

# A Type III Robinson-Trautman Metric Representing an Einstein-Maxwell Null Field

W. Davidson<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Mathematics and Statistics Department  
University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand

<sup>2</sup>Permanent Address: 21 Rowbank Way,  
Loughborough, Leicestershire, UK, LE11 4AJ  
wdav295@btinternet.com

**Abstract.** The equations are set up for a Robinson-Trautman Einstein-Maxwell field, employing a suitable complex null tetrad. A new Petrov Type III solution exhibiting an Einstein-Maxwell null field is presented. Modification of the solution then converts it to a Type III field of pure radiation.

**Keywords:** Exact, Robinson-Trautman, Einstein-Maxwell, Null

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Robinson –Trautman spacetimes [6], which in certain circumstances can be associated with radiating gravitational waves [6,2,5,3], are defined by the property that they admit a geodesic, shearfree, twistfree and diverging null congruence  $k$ . This means that the spin components of the congruence satisfy

$$\kappa = \sigma = 0, \quad -\rho = -\bar{\rho} = \Theta > 0. \quad (1.1)$$

The metric for these spacetimes can be written in the form [6,7]:

$$ds^2 = \frac{z^2}{P(t, x, y)^2} (dx^2 + dy^2) - 2dzdt - 2N(t, x, y, z) dt^2.$$

(1.2)

We label the coordinates  $t, x, y$  and  $z$  by  $x^i$  for  $i = 0, 1, 2$  and 3, respectively.

It is convenient to refer the metric (1.2) to a complex null tetrad  $k, m, mbar$  and  $n$ , which in relation to the coordinate system  $x^i$  is written

$$\begin{aligned}
k^i &= (0, 0, 0, 1), \\
m^i &= (0, P/(\sqrt{2}z), -iP/(\sqrt{2}z), 0), \\
\bar{m}^i &= (0, P/(\sqrt{2}z), iP/(\sqrt{2}z), 0), \\
n^i &= (1, 0, 0, -N).
\end{aligned} \tag{1.3}$$

We then find that certain tetrad components of the Ricci tensor vanish:

$$R_{00} = R_{11} = R_{22} = R_{10} = R_{20} = 0, \tag{1.4}$$

and for the invariant  $\Theta$  we obtain

$$\Theta = 1/z. \tag{1.5}$$

Note that, for example, the tetrad Ricci component  $R_{00}$  in (1.4) is connected to the coordinate representation  $R_{i,j}$  via (1.3) by the relation

$$R_{00} = R_{i,j} k^i k^j. \tag{1.6}$$

Examining the components of the Weyl tensor in the tetrad we derive

$$\psi_0 = \psi_1 = 0. \tag{1.7}$$

It follows that the metric (1.2) is *algebraically special*. Moreover we find that in the tetrad

$$R_{a[bc]k^a} = 0, \tag{1.8}$$

which indicates that the congruence  $k$  at any point is a Ricci eigen direction. Also, since  $\psi_0 = 0$ ,  $k$  is also aligned as a principal null direction of the Weyl tensor.

## 2. THE EINSTEIN-MAXWELL ELECTROMAGNETIC FIELD

For an Einstein-Maxwell solution the Ricci scalar  $R$  must vanish. For the metric (1.2) this leads to the equation

$$R = \frac{2}{Pz^2} (KP - Pz^2 N_{zz} - 4Pz N_z - 2PN - 6zP_t) = 0, \tag{2.1}$$

where a subscript indicates differentiation. Here  $K$  is proportional to the curvature of a 2-space  $t = \text{const}$ ,  $z = \text{const}$ :

$$K(t, x, y) = P\nabla^2 P - P_x^2 - P_y^2, \quad \nabla^2 \equiv \partial_{x^2}^2 + \partial_{y^2}^2. \tag{2.2}$$

We shall seek a Petrov Type III solution. Previous Type III solutions have been given by Bartrum [1] and by Ivanov [4]. Accordingly, in addition to (1.7) we must have

$$\psi_2 = \frac{1}{6Pz^2} (-KP + Pz^2 N_{zz} + Pz N_z + 3zP_t + 2PN) = 0. \tag{2.3}$$

From (2.1) and (2.3) we derive the relation

$$PN_z + P_t = 0. \tag{2.4}$$

Integrating, we obtain for  $N$ :

$$N = -zP_t / P + Q(t, x, y). \tag{2.5}$$

Substituting in (2.1) there follows

$$Q = K / 2, \tag{2.6}$$

and hence

$$N(t, x, y, z) = K / 2 - zP_t / P. \tag{2.7}$$

With the usual notation (see e.g. [7]), we now find for the Weyl invariants:

$$I = J = 0, \quad \psi_3 \neq 0, \tag{2.8}$$

which confirms that the metric is of Petrov Type III, having the form

$$ds^2 = (z^2 / P^2)(dx^2 + dy^2) - 2dzdt - (K - 2zP_t / P)dt^2, \tag{2.9}$$

which has only  $P(t, x, y)$  as unknown.

Examination of the tetrad components of the Ricci tensor shows that only  $R_{33}$  survives. In fact calculation yields

$$R_{ab} = \frac{P^2}{2z^2} \nabla^2 K \delta_a^3 \delta_b^3. \tag{2.10}$$

We therefore seek an electromagnetic field  $F_{ab}$  that leads to a field energy tensor  $T_{ab}$  for which only  $T_{33}$  is non-zero. The energy tensor of the field is

$$T_{ab} = F_{ac} F_b^c - \frac{1}{4} g_{ab} F_{cd} F^{cd}, \tag{2.11}$$

where  $g_{ab}$  are the metric components with respect to the null tetrad:

$$g_{ab} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \tag{2.12}$$

This gives

$$T_{ab} = 2\delta_a^3 \delta_b^3 F_{13} F_{23}, \tag{2.13}$$

provided we choose the only surviving components of  $F_{ab}$  to be

$$F_{13} = -F_{31} = f_1(t, x, y, z), \quad F_{23} = -F_{32} = f_2(t, x, y, z) \tag{2.14}$$

A consequence of this is that the invariants  $I_1$  and  $I_2$  vanish. That is,

$$I_1 = F_{ab} F^{ab} = 0, \quad I_2 = F_{ab} \tilde{F}^{ab} = 0, \tag{2.15}$$

where the dual bivector  $\tilde{F}_{ab}$  is given by

$$\tilde{F}_{ab} = \frac{1}{2} \epsilon_{abcd} F^{cd}, \tag{2.16}$$

$\epsilon_{abcd}$  being the Levi-Civita tensor in the complex null tetrad.

From (2.15) it follows that the electromagnetic field is *null*. In fact, in consequence of (2.14) the electromagnetic field invariants  $\Phi_0, \Phi_1$  and  $\Phi_2$  have values

$$\begin{aligned}
\Phi_0 &= F_{ab} k^a m^b = 0, \\
\Phi_1 &= \frac{1}{2} F_{ab} (k^a n^b + \bar{m}^a m^b) = 0, \\
\Phi_2 &= F_{ab} \bar{m}^a n^b = F_{23} = f_2.
\end{aligned} \tag{2.17}$$

Hence the discriminant  $\Phi_0 \Phi_2 - \Phi_1^2 = 0$ , confirming the *null* field.

We then note that we can write, in the tetrad:

$$T_{ab} = \Phi^2 k_a k_b, \tag{2.18}$$

where

$$\Phi^2 = 2\Phi_2 \bar{\Phi}_2 = 2f_1 f_2. \tag{2.19}$$

### 3. DETERMINATION OF THE FORM OF $f_1$ AND $f_2$

There are also two differential equations that  $F_{ab}$  must satisfy in an Einstein-Maxwell field:

$$F_{;b}^{ab} = 0, \tag{3.1}$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_{;b}^{ab} = 0. \tag{3.2}$$

Calculation of these equations relative to the complex null tetrad leads to the following forms:

$$f_1(t, x, y, z) = \frac{P}{2z} (b(t, x, y) - ic(t, x, y)) = \bar{\Phi}_2, \tag{3.3}$$

$$f_2(t, x, y, z) = \frac{P}{2z} (b(t, x, y) + ic(t, x, y)) = \Phi_2, \tag{3.4}$$

where  $b(t, x, y)$  and  $c(t, x, y)$  are real functions which (3.1) and (3.2) require to satisfy the relations

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\partial b(t, x, y)}{\partial x} &= -\frac{\partial c(t, x, y)}{\partial y}, \\
\frac{\partial b(t, x, y)}{\partial y} &= \frac{\partial c(t, x, y)}{\partial x}.
\end{aligned} \tag{3.5}$$

The equations (3.5) show that the complex expression  $b(t, x, y) + ic(t, x, y)$  is a function of  $\bar{\zeta} = x - iy$ , and combining (2.10), (2.18), (2.19), (3.3) and (3.4) we can write

$$(b - ic)(b + ic) = b^2 + c^2 = \nabla^2 K. \tag{3.6}$$

It therefore follows from (3.5) that a restriction on  $P(t, x, y)$ ,

$$\nabla^2 \log(\nabla^2 K) = 0, \tag{3.7}$$

is a necessary criterion for a valid solution.

We note in passing that in the original metric frame (2.9) the electromagnetic field has the non-zero values:

$$\begin{aligned} f(1,0) &= -f(0,1) = b(t,x,y)/\sqrt{2}, \\ f(2,0) &= -f(0,2) = c(t,x,y)/\sqrt{2}. \end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

#### 4. A SOLUTION

We choose the following value for  $P(t,x,y)$ :

$$P = a_0(t)e^{ax+by+m} + b_0(t)e^{cx+(a-b+c)y+n}, \tag{4.1}$$

where  $a, b, c, m$  and  $n$  are constants with  $a+c>0$  and  $a_0(t) > 0, b_0(t) > 0$ . This gives

$$\nabla^2 K = 4a_0b_0(a+c)^2 \left( (a-b)^2 + (c-b)^2 \right) e^{(a+c)(x+y)+m+n}. \tag{4.2}$$

We may therefore verify that (3.7) is satisfied. Taking account of (3.5) and (3.6) we determine appropriate values for  $b(t,x,y)$  and  $c(t,x,y)$ :

$$b(t,x,y) = 2a_0^{1/2}b_0^{1/2}(a+c) \left( (a-b)^2 + (c-b)^2 \right)^{1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}((a+c)(x+y)+m+n)} \cos \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(a+c)(x-y) \right\}, \tag{4.3}$$

$$c(t,x,y) = 2a_0^{1/2}b_0^{1/2}(a+c) \left( (a-b)^2 + (c-b)^2 \right)^{1/2} e^{\frac{1}{2}((a+c)(x+y)+m+n)} \sin \left\{ \frac{1}{2}(a+c)(x-y) \right\}. \tag{4.4}$$

$f_1(t,x,y,z)$  and  $f_2(t,x,y,z)$ , as well as  $\Phi_2$  and  $\bar{\Phi}_2$ , are then given by (3.3) and (3.4). Thus we obtain for  $\Phi^2$ :

$$\Phi^2 = \frac{2P(t,x,y)^2}{z^2} a_0(t)b_0(t)(a+c)^2 \left( (a-b)^2 + (c-b)^2 \right) e^{(a+c)(x+y)+m+n}, \tag{4.5}$$

and in the original metric frame (2.9) the components of  $F_{ab}$  are given by (3.8).

We have therefore derived a Robinson-Trautman spacetime exhibiting a Petrov type III Einstein-Maxwell null field.

#### 5. A TYPE III PURE RADIATION FIELD

In the case of *pure radiation* the energy tensor of the field has the construction, in the tetrad:

$$T_{ab} = \Phi^2 k_a k_b. \tag{5.1}$$

In a Robinson-Trautman spacetime, because of (1.1),  $\Phi^2$  has to take the form (see e.g. [7]):

$$\Phi^2 = q(t,x,y)/z^2, \quad q(t,x,y) > 0. \tag{5.2}$$

Assuming  $R = 0$ , and seeking a Type III solution ( $\psi_0 = \psi_1 = \psi_2 = 0$ ), we can adopt the metric (2.9). It follows that (2.10) applies for  $T_{ab}$  ( $= R_{ab}$ ). Hence we can write

$$q(t, x, y) = \frac{1}{2} P^2 \nabla^2 K. \quad (5.3)$$

If we now choose (4.1) for the value of  $P(t, x, y)$  then, by (4.2),

$$q(t, x, y) = 2a_0(t)b_0(t)(a+c)^2 \left( (a-b)^2 + (c-b)^2 \right) e^{(a+c)(x+y)+m+n} P(t, x, y)^2, \quad (5.4)$$

which is  $> 0$ , as required.

Equations (5.1)-(5.4) then provide a Robinson-Trautman Type III spacetime evincing a pure radiation solution.

## REFERENCES

- [1] P.C. Bartrum, J. Math. Phys., 8 (1967), 1464.
- [2] P.T. Chrusciel, Commun. Math. Phys., 137 (1991), 289.
- [3] C. Hoenselaers and Z. Perzes, Class. Quantum Grav., 10 (1993), 375.
- [4] G.G. Ivanov, Grav. Teor. Otnos., 12 (1977), 69.
- [5] B. Lukacs, Z. Perzes, J. Porter and A. Sebestyen, Gen. Rel. Grav., 16 (1984), 691.
- [6] I. Robinson and A. Trautman, Proc. Roy. Soc. Lond., A 265 (1962), 463.
- [7] H. Stephani, D. Kramer, M.A.H. MacCallum, C. Hoenselaers and E. Herlt, Exact Solutions of Einstein's Field Equations, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (2003).

**Received: February, 2010**