

# Integrability of $q$ -Deformed Lax Equations

A. EL Boukili and M. B. Sedra<sup>1</sup>

Université Ibn Tofail, Faculté des Sciences  
 Département de Physique, Laboratoire de Physique de la  
 Matière et Rayonnement (LPMR), Kénitra, Morocco

## Abstract

The theory of  $q$ -deformed pseudo-differential operators can be defined by means of the  $q$ -derivative  $\partial_q$  instead of the usual derivative  $\partial$  with respect to the one dimensional space coordinate  $x$  in a classical system. Based on previous works on pseudo-differential operators [arXiv:0708.4046 and hep-th/0610056 ], we focus in this work to present the basics steps towards constructing the  $q$ -deformed integrable systems and the associated Lax generating technique. Particular interest is devoted the the  $q$ -Burgers and the  $q$ -KdV systems and their underlying mapping.

## 1 $q$ -pseudo-differential operators

The  $q$ -differential operator  $\partial_q$  acts on the ring of functions as follows [1],

$$\partial_q \circ f = (\partial_q f) + \eta_q(f) \partial_q, \quad (1)$$

where the  $q$ -shift operator  $\eta_q$  is a linear function of  $f$  given by

$$\eta_q(f(x)) = f(qx). \quad (2)$$

For non-local differential operators, we have

$$\partial_q^{-1} \circ f = \sum_{k \geq 0} (-1)^k q^{-k(k+1)/2} (\eta_q^{-k-1}(\partial_q^k f)) \partial_q^{-k-1}. \quad (3)$$

This equation is obtained by using the following relation

$$(\partial_q^{-1} \circ \partial_q) \circ f = (\partial_q \circ \partial_q^{-1}) \circ f = f. \quad (4)$$

---

<sup>1</sup>mysedra@yahoo.fr

where  $\partial_q^{-1}$  is the inverse of the formal  $q$ -derivative  $\partial_q$ . We should note that  $\eta_q$  does not commute with  $\partial_q$  by virtue of,

$$\partial_q(\eta_q^k(f)) = q^k \eta_q^k(\partial_q f), \quad k \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (5)$$

The general expression is given by

$$\partial_q^m(\eta_q^k(f)) = q^{km} \eta_q^k(\partial_q^m f), \quad k, m \in \mathbb{Z}. \quad (6)$$

Note that eq.(1) can be generalized as follows

$$\partial_q^n \circ f = \sum_{k \geq 0} \binom{n}{k}_q \eta_q^{n-k}(\partial_q^k f) \partial_q^{n-k}, \quad (7)$$

for all  $n$ . In the last equation, the  $q$ -binomials take the form

$$\binom{n}{k}_q = \frac{(n)_q(n-1)_q \dots (n-k+1)_q}{(1)_q(2)_q \dots (k)_q}, \quad (8)$$

and the  $q$ -numbers are given by

$$(n)_q = \frac{q^n - 1}{q - 1}, \quad (9)$$

with  $\binom{n}{0}_q = 1$ .

We can write out several explicit forms of (7) for  $q$ -derivative  $\partial_q^n$  and  $\partial_q^{-n}$  as follows

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q \circ f &= (\partial_q f) + \eta_q(f) \partial_q, \\ \partial_q^2 \circ f &= (\partial_q^2 f) + (q+1)\eta_q(\partial_q f) \partial_q + \eta_q^2(f) \partial_q^2, \\ \partial_q^3 \circ f &= (\partial_q^3 f) + (q^2 + q + 1)\eta_q(\partial_q^2 f) \partial_q + (q^2 + q + 1)\eta_q^2(\partial_q f) \partial_q^2 + \eta_q^3(f) \partial_q^3, \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q^{-1} \circ f &= \eta_q^{-1}(f) \partial_q^{-1} - q^{-1} \eta_q^{-2}(\partial_q f) \partial_q^{-2} + q^{-3} \eta_q^{-3}(\partial_q^2 f) \partial_q^{-3} - q^{-6} \eta_q^{-4}(\partial_q^3 f) \partial_q^{-4} \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{q^{10}} \eta_q^{-5}(\partial_q^4 f) \partial_q^{-5} + \dots + (-1)^k q^{-(1+2+3+\dots+k)} \eta_q^{-k-1}(\partial_q^k f) \partial_q^{-k-1} + \dots \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \partial_q^{-2} \circ f &= \eta_q^{-2}(f) \partial_q^{-2} - \frac{1}{q^2} (2)_q \eta_q^{-3}(\partial_q f) \partial_q^{-3} + \frac{1}{q^{(2+3)}} (3)_q \eta_q^{-4}(\partial_q^2 f) \partial_q^{-4} \\ &\quad - \frac{1}{q^{(2+3+4)}} (4)_q \eta_q^{-5}(\partial_q^3 f) \partial_q^{-5} + \dots \\ &\quad + \frac{(-1)^k}{q^{(2+3+\dots+k+1)}} (k+1)_q \eta_q^{-2-k}(\partial_q^k f) \partial_q^{-2-k} + \dots \end{aligned}$$

## 2 $q$ -deformed Lax generating technique

We focus here to present some results related to the Lax representation in its  $q$ -deformed version by using the convention notations and the analysis presented in previous occasions [5, 6]. The obtained results are shown to be compatible with the ones already established in literature.

### 2.1 $q$ -differential Lax equation

The basic idea of the Lax formulation consists first in considering a  $q$ -integrable system with the Lax representation

$$[\mathcal{L}, \partial_t - B]_q = 0, \quad (12)$$

where

▷ The time derivative is  $\partial_t \equiv \frac{\partial}{\partial t}$ ,

▷ The deformed Lie Bracket  $[\cdot, \cdot]_q$  is defined as follows [11]

$$[f, g]_q = f \circ g - q^{|f| \cdot |g|} g \circ f \quad (13)$$

$$= f \circ g - q^{4|f| \cdot |g|} g \circ f. \quad (14)$$

where  $|f|$  is the grading of the function  $f$ .

▷ The Equation (12) is called  $q$ -differential Lax equation and the associated pair of operators  $(\mathcal{L}, B)$  are called the Lax  $q$ -differential operators.

▷ The  $q$ -differential operator  $\mathcal{L}$  defines the integrable system which we should fix from the beginning.

The  $sl_n - KdV$  hierarchy in the  $q$ -deformed version is defined as

$$\frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial t_k} = [(\mathcal{L}^{\frac{k}{2}})_+, \mathcal{L}]_q. \quad (15)$$

where  $L$  is a differential operator of grading (conformal weight) 2. Equivalently one may write

$$[\mathcal{L}, \partial_t - B]_q \equiv [\mathcal{L}, \partial_{t_k} - (\mathcal{L}^{\frac{k}{2}})_+]_q = 0 \quad (16)$$

where the operator  $B$  is the analogue of  $(\mathcal{L}^{\frac{k}{2}})_+$  describing a  $q$ -differential operator of grading  $k$ .

Now, let us apply the  $q$ -deformed Lax-pair generating technique. We need to find an appropriate operator  $B$  satisfying the equation (12), for this we have to make some constraints on the operator  $B$  namely

**Ansatz for the operator  $B$ :**

$$B = \partial_q^n \circ \mathcal{L}^m + \tilde{B}, \tag{17}$$

with  $\partial_q^n$  is the  $q$ -differential operator acting on  $\mathcal{L}^m$  according to equation (17) and  $\tilde{B}$  is another operator such that  $|B|=|\tilde{B}|$ . Then, with this ansatz, the problem reduces to find the operator  $\tilde{B}$ .

To understand the situation, we will study two interesting examples namely the  $q - KdV$  and the  $q - Burgers$  systems in the particular situation where  $q^8 = 1$ , ie:

$$q \in \left\{ 1, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1+i), i, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1+i), -1, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1+i), -i, \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2}(1+i) \right\}. \tag{18}$$

### 2.2 $q$ -deformed Burgers equations

The  $\mathcal{L}$ -operator for the  $q$ -deformed Burgers equation is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_{q-burgers} = \partial_q + u_1 \tag{19}$$

with

$$\mathcal{L}_{q-burgers} \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^{(0,1)} \tag{20}$$

For  $n = 1 = m$ , the anzats for the  $q$ -deformed Burgers operator  $B$  give

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \partial_q \circ \mathcal{L} + \tilde{B} \\ &= \partial_q^2 + \eta_q(u_1) \partial_q + \partial_q(u_1) + \tilde{B} \end{aligned} \tag{21}$$

Simply algebraic computations lead to

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}, \tilde{B}]_q &= (\eta_q(u_1) - u_1) \partial_q^2 \\ &\quad + [q\eta_q(\partial_q(u_1)) + (\eta_q(u_1))^2 + \partial_q(u_1) - \partial_q(\eta_q(u_1)) - u_1\eta_q(u_1)] \partial_q \\ &\quad + \eta_q(u_1) \partial_q(u_1) - \dot{u}_1 \end{aligned} \tag{22}$$

where  $\dot{u}_1 = \frac{\partial u_1}{\partial t}$ .

Now, our goal is to extract, from the equations (12) and (22), the Lax equation which is called  $q$ -deformed Burgers. For this we will follow the following procedure:

**Ansatz for the operator  $\tilde{B}$ :**

$$\tilde{B} = \alpha \partial_q + \beta \tag{23}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are arbitrary functions on  $u$  and its derivatives. one finds

$$\begin{aligned} [\mathcal{L}, \tilde{B}] = & (\eta_q(\alpha) - q^8\alpha) \partial_q^2 \\ & + [\partial_q(\alpha) + \eta_q(\beta) + u_1\alpha - q^8\alpha\eta_q(u_1) - q^8\beta] \partial_q \\ & + \partial_q(\beta) - q^8\alpha\partial_q(u_1) + u_1\beta - q^8\beta u_1 \end{aligned} \quad (24)$$

giving rise to

$$a\partial_q^2(u_1) + (b-1)[\eta_q(u_1)\partial_q(u_1) + u_1\partial_q(u_1)] + \dot{u}_1 = 0 \quad (25)$$

for arbitrary constants  $a$  and  $b$ .

### The Classical Limit:

The equation (25) is called  $q$ -deformed Burgers equation or simply the  $q$ -Burgers equation. Note the fact that this equation is linear for  $b = 1$  and for  $q = 1$  (ie.  $\eta_q(u_1) = u_1$ ) we recover the same equation gotten in works [10] and [6] namely

$$au_1'' + 2(b-1)u_1u_1' + \dot{u}_1 = 0. \quad (26)$$

## 2.3 $q$ -deformed KdV equations

We consider here the  $q$ -deformed KdV Lax operator

$$\mathcal{L}_{q-KdV} = \partial_q^2 + u_2. \quad (27)$$

We are going to follow the same method of the previous example. Therefore the Ansatz for the operator  $B$  is

$$\begin{aligned} B &= \partial_q \circ \mathcal{L} + \tilde{B} \\ &= \partial_q^3 + \eta_q(u_2)\partial_q + \partial_q(u_2) + \tilde{B} \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

and the associated Lax equation

$$[\partial_t - B, \mathcal{L}]_q = 0 \quad (29)$$

or equivalently

$$[\mathcal{L}, B]_q = -\dot{u}_1 \quad (30)$$

Straightforward computations give the following  $q$ -KdV equation

$$\dot{u}_2 = [u_2 + \eta_q(u_2)]\partial_q(u_2) + \partial_q^2[\partial_q(u_2) + \eta_q(\partial_q u_2)] \quad (31)$$

### The Classical Limit:

Setting  $q = 1$ , we recover the standard KdV equation[7] namely

$$\dot{u}_2 = u_2u_2' + u_2''' \quad (32)$$

### 3 $q$ -Burgers $\leftrightarrow$ $q$ -KdV mapping

We present here an approach to define the correspondence between the  $q$ -Burgers and the  $q$ -KdV systems. Such a correspondence that we call the  $q$ -Burger-KdV mapping is shown to generalize the standard Burgers-KdV mapping studied in a previous work [6].

We illustrate this idea for the KdV and Burgers equations and present later a generalization for the  $sl_n$  case.

Now consider the Burgers  $q$ -differential operator (19)

$$\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-burgers}} = \partial_q + u_1 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_1^{(0,1)} \tag{33}$$

and the KdV  $q$ -differential operator (27)

$$\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-KdV}} = \partial_q^2 + u_2 \in \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^{(0,2)} / \tilde{\mathcal{A}}_2^{(1,1)} \tag{34}$$

**Proposition 1:**

We have the following decomposition rule

$$\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-KdV}}(u_2) = \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-burgers}}(u_1) \circ \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-burgers}}(v_1) \tag{35}$$

where we have set  $v_1 = -\eta_q(u_1)$  and  $u_2 = \partial_q(-\eta_q(u_1)) - u_1\eta_q(u_1)$ .

This decomposition is called  $q$ -deformed Miura transformation. Equivalently we have

$$\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-burgers}}(u_1) \hookrightarrow \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-KdV}}(u_2) = \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-burgers}}(u_1) \circ \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-burgers}}(-\eta_q(u_1)) \tag{36}$$

with  $u_2 = \partial_q(-\eta_q(u_1)) - u_1\eta_q(u_1)$

**Proposition 2:**

On the basis of the following grading rules  $[\partial_{t_{q\text{-KdV}}}] = 3$  and  $[\partial_{t_{q\text{-Burgers}}}] = 2$ , we can set

$$\partial_{t_{q\text{-Burgers}}} \hookrightarrow \partial_{t_{q\text{-KdV}}} = \alpha\partial_q \circ \partial_{t_{q\text{-Burgers}}} + \beta\partial_q^3 \tag{37}$$

where  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are arbitrary real constants.

**Proposition 3: Generalization**

Given a  $q$ -deformed Burgers operator  $\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-Burgers}}$  and a  $q$ -deformed  $sl_n$  - KdV operator of type

$$\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-}sl_n\text{-KdV}} = \partial_q^n + u_2\partial_q^{n-2} + u_3\partial_q^{n-3} + \dots + u_n \tag{38}$$

then we can make the following decomposition

$$\mathcal{L}_{q\text{-}sl_n\text{-KdV}} = \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-Burgers}}(v_1) \circ \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-Burgers}}(v_2) \circ \dots \circ \mathcal{L}_{q\text{-Burgers}}(v_n) \tag{39}$$

where  $v_i, i = 1, \dots, n$  are fields of conformal weight  $i$  and which are functions of the fields  $u_j, j = 2, \dots, n$  and their  $q$ -derivatives.

## References

- [1] C. Kassel, Commun. Math. Phys. 140 (1992)343.
- [2] C.H. Oh and K. Singh, Conformal Properties of Primary Fields in a  $q$ -Deformed Theory, arXiv:hep-th/9407081v2 21 Jul 1994.
- [3] J.Wess,  $q$ -deformed Heisenberg Algebras, arXiv:math-ph/9910013v1 8 Oct 1999.
- [4] Jingsong HE, Yinghua LI and Yi Cheng,  $q$ -Deformed KP Hierarchy and  $q$ -Deformed Constrained KP Hierarchy, arXiv:nlin/0606039v2 [nlin.SI] 15 Jun 2006.
- [5] A. El Boukili, M.B. Sedra and A. Zemate, Integrable Systems: Bosonic and Supersymmetric Cases, African Journal Of Mathematical Physics Volume 6 (2008) 123-143.
- [6] M.B. Sedra, Moyal noncommutative integrability and the Burgers-KDV mapping, Nucl.Phys.B740:243-270,2006, hep-th/0508236; A. El Boukili, E.H. Saidi and M.B. Sedra, Zeeman Effect In The Framework of Moyal Noncommutativity and String Theory, hep-th/0610123.
- [7] O. Dafounansou, A. El Boukili and M.B. Sedra, Some aspects of noncommutative integrable systems, hep-th/0508173.
- [8] Omer F. Dayi,  $q$ -Deformed Star Products Andmoyal Brackets, q-alg/9609023
- [9] Richard Herrmann,  $q$ -deformed Lie algebras and fractional calculus, arXiv:0711.3701v1 [physics.gen-ph] 23 Nov 2007
- [10] A. Jellal and E.H. El Kinani, Graded  $q$ -pseudodifferential operators and supersymmetric algebras, Journal of Physics A, Mathematical and General 35 No 16, 2002, pp 3697-3702.
- [11] Viktor Abramov, On a graded  $q$ -differential algebra, JNMP Vol. 13, Supp. (2006), 1–8

**Received: October, 2009**