

## $g$ -Congruences on $po$ - $\Gamma$ -Semigroups

Thawat Changphas<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
Faculty of Science  
Khon Kaen University  
Khon Kaen 40002, Thailand

Centre of Excellence in Mathematics  
CHE, Si Ayuttaya Rd.  
Bangkok 10400, Thailand  
thacha@kku.ac.th

### Abstract

A congruence  $\sigma$  on a semigroup or an ordered semigroup  $S$  is called a  $g$ -congruence if for any  $A \in S/\sigma$  there exists one and only one element of  $A$  which is a right unit of  $A$ . Kehayopulu and Tsingelis [1] dealt with  $g$ -congruences on an ordered semigroup. In this note, we show that the results can be obtained on an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 06F05

**Keywords:** Ordered semigroup ( $po$ -semigroup), ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup ( $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup),  $g$ -congruence, semilattice congruence, congruence

## 1 Introduction and Preliminaries

Let  $S$  be an ordered semigroup ( $po$ -semigroup). A congruence  $\sigma$  on  $S$  is called a  $g$ -congruence if for any  $A \in S/\sigma$  there exists one and only one element  $e$  of  $A$  which is a right unit of  $A$ . In [1], the authors dealt with  $g$ -congruences on  $S$ . Firstly, it was proved that if the order  $\leq$  on  $S$  is a chain,  $\sigma$  a  $g$ -congruence,  $e, f$  right units of  $S$  and  $g \in (e)_\sigma, h \in (f)_\sigma$ , then the following are equivalent: (1)  $h \leq g$ . (2)  $h < gf$  or ( $h = gf$  and  $f \leq e$ ). (3)  $he < g$  or ( $he = g$  and  $f \leq e$ ). Let  $\mathcal{RU}_\sigma$  be the set of units of  $(a)_\sigma$  for all  $a \in S$ . Secondly, if the order  $\leq$  on  $S$  is a chain,  $\sigma$  a  $g$ -congruence and  $g, h \in S$ , then the following are equivalent: (1)  $h \leq g$ . (2) There exist  $e, f \in \mathcal{RU}_\sigma$  such that  $g \in (e)_\sigma, h \in (f)_\sigma$

---

<sup>1</sup>This research is supported by the Centre of Excellence in Mathematics, the Commission on Higher Education, Thailand.

and  $h \leq gf$  or ( $h = gf$  and  $f \leq e$ ). (3) There exist  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma$  such that  $g \in (e)_\sigma$ ,  $h \in (f)_\sigma$ , and  $he < g$  or ( $g = he$  and  $f \leq e$ ). In this paper, we show that analogous results can be obtained on an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup.

Let  $S$  and  $\Gamma$  be nonempty sets. Then  $S$  is called a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup [3] if there is a mapping  $S \times \Gamma \times S \rightarrow S$ , written as  $(x, \gamma, y) \mapsto x\gamma y$ , such that

$$(x\gamma y)\beta z = x\gamma(y\beta z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in S$  and all  $\gamma, \beta \in \Gamma$ .

**Definition 1.1** A  $\Gamma$ -semigroup  $S$  is called an ordered  $\Gamma$ -semigroup (*po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup*) if there is a relation  $\leq$  on  $S$  such that  $x \leq y$  implies  $x\gamma z \leq y\gamma z$  and  $z\gamma x \leq z\gamma y$  for any  $x, y, z \in S$  and all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ .

Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or *po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup* and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . An element  $e$  of  $S$  is called  $\alpha$ -idempotent if  $e\alpha e = e$ . Let  $E_\alpha(S)$  denote the set of all  $\alpha$ -idempotents of  $S$ . Let  $E(S) = \bigcup_{\alpha \in \Gamma} E_\alpha(S)$ .

Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or *po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup*. An equivalence relation  $\sigma$  on  $S$  is called a congruence relation on  $S$  if for  $x, y, z \in S$  and  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $(x, y) \in \sigma$  implies  $(x\alpha z, y\alpha z) \in \sigma$  and  $(z\alpha x, z\alpha y) \in \sigma$ .

**Definition 1.2** An equivalence relation  $\sigma$  on a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or *po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup*  $S$  is called *g-congruence* (*group-congruence*) if for every  $A \in S/\sigma$  there exists one and only one element  $e$  of  $A$ , called *right unit* of  $A$ , such that  $a\alpha e = a$  for all  $a \in A$  and for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ .

By Definition 1.2, if  $\sigma$  is a *g-congruence* on a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup or *po- $\Gamma$ -semigroup*  $S$ , then for each  $A \in S/\sigma$  contains a unique right unit.

**Definition 1.3** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $\sigma$  a *g-congruence* on  $S$ . Let

$$\mathcal{R}U_\sigma = \{e \in S \mid e \text{ is a right unit of } (a)_\sigma; a \in S\}.$$

Note that  $\mathcal{R}U_\sigma = \{e \in S \mid e \text{ is a right unit of } (e)_\sigma\}$ .

## 2 Main Results

**Proposition 2.1** Let  $S$  be a  $\Gamma$ -semigroup and  $\sigma$  a *g-congruence* on  $S$ .

- (i)  $\mathcal{R}U_\sigma \subseteq E(S)$ .
- (ii) If  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma$ , then  $(e)_\sigma = (f)_\sigma$  if and only if  $e = f$ .
- (iii)  $S = \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma} (e)_\sigma$ .

*Proof.* The proofs are modifications of the proofs in [1].

(i) Let  $e \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma$ . Then  $e$  is a right unit of  $(e)_\sigma$ . Since  $e\alpha e = e$  for all  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ , we obtain  $e \in E(S)$ .

(ii) Let  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma$  be such that  $(e)_\sigma = (f)_\sigma$ . Since  $e$  is a right unit of  $(f)_\sigma$  and  $f$  is a right unit of  $(e)_\sigma$  and  $\sigma$  is a *g*-congruence, it follows that  $e = f$ . It is clear that  $e = f$  implies  $(e)_\sigma = (f)_\sigma$ .

(iii) Let  $x \in S$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a *g*-congruence,  $(x)_\sigma$  contains the right unit element  $e$ . Since  $(x)_\sigma = (e)_\sigma$ ,  $x \in (e)_\sigma$ . Since  $e$  is the right unit element of  $(e)_\sigma$ , we have  $x \in \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma} (e)_\sigma$ .

**Theorem 2.2** *Let  $S$  be a  $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup where  $\leq$  is a chain, and  $\sigma$  a  $g$ -congruence on  $S$ . Let  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma$  and  $g \in (e)_\sigma, h \in (f)_\sigma$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $h \leq g$ .
- (ii) For  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $h < g\alpha f$  or  $(h = g\alpha f$  and  $f \leq e)$ .
- (iii) For  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $h\alpha e < g$  or  $(h\alpha e = g$  and  $f \leq e)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $h \leq g$ . Let  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ . Then  $h\alpha f \leq g\alpha f$ . Since  $f$  is a right unit of  $(f)_\sigma$  and  $h \in (f)_\sigma$ , we have  $h \leq g\alpha f$ . Therefore,  $h < g\alpha f$  or  $h = g\alpha f$ . Assume that  $h = g\alpha f$  and  $e < f$ . Since  $g\alpha e \leq g\alpha f$ , we obtain  $g\alpha e \leq h$ . Since  $g \in (e)_\sigma$ , it follows that  $g \leq h$ . Thus  $g = h$ , and  $(h)_\sigma = (g)_\sigma$ . Since  $(e)_\sigma = (f)_\sigma$ , by Proposition 2.1, we get  $e = f$ . A contradiction.

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). Assume that for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $h < g\alpha f$  or  $(h = g\alpha f$  and  $f \leq e)$ . There are two cases to consider. If  $h < g\alpha f$  and  $g < h$ , then  $g\gamma f \leq h\gamma f$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Since  $f$  is a right unit of  $(f)_\sigma$ , we have  $g\gamma f \leq h$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $g\alpha f \leq h$ . A contradiction. If  $h = g\alpha f$  and  $f \leq e$ , then  $g\gamma f \leq g\gamma e$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ . Thus  $h = g\alpha f \leq g\alpha e$ . Since  $e$  is a right unit of  $(e)_\sigma$ , we have  $h \leq g$ .

That (i)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (iii) can be proved similarly.

**Theorem 2.3** *Let  $S$  be a  $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup where  $\leq$  is a chain and  $\sigma$  a  $g$ -congruence on  $S$ . Let  $e, f \in \mathcal{R}U_\sigma$ ,  $e \neq f$  and  $g \in (e)_\sigma, h \in (f)_\sigma$ . The following are equivalent:*

- (i)  $h \leq g$ .
- (ii)  $h < g$ .
- (iii) For  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $h < g\alpha f$  or  $(h = g\alpha f$  and  $f \leq e)$ .
- (iv) For  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $h\alpha e < g$  or  $(h\alpha e = g$  and  $f \leq e)$ .

*Proof.* (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). Assume that  $h \leq g$ . If  $h = g$ , then  $(h)_\sigma = (g)_\sigma$ , so  $(e)_\sigma = (f)_\sigma$ . By Proposition 2.1,  $e = f$ . A contradiction. Thus  $h < g$ .

(ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii). Suppose that  $h < g$ . Then  $h \leq g$ . Since  $e \neq f$ , by Theorem 2.2, we have (iii).

(iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iv). Assume that (iii) holds. Since  $f < e$ ,  $f \leq e$ . By Theorem 2.2, (iv) follows.

(iv)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). This follows from Theorem 2.2 (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i).

**Theorem 2.4** *Let  $S$  be a  $po$ - $\Gamma$ -semigroup where  $\leq$  is a chain and  $\sigma$  a  $g$ -congruence on  $S$ . Let  $g, h \in S$ . The following are equivalent:*

(i)  $h \leq g$ .

(ii) *There exist  $e, f \in \mathcal{RU}_\sigma$  such that  $g \in (e)_\sigma, h \in (f)_\sigma$ , and for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $h < g\alpha f$  or ( $h = g\alpha f$  and  $f \leq e$ ).*

(iii) *There exist  $e, f \in \mathcal{RU}_\sigma$  such that  $g \in (e)_\sigma, h \in (f)_\sigma$ , and for  $\alpha \in \Gamma$ ,  $h\alpha e < g$  or ( $h\alpha e = g$  and  $f \leq e$ ).*

*Proof.* Assume that  $h \leq g$ . Since  $\sigma$  is a  $g$ -congruence on  $S$ , by Proposition 2.1, we have  $S = \bigcup_{e \in \mathcal{RU}_\sigma} (e)_\sigma$ . Since  $g, h \in S$ , we obtain  $g \in (e)_\sigma$  and  $h \in (f)_\sigma$  for some  $e, f \in \mathcal{RU}_\sigma$ . By Theorem 2.2, (ii) follows. Hence (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii).

Using Theorem 2.2 (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), we have (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (iii) and (iii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i), respectively.

## References

- [1] N. Kehayopulu, M. Tsingelis,  $g$ -Congruences on Semigroups, ordered Semigroups, *International Journal of Algebra*, **5** (24), (2011), 1189-1194.
- [2] M. Petrich, *Introduction to Semigroups*, Merrill, Columbus (1973).
- [3] M. K. Sen, N. K. Saha, On  $\Gamma$ -semigroup I, *Bull. Cal. Math. Soc.*, **78** (1986), 180-186.

**Received: October, 2011**