

A Sequence Space Defined by a Sequence of Modulus Functions

Kuldip Raj, Sunil K. Sharma, Amit Gupta and Anil Kumar

School of Mathematics
Shri Mata Vaishno Devi University
Katra-182320, J&K, India
kuldeepraj68@hotmail.com
sunilksharma42@yahoo.co.in

Abstract. In the present paper we study a sequence space $m(F, \varphi, p)$ defined by a sequence of modulus functions and examine some topological properties of this space.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 40A05, 46A45

Keywords: sequence space, modulus function, completeness, normal space, Banach space, symmetric space

1. Introduction and Preliminaries

A function $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be a modulus function if it satisfies the following:

1. $f(x) = 0$ if and only if $x = 0$;
2. $f(x + y) \leq f(x) + f(y)$ for all $x \geq 0, y \geq 0$;
3. f is increasing;
4. f is continuous from right at 0.

It follows that f must be continuous everywhere on $[0, \infty)$. The modulus function may be bounded or unbounded. For example, if we take $f(x) = \frac{x}{x+1}$, then $f(x)$ is bounded. If $f(x) = x^p, 0 < p < 1$, then $f(x)$ is unbounded. For more details see ([1], [2], [5] etc.).

Let w be the set of all sequences, real or complex numbers $x = (x_k)$ normed by $\|x\| = \sup_k |x_k|$, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$, the set of positive integers.

Let \mathcal{C} denote the space whose elements are finite sets of distinct positive integers. Given any elements σ of \mathcal{C} , we denote by $c(\sigma)$ the sequence $\{c_n(\sigma)\}$ which is such that $c_n(\sigma) = 1$ if $n \in \sigma$, $c_n(\sigma) = 0$ otherwise. Further

$$\mathcal{C}_s = \left\{ \sigma \in \mathcal{C} : \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} c_n(\sigma) \leq s \right\},$$

be the set of those σ whose support has cardinality at most s see[3]. Throughout the paper φ_n denotes a non-decreasing sequence of positive numbers such that $n\varphi_{n+1} \leq (n+1)\varphi_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

If $x = (x_k)$ is a sequence, then $S(x)$ denotes the set of all permutation of the elements of (x_k) . A sequence space E is said to be symmetric if $S(x) \subset E$ for all $x \in E$. A sequence space E is said to be solid if $(y_n) \in E$ whenever $(x_n) \in E$ and $|y_n| \leq |x_n|$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

A BK-space is a Banach sequence space E in which the coordinate maps are continuous, i.e if $(x_k^{(n)}) \in E$, then

$$\begin{aligned} & \| (x_k^{(n)}) - (x_k) \| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty \\ \Rightarrow & |(x_k^{(n)}) - (x_k)| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ for each fixed } k. \end{aligned}$$

The space $m(\varphi)$ was defined and introduced by Sargent [4] as follows:

$$m(\varphi) = \left\{ x = \{x_k\} \in w : \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

The space $m(\varphi)$ was extended to (m, φ, p) by Tripathy and Sen [6] as follows:

$$m(\varphi, p) = \left\{ x = \{x_k\} \in w : \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s} \left(\frac{1}{\varphi_s} \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k|^p \right) < \infty \right\}.$$

Let $F = (f_k)$ be a sequence of modulus functions. In this paper we define the sequence space $m(F, \varphi, p)$ as :

$$m(F, \varphi, p) = \left\{ x = (x_k) \in w : \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty, \text{ for some } \rho > 0 \right\}.$$

2. Main Results

Theorem 1. *The space $m(F, \varphi, p)$ is complete.*

Proof. Let $\{x^{(n)}\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in $m(F, \varphi, p)$. Then

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k^{(n)}|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} < \infty,$$

for some $\rho > 0$ and for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. For each $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a positive integer n_0 such that

$$\|x^{(m)} - x^{(n)}\|_{m(F, \varphi, p)} < \epsilon, \text{ for all } m, n \geq n_0.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k^{(m)} - x_k^{(n)}|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} < \epsilon \tag{1}$$

for some $\rho > 0$ and for all $m, n \geq n_0$. Hence $|x_k^{(m)} - x_k^{(n)}| < \epsilon \varphi_1$ for all $m, n \geq n_0$ and for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, showing that for each fixed $k (1 \leq k < \infty)$, the sequence $\{x_k^{(n)}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in \mathbb{C} .

Let $x_k^{(n)} \rightarrow x_k$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. We define $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots)$. We need to show that $x \in m(F, \varphi, p)$ and $x^{(n)} \rightarrow x$. From (1) we get, for each fixed s .

$$\sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k^{(m)} - x_k^{(n)}|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p < \epsilon^p \varphi_s^p, \text{ for some } \rho > 0, \text{ for all } m, n \geq n_0 \text{ and } \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s.$$

Taking $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get

$$\sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k^{(m)} - x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p < \epsilon^p \varphi_s^p, \text{ for some } \rho > 0, \text{ for all } m, n \geq n_0 \text{ and } \sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in \mathcal{C}_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k^{(m)} - x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} < \epsilon \tag{2}$$

for some $\rho > 0$, for all $m, n \geq n_0$.

$$\Rightarrow x^{(n)} - x \in m(F, \varphi, p), \text{ for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Hence $x = x^{(n_0)} + x - x^{(n_0)} \in m(F, \varphi, p)$ as $m(F, \varphi, p)$ is a linear space. From (2), $\|x^{(n)} - x\|_{m(F, \varphi, p)} < \epsilon$, for all $m, n \geq n_0$, which implies that $\|x^{(n)} - x\|_{m(F, \varphi, p)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence $m(F, \varphi, p)$ ($1 \leq p < \infty$) is a Banach space.

Theorem 2. *The space $m(F, \varphi, p)$ is a BK-space.*

Proof. Suppose that $\|x^{(n)} - x\|_{m(F, \varphi, p)} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\|x^{(n)} - x\| < \epsilon \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k^{(n)} - x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} < \epsilon \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0.$$

Consequently

$$|x_k^{(n)} - x_k| < \epsilon \varphi_1, \quad \text{for all } n \geq n_0 \text{ and for all } k. \text{ So } |x_k^{(n)} - x_k| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

This completes the proof.

Corollary 3.(i) *The space $m(F, \varphi, p)$ is a symmetric space. If $x \in m(F, \varphi, p)$ and $v \in S(x)$, then*

$$\|v\|_{m(F, \varphi, p)} = \|x\|_{m(F, \varphi, p)}.$$

(ii) *The space $m(F, \varphi, p)$ is a normal space.*

Proof. It is obvious.

Theorem 4. $m(\varphi) \subseteq m(F, \varphi, p)$.

Proof. Suppose that $x \in m(\varphi)$. Then

$$\|x\|_{m(\varphi)} = \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| \right\} = K < \infty.$$

Hence for each fixed s ,

$$\sum_{k \in \sigma} |x_k| \leq K \varphi_s, \quad \sigma \in C_s, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0$$

so that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \leq K, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0.$$

Thus $x \in m(F, \varphi, p)$. Hence $m(\varphi) \subseteq m(F, \varphi, p)$.

Theorem 5. $m(F, \varphi, p) \subseteq m(F, \psi, p)$ if and only if $\sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\varphi_s}{\psi_s} \right) < \infty$.

Proof. Let $\sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\varphi_s}{\psi_s} \right) = K < \infty$. Then $\varphi_s \leq K\psi_s$. Now if $(x_k) \in m(F, \varphi, p)$, then

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \left[\frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \right] < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0.$$

This implies that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \left[\frac{1}{K\psi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \right] < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0,$$

so that $\|x\|_{m(F, \psi, p)} < \infty$. Hence $m(F, \varphi, p) \subseteq m(F, \psi, p)$.

Conversely, suppose that $m(F, \varphi, p) \subseteq m(F, \psi, p)$. We need to show that

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \left(\frac{\varphi_s}{\psi_s} \right) = \sup_{s \geq 1} (\eta_s) < \infty.$$

Let $\sup_{s \geq 1} (\eta_s) = \infty$. Then there exists a subsequence (η_{s_i}) of (η_s) such that $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} (\eta_{s_i}) = \infty$. Then for $(x_k) \in m(F, \varphi, p)$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \left[\frac{1}{\psi_s} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \right] &\geq \sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \left[\frac{\psi_{s_i}}{\varphi_{s_i}} \left\{ \sum_{k \in \sigma} \left[f_k \left(\frac{|x_k|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \right] \\ &= \infty. \end{aligned}$$

for some $\rho > 0$. This implies that $(x_k) \notin m(F, \psi, p)$, a contradiction which completes the proof.

Theorem 6. $\ell^p \subseteq m(F, \varphi, p) \subset \ell^\infty$.

Proof. Since $m(F, \varphi, p) = \ell^p$ for $f_k(x) = x$ and $\varphi_n = 1$, for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it follows that $\ell^p \subseteq m(F, \varphi, p)$. Next, let $x \in m(F, \varphi, p)$. Then

$$\sup_{s \geq 1} \sup_{\sigma \in C_s} \left[\frac{1}{\varphi_s} \left\{ \sum_{n \in \sigma} \left[f_n \left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho} \right) \right]^p \right\}^{1/p} \right] = K < \infty, \quad \text{for some } \rho > 0.$$

This implies that $|x_k| \leq K\varphi_1$, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, so that $x \in \ell^\infty$. Thus $m(F, \varphi, p) \subset \ell^\infty$.

REFERENCES

- [1] T., Bilgen, *On statistical convergence*, An. Univ. Timisoara Ser. Math. Inform. 32, (1994), 3-7.
- [2] E., Malkowsky and E., Savas, *Some λ -sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Archivum Mathematicum, 36(2000), 219-228.

- [3] M., Mursaleen, *Some geometric properties of a sequence space related to l_p* , Bull. Aust. Math. Soc. 67, 2 (2003), 343-347.
- [4] W. L. C., Sargent, *Some sequence spaces related to the l^p spaces*, J. London Math. Soc. 35 (1960), 161-171.
- [5] E., Savas, *On some generalized sequence spaces defined by a modulus*, Indian J. pure and Appl. Math., 30 (1999), 459-464.
- [6] B. C., Tripathy and M., Sen, *On a new class of sequences related to the space l_p* , Tamkang J. Math. 33, 2(2002), 167-171.

Received: February, 2011