On Jordan and Jordan*-Generalized Derivations in Semiprime Rings with Involution

M. N. Daif

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Al-Azhar University, Nasr City (11884), Cairo, Egypt nagydaif@yahoo.com

M. S. Tammam El-Sayiad

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science Beni Suef University, Beni Suef (62111), Egypt m_s_tammam@yahoo.com

Abstract

The purpose of this note is to prove the following result. Let R be a 6-torsion free semiprime *-ring and let $G: R \longrightarrow R$ be an additive mapping such that $G(xyx) = G(x)y^*x^* + xD(y)x^* + xyD(x)$ holds for all $x, y \in R$ and some *-derivations D of R. Then G is a Jordan*-generalized derivation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16W10, 39B05

Keywords: ring, *-ring, prime ring, semiprime ring, derivation, Jordan derivation, generalized derivation, Jordan generalized derivation, *-derivation, Jordan *-derivation, *-generalized derivation, Jordan *-generalized derivation

1 Introduction

This note is motivated by the work of Vukman [9]. Throughout, R will represent an associative ring with center Z(R). A ring R is n-torsion free, if nx = 0, $x \in R$ implies x = 0, where n is a positive integer. Recall that R is prime if aRb = (0) implies a = 0 or b = 0, and semiprime if aRa = (0) implies a = 0. An additive mapping $x \longrightarrow x^*$ on a ring R is called an involution if $(xy)^* = y^*x^*$ and $x^{**} = x$ for all pairs $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $D: R \to R$, where R is a *-ring, is called a *-derivation in case

 $D(xy) = D(x)y^* + xD(y)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$ and is called a Jordan*-derivation if $D(x^2) = D(x)x^* + xD(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. The concepts of *-derivation and Jordan*-derivation were first mentioned in [4]. An additive mapping $T: R \longrightarrow R$ is called a left (right) centralizer in case T(xy) = T(x)y (T(xy) = xT(y)) holds for all $x, y \in R$. An additive mapping $D: R \longrightarrow R$ is called a derivation if D(xy) = D(x)y + xD(y) holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$ and is called a Jordan derivation in case $D(x^2) = D(x)x + xD(x)$ holds for all $x \in R$. A derivation D is inner if there exist $a \in R$ such that D(x) = ax - xa holds for all $x \in R$. Every derivation is a Jordan derivation. The converse is in general not true. A classical result of Herstein [7] asserts that any Jordan derivation on 2-torsion free prime ring is a derivation. Cusack [5] generalized Herstein's theorem to 2-torsion free semiprime rings.

In [6], Hvala has defined the notion of generalized derivation as follows: An additive mapping $G: R \to R$ is said to be a generalized derivation if there exists a derivation $D: R \to R$ such that

$$G(xy) = G(x)y + xD(y)$$
 for all $x, y \in R$.

Also, he called the maps of the form $x \to ax + xb$ where a,b are fixed elements in R by the inner generalized derivations. By a similar fashion to the definition of the *-derivation and the Jordan *-derivation we can define the concepts of a *-generalized derivation and a Jordan *-generalized derivation as follows, an additive mapping $G: R \to R$ is said to be a *-generalized derivation if there exists a *-derivation $D: R \to R$ such that

$$G(xy) = G(x)y^* + xD(y)$$
 for all $x, y \in R$.

And an additive mapping $G: R \to R$ is said to be a Jordan *-generalized derivation if there exists a *-derivation $D: R \to R$ such that

$$G(x^2) = G(x)x^* + xD(x)$$
 for all $x \in R$.

Hence the concept of a generalized derivation covers both the concepts of a derivation and a left centralizer (i.e., an additive map f satisfying f(xy) = f(x)y for all $x, y \in R$) and the concept of a *-generalized derivation covers both the concepts of a *-derivation and a left *-centralizer (i.e., an additive map f satisfying $f(xy) = f(x)y^*$ for all $x, y \in R$). In [1, Remark 1] Brešar proved that: for a semiprime ring R, if G is a function from R to R and $D: R \to R$ is an additive mapping such that G(xy) = G(x)y + xD(y) for all $x, y \in R$, then D is uniquely determined by G and moreover G must be a derivation. Ashraf and Nadeem-Ur-Rehman, In [8], proved the following result.

Theorem 1.1 ([8], **Theorem PP. 7**). Let R be a 2-torsion free ring such that R has a commutator which is not a zero divisor. Then every Jordan generalized derivation on R is a generalized derivation.

An additive mapping $D: R \to R$, where R is an arbitrary ring, is called a Jordan triple derivation in case D(xyx) = D(x)yx + xD(y)x + xyD(x) holds for all pairs $x, y \in R$. Of course any derivation is a Jordan triple derivation. In [3], Brešar and Vukman proved that any Jordan derivation on a 2-torsion free ring is a Jordan triple derivation. In [2], Brešar proved that if R is a 2-torsion free semiprime ring and $D: R \to R$ is a Jordan triple derivation, then D is a derivation. In [9], Vukman proved the following theorem.

Theorem 1.2 ([9] Theorem 1). Let R be a 6-torsion free semiprime *-ring and let $D: R \longrightarrow R$ be an additive mapping satisfying the relation

$$D(xyx) = D(x)y^*x^* + xD(y)x^* + xyD(x),$$
 (1)

for all $x, y \in R$. Then D is a Jordan *-derivation.

2 The Main Result

In this note we give an answer to to Vukmun's theorem in case of the Jordan *-generalized derivation.

Theorem 2.1. Let R be a 6-torsion free semiprime *-ring and let $G: R \longrightarrow R$ be an additive mapping satisfying the relation

$$G(xyx) = G(x)y^*x^* + xD(y)x^* + xyD(x),$$

for all $x, y \in R$ and some Jordan *-derivations D of R. Then G is a Jordan *-generalized derivation.

Proof. We have the assumption

$$G(xyx) = G(x)y^*x^* + xD(y)x^* + xyD(x), \quad x, y \in R.$$
 (2)

Replacing y by xyx in (2) we get

$$G(x^{2}yx^{2}) = G(x)x^{*}y^{*}x^{*2} + xD(xyx)x^{*} + x^{2}yxD(x), \quad x, y \in R.$$
 (3)

Using (1) in (3) we obtain

$$G(x^{2}yx^{2}) = G(x)x^{*}y^{*}x^{*2} + xD(x)y^{*}x^{*2} + x^{2}D(y)x^{*2} + x^{2}yD(x)x^{*} + x^{2}yxD(x), \quad x, y \in R.$$

$$(4)$$

On the other hand replacing x by x^2 in (2) we get

$$G(x^{2}yx^{2}) = G(x^{2})y^{*}x^{*2} + x^{2}D(y)x^{*2} + x^{2}yD(x^{2}), \quad x, y \in R.$$
 (5)

Since D is a Jordan *-derivation, (5) may be rewritten as

$$G(x^2yx^2) = G(x^2)y^*x^{*2} + x^2D(y)x^{*2} + x^2yD(x)x^* + x^2yxD(x), \quad x, y \in R.$$
(6)

Subtracting (4) from (6) we obtain

$$A(x)y^*x^{*2} = 0, \quad x, y \in R,$$
 (7)

where A(x) stands for $G(x^2) - G(x)x^* - xD(x)$. We intend to prove that

$$A(x) = 0, \quad x \in R. \tag{8}$$

Replacing y by y^* in (7) we obtain

$$A(x)yx^{*2} = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$
 (9)

Right multiplication by A(x) and left multiplication by x^{*2} in (9), we have $x^{*2}A(x)yx^{*2}A(x)=0$, for all $x,y\in R$. Since R is semiprime we obtain

$$x^{*2}A(x) = 0, \quad x \in R. \tag{10}$$

Replacing y by $x^{*2}yA(x)$ in (9), and by the semiprimeness of R we get

$$A(x)x^{*2} = 0, \quad x \in R.$$
 (11)

A linearization of (11) gives

$$A(x)y^{*2} + A(y)x^{*2} + B(x,y)x^{*2} + B(x,y)y^{*2} + A(x)(xy + yx)^{*} + A(y)(xy + yx)^{*} + B(x,y)(xy + yx)^{*} = 0, \quad x, y \in R,$$
(12)

where B(x,y) stands for $G(xy+yx)-D(x)y^*-D(y)x^*-xD(y)-yD(x)$. Putting -x for x in the above relation and comparing the relation so obtained with the relation (12) we obtain

$$B(x,y)x^{*2} + B(x,y)y^{*2} + A(x)(xy + yx)^{*} + A(y)(xy + yx)^{*} = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$
(13)

The substitution 2x for x in (13) gives

$$4B(x,y)x^{*2} + B(x,y)y^{*2} + 4A(x)(xy + yx)^* + A(y)(xy + yx)^* = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$
(14)

Subtracting the relation (13) from (14) we obtain $3B(x,y)x^{*2} + 3A(x)(xy + yx)^* = 0$, $x, y \in R$ which gives

$$B(x,y)x^{*2} + A(x)(xy + yx)^* = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$
(15)

Right multiplication of the above relation by $A(x)x^*$ and using (10) we get

$$A(x)y^*x^*A(x)x^* + A(x)x^*y^*A(x)x^* = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$
(16)

Subtracting in (16) yx for y and multiplying (16) from the left side by x^* we obtain $x^*A(x)x^*y^*x^*A(x)x^*=0$, $x,y\in R$. Replacing y by y^* so by the semiprimeness of R we obtain $x^*A(x)x^*=0$, $x\in R$. Now the relation (16) reduces to $(A(x)x^*)y^*(A(x)x^*)=0$, $x,y\in R$, which gives

$$A(x)x^* = 0, \quad x \in R. \tag{17}$$

Now the relation (15) reduces to $B(x,y)x^{*2} + A(x)y^*x^* = 0$, $x,y \in R$. Right multiplication of this relation by A(x) and left multiplication by x^* and replacing y by y^* gives $(x^*A(x))y(x^*A(x)) = 0$, $x,y \in R$, which gives

$$x^*A(x) = 0, \quad x \in R. \tag{18}$$

Linearizing relation (17) gives

$$A(x)y^* + A(y)x^* + B(x,y)x^* + B(x,y)y^* = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$
(19)

Putting -x for x in the above relation and comparing the relation so obtained with the relation (19) we obtain

$$A(x)y^* + B(x,y)x^* = 0, \quad x, y \in R.$$
 (20)

Right multiplication of the above relation by A(x) gives using (18) that $A(x)y^*A(x)=0,\ x,y\in R$, which yields A(x)=0, for all $x\in R$. In other words $G(x^2)=G(x)x^*+xD(x)$, for all $x\in R$ which means that G is a Jordan *-generalized derivation.

It is clear that if we use the *-derivation D to be the zero *-derivation, in the above theorem, we get

Corollary 2.2. Let R be a 6-torsion free semiprime *-ring and let $T: R \longrightarrow R$ be an additive mapping. If $T(xyx) = T(x)y^*x^*$ for all $x, y \in R$, then T is a left Jordan *-centralizer.

References

- [1] M. Brešar, On the distance of the compositions of two derivations to generalized derivations, Glasgow Math. J. **33** (1991), 80-93.
- [2] M. Brešar, Jordan mappings of semiprime rings, Journal of Algebra, 127 (1989), 218-228.

- [3] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, Jordan derivations on prime rings, Bull. Austral. Math. Soc. **37** (1988), 321-322.
- [4] M. Brešar and J. Vukman, On some additive mappings in rings with involution, Aequationes Math. 38(1989), 178-185.
- [5] J. Cusack, Jordan derivations on semiprime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **53** (1975), 321-324.
- [6] B. Hvala, Generalized derivations in rings, Comm. Alg. 26(4) (1998), 1147-1166.
- [7] I. N. Herstein; Jordan derivations of prime rings, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 8 (1957), 1104-1119.
- [8] Mohammad Aahraf and Nadeem-Ul-Rehman, On Jordan generalized derivations in rings, Math. J. Okayama Univ. **42**(2000), 7-9.
- [9] J. Vukman, A note on Jordan*- derivations in semiprime rings with involution, International Mathematical Forum, 1, 2006, no. 13, 617-622.

Received: June 16, 2007