

Associated Prime Ideals of a Complete Lattice

*V. K. Bhat, **Neeraj Nehra, **Ajay Kaul, *Ravi Raina
and ***Om Prakash

*School of Applied Physics and Mathematics, SMVD University
P/o Kakryal, Udhampur, J and K, India-182121
vijaykumarbhat2000@yahoo.com

**School of Computer Science and Engineering, SMVD University
P/o Kakryal, Udhampur, J and K, India-182121

***Department of Mathematics
Banasthali Vidyapith, Rajasthan, India-304022

Abstract. In this article, the annihilator of a subset of a complete distributive lattice L is defined. Annihilator primes and associated primes of a lattice L are defined. It is shown that Annihilator of any subset of a complete chain is an associated prime.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 06XX, Secondary 03G10, 06 B10, 06B23

Keywords: Lattice, annihilator, faithful subset, prime ideal, associated prime

1. INTRODUCTION

Through out this article, (L, \leq) denotes a lattice, unless other wise stated. For any $a, b \in L$, $a \wedge b$ denotes the infimum of $\{a, b\}$ and $a \vee b$ denotes the supremum of $\{a, b\}$. For a complete lattice (L, \leq) , 0 denotes the infimum of L . Henceforth, we write L for (L, \leq) . For the definition of a lattice, its types, examples of lattice, bounds of subsets of a lattice, infimum and supremum of subsets of a lattice, and the basic results, the reader is referred to chapter 12 of Liu [2].

A highly relevant class of lattices are the complete lattices. A lattice is complete if all of its subsets have both a supremum and an infimum. In mathematics, a complete lattice is a partially ordered set in which all subsets

have both a supremum and an infimum. Note that in the special case where A is the empty set the infimum of A will be the greatest element of L . Likewise, the supremum of the empty set yields the least element. Since the definition also assures the existence of binary meets and joins, complete lattices do thus form a special class of bounded lattices. Complete lattices appear in many applications in mathematics and computer science.

This article concerns prime ideals (more particularly associated prime ideals) of a complete lattice. Recall that Boolean Prime Ideal Theorem states that ideals in a Boolean Algebra can be extended to prime ideals. A variation of this statement for filters on sets is known as the Ultrafilter Lemma. Recall that a filter on a set X is a collection of nonempty subsets of X that is closed under finite intersection and under superset. An ultrafilter is a maximal filter. The ultrafilter lemma states that every filter on a set X is a subset of some ultrafilter on X (a maximal filter of nonempty subsets of X .) This lemma is most often used in the study of topology.

We define the annihilator of a subset of a complete distributive lattice L . We also define a fully faithful lattice and show that a chain, which is also complete, is fully faithful. This is proved in Proposition (2.10). Annihilator primes and associated primes of a lattice L are defined. It is shown that annihilator of any subset of a complete chain is an associated prime. This is proved in Theorem (2.20).

2. ASSOCIATED PRIMES OF A COMPLETE LATTICE

Definition 2.1. Let L be a complete lattice, which is also distributive. Let A be a non empty subset of L . Annihilator of A is denoted by $\text{Ann}(A)$, and is defined as: $\text{Ann}(A) = \{l \in L, \text{ such that } a \wedge l = 0, \text{ for all } a \in A\}$.

Example 2.2. Let $L = \{1, 3, 9, 27\}$. L is a complete, distributive lattice with respect to divisibility. Let $A = \{3, 9\}$. Then $\text{Ann}(A) = \{1\}$. Let $K = \{1, 2, 3, 4, 6, 8, 12, 24\}$, and $B = \{3\}$. Then $\text{Ann}(B) = \{1, 2, 4, 8\}$.

Example 2.3. Let $X = \{a, b, c\}$. Now $P(X)$, the power set of X is a complete distributive lattice with respect to set inclusion. Let $A = \{\{a\}, \{a, b\}\}$. Then $\text{Ann}(A) = \{\{c\}\}$.

Proposition 2.4. Let L be a complete lattice, which is also distributive. Let $A \subseteq B$ be two non empty subsets of L . Then $\text{Ann}(B) \supseteq \text{Ann}(A)$.

Proof. Let $l \in \text{Ann}(B)$. Then $b \wedge l = 0$, for all $b \in B$. Now let $a \in A$. Then $a \in B$, and therefore $a \wedge l = 0$. So $l \in \text{Ann}(A)$. Hence $\text{Ann}(B) \supseteq \text{Ann}(A)$. \square

Definition 2.5. Let L be a complete lattice, which is also distributive. A subset $B \subseteq L$ is said to be faithful if $\text{Ann}(B) = \{0\}$.

Example 2.6. Let $L = \{1, 2, 3, 6, 10, 15, 30\}$ with respect to divisibility. Then $B = \{1, 3, 6\}$ is a faithful subset of L , but $C = \{1, 3\}$ is not faithful, as $\text{Ann}(C) = \{1, 2, 10\}$.

Proposition 2.7. *Let L be a complete lattice, which is also distributive. Let A be a non empty subset of L . Then $\text{Ann}(A)$ is an ideal of L .*

Proof. $\text{Ann}(A) \neq \phi$, as $0 \in \text{Ann}(A)$. Now any $r, s \in \text{Ann}(A)$ imply $r \wedge a = 0$ and $s \wedge a = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Now for all $a \in A$, $(r \vee s) \wedge a = (r \wedge a) \vee (s \wedge a) = 0 \vee 0 = 0$. Therefore $(r \vee s) \in \text{Ann}(A)$.

Now let $r \in \text{Ann}(A)$, and $l \in L$. We have $r \wedge a = 0$ for all $a \in A$, and $(r \wedge l) \wedge a = (l \wedge r) \wedge a = l \wedge (r \wedge a) = l \wedge 0 = 0$. Therefore $(r \wedge l) \in \text{Ann}(A)$. Hence $\text{Ann}(A)$ is an ideal of L . \square

Definition 2.8. Let L be a complete lattice, which is also distributive. We say that L is a fully faithful lattice if $\text{Ann}(A) = 0$, where A is any non empty subset of L .

Example 2.9. *In Example (2.2) above, L is fully faithful.*

Proposition 2.10. *Every chain, which is also complete, is a fully faithful lattice.*

Proof. Let L be a chain and $\{0\} \neq A$ be a non empty subset of L . Let $x \in \text{Ann}(A)$. Then $x \wedge a = 0$ for all $a \in A$. Now L is a chain, therefore $x \leq a$ for at least one $0 \neq a \in A$, or $a \leq x$ for all $a \in A$. If $a \leq x$ for all $a \in A$, then $x \wedge a = a$, which implies that $a = 0$ for all $a \in A$, a contradiction. So $x \leq a$ for at least one $0 \neq a \in A$, and therefore $x \wedge a = x$. Thus $x = 0$. Therefore $\text{Ann}(A) = 0$. Hence L is a fully faithful lattice. \square

Recall that an ideal P of a lattice L is called a prime ideal if $P \neq L$, and if for any $a, b \in L$ with $(a \wedge b) \in P$, we have $a \in P$ or $b \in P$.

Definition 2.11. Let L be a lattice. An ideal P is said to be a minimal prime ideal if P is a prime ideal and does not contain properly a prime ideal.

Example 2.12. *Consider $L = \{1, 2, 5, 10\}$. L is a lattice with respect to divisibility. $P = \{2\}$ is a minimal prime ideal. $S = \{1, 2\}$ is a prime ideal, but is not a minimal prime ideal, as $\{2\} \subset \{1, 2\}$, and $\{2\}$ is a prime ideal.*

Proposition 2.13. *In a lattice, every ideal contains a minimal prime ideal.*

Proof. The proof is on the same lines as in Proposition (2.3) of Goodearl and Warfield [1], in which the case of existence of minimal prime ideals of a ring has been proved. \square

Definition 2.14. Let L be a complete distributive lattice. An ideal A of L is called an annihilator prime if A is a prime ideal and is also annihilator of some non empty subset $\{0\} \neq B$ of L .

Example 2.15. *Let $L = \{1, 2, 5, 10\}$. L is complete distributive lattice with respect to divisibility. $A = \{1, 2\}$ is a prime ideal. Now let $M = \{5\}$. Then $A = \text{Ann}(M)$. Therefore A is an annihilator prime.*

Let $B = \{1\}$, and $C = \{2, 5\}$. Then $\text{Ann}(C) = B$. Now B is not a prime ideal, as $2 \wedge 5 = 1 \in B$, but $2 \notin B$ and $5 \notin B$. Therefore B is not an annihilator prime.

Example 2.16. In Example (2.3) above, $\text{Ann}(A)$ is not a prime as $\{b, c\} \cap \{a, c\} \in \text{Ann}(A) = \{\{c\}\}$, but $\{b, c\} \notin \text{Ann}(A)$ and $\{a, c\} \notin \text{Ann}(A)$.

Definition 2.17. Let L be a complete distributive lattice. An ideal A of L is called an associated prime if A is a prime ideal and is annihilator of some non empty subset $\{0\} \neq B$ of L . Further more A is also the annihilator of any non empty subset C of B . It is clear that an associated prime is an annihilator prime, but every annihilator prime need not be an associated prime.

Example 2.18. Let $L = \{1, 3, 5, 7, 35\}$ with respect to divisibility. Let $B = \{5, 7, 35\}$, and $C = \{5\}$. Then $\text{Ann}(B) = \{1, 3\}$, and $\text{Ann}(C) = \{1, 3, 7\}$. Now $\{1, 3\}$ is a prime ideal of L , so it is an annihilator prime, but not an associated prime.

Example 2.19. Let $L = \{1, 2, 4, 5, 10, 20\}$. With respect to divisibility, L is a complete distributive lattice. Let $A = \{1, 5\}$, and $B = \{2, 4\}$. Then $A = \text{Ann}(B)$, and $A = \text{Ann}(C)$ for any subset C of B . More over A is a prime ideal. Therefore A is an associated prime ideal.

Theorem 2.20. In a chain, which is also complete; annihilator of any non-zero subset is an associated prime ideal.

Proof. Let L be a chain, which is also complete. Let $\{0\} \neq B$ be a non empty subset of L . Let $\text{Ann}(B) = A$. Now A is an ideal by Proposition (2.7). We will show that A is a prime ideal. Let $a, b \in L$ be such that $(a \wedge b) \in A$. Now L is a chain, therefore $b \leq a$, or $a \leq b$. If $a \leq b$, then $a \wedge b = a$, and so $a \in A$. If $b \leq a$, then $a \wedge b = b$, and so $b \in A$. Therefore A is a prime ideal.

Let $0 \neq C \subseteq B$ be a non empty subset of B . Then by Proposition (2.4), $\text{Ann}(B) \subseteq \text{Ann}(C)$. We will show that $A = \text{Ann}(C)$. Suppose not, and $0 \neq b \in \text{Ann}(C)$, $b \notin A$. Now $b \wedge c = 0$, for all $c \in C$. Now L is a chain, therefore $b \leq c$ for at least one $c \in C$, or $c \leq b$ for all $c \in C$. If $b \leq c$ for at least one $c \in C$, then $b = b \wedge c = 0$, a contradiction. If $c \leq b$ for all $c \in C$, then $c = b \wedge c = 0$. Therefore $C = \{0\}$, again a contradiction. So our supposition must be wrong. Therefore $A = \text{Ann}(C)$, and hence A is an associated prime ideal of L . \square

REFERENCES

- [1] K.R. Goodearl and R.B. Warfield Jr., An introduction to non-commutative Noetherian rings. Cambridge University Press, 1989.
- [2] C. L. Liu, Elements of Discrete Mathematics. Tata Mcgraw Hill, 2000.

Received: December 13, 2006