

A Unique Common Fixed Point Theorem for Six Non Self-Maps in Metric Spaces

¹K. Prudhvi and ²M. Rangamma

Department of Mathematics, Osmania University
Hyderabad-500 007, Andhra Pradesh, India
¹prudhvikasani@rocketmail.com
²rangamma1999@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, we prove a unique common fixed point theorem for six non self-maps in a metric space. Which generalizes and extends the results of S.L.Singh, Apichai Hematulin and Rajendra Pant [16].

Keywords: Coincidence point; Fixed Point ;Banach Contraction; Asymptotic regularity

1. Introduction

The well known Banach Fixed Point Theorem has been generalized and extended by many authors in various ways. In 2006 Proinov[12] has obtained two types of generalizations of Banach fixed point theorem. The first type involves Meir-Keeler type conditions (See, for instance, Cho et al.[3], Jachymski[4], Lim[8], Matkowski[9], Park and Rhoades[11]) and second type involves contractive gauge functions (see, for instance ,Boyd and Wong [1] and Kim et al. [7]). Proinov [12] obtained equilance between these two types of contractive conditions and also obtained a new fixed point theorem. Recently S.L.Singh et al.[16] have extended Proinov [12]Theorem 4.1 for three non self-maps. In this paper we extend the Theorem 2.7 of S.L.Singh et al.[16] for six non self-maps.

In all that follows Y is an arbitrary non-empty set, (X,d) a metric space and $N=\{1,2,3,\dots\}$. For $T, f : Y \rightarrow X$, let $C(T, f)$ denote the set of coincidence points of T and f , that is $C(T, f) = \{z \in Y : Tz = fz\}$.

We define common asymptotic regularity of two functions in the following way.

Definition 1.1. Let A, B, S, T and f, g be maps on Y with values in a metric space (X, d) . The pairs (A, B) and (S, T) are said to be a common asymptotically regular with respect to f and g respectively at $x_0 \in Y$ if there exists $\{x_n\}$ in Y such that

$$\begin{aligned}fx_{2n+1} &= Ax_{2n} = Sx_{2n+2} = gx_{2n+3}, \\fx_{2n+2} &= Bx_{2n+1} = Tx_{2n+3} = gx_{2n+4}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\end{aligned}$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$.

Definition 1.2. (see [16]). Let $T, f: X \rightarrow X$. Then the pair (T, f) is (IT)-Commuting at $z \in X$ if $Tfz = fTz$ with $Tz = fz$. They are (IT)-Commuting on X (also called weakly compatible, by Jungck and Rhoades [6]) if $Tfz = fTz$ for all $z \in X$ such that $Tz = fz$.

Definition 1.3: (see [12], Definition 2.1(i)). Let Φ denote the class of all functions $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfying: for any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $\delta > \varepsilon$ such that $\varepsilon < t < \delta$ implies $\varphi(t) \leq \varepsilon$.

2. Main Result

The following Theorem improves and extends the Theorem 2.7 of [16].

Theorem 2.3. : Let A, B, S, T and f, g be maps on an arbitrary non-empty set Y with values in a metric space (X, d) . Let the pairs (A, B) and (S, T) be a common asymptotically regular with respect to f and g respectively at $x_0 \in Y$ and the following conditions are satisfied:

$$(E1) : A(Y) \cup B(Y) \cup S(Y) \cup T(Y) \subseteq f(Y) (=g(Y));$$

$$(E2) : d(Ax, By) \leq \varphi(h_1(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in Y,$$

Where $h_1(x, y) = d(fx, fy) + \gamma[d(Ax, fx) + d(By, fy)]$, for some $\gamma (0 \leq \gamma \leq 1)$ and $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+$ a Continuous function with $\varphi(t) < t$ for all $t > 0$.

$$(E3) : d(Sx, Ty) \leq \varphi(h_2(x, y)) \text{ for all } x, y \in Y,$$

Where $h_2(x, y) = d(gx, gy) + \gamma[d(Sx, gx) + d(Ty, gy)]$.

If $A(Y)$ or $B(Y)$ or $S(Y)$ or $T(Y)$ or $f(Y) (=g(Y))$ is a complete subspace of X , then

(i) : $C(A, f)$ is non-empty.

(ii) : $C(S, g)$ is non-empty.

(iii) : $C(B, f)$ is non-empty.

(iv) : $C(T, g)$ is non-empty.

Further if $Y = X$, then

(v) : A and f have a common fixed point provided that A and f are (IT)-commuting at a point $u \in C(A, f)$.

(vi) : B and f have a common fixed point provided that B and f are (IT)-commuting at a point $v \in C(B, f)$.

(vii) : S and g have a common fixed point provided that S and g

- are (IT)-commuting at a point $u^1 \in C(S, g)$.
- (viii): T and g have a common fixed point provided that T and g are (IT)-commuting at a point $v^1 \in C(T, g)$.
- (ix): A, B, S, T and f, g have a unique common fixed point provided that (v), (vi), (vii) and (viii) all are true.

Proof: Let x_0 be an arbitrary point in Y . Since the pairs (A, B) and (S, T) are a common asymptotically regular with respect to f and g respectively at $x_0 \in Y$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in Y such that

$$f x_{2n+1} = A x_{2n} = S x_{2n+2} = g x_{2n+3},$$

$$f x_{2n+2} = B x_{2n+1} = T x_{2n+3} = g x_{2n+4}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_n, fx_{n+1}) = 0 = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(gx_n, gx_{n+1})$.

First we shall show that $\{fx_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence. Suppose $\{fx_n\}$ is not Cauchy sequence. Then there exists $\mu > 0$ and increasing Sequences $\{m_k\}$ and $\{n_k\}$ of positive integers such that m_k even and n_k odd and for all k , $m_k < n_k$,

$$d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) \geq \mu \text{ and } d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k-1}) < \mu \tag{2.1}$$

By the triangle inequality,

$$d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) \leq d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k-1}) + d(fx_{n_k-1}, fx_{n_k}).$$

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) < \mu.$$

Therefore there exists k_0 such that

$$d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) < \mu \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \tag{2.2}$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we get that

$$\mu \leq d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) < \mu \quad \forall k \geq k_0 \text{ implies } \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) = \mu.$$

By (E2), we have

$$d(fx_{m_k+1}, fx_{n_k+1}) = d(Ax_{m_k}, Bx_{n_k}) \leq \varphi(h_1(x_{m_k}, x_{n_k}))$$

$$= \varphi(d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) + \gamma[d(Ax_{m_k}, fx_{m_k}) + d(Bx_{n_k}, fx_{n_k})])$$

That is $d(fx_{m_k+1}, fx_{n_k+1}) \leq \varphi(d(fx_{m_k}, fx_{n_k}) + \gamma[d(fx_{m_k+1}, fx_{m_k}) + d(fx_{n_k+1}, fx_{n_k})])$.

Letting $k \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\mu \leq \varphi(\mu) < \mu, \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Thus $\{fx_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Similarly we can prove that $\{gx_n\}$ is Cauchy sequence.

Suppose $f(Y) (=g(Y))$ is a complete sub space of X . Then $\{y_n\}$ where $y_n = \{fx_n\}$, being Cauchy sequence in $f(Y)$ which is complete has a limit in $f(Y)$ say z .

Let $u = f^{-1}z$. Thus $fu = z$ for some $u \in Y$. Note that the sub sequences $\{fx_{2n+1}\}$ and $\{fx_{2n+2}\}$ also converges to z . By E(2), we obtain

$$d(Au, Bx_{2n+1}) \leq \varphi(h_1(u, x_{2n+1}))$$

$$= \varphi(d(fu, fx_{2n+1}) + \gamma[d(Au, fu) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, fx_{2n+2})]).$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d(Au, z) &\leq \varphi(d(fu, z) + \gamma[d(Au, fu) + 0]) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(z, z) + \gamma[d(Au, fu)]), \\ d(Au, fu) &\leq \varphi(\gamma d(Au, fu)) < d(Au, fu), \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $Au=fu=z$. Thus $C(A, f)$ is non empty . This proves (i). (2.3)

Since we get $\{gx_n\}$ is a cauchy sequence in $f(Y)$ which is complete.

Hence $\{gx_n\}$ has a limit in $f(Y)$. Note that $\lim(fx_n) = \lim(gx_n)$.

we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} gx_n = z \in g(Y)$. Therefore there exists $u^1 \in Y$ such that $gu^1 = z$

implies $u^1 = g^{-1}z$. Note that the subsequences $\{gx_{2n+3}\}$ and $\{gx_{2n+4}\}$ are also converges to z . By E(3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su^1, Tx_{2n+3}) &\leq \varphi(h_2(u^1, x_{2n+3})) \\ &= \varphi(d(gu^1, gx_{2n+3}) + \gamma[d(Su^1, gu^1) + d(Tx_{2n+3}, gx_{2n+3})]) \\ &= \varphi(d(gu^1, gx_{2n+3}) + \gamma[d(Su^1, gu^1) + d(gx_{2n+4}, gx_{2n+3})]). \end{aligned}$$

Letting $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that

$$\begin{aligned} d(Su^1, gu^1) &\leq \varphi(d(z, z) + \gamma[d(Su^1, gu^1) + d(z, z)]) \\ &\leq \varphi(\gamma d(Su^1, gu^1)) < d(Su^1, gu^1), \text{ a contradiction.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $su^1 = gu^1 = z$. Thus $C(S, g)$ is non-empty. This proves (ii). (2.4)

In view of (2.3) and (2.4) it follows that

$$Au=fu=Su^1=gu^1=z. \quad (2.5)$$

Since $A(Y) \cup B(Y) \cup S(Y) \cup T(Y) \subseteq f(Y) (=g(Y))$.

Therefore there exists $v, v^1 \in Y$ such that

$$Au = fv \quad \text{and} \quad su^1 = gv^1. \quad (2.6)$$

We claim that $fv = Bv$ and $gv^1 = Tv^1$.

Using (E2) and (E3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(fv, Bv) = d(Au, Bv) &\leq \varphi(h_1(u, v)) \\ &= \varphi(d(fu, fv) + \gamma[d(Au, fu) + d(Bv, fv)]) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(Au, Au) + \gamma[(d(Au, Au) + d(fv, Bv))]), \end{aligned}$$

or $d(fv, Bv) \leq \varphi(d(z, z) + \gamma[(d(z, z) + d(fv, Bv))])$,

$d(fv, Bv) \leq \varphi(\gamma d(fv, Bv)) < d(fv, Bv)$, a contradiction.

Therefore $fv=Bv$. (2.7)

In view of (2.7), (2.6) and (2.3) it follows

$$Bv = fv = Au = fu = z. \quad (2.8)$$

From (2.5) and (2.8) it follows

$$Bv = fv = Au = fu = Su^1 = gu^1 = z. \quad (2.9)$$

Thus $C(B, f)$ is non-empty. This proves (iii).

Now using (E3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(gv^1, Tv^1) = d(su^1, Tv^1) &\leq \varphi(h_2(u^1, v^1)) \\ &= \varphi(d(gu^1, gv^1) + \gamma[d(su^1, gu^1) + d(Tv^1, gv^1)]) \\ &= \varphi(d(z, z) + \gamma[d(z, z) + d(gv^1, Tv^1)]), \end{aligned}$$

$d(gv^1, Tv^1) \leq \varphi(\gamma d(gv^1, Tv^1)) < d(gv^1, Tv^1)$, a contradiction.

Therefore $Tv^1 = gv^1$. (2.10)

In view of (2.5), (2.6) and (2.10) it follows

$$Tv^1 = gv^1 = Su^1 = gu^1 = z. \quad (2.11)$$

Thus $C(T,g)$ is non-empty. This proves (iv).

In view of (2.9), (2.11) it follows

$$Au = fu = Bv = fv = Su^1 = gu^1 = Tv^1 = gv^1 . \tag{2.12}$$

Now, if $Y=X$, (A, f) , (B, f) and $(S, g), (T, g)$ are (IT)-Commuting, then

$$\begin{aligned} Afu = fAu \text{ implies } AAu = Afu = fAu = ffu, \\ Bfv = fBv \text{ implies } BBv = Bfv = fBv = ffv, \\ Sgu^1 = gSu^1 \text{ implies } SSu^1 = Sgu^1 = gSu^1 = ggu^1 \\ Tgv^1 = gTv^1 \text{ implies } TTv^1 = Tgv^1 = gTv^1 = ggv^1. \end{aligned} \tag{2.13}$$

In view of (E2) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(AAu, Au) = d(AAu, Bv) \leq \varphi (h_1(Au, v)) \\ = \varphi (d(fAu, fv) + \gamma[d(AAu, fAu) + d(Bv, fv)]), \end{aligned}$$

$$d(AAu, Au) \leq \varphi (\gamma d(AAu, Au)) < d(AAu, Au), \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore $AAu = Au = fAu (=z)$,

$$Au \text{ is a common fixed point of } A \text{ and } f. \tag{2.14}$$

Similarly, we get $BBv = Bv$.

$$\text{Therefore } Bv \text{ is a common fixed point of } B \text{ and } f. \tag{2.15}$$

Followed by (2.13)

$$BBv = Bv = fBv (=z).$$

Since $Au = Bv$. From (2.14) and (2.15), we conclude that

$$Au (=z) \text{ is a common fixed point of } A, B \text{ and } f. \tag{2.16}$$

Now in view of (E3), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(SSu^1, Su^1) = d(SSu^1, Tv^1) \leq \varphi (h_2(Su^1, v^1)) \\ = \varphi(d(gSu^1, gv^1) + \gamma[d(SSu^1, gSu^1) + d(Tv^1, gv^1)]), \end{aligned}$$

$$d(SSu^1, Su^1) \leq \varphi (\gamma d(SSu^1, Su^1)) < d(SSu^1, Su^1), \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore $SSu^1 = Su^1$, $SSu^1 = gSu^1 = Su^1 = z$,

$$Su^1 \text{ is a common fixed point of } S \text{ and } g. \tag{2.17}$$

Similarly we get $TTv^1 = gTv^1 = Tv^1 = z$,

$$Tv^1 \text{ is a common fixed point of } T \text{ and } g. \tag{2.18}$$

Since $Su^1 = Tv^1 = z$. From (2.17) and (2.18), we conclude that

$$Su^1 (=z) \text{ is common fixed point of } S, T \text{ and } g. \tag{2.19}$$

Since $Au = Su^1 (=z)$. Therefore from (2.16) and (2.19), we conclude that A, B, S, T and f, g are having a common fixed point. The proof is similar when $A(Y)$ or $B(Y)$ or $S(Y)$ or $T(Y)$ are complete subspaces of X . Since $A(Y) \cup B(Y) \cup S(Y) \cup T(Y) \subseteq f(Y) (=g(Y))$. Finally, in order to prove uniqueness, let w be a common fixed point of A, B, S, T and f, g .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Consider } d(z, w) = d(Az, Bw) \leq \varphi (h_1(z, w)) \\ = \varphi (d(fz, fw) + \gamma[d(fz, Az) + d(fw, Bw)]), \end{aligned}$$

$$d(z, w) \leq \varphi ((d(z, w)) < d(z, w), \text{ a contradiction.}$$

Therefore $z = w$. Hence A, B, S, T and f, g have unique common fixed point.

References

- [1] D.W.Boyd and J.S.W.Wong, on nonlinear contractions, Proc. Amer.Math. Soc. **20** (1966),458-464.
- [2] F.E.Browder and W.V.Petershyn, The solution by iteration of nonlinear functional equations in Banach Spaces,Bull.Amer.Math.Soc **72** (1966), 571-575.
- [3] Y.J.Cho,P.P.Murthy and G.Jungck ,A theorem of Meir-Keeler type revisited Internat J.Math. Math. Sci. **23** (2000), 507-511.
- [4] J.Jachymski,Equivalent conditions and the Meir–Keeler type theorems, J.Math. Anal. Appl.**194** (1995), 293-303.
- [5] G.Jungck,Commuting mappings and fixed points,Amer.Math.Monthly **83** (1976),261-263.
- [6] G.Jungck and B.E.Rhoades, Fixed points for set – valued functions without continuity Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.**29**,no.3 (1988),227-238.
- [7] K.H.Kim,S.M.Kang and Y.J.Cho,Common fixed point of Φ -contractive mappings,East.Asian Math.J.**15** (1999),211-222.
- [8] T.C.Lim, on characterization of Meir –Keeler contractive maps, Non linear Anal. **46** (2001), 113-120
- [9] J.Matkowski, Fixed point theorems for contractive mappings in metric spaces, Cas. Pest. Mat. **105** (1980),341-344.
- [10] A.Meir and E.Keeler, A theorem on contraction mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl.**28** (1969),326-329.
- [11] S.Park and B.E.Rhoades, Meir –Keeler type contractive conditions,Math. Japon. **26** (1981), 13-20.
- [12] P.D.Proinov,Fixed point theorems in metric spaces ,Non linear Anal.**64** (2006), 546-557.

- [13] B.E.Rhoades ,S.L.Singh and Chitra Kulshrestha,Coincidence theorems for Some multivalued mappings. *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **7**, no.3(1984), 429-434.
- [14] K.P.R.Sastry, S.V.R.Naidu, I.H.N.Rao and K.P.R.Rao, Common fixed points for asymptotically regular mappings, *Indian J.Pure Appl.Math.* **15**, no 8 (1984), 849- 854.
- [15] S.L.Singh, K.Ha and Y.J,Cho, Coincidence and fixed point of nonlinear hybrid contractions, *Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **12**, no.2(1989), 247-256.
- [16] S.L.Singh, Apichai Hematulin and Rajendra pant, New coincidence and Common fixed point theorems, *Applied General Topology* Vol.**10**,No.1(2009),121-130.

Received: May, 2011