

# Construction of singular hypersurfaces and linkage over a finite field

E. Ballico<sup>1</sup>

Dept. of Mathematics, University of Trento  
38050 Povo (TN), Italy  
ballico@science.unitn.it

**Abstract.** Here we prove two existence theorems over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ : existence of hypersurfaces with prescribed isolated singularities and existence of “smooth” linkage.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 14J25; 14J70; 14N05; 12E20; 14B05; 14B25

**Keywords:** singular hypersurface; singular surface; hypersurfaces over a finite field; isolated singularity; liaison; linkage

## 1. THE STATEMENTS

Here we consider two existence theorems over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . The corresponding constructions are obvious over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$  and the aim is just to find a relatively low prime power  $q$  such that the same constructions may be done over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . For any  $P \in \mathbf{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  and any integer  $m > 0$  let  $mP$  denote the infinitesimal neighborhood of order  $m - 1$  of  $P$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$ . Set  $0P = \emptyset$ . In section 2 we will study the case of hypersurfaces with prescribed isolated singularities and prove the following result.

**Theorem 1.** *Fix a prime power  $q$ , an integer  $n \geq 2$ , an integer  $d > 0$ , an integer  $s$  such that  $1 \leq s \leq (q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ , integers  $m_i > 0$ , and  $s$  distinct points  $P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$ . Let  $Z := \cup_{i=1}^s m_i P_i$  and assume  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(d - 1)) = 0$ . Set  $\delta := d^n - \sum_{i=1}^s m_i^n$  and  $\delta_i := m_i^{n-1}$ . Assume  $q \geq (\delta - 1)\delta^n$ . Then there exists a degree  $d$  hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and such that  $\text{Sing}(X) \subseteq \{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$ ,  $P_i \in \text{Sing}(X)$  if and only if  $m_i \geq 2$ , and  $X$  has multiplicity  $m_i$  at each  $P_i$ . Furthermore, if  $q \geq (\delta - 1)\delta^n + \sum_{i=1}^s (\delta_i - 1)\delta_i^{n-1}$ , then we may find  $X$  such that  $X$  has an ordinary multiple point with multiplicity  $m_i$  at  $P_i$ , i.e. the tangent cone of  $X$  at  $P_i$  is a cone over a smooth degree  $m_i$  hypersurface of  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ .*

---

<sup>1</sup>The author was partially supported by MIUR and GNSAGA of INdAM (Italy).

When  $P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathbf{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ ,  $P_i \notin \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  for some  $i$ , but the set of all pairs  $\{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\}$  is invariant for the natural action of the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  we are able to prove the following result.

**Theorem 2.** *Fix a prime power  $q$ , an integer  $n \geq 2$ , an integer  $d > 0$ , an integer  $s$  such that  $1 \leq s \leq (q^{n+1} - 1)/(q - 1)$ , integers  $m_i > 0$ , and  $s$  distinct points  $P_1, \dots, P_s \in \mathbf{P}^n(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ . Let  $Z := \cup_{i=1}^s m_i P_i$  and assume  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(d - 1)) = 0$ . Assume that the scheme  $Z$  and the inclusion of  $Z$  in  $\mathbf{P}^n$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , i.e. assume that the absolute Galois group of  $\mathbb{F}_q$  acts trivially on the set of pairs  $\{(P_1, m_1), \dots, (P_s, m_s)\}$ . Set  $\delta := d^n - \sum_{i=1}^s m_i^n$  and  $\delta_i := m_i^{n-1}$ . Assume  $q \geq (\delta - 1)\delta^n$ . Then there exists a degree  $d$  hypersurface  $X \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  and such that  $\text{Sing}(X) \subseteq \{P_1, \dots, P_s\}$ ,  $P_i \in \text{Sing}(X)$  if and only if  $m_i \geq 2$ , and  $X$  has multiplicity  $m_i$  at each  $P_i$ . Furthermore, if  $q \geq (\delta - 1)\delta^n + \sum_{i=1}^s (\delta_i - 1)\delta_i^{n-1}$ , then we may find  $X$  such that  $X$  has an ordinary multiple point with multiplicity  $m_i$  at  $P_i$ , i.e. the tangent cone of  $X$  at  $P_i$  is a cone over a smooth degree  $m_i$  hypersurface of  $\mathbf{P}^{n-1}$ .*

**Remark 1.** Take  $Z$  as in the statements of Theorems 1 and 2 and let  $\mu$  be the first integer  $t \geq -1$  such that  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) = 0$ . Thus  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq \mu$  and  $d \geq \mu + 1$ . It is classical that  $\mu \leq m_1 + \dots + m_s - 1$  and that we have equality if and only if the points  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  are collinear ([3]). If the points  $P_1, \dots, P_s$  are in linearly general position and  $m_1 \geq m_2 \geq \dots \geq m_s$ , then  $\mu \leq \max\{m_1 + m_2 - 1, (m_1 + \dots + m_s + n - 2)/n\}$  ([3]).

Then we will consider a problem of “ nice ” linkage over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  (see [2] for general theory).

**Theorem 3.** *Fix integers  $n \geq r \geq 2$  and a prime power  $q$ . Let  $C \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  a smooth subscheme with pure codimension  $r$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Let  $\mu$  be the first non-negative integer  $z$  such that  $h^i(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_C(z - i)) = 0$  for all  $i \geq 1$ . Fix  $r$  integers  $t_1 \geq \dots \geq t_r \geq \mu + 1$ . Assume  $q \geq \sum_{i=1}^r (t_i^n - 1)t_i^{n-2}$ . Then there are degree  $t_i$  hypersurfaces  $A_i \subset \mathbf{P}^n$  defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$  such that  $A_1 \cap \dots \cap A_r$  is a codimension  $r$  hypersurface containing  $C$ , reduced along  $C$  and smooth outside  $C$ .*

In the statement of Theorem 3 we do not assume that  $C$  is connected or that it is geometrically connected. If  $C$  is not geometrically connected we do not assume that all the irreducible components of  $C(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ .

## 2. THE PROOFS

*Proof of Theorem 1.* Since  $\dim(Z) = 0$  we have  $h^j(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) = 0$  for all  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  and all  $j$  such that either  $j \geq 2$  and  $t \geq -n$  or  $2 \leq j \leq n - 1$ . Let  $\mu$  be the first integer  $t \geq -1$  such that  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) = 0$ . Thus  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_Z(t)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq \mu$  and  $d \geq \mu + 1$ . By Castelnuovo-Mumford’s lemma the homogeneous ideal of  $Z$  is generated by forms of degree at most  $\mu + 1$  and hence it is generated by forms of degree at most  $d$ . Let  $v : M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  be the blowing-up of  $\mathbf{P}^n$  at

the points  $P_1, \dots, P_s$ . We have  $R_*^j(\mathcal{O}_M) = 0$  for all  $j \geq 1$  and  $v_*(\mathcal{O}_M) = \mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}$ . Set  $E_i := v^{-1}(P_i)$ . Hence  $E_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . Hence  $\text{Pic}(M) \cong \mathbb{Z}^{\oplus s+1}$  and  $\text{Pic}(M)$  is freely generated by the classes of the line bundles  $v^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(1))$  and  $\mathcal{O}_M(E_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq s$ . For all integers  $t, z, z_i$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq z$ , set  $\mathcal{L}_{t,z} := v^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(t)(-zE_1 - \dots - zE_s))$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{t,z_1,\dots,z_s} := v^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(t)(-z_1E_1 - z_2E_2 - \dots - z_sE_s))$ . Since  $P_i \in \mathbf{P}^n(\mathbb{F}_q)$  for all  $i$ ,  $v, M$ , each  $E_i$  and all  $\mathcal{L}_{t,z}$  and  $\mathcal{L}_{t,z_1,\dots,z_s}$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . If  $z_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ , then  $v_*(\mathcal{L}_{t,z_1,\dots,z_s}) = \mathcal{I}_{\cup_{i=1}^s z_i P_i}(t)$ .

(a) Here we will check that  $R_*^j(\mathcal{L}_{t,z_1,\dots,z_s}) = 0$  for all integers  $j, t, z_1, \dots, z_s$  such that  $j \geq 1$  and  $z_i \geq 0$  for all  $i$ . By the projection formula it is sufficient to prove the case  $t = 0$ . The result is true if  $z_i = 0$  for all  $i$ . Hence we may assume  $z_i > 0$  for some  $i$  and use induction on the integer  $z_1 + \dots + z_s$ . Hence we may assume that the result is true for the integers  $z_1, \dots, z_{i-1}, z_i - 1, z_{i+1}, \dots, z_s$ . Set  $B := \cup_{i=1}^s z_i E_i$  and  $B' := B - E_i$ . Thus we have the following exact sequence on  $M$ :

$$(1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_B \rightarrow \mathcal{I}_{B'} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{E_i}(B') \rightarrow 0$$

Apply the direct image functor to (1), the cohomology of  $E_i \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and that  $\mathcal{O}_{E_i}(B')$  is a degree  $z_i - 1$  line bundle on  $E_i$ .

(b) By part (a) and the definition of  $\mu$  we have  $h^j(M, \mathcal{L}_{t,m_1,\dots,m_s}) = 0$  and  $h^0(M, \mathcal{L}_{t,m_1,\dots,m_s}) = \binom{n+t}{n} - \sum_{i=1}^s \binom{m_i+n-1}{n-1}$  for all  $j \geq 1$ , and  $t \geq \mu$  and in particular for all  $j \geq 1$  and  $t \geq d - 1$ . In the same way we get that  $h^1(M, \mathcal{L}_{t,z_1,\dots,z_s}(-E_i)) = 0$  for all  $t \geq \mu + 1$ .

(c) Here we will show that  $\mathcal{L}_{t,m_1,\dots,m_s}$  is very ample for all  $t \geq \mu + 1$  and in particular for  $t = d$ . It is sufficient to show the surjectivity of the restriction map  $\rho_{A,t} : H^0(M, \mathcal{L}_{t,m_1,\dots,m_s}) \rightarrow H^0(A, \mathcal{L}_{t,m_1,\dots,m_s})$  for all zero-dimensional subschemes  $A \subset M$  such that  $\text{length}(A) = 2$ . We distinguish six cases.

- (i)  $A$  is reduced, say  $A = \{Q, Q'\}$  with  $Q \neq Q'$ , and  $A \cap (E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_s) = \emptyset$ ;
- (ii)  $A$  is not reduced and  $Q := A_{\text{red}} \notin E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_s$ ;
- (iii)  $A$  is reduced, say  $A = \{Q, Q'\}$  with  $Q \neq Q'$ ,  $Q \in E_i$ ,  $Q' \in E_j$  and  $i \neq j$ ;
- (iv)  $A$  is reduced, say  $A = \{Q, Q'\}$  with  $Q \neq Q'$ , with  $Q \in E_i$  and  $Q' \notin E_1 \cup \dots \cup E_s$ ;
- (v)  $A$  is not reduced,  $Q := A_{\text{red}} \in E_i$ , and  $A$  is not contained in  $E_i$ ;
- (vi)  $A \subset E_i$  for some  $i$ .

In cases (i), (ii), (iii), (iv), (v) the morphism  $v|_A : A \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  is an embedding. In all these cases it is sufficient to use that the homogeneous ideal of  $Z$  is generated by forms of degree at most  $t$ . Now assume that we are in case (vi). We have  $h^1(\mathbf{P}^n, \mathcal{I}_{Z'}(t-1)) = 0$  for all schemes  $Z' \subset Z$ . Take the set-up of part (a) with respect to the integers  $z_j := m_j$  for all  $j$ . Apply the twist by  $\mathcal{L}_{t,0,\dots,0}$  to the exact sequence (1), use the last vanishing of part (b) and that the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}|_{E_i}$  is the degree  $m_i$  line bundle on  $E_i \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  and hence it is very ample.

(d) By part (c) the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}$  is very ample. Notice that we have  $\text{deg}(\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}) = d^n - \sum_{i=1}^s m_i^n = \delta$ . By [1], Th. 1, there is a smooth

$W \in |\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}|$ . Set  $X := v(W)$ . Now we consider the “ Furthermore ” part. We need to find  $W$  as above with the additional property that  $W$  is transversal to each  $E_i$ . Since  $\deg(\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s} \cap E_i) = m_i$ ,  $\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}$  embeds  $E_i \cong \mathbf{P}^{n-1}$  by a subsystem of the degree  $m_i$  Verone embedding. Hence the embedded projective space has degree  $m_i^{n-1} = \delta_i$ . Thus its dual variety  $\Delta_i$  in the projective space  $|\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}|$  has degree at most  $(\delta_i)\delta_i^{n-1}$ . The proof of [1], Lemma 1, and our assumption on  $q$  implies the existence of a hyperplane of  $|\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}|$  transversal to the image of  $M$  and to the images of all  $E_i$ .  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 2.* We use the set-up introduced in the proof of Theorem 1. Now some of the line bundles  $\mathcal{O}_M(E_i)$  may not be defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ , but  $v$ ,  $M$  and all line bundles  $\mathcal{L}_{t,z}$  are defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Furthermore for any  $t \in \mathbb{Z}$  the line bundle  $\mathcal{L}_{t,m_1,\dots,m_s}$  is defined over  $\mathbb{F}_q$ . Working over  $\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q$  the proof of Theorem 1 show that  $\mathcal{L}_{d,m_1,\dots,m_s}$  is very ample. Hence we may again apply [1], Th. 1.  $\square$

*Proof of Theorem 3.* Let  $v : M \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^n$  be the blowing-up of  $C$ . Since  $C$  is smooth,  $M$  is smooth. Set  $E := v^{-1}(C)$ . For all integers  $t$  set  $\mathcal{L}_t := v^*((\mathcal{O}_{\mathbf{P}^n}(t))(-E))$ . As in the proof of Theorem 1 it is easy to check that  $\mathbb{L}_t$  is very ample for all  $t \geq \mu = 1$ . we again apply [1], Th. 1. Since a complete intersection in a smooth ambient has no embedded point, to check the existence of  $X$  which is reduced along  $C$  it is sufficient to test finitely many points of  $C(\bar{\mathbb{F}}_q)$ .  $\square$

#### REFERENCES

- [1] E. Ballico, An effective Bertini theorem over finite fields, Adv. Geom. 3 (2003), no. 4, 361–363.
- [2] J. Migliore, Introduction to liaison theory and deficiency modules, Birkhäuser, Boston, 1998.
- [3] N. V. Trung and G. Valla, Upper bounds for the regularity index of fat points, J. Algebra (1995), no. 1, 182–209.

**Received: January 30, 2006**