

Generalized Köthe-Toeplitz Duals of Some Difference Sequence Spaces

A. A. Ansari and V. K. Chaudhry

Department of Mathematics and Statistics
D.D.U. Gorakhpur University, Gorakhpur-273009, India
aaansari@in.com, vkc_ddumath@yahoo.co.in

Dedicated to Late Prof. B. P. Mishra

Abstract

The idea of dual sequence space was introduced by Köthe and Toeplitz [4], whose main results concerned α -duals. An account of the duals of sequence spaces can be found in Köthe [3]. One can also know about different types of duals of sequence spaces in Maddox [7], Cook [16], Kamthan and Gupta [14] and many others. Recently Chandra and Tripathy [13] introduced the concept of generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals of sequence spaces on introducing the concept of η -dual of sequence spaces. In this paper our main aim is to determine the η -dual of some difference sequence spaces. Further we establish some results involving the perfectness of difference sequence spaces relative to η -dual.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 40C05

Keywords: Difference sequence spaces, Dual Spaces, Perfect Spaces

1. Introduction

Let l_∞ , c and c_0 be the linear spaces of bounded convergent and null sequences $x = (x_k)$ with complex terms, respectively, normed by

$$\|x\|_\infty = \sup_k |x_k|$$

where $k \in N = \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}$, the set of positive integers.

Kizmaz [5] defined the sequence spaces

$$l_\infty(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in l_\infty\},$$

$$c(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c\},$$

$$c_0(\Delta) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta x \in c_0\},$$

where $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$, and showed that these are Banach spaces with norm

$$\|x\|_1 = |x_1| + \|\Delta x\|_\infty.$$

The Colak [15] defined the sequence spaces $\Delta_v(X) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta_v x_k \in X\}$, where $(\Delta_v x_k) = (v_k x_k - v_{k+1} x_{k+1})$ and $X = l_\infty, c$ or c_0 , and investigated some topological properties of these spaces.

Further Et. and Colak [12] generalized the above sequence spaces to the following sequence spaces

$$l_\infty(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta^m x \in l_\infty\},$$

$$c(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta^m x \in c\},$$

$$c_0(\Delta^m) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta^m x \in c_0\},$$

where $m \in N$, $\Delta^0 x = (x_k)$, $\Delta x = (\Delta x_k) = (x_k - x_{k+1})$, $\Delta^m x = (\Delta^m x_k) = (\Delta^{m-1} x_k - \Delta^{m-1} x_{k+1})$, and

$$\Delta^m x_k = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} x_{k+j}$$

and showed that these are Banach spaces with norm

$$\|x\|_\Delta = \sum_{i=1}^m |x_i| + \|\Delta^m x\|_\infty.$$

It is trivial that $c_0(\Delta^m) \subset c(\Delta^m) \subset l_\infty(\Delta^m)$ is satisfied and strict [12]. For convenience we denote these spaces $\Delta^m(l_\infty) = l_\infty(\Delta^m)$, $\Delta^m(c) = c(\Delta^m)$ and $\Delta^m(c_0) = c_0(\Delta^m)$.

After then Et. M. and Esi. A. [11] have defined the following sequence spaces :

$$\Delta_v^m(l_\infty) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta_v^m x \in l_\infty\},$$

$$\Delta_v^m(c) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta_v^m x \in c\},$$

$$\Delta_v^m(c_0) = \{x = (x_k) : \Delta_v^m x \in c_0\},$$

where $v = (v_k)$ be any fixed sequence of non-zero complex numbers, $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $\Delta_v^0 x = (v_k x_k)$, $\Delta_v x_k = (\Delta v_k x_k) = (v_k x_k - v_{k+1} x_{k+1})$, $\Delta_v^m x = (\Delta_v^m x_k) = (\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k - \Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1})$, and so that

$$\Delta_v^m x_k = \sum_{j=0}^m (-1)^j \binom{m}{j} v_{k+j} x_{k+j}.$$

These are Banach spaces with norm

$$\|x\|_v = \sum_{i=1}^m |v_i x_i| + \|\Delta_v^m x\|_\infty.$$

2. Main Results

In this section we find the η -dual of some difference sequence spaces. Also we show that these are not perfect spaces relative to η -dual.

Lemma (2.1). ([11]) $x \in \Delta_v^m(l_\infty)$ if and only if

- (i) $\sup_k k^{-1} |\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k| < \infty$,
- (ii) $\sup_k |\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k - k(k+1)^{-1} \Delta_v^{m-1} x_{k+1}| < \infty$.

Lemma (2.2). ([11]) $\sup_k k^{-1} |\Delta_v^{m-1} x_k| < \infty$ implies $\sup_k k^{-m} |v_k x_k| < \infty$.

Throughout the paper ϕ denote the space of all complex sequences.

Definition (2.3). ([13]) Let E be a non-empty subset of ϕ and $r \geq 1$, then the η -dual of E is define as

$$E^\eta = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k x_k|^r < \infty \text{ for all } (x_k) \in E\}.$$

A non-empty subset E of ϕ is said to be perfect or η -reflexive if $E^{\eta\eta} = E$. Taking $r = 1$ in above definition we get α -dual of E .

Lemma (2.4). ([13]) (i) E^η is linear subspace of ϕ for every $E \subset \phi$.

(ii) $E \subset F$ implies $E^\eta \supset F^\eta$ for $E, F \subset \phi$.

(iii) $E^{\eta\eta} = (E^\eta)^\eta \supset E$ for $E \subset \phi$.

(iv) $(\bigcup_j E_j)^\eta = \bigcap_j E_j^\eta$ for every family of $\{E_j\}$ with $E_j \subset \phi$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$.

Theorem (2.5). Let $\mathcal{U}_1 = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{mr} |a_k v_k^{-1}|^r < \infty\}$, then

$$[\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^\eta = [\Delta_v^m(c)]^\eta = [\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^\eta = \mathcal{U}_1 \quad (1)$$

Proof. First we assume that $a \in \mathcal{U}_1$. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{mr} |a_k v_k^{-1}|^r < \infty \quad (2)$$

Let $x \in \Delta_v^m(l_\infty)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k|^r &= \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{mr} |a_k v_k^{-1}|^r (k^{-m} |v_k x_k|)^r \\ &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{mr} |a_k v_k^{-1}|^r < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

for each $x \in \Delta_v^m(l_\infty)$, by Lemma 2.2. Thus we have to show that

$$\mathcal{U}_1 \subset [\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^\eta \quad (3)$$

Conversely let $a \notin \mathcal{U}_1$. Then for some k , we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{mr} |a_k v_k^{-1}| = \infty.$$

So we can find a sequence (n_i) of positive numbers n_i such that

$$\sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n(i+1)} k^{mr} |a_k v_k^{-1}|^r > i^r.$$

Now we define a sequence $x = (x_k)$ as

$$x_k = \begin{cases} 0, & 1 \leq k \leq n_1 \\ \frac{v_k^{-1} k^m}{i}, & (n_i + 1 < k \leq n(i+1) : i = 1, 2, 3, \dots). \end{cases}$$

Then it is easy to verify that $x_k \in \Delta_v^m(c_0)$. But

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |a_k x_k|^r = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n(i+1)} |a_k x_k|^r \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \sum_{k=n_i+1}^{n(i+1)} \frac{|a_k v_k^{-1}|^r k^{mr}}{i^r} \right\} \\
 &> \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} 1 = \infty \\
 \Rightarrow \quad &a_k \notin [\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^\eta,
 \end{aligned}$$

and hence we have shown

$$[\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^\eta \subset \mathcal{U}_1. \tag{4}$$

Since $\Delta_v^m(c_0) \subset \Delta_v^m(c) \subset \Delta_v^m(l_\infty)$ implies $[\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^\eta \subset [\Delta_v^m(c)]^\eta \subset [\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^\eta$, (1) follows from (3) and (4).

Corollary (2.6). If we take $v_k = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ in theorem 2.5, then we obtain η -dual of sequence spaces defined by Et. and Colak [12] as

$$[\Delta^m(l_\infty)]^\eta = [\Delta^m(c)]^\eta = [\Delta^m(c_0)]^\eta = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{mr} |a_k|^r < \infty\}.$$

Corollary (2.7). If we take $v_k = (1, 1, \dots)$ and $m = 2$ in theorem 2.5, then we obtain η -dual of sequence spaces defined by Et. [10] as

$$[\Delta^2(l_\infty)]^\eta = [\Delta^2(c)]^\eta = [\Delta^2(c_0)]^\eta = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^{2r} |a_k|^r < \infty\}.$$

Corollary (2.8). If we take $v_k = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ and $m = 1$ in theorem 2.5, then we obtain η -dual of sequence spaces defined by Kizmaz [5] as

$$[\Delta(l_\infty)]^\eta = [\Delta(c)]^\eta = [\Delta(c_0)]^\eta = \{a = (a_k) : \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} k^r |a_k|^r < \infty\}.$$

Corollary (2.9). If we take $v_k = (1, 1, \dots)$ and $m = 0$ in theorem 2.5, then we obtain η -dual of l_∞, c and c_0 as

$$(l_\infty)^\eta = (c)^\eta = (c_0)^\eta = l_r \tag{2}$$

Theorem (2.10). Let $\mathcal{U}_2 = \{a = (a_k) : \sup_k k^{-mr} |a_k v_k|^r < \infty\}$, then

$$[\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^{\eta\eta} = [\Delta_v^m(c)]^{\eta\eta} = [\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^{\eta\eta} = \mathcal{U}_2 \tag{5}$$

Proof. First we assume that $a \in \mathcal{U}_2$. Then

$$\sup_k k^{-mr} |a_k v_k| < \infty. \tag{6}$$

Let $x \in [\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^\eta = \mathcal{U}_1$ by theorem 2.5. Then

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k x_k|^r \leq \sup_k k^{-mr} |a_k v_k|^r \sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{mr} |x_k v_k^{-1}|^r < \infty.$$

By (6) and (1). Thus we have to show that

$$\mathcal{U}_2 \subset [\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^{\eta\eta} \tag{7}$$

Conversely suppose that $a \notin \mathcal{U}_2$. Then we have

$$\sup_k k^{-mr} |a_k v_k|^r = \infty.$$

Hence there is a strictly increasing sequence $(k(i))$ of positive integer $k(i)$, such that

$$[k(i)]^{-mr} |a_{k(i)}|^r > i^{mr}$$

We define the sequence $x = (x_k)$ by

$$x_k = \begin{cases} |a_{k(i)}|^{-1}, & k = k(i) \\ 0, & k \neq k(i). \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{k=1}^\infty k^{mr} |x_k|^r = \sum_{i=1}^\infty [k(i)]^{mr} |a_{k(i)}|^{-r} \leq \sum_{i=1}^\infty i^{-mr} < \infty.$$

Hence $x \in [\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^\eta$ and $\sum_{k=1}^\infty |a_k x_k|^r = \infty$. Thus we have to show that

$$[\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^{\eta\eta} \subset \mathcal{U}_2 \tag{8}$$

Since $[\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^\eta \subset [\Delta_v^m(c)]^\eta \subset [\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^\eta$ implies $[\Delta_v^m(c_0)]^{\eta\eta} \subset [\Delta_v^m(c)]^{\eta\eta} \subset [\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)]^{\eta\eta}$, (5) follows from (7) and (8).

Corollary (2.11). If we take $v_k = (1, 1, 1, \dots)$ in theorem 2.10, then we obtain second η -dual of the sequence spaces defined by Et. and Colak [12] as

$$[\Delta^m(l_\infty)]^{\eta\eta} = [\Delta^m(c)]^{\eta\eta} = [\Delta^m(c_0)]^{\eta\eta} = \{a = (a_k) : \sup_k k^{-mr} |a_k|^r < \infty\}.$$

If we take $m = 2, 1$ in corollary 2.11 then we obtain the second η -dual of sequence spaces defined in [10] and [5]. By theorem 2.5 and 2.10 we have the following corollaries :

Corollary (2.12). $\Delta_v^m(l_\infty)$, $\Delta_v^m(c)$ and $\Delta_v^m(c_0)$ are not perfect spaces relative to η -dual.

Corollary (2.13). $\Delta^m(l_\infty)$, $\Delta^m(c)$ and $\Delta^m(c_0)$ are not perfect spaces relative to η -dual.

References

- [1] C.G. Lascarides, A study of certain sequence spaces of Maddox and generalization of a theorem of Iyer, *Pac. J. Math.*, 38 (2), (1971), 487-500.
- [2] C.G. Lascarides and I.J. Maddox, Matrix transformations between some classes of sequences, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 68 (1970), 99-104.
- [3] G. Köthe, *Toeplitz Vector Spaces I* (English), Springer-Verlag, 1969.
- [4] G. Köthe and O. Toeplitz, Linear Räume mit unendlichen koordinaten und Ring unendlicher Matrizen, *J. F. Reine u. angew Math.*, 171 (1934), 193-226.
- [5] H. Kizmaz, On certain sequence spaces, *Canad. Math. Bull.*, 24 (2) (1981), 169-176.
- [6] I.J. Maddox, Continuous Köthe-Toeplitz duals of certain sequence spaces, *Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc.*, 65 (1969), 431-435.
- [7] I.J. Maddox, *Infinite Matrices of Operators*, Lecture notes in Mathematics, 786, Springer-Verlag (1980).
- [8] I.J. Maddox, Some properties of paranormed sequence spaces, *J. London Math. Soc.*(2) 1 (1969), 316-322.
- [9] M. Basarir, On some new sequence spaces and relative matrix transformations, *Indian J. pure appl. Math.*, 26 (10) (1995), 1003-1010.
- [10] Mikail Et., On some difference sequence spaces, *Doğa-Tr. J. of Math.*, 17 (1993), 18-24.

- [11] Mikail Et. and A. Esi, On Köthe-Toeplitz duals of generalized difference sequence spaces, Bull. Malaysian Math. Sc. Soc., 23(2000), 25-32.
- [12] Mikail Et. and R. Colak, On some generalized difference sequence spaces, Soochow J. of Math., 21 (4) (1995), 377-386.
- [13] P. Chandra and B.C. Tripathy, On generalized Köthe-Toeplitz duals of some sequence spaces, Indian J. pure appl. Math., 33(8) (2002), 1301-1306.
- [14] P.K. Kamthan and M. Gupta, Sequence spaces, Lecture notes in Pure and Applied Math., 65, Marcel Dekker Inc. New York, 1981.
- [15] R. Colak, On some generalized sequence spaces, Commun. Fac. Sci. Univ. Ank. Series A1, 38 (1989), 35-46.
- [16] R.G. Cooke, Infinite Matrices and Sequence Spaces, Dover Publ., 1955.

Received: June, 2009