorporation of resonance variables within the mirror framework.

3.1.3 Riccati Linearization

Postulate the Riccati form

$$\theta_x = \frac{\epsilon u_0}{\alpha} + \left(\frac{2\epsilon u_1}{\alpha} + \frac{u_{0x}}{u_0}\right)\theta + \left(\frac{\epsilon u_1^2}{\alpha u_0} + \frac{3\epsilon u_2}{\alpha} + \frac{u_{1x}}{u_0} + h\right)\theta^2. \quad (10)$$

Comparing with (9) determines ξ in terms of θ . Substituting into higher equations yields θ_t and eventually

$$\theta_t = -\frac{2u_0^2 h}{\alpha^2} + \dots + g\theta^2.$$

Compatibility $(\theta_x)_t = (\theta_t)_x$ requires

$$(\theta_x)_t - (\theta_t)_x = -\frac{2\epsilon u_0 g}{\alpha}\theta + \dots.$$

Setting $u_0 = \epsilon\alpha$ (from leading order), $g = 0$, and $u_2 = -\epsilon\alpha\lambda^2$, we solve for h and find that

$$h = 2\lambda^2 - \frac{u_2}{\alpha^2} - \frac{\epsilon u_{1x}}{\alpha},$$

yielding the compatible system

$$\begin{cases} \theta_x = 1 + \frac{2\epsilon u_1}{\alpha} \theta - \lambda^2 \theta^2, \\ \theta_t = -4\lambda^2 + \frac{2u_1^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{2\epsilon u_{1x}}{\alpha} + \left(-\frac{8\epsilon \lambda^2 u_1}{\alpha} + \frac{4\epsilon u_1^3}{\alpha^3} - \frac{2\epsilon u_{1xx}}{\alpha} \right) \theta \\ \quad + \left(4\lambda^4 - \frac{2\lambda^2 u_1^2}{\alpha^2} + \frac{2\epsilon \lambda^2 u_{1x}}{\alpha} \right) \theta^2. \end{cases} \quad (11)$$

This Riccati system forms the Lax pair for the mKdV equation, linking the Riccati form, spectral parameter λ , and integrability. It provides a systematic way to derive solutions, transformations, and conservation laws, highlighting the deep connection between Riccati equations and the integrable structure of the system.

3.1.4 Bäcklund Transformation

When u_1 satisfies mKdV, the system is compatible. For arbitrary u_1 , we have

$$(\theta_x)_t - (\theta_t)_x = \frac{2\epsilon}{\alpha} \theta \cdot \text{mKdV}(u_1), \quad (12)$$

confirming the auto-BT property. Eliminating θ via $u = \epsilon \alpha \theta^{-1} + u_1 - \epsilon \alpha \lambda^2 \theta$ gives

$$\begin{aligned} u + u_1 &= \frac{\epsilon \alpha \theta_x}{\theta}, \\ u - u_1 &= -\epsilon \alpha \lambda^2 \theta + \frac{\epsilon \alpha}{\theta}. \end{aligned}$$

Solving for θ and substituting into the time component yields the symmetric auto-BT

$$\begin{cases} (U - U_1)_x = -2\alpha \lambda \sinh[\alpha^{-1}(U + U_1)], \\ (U - U_1)_t = 2\lambda (U_{xx} + U_{1xx}) \cosh[\alpha^{-1}(U + U_1)] \\ \quad - 2\alpha^{-1} \lambda (U_x^2 + U_{1x}^2) \sinh[\alpha^{-1}(U + U_1)], \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

where $U_x = u$, $U_{1x} = u_1$ satisfy the potential mKdV equation. This elegant form reveals the BT as a relation between sum and difference potentials. The parameter λ controls soliton velocity. For $\lambda = 0$, we recover the stationary solution. The sinh or cosh terms describe nonlinear superposition of solitons, with the spatial part governing phase shift and the temporal part governing amplitude modulation during interaction.

3.2 Fourth Painlevé Equation

The fourth Painlevé equation

$$u'' = \frac{(u')^2}{2u} + \frac{3}{2}u^3 + 4tu^2 + 2(t^2 - \alpha)u + \frac{\beta}{u} \quad (14)$$

arises in quantum gravity and nonlinear optics. Its Schlesinger transformations connect solutions with shifted parameters.

3.2.1 Singularity Analysis

Leading order $u \sim u_0\theta^{-1}$ yields $u_0^2 = 1$ ($\epsilon = \pm 1$). Resonances at $j = -1, 4$ suggest that

$$u = u_0\theta^{-1} + u_1, \quad (15)$$

and analyzing these resonances helps determine the conditions under which the Painlevé property and integrability are satisfied, guiding the construction of its Bäcklund transformation.

3.2.2 Mirror Transformation

Introducing ξ at $j = 4$ by

$$\begin{aligned} u &= \frac{u_0}{\theta} + u_1, \\ v &= -\frac{\epsilon u_0^2}{\theta^2} - \frac{2\epsilon u_0 u_1}{\theta} - \frac{\epsilon t}{2} - \epsilon u_1^2 - \left(\frac{1 + 2\epsilon\alpha}{2u_0}\right)\theta + \xi\theta^2. \end{aligned}$$

The mirror system derived from the truncation contains the θ' equation

$$\theta' = \epsilon u_0 + \left(2\epsilon(u_1 + t) + \frac{u'_0}{u_0}\right)\theta + \left(\frac{2 - 2\alpha\epsilon + \epsilon u_1(u_1 + 2t) + u'_1}{u_0}\right)\theta^2 - \frac{\xi}{u_0}\theta^3. \quad (16)$$

This system shows how ξ affects θ' at resonance $j = 4$, enabling Bäcklund construction and capturing key integrability features.

3.2.3 Riccati Linearization

Postulate the Riccati form

$$\theta' = \epsilon u_0 + \left(2\epsilon(u_1 + t) + \frac{u'_0}{u_0}\right)\theta + \left(\frac{2 - 2\alpha\epsilon + \epsilon u_1(u_1 + 2t) + u'_1}{u_0} + h\right)\theta^2. \quad (17)$$

Compatibility with the mirror system yields an algebraic equation for θ , which is $E_0 + E_1\theta + E_2\theta^2 = 0$. Setting $u_0 = \epsilon$ and $h = s - \epsilon u_0^{-1} u_1^2 / \alpha - 3\epsilon u_2 / \alpha - u_0^{-1} u_{1x}$, the compatibility condition becomes a polynomial in u_1 . Equating the highest coefficient to zero gives $s = (2/3)(A - \alpha)$ and parameter relations such as

$$2(A - \alpha)(A + \alpha - 2\epsilon) = 3(\beta - B), \quad (18)$$

$$9\beta + 2(\alpha + 2A - 3\epsilon)^2 = 0. \quad (19)$$

The Riccati form leads to key parameter relations and constraints, shaping the conditions for integrability and the structure of the solutions.

3.2.4 Schlesinger Transformation

Solving the system yields

$$u - u_1 = \frac{4(\alpha - A) u_1}{\epsilon(3u_1' + 6) + 3u_1^2 + 6tu_1 - 2A - 4\alpha}, \quad (20)$$

where u satisfies P4 with parameters (α, β) , u_1 with (A, B) . This agrees with known results [3] but is derived systematically. In random matrix theory, P4 describes the distribution of eigenvalues. The parameter shifts correspond to changing the size of the matrix ensemble, with the transformation generating scaled solutions.

The examples underscore several key aspects: first, *completeness*, as demonstrated by the mKdV case where including the $u_2\theta$ term is essential for deriving the full Bäcklund transformation; omitting it only produces the Miura map to KdV. Second, *parameter control* is highlighted by the emergence of the Bäcklund parameter λ as an integration constant from the compatibility conditions. Third, *unification* is evident, since both PDE and ODE systems are treated within the same Riccati linearization framework. Lastly, the approach's *algorithmic nature* allows for step-by-step implementation, making it straightforward to apply to other integrable equations using computational tools.

4 Systematic Construction of Lax Pairs

Understanding the intricate structure of singularities inherent in nonlinear integrable equations is crucial for uncovering their underlying properties. The Weiss-Tabor-Carnevale (WTC) singular manifold method provides a powerful,

constructive framework to analyze these singularities systematically. By examining solutions near movable singularities and employing series expansions, this method links the local behavior of solutions to the global algebraic and geometric structures characteristic of integrable systems. It enables the derivation of Bäcklund transformations and Lax pairs directly from the singularity structure, establishing a profound connection between the analytical properties of solutions and the integrability of the equation. This approach not only deepens our understanding of the nature of singularities but also offers practical tools for generating explicit solutions and associated integrability features.

4.1 Theoretical Framework of the Singular Manifold Truncation Method

The Weiss-Tabor-Carnevale (WTC) singular manifold method [13] represents a fundamental advancement in Painlevé analysis, extending its application to partial differential equations while providing a constructive approach to integrability. This method establishes a profound connection between the singularity structure of solutions and the algebraic properties of integrable systems.

For a nonlinear evolution equation

$$u_t = K(u, u_x, u_{xx}, \dots), \quad (21)$$

the WTC method examines solutions near a *singular manifold* $\phi(x, t) = 0$ where solutions exhibit movable singularities. The approach begins with the Laurent expansion

$$u(x, t) = \phi^{-\alpha} \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} u_j(x, t) \phi^j, \quad (22)$$

where α is a positive integer found through dominant balance analysis. The Painlevé property requires that $\phi(x, t)$ be analytic in both variables, the expansion be single-valued, and the recurrence relations for the coefficients u_j be self-consistent. Importantly, $\phi_x \neq 0$ and $\phi_t \neq 0$ on $\phi = 0$ to ensure the manifold is non-characteristic, which is crucial for the validity of the method.

The method truncates the series at $j = \alpha$,

$$u = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} u_j \phi^{j-\alpha}, \quad (23)$$

where ϕ becomes the singular manifold itself. This ansatz leads to the introduction of fundamental differential invariants such as

$$w = \frac{\phi_t}{\phi_x}, \quad v = \frac{\phi_{xx}}{\phi_x}, \quad s = v_x - \frac{1}{2}v^2. \quad (24)$$

These satisfy compatibility conditions from $\phi_{xt} = \phi_{tx}$,

$$v_t = (w_x + wv)_x, \quad (25)$$

$$s_t = w_{xxx} + 2sw_x + ws_x. \quad (26)$$

Under the homographic transformation $\phi \mapsto (a\phi + b)/(c\phi + d)$, w and s remain invariant while v transforms covariantly. This geometric property reflects the intrinsic structure of singularities.

The truncation (23) serves multiple purposes. It generates an auto-Bäcklund transformation where u_α represents a new solution; the coefficients u_j become functions of the invariants w, v, s and their derivatives; and these invariants capture how singularity manifolds deform under nonlinear evolution. This framework effectively shifts the focus of integrability analysis to understanding how singularities propagate and interact, linking the local behavior near singularities to the global properties of the solutions.

4.2 Constructing Lax Pairs: Step-by-Step Algorithm

The singular manifold method offers a systematic way to construct Lax pairs through six core steps. *Step 1:* leading order analysis determines the exponent α by balancing dominant terms, such as $\alpha = 2$ for KdV (balancing u_{xxx} and $6uu_x$) or $\alpha = 1$ for mKdV (balancing v_{xxx} and $6v^2v_x$). *Step 2:* truncated expansion involves substituting the ansatz $u = \sum_{j=0}^{\alpha} u_j \phi^{j-\alpha}$ into the PDE and solving recursively for the coefficients u_j . *Step 3:* resonance conditions identify points where arbitrary functions arise, which are then interpreted as spectral parameters. *Step 4:* wavefunction introduction sets $\phi_x = \psi^n$, typically with $n = 2$ for second-order Lax pairs, guided by the singularity structure. *Step 5:* Lax pair extraction involves deriving linear equations from the vanishing of coefficients: $L\psi = \lambda\psi$ and $\psi_t = A\psi$. *Step 6:* compatibility verification confirms that the Lax pair's compatibility condition $L_t = [A, L]$ reproduces the original PDE, ensuring the validity of the generated spectral problem.

The key transformation $\phi_x = \psi^2$ reveals a deep duality between the singularity space and the spectral space: movable poles correspond to discrete eigenvalues, the singular manifold ϕ relates to the wavefunction ψ , and invariants w, v, s match spectral parameters. This correspondence clarifies why

integrable systems preserve spectral data during nonlinear evolution, linking local singularity structure to global spectral properties.

4.3 KdV Equation: A Paradigm of Integrability

The Korteweg-de Vries equation

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = 0 \quad (27)$$

serves as the prototypical example for Lax pair construction via singular manifolds. Leading order analysis yields $\alpha = 2$ and $u_0^2 = -2\phi_x^2$. Resonance at $j = 4$ gives $u_1 = 2\phi_{xx}$. The truncated expansion becomes

$$u = 2 \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} \ln \phi + u_2. \quad (28)$$

Substituting into (27) yields

$$u_t + 6uu_x + u_{xxx} = \left[-\frac{4\phi_x^2}{\phi^2} \int \psi(\psi_t + 4\psi_{xxx} + 6u_2\psi_x + 3u_{2x}\psi) dx + \dots \right]_x, \quad (29)$$

where we set $\phi_x = \psi^2$. Vanishing coefficients produce the linear system

$$\psi_{xx} + (u_2 + \lambda)\psi = 0, \quad (30)$$

$$\psi_t + 4\psi_{xxx} + 6u_2\psi_x + 3u_{2x}\psi = 0. \quad (31)$$

The operator formulation is

$$L = -\partial_x^2 - u, \quad (32)$$

$$A = -4\partial_x^3 - 6u\partial_x - 3u_x. \quad (33)$$

The commutator identity $[L, A] = 6uu_x + u_{xxx}$ confirms that $L_t = [A, L]$ is equivalent to KdV. The spatial equation (30) corresponds to the time-independent Schrödinger equation, where $u(x, t)$ acts as a quantum potential, λ represents the energy eigenvalues conserved over time, and solitons are viewed as reflectionless potentials in inverse scattering theory. This interpretation explains the remarkable stability of KdV solitons during interactions, as their reflectionless nature ensures they retain their shape and speed after collisions, highlighting the deep connection between integrability, quantum mechanics, and wave propagation.

4.4 Modified KdV Equation: Dual Integrable Structure

The modified KdV equation

$$v_t - 6v^2v_x + v_{xxx} = 0 \quad (34)$$

exhibits a profound connection to KdV through singularity analysis. With $\alpha = 1$ and $v_1^2 = \phi_x^2$, the truncated expansion is

$$v = v_1\phi^{-1} + v_2. \quad (35)$$

Substituting $\phi_x = \psi^2$ into (7) yields

$$v_t - 6v^2v_x + v_{xxx} = \frac{12\psi^6v_2}{\phi^3} + \frac{1}{\phi} \left[2\psi(\psi_t + 4\psi_{xxx} + 6v_2\psi_x + 3v_{2x}\psi) \right]_x + \dots \quad (36)$$

Vanishing coefficients give

$$\psi_{xx} + (v_2 + \lambda)\psi = 0, \quad (37)$$

$$\psi_t + 4\psi_{xxx} + 6v_2\psi_x + 3v_{2x}\psi = 0. \quad (38)$$

Remarkably, this is identical to the KdV Lax pair. The Miura transformation $u = v_x - v^2$ emerges as a gauge equivalence between spectral problems, explaining the hierarchical relationship between these equations.

For the mKdV soliton solution

$$v = \kappa \operatorname{sech}(\kappa x - \kappa^3 t), \quad (39)$$

the Lax pair captures key features such as phase shifts occurring during soliton collisions, the amplitude-dependent velocity characteristic of the solution, and the overall complete integrability of the system through the inverse scattering method.

4.5 Significance and Theoretical Implications

The singular manifold method offers deep insights into integrability and has wide-ranging applications: it provides a unified framework for testing integrability by simultaneously confirming the Painlevé property, constructing Lax pairs, and generating Bäcklund transformations, thus streamlining the analysis of nonlinear systems. Its geometric foundation is rooted in differential invariants w, v, s , which characterize key features such as the manifold's curvature (s), the propagation of singularities (w), and nonlinear dispersion (v), linking

geometric properties directly to the system's integrable structure. Additionally, the transformation $\phi_x = \psi^2$ establishes a profound physical interpretation by connecting the local singularity structure to the quantum scattering problem, highlighting how spectral data are encoded in the singular manifold and providing a bridge between nonlinear wave theory and quantum mechanics. This synergy underscores the method's importance in understanding both the mathematical and physical aspects of integrability.

Theoretical advances of the singular manifold method include the concept of singularity-spectral duality, which establishes a correspondence between the two spaces: pole order α relates to asymptotic decay, resonance positions correspond to the discrete spectrum, and the ϕ -expansion aligns with spectral decomposition. This duality links local singularity features to global spectral properties, providing a powerful framework for understanding integrability. Additionally, the method uncovers fundamental aspects of algebraic integrability, showing that Lax pairs can be viewed as the linearization of singularity manifolds, Bäcklund transformations emerge naturally from the residual terms of truncation, and conservation laws are directly connected to invariants governing the singularity structure. These insights solidify the approach as a unifying tool that bridges geometric, algebraic, and spectral facets of integrable systems.

Applications and extensions of the singular manifold method encompass the classification of novel integrable systems through singularity analysis, the exploration of nonlocal equations such as PT-symmetric systems, the discretization of integrable equations via lattice Lax pairs derived from discrete singularities, and the extension to multidimensional systems like the KP hierarchy using jet bundle frameworks. These diverse applications highlight the method's versatility in uncovering integrability across various contexts. Ultimately, the singular manifold approach remains an indispensable tool for decoding the algebraic and geometric structures underpinning integrability, as the nature of singularities fundamentally dictates the behavior and evolution of solutions.

5 Conclusion

We have developed a comprehensive framework for constructing Bäcklund transformations and Lax pairs using a truncation method. This method integrates singularity analysis with extended expansions to resolve degeneracies, allowing for explicit derivations across a wide range of integrable systems. The approach uncovers a fundamental equivalence between Riccati systems and Lax pairs, with physical interpretations such as the Bäcklund parameter

representing soliton velocities, the Riccati structure embodying the linear scattering problem, and Schlesinger transformations corresponding to parameter shifts in associated models. While currently focused on second-order equations, future work aims to extend the methodology to higher-order, nonlocal, and discrete systems, along with the development of computational tools for automation. Ultimately, this work highlights that the core of integrability is encoded in the algebraic and geometric structures of singularities, which serve as the foundation for exploring and understanding nonlinear equations across diverse scientific fields.

References

- [1] M. J. Ablowitz, A. Ramani, and H. Segur, A connection between nonlinear evolution equations and ordinary differential equations of P-type. I, *J. Math. Phys.*, **19** (1980), 715–721. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.524491>
- [2] P. A. Clarkson, N. Joshi, and A. Pickering, Bäcklund transformations for the second Painlevé hierarchy: a modified truncation approach, *Inverse Prob.*, **15** (1999), 175–187. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0266-5611/15/1/019>
- [3] A. S. Fokas and M. J. Ablowitz, On a unified approach to transformations and elementary solutions of Painlevé equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **23** (1982), 2033–2042. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.525260>
- [4] C. S. Gardner, J. M. Greene, M. D. Kruskal, and R. M. Miura, Method for solving the Korteweg-de Vries equation, *Phys. Rev. Lett.*, **19** (1967), 1095–1097. <https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.19.1095>
- [5] P. R. Gordoa, N. Joshi, and A. Pickering, Mappings preserving locations of movable poles: a new extension of the truncation method to ordinary differential equations, *Nonlinearity*, **12** (1999), 955–968. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/12/4/313>
- [6] J. Hu and M. Yan, Singularity analysis for integrable systems by their mirrors, *Nonlinearity*, **12** (1999), 1531–1543. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0951-7715/12/6/306>
- [7] J. Hu and M. Yan, The mirror systems of integrable equations, *Stud. Appl. Math.*, **104** (2000), 67–90. <https://doi.org/10.1111/1467-9590.00131>

- [8] P. D. Lax, Integrals of nonlinear equations of evolution and solitary waves, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.*, **21** (1968), 467–490.
<https://doi.org/10.1002/cpa.3160210503>
- [9] U. Mugan and A. S. Fokas, Schlesinger transformations of Painlevé II–V, *J. Math. Phys.*, **33** (1992), 2031–2045. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.529626>
- [10] M. Musette and R. Conte, The two-singular-manifold method: I. modified Korteweg-de Vries and sine-Gordon equations, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.*, **27** (1994), 3895–3913. <https://doi.org/10.1088/0305-4470/27/11/036>
- [11] A. Pickering, The singular manifold method revisited, *J. Math. Phys.*, **37** (1996), 1894–1927. <https://doi.org/10.1063/1.531485>
- [12] M. Wadati, The exact solution of the modified Korteweg-de Vries equation, *J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.*, **32** (1975), 1681.
<https://doi.org/10.1143/JPSJ.32.1681>
- [13] J. Weiss, M. Tabor, and G. Carnevale, The Painlevé property for partial differential equations, *J. Math. Phys.*, **24** (1983), 522–526.
<https://doi.org/10.1063/1.525721>
- [14] T. L. Yee, Linearization of Mirror Systems, *J. Nonlinear Math. Phys.*, **9** (2002), 235–243. <https://doi.org/10.2991/jnmp.2002.9.s1.19>
- [15] T. L. Yee, A New Perturbative Approach in Nonlinear Singularity Analysis, *J. Math. Stat.*, **7** (2011), 249–254.
<https://doi.org/10.3844/jmssp.2011.249.254>

Received: July 7, 2025; Published: July 15, 2025