

On Symmetric Bi-Derivations of BCI-Algebras

Sabahattin Ilbira

Amasya University, Faculty of Science and Letter
Department of Mathematics
05000-Ipekkoy-Amasya, Turkey
silbira@gmail.com

Alev Firat

Ege University, Faculty of Science
Department of Mathematics, 35100-Izmir, Turkey
alev.firat@ege.edu.tr

Young Bae Jun

Gyeongsang National University
Department of Mathematics Education
660-701-Chinju, South Korea
skywine@gmail.com

Abstract. The notion of left-right (resp. right-left) symmetric bi-derivation of BCI-algebras is introduced and some related properties are investigated.

Keywords: BCI-algebras, symmetric bi-derivation, trace, regular derivations

1. INTRODUCTION

Y. B. Jun and X. L. Xin applied the notion of derivation in ring and near ring theory to BCI-algebras [6]. And H. A. S. Abujabal and N. O. Al-Shehri investigated some fundamental properties and proved some results on derivations of BCI-algebras in [1]. The concept of symmetric bi-derivation was introduced by Gy. Maksa in [8] (see also [9]). J. Vukman proved some results concerning symmetric bi-derivation on prime and semi prime rings [11, 12]. Y. Çeven introduced symmetric bi-derivation in lattices and investigated some related properties [4]. In this paper the notion of left-right (resp. right-left) symmetric bi-derivation of BCI-algebras is introduced and some of its properties are

investigated. Also the new concept, called componentwise regular (in particular d -regular) derivation, is introduced and proved some of its properties. By componentwise regular derivation, we give a characterization of BCK-algebras.

2. PRELIMINARIES

Let X be a nonempty set with binary operation $*$ and a constant 0 . $(X, *, 0)$ is called BCI-algebra if it satisfies the following axioms for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- I $((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0$
- II $(x * (x * y)) * y = 0$
- III $x * x = 0$
- IV $x * y = 0$ and $y * x = 0$ imply $x = y$.

In any BCI-algebra X , a binary operation \leq on X is defined for all $x, y \in X$ $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$. Then also (X, \leq) is partially ordered set. A BCI-algebra X satisfying $0 \leq x$ for all $x \in X$, is called BCK-algebra.

Let X, Y , be BCI-algebras. An operation $*$ on the cartesian product $X \times Y$ of X, Y is defined as follows

$$(x_1, y_1) * (x_2, y_2) = (x_1 * x_2, y_1 * y_2)$$

$$0 = (0, 0)$$

Then $(X \times Y, *, 0)$ is a BCI-algebra, and it is called the product of X, Y [7].

A BCI-algebra X has the following properties for all $x, y, z \in X$:

- (1) $x * 0 = 0$
- (2) $(x * y) * z = (x * z) * y$
- (3) $x \leq y$ implies $x * z \leq y * z$ and $z * y \leq z * x$
- (4) $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$
- (5) $x * (x * (x * y)) = (x * y)$
- (6) $0 * (x * y) = (0 * x) * (0 * y)$
- (7) $x * 0 = 0$ implies $x = 0$

For a BCI-algebra X , we denote $X_+ = \{x \in X | 0 \leq x\}$, the BCK-part of X and by $G(X) = \{x \in X | 0 * x = x\}$, the BCI- G part of X . If $X_+ = \{0\}$, then X is called a p -semisimple BCI-algebra. In a p -semisimple BCI-algebra X , the following properties hold:

- (8) $(x * z) * (y * z) = x * y$
- (9) $0 * (0 * x) = x$
- (10) $x * (0 * y) = y * (0 * x)$
- (11) $x * y = 0$ implies $x = y$
- (12) $x * a = x * b$ implies $a = b$
- (13) $a * x = b * x$ implies $a = b$
- (14) $a * (a * x) = x$

Let X be a p -semisimple algebra BCI-algebra, we define addition " + " as $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$. Then $(X, +)$ is an abelian group with identity 0 and $a - y = x * y$. Conversely, let $(X, +)$ be an abelian group with identity 0 and klet $x - y = x * y$. Then X is a p -semisimple BCI-algebra and $x + y = x * (0 * y)$ for all $x, y \in X$ (see [5]).

For a BCI-algebra X we denote $x \wedge y = y * (y * x)$, in particular $0 * (0 * x) = a_x$ and $L_p(X) := \{a \in X | x * a = 0 \implies x = a, \forall x \in X\}$. We call the elements of $L_p(X)$ p -atoms of X . For any $a \in X$, $V(a) := \{x \in X | a * x = 0\}$, which is called branch of X with respect to a . It follows that $x * y \in V(a * b)$ whenever $x \in V(a)$ and $y \in V(b)$ for all $a, b \in L_p(X)$ and all $x, y \in X$. Note that $L_p(X) = \{x \in X | a_x = x\}$, which is the p -semisimple part of X , and X is p -semisimple BCI-algebra if and only if $L_p(X) = X$. Note also that $a_x \in L_p(X)$, that is, $0 * (0 * a_x) = a_x$, which implies $a_x * y \in L_p(X)$ for all $y \in X$. It is clear that $G(X) \subset L_p(X)$, $x * (x * a) = a$ and $a * x \in L_p(X)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$ and $x \in X$. For more details we refer to [2, 7, 13, 14].

Definition 2.1. ([10]) A BCI-algebra X is said to be commutative if $x \wedge y = x$ whenever $x \leq y$ for all $x, y \in X$.

Definition 2.2. ([3]) A BCI-algebra X is said to be branchwise commutative if $x \wedge y = y \wedge x$ for all $x, y \in V(a)$, $a \in L_p(X)$.

Definition 2.3. ([4]) A BCI-algebra X is commutative if and only if it is branchwise commutative.

3. SYMMETRIC BI-DERIVATIONS

Definition 3.1. Let X be a BCI-algebra. A mapping $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ is symmetric if $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$ holds for all pairs $x, y \in X$.

Definition 3.2. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric mapping. A mapping $d : X \rightarrow X$ defined by $d(x) = D(x, x)$ is called trace of D .

Definition 3.3. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric mapping. If D satisfies the identity $D(x * y, z) = D(x, z) * y \wedge x * D(y, z)$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, then D is called *left - right symmetric bi - derivation* (briefly *(l, r) - symmetric bi - derivation*). If D satisfies the identity $D(x * y, z) = x * D(y, z) \wedge D(x, z) * y$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, then we say that D is *right - left symmetric bi - derivation* (briefly *(r, l) - symmetric bi - derivation*). Moreover if d is both an *(r, l) -* and a *(l, r) - symmetric bi - derivation*, it is said that D is *symmetric bi - derivation*.

Example 3.1. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ be a BCI-algebra with the following Cayley table:

*	0	1	2
0	0	0	2
1	1	0	2
2	2	2	0

Define a mapping $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$D(x, z) = \begin{cases} 0 & , \text{ if } (x, z) = (0, 1) \text{ and } (x, z) = (1, 0) \\ x * z & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it can be checked that D is both (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation and (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation.

Example 3.2. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, 3\}$ be a BCI-algebra with the following Cayley table:

*	0	1	2	3
0	0	0	0	0
1	1	0	0	1
2	2	2	0	2
3	3	3	3	0

Define a mapping $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ by

$$D(x, z) = \begin{cases} 2 & , \text{ if } (x, z) = (2, 2) \\ 0 & , \text{ otherwise} \end{cases}$$

Then it can be checked that D is both (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation and (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation.

Example 3.3. Let X be a p – semisimple BCI-Algebra. Define a mapping $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ $D(x, z) = x + z$, for all $x, z \in X$. For all $x, y, z \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} D(x * y, z) &= (x * y) + z = (x * y) * (0 * z) \\ &= (x * (0 * z)) * y = (x + z) * y \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, z) * y \wedge x * D(y, z) &= (x * D(y, z)) * ((x * D(y, z)) * (D(x, z) * y)) \\ &= D(x, z) * y = (x + z) * y \end{aligned}$$

So D is (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation. But for the following p – semisimple BCI-Algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2\}$ given Cayley table as

*	0	1	2
0	0	2	1
1	1	0	2
2	2	1	0

$$D(1 * 2, 2) = (1 * 2) + 2 = (1 * 2) * (0 * 2) = 2 * 1$$

but

$$1 * D(2, 2) \wedge D(1, 2) * 2 = 1 * D(2, 2) = 1 * (2(0 * 2)) = 1 * (2 * 1) = 1 * 1 = 0$$

So it is not (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation.

Proposition 3.4. *Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric mapping. Then*

- (i) *If D is a (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation, then $D(x, z) = D(x, z) \wedge x$ for all $x, z \in X$*
- (ii) *If D is a (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation, then $D(x, z) = x \wedge D(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$ if and only if $D(0, z) = 0$ for all $z \in X$.*

Proof. (i) Let D be a (l, r) –symmetric bi–derivation. Then for all $x, z \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, z) &= D(x * 0, z) = D(x, z) * 0 \wedge x * D(0, z) \\ &= (x * D(0, z)) * ((x * D(0, z)) * (D(x, z) * 0)) \\ &= (x * D(0, z)) * ((x * D(x, z)) * D(0, z)) \\ &\leq x * (x * D(x, z)) = D(x, z) = D(x, z) \wedge x \end{aligned}$$

On the other hand $D(x, z) \wedge x \leq D(x, z)$, and so (i) holds.

- (ii) Let D be a (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation. If $D(x, z) = x \wedge D(x, z)$ for all $x, z \in X$, then

$$D(0, z) = 0 \wedge D(0, z) = D(0, z) * (D(0, z) * 0) = 0$$

Conversely if $D(0, z) = 0$ for all $z \in X$, then

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, z) &= D(x * 0, z) = x * D(0, z) \wedge D(x, z) * 0 \\ &= x * 0 \wedge D(x, z) * 0 = x \wedge D(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

□

Proposition 3.5. *Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation. Then*

- (i) $D(0, z) \in L_p(X)$ for all $z \in X$.
- (ii) $D(a, z) = D(0, z) * (0 * a) = D(0, z) + a$ for all $a \in L_p(X), z \in X$.
- (iii) $D(a, z) \in L_p(X)$ for all $a \in L_p(X), z \in X$.
- (iv) $D(a + b, z) = D(a, z) + D(b, z) - D(0, z)$, for all $a, b \in L_p(X), z \in X$.

- (v) $D(.,.) : L_p(X) \times X \rightarrow L_p(X)$, $D(a, z) = a$, for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$ if and only if $D(0, z) = 0$.

Proof. (i) If we show that $D(0, z) = 0 * (0 * D(0, z))$, the proof is completed. From Proposition 3.4.(i) we know that $D(x, z) = D(x, z) \wedge x$ for all $x, z \in X$, so

$$D(0, z) = D(x, z) = D(0, z) \wedge 0 = 0 * (0 * D(0, z))$$

- (ii) Let $a \in L_p(X)$. Hence $a = 0 * (0 * a)$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, z) &= D(0 * (0 * a), z) = D(0, z) * (0 * a) \wedge 0 * D(0 * a, z) \\ &= (0 * D(0 * a, z)) * ((0 * D(0 * a, z)) * (D(0, z) * (0 * a))) \\ &= D(0, z) * (0 * a) = D(0, z) + a \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) Let $a \in L_p(X)$. From (ii)

$$D(a, z) = D(0, z) * (0 * a)$$

Because of $D(0, z) \in L_p(X)$, $D(0, z) * (0 * a) \in L_p(X)$ so $D(a, z) \in L_p(X)$.

- (iv) Let $a, b \in L_p(X)$. Note that $a + b = a * (0 * b) \in L_p(X)$ so from (ii)

$$\begin{aligned} D(a + b, z) &= D(0, z) + (a + b) \\ &= D(0, z) + a + D(0, z) + b - D(0, z) \\ &= D(a, z) + D(b, z) - D(0, z) \end{aligned}$$

- (v) If $D(a, z) = a$, for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$, clearly for $0 \in L_p(X)$ $D(0, z) = 0$. Conversely if $D(0, z) = 0$, then for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$

$$D(a, z) = D(0, z) + a = 0 + a = a$$

□

By Proposition 3.5 we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 3.6. *Let X be a BCI-algebra, $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation and $d : X \rightarrow X$ be trace of D . Then*

- (i) $d(0) \in L_p(X)$
(ii) $d(a) \in L_p(X)$, for all $a \in L_p(X)$

Proposition 3.7. *Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation. Then*

- (i) $D(a, z) \in G(X)$ for all $a \in G(X)$.
(ii) $D(a, z) \in L_p(X)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$.
(iii) $D(a, z) = a * D(0, z) = a + D(0, z)$ for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$.
(iv) $D(a + b, z) = D(a, z) + D(b, z) - D(0, z)$, for all $a, b \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$.

(v) $D(., .) : L_p(X) \times X \rightarrow L_p(X)$, $D(a, z) = a$, for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$ if and only if $D(0, z) = 0$.

Proof. (i) Let $a \in G(X)$. Hence $a = 0 * a$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, z) &= D(0 * a, z) = 0 * D(a, z) \wedge D(0, z) * a \\ &= (D(0, z) * a) * ((D(0, z) * a) * (0 * D(a, z))) \\ &= 0 * D(a, z) \end{aligned}$$

(ii) For any $a \in L_p(X)$, $a = 0 * (0 * a)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, z) &= D(0 * (0 * a), z) = 0 * D(0 * a, z) \wedge D(0, z) * (0 * a) \\ &= (D(0, z) * (0 * a)) * ((D(0, z) * (0 * a)) * (0 * D(0 * a, z))) \\ &= 0 * D(0 * a, z) \in L_p(X) \end{aligned}$$

(iii) For any $a \in L_p(X)$ and $z \in X$

$$\begin{aligned} D(a, z) &= D(a * 0, z) = a * D(0, z) \wedge D(a, z) * 0 \\ &= a * D(0, z) \wedge D(a, z) \\ &= D(a, z) * (D(a, z) * (a * D(0, z))) \\ &= a * D(0, z) = a * (0 * D(0, z)) \\ &= a + D(0, z) \end{aligned}$$

(iv) For all $a, b \in L_p(X)$, $a + b \in L_p(X)$, so

$$\begin{aligned} D(a + b, z) &= (a + b) + D(0, z) \\ &= a + D(0, z) + b + D(0, z) - D(0, z) \\ &= D(a, z) + D(b, z) - D(0, z) \end{aligned}$$

(v) If $D(a, z) = a$, for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$, clearly for $0 \in L_p(X)$ $D(0, z) = 0$. Conversely if $D(0, z) = 0$, then for all $a \in L_p(X)$, $z \in X$

$$D(a, z) = a + D(0, z) = a + 0 = a$$

□

By Proposition 3.7. we can give the following corollary.

Corollary 3.8. *Let X be a BCI-algebra, $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation and $d : X \rightarrow X$ be the trace of D . Then*

- (i) $d(a) \in G(X)$, for all $a \in G(X)$
- (ii) $d(a) \in L_p(X)$, for all $a \in L_p(X)$

Definition 3.9. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric mapping. If $D(0, z) = 0$, for all $z \in X$, D is called componentwise regular. In particular if $D(0, 0) = d(0) = 0$, D is called d – regular.

Example 3.4. We know that symmetric bi – derivation D in Example 3.1. is d – regular.

Example 3.5. We know that symmetric bi – derivation D in Example 3.2. is componentwise regular.

In the following we show that a (l, r) –(resp. (r, l) –) symmetric bi–derivation with certain conditions is componentwise regular (l, r) –(resp. (r, l) –) symmetric bi – derivation.

Proposition 3.10. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation. If there exist $a \in X$ such that $D(x, z) * a = 0$, for all $x, z \in X$, then D is componentwise regular (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation.

Proof. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation. Assume that there exist $a \in X$ such that $D(x, z) * a = 0$, for all $x, z \in X$. Since D is (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= D(x * a, z) * a = (D(x, z) * a \wedge x * D(a, z)) * a \\ &= (0 \wedge x * D(a, z)) * a = 0 * a \end{aligned}$$

thus $0 \leq a$. This show that

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, z) &= D(0 * a, z) = (D(0, z) * a) \wedge (0 * D(a, z)) \\ &= 0 \wedge (0 * D(a, z)) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

thus D is componentwise regular. \square

Corollary 3.11. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation. If there exist $a \in X$ such that $D(x, z) * a = 0$, for all $x, z \in X$, then D is d – regular (l, r) – symmetric bi – derivation.

Similarly the following can be proved.

Proposition 3.12. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation. If there exist $a \in X$ such that $a * D(x, z) = 0$, for all $x, z \in X$, then D is componentwise regular (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation.

Proof. Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation. Assume that there exist $a \in X$ such that $a * D(x, z) = 0$, for all $x, z \in X$. Since D is (r, l) – symmetric bi – derivation we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= a * D(x * a, z) = a * (a * D(x, z) \wedge D(a, z) * x) \\ &= a * (0 \wedge D(a, z) * x) * a = a * 0 \end{aligned}$$

This show that

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, z) &= D(a * 0, z) = (a * D(0, z) \wedge D(a, z) * 0) \\ &= 0 \wedge D(a, z) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

thus D is componentwise regular. □

Corollary 3.13. *Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation. If there exist $a \in X$ such that $a * D(x, z) = 0$, for all $x, z \in X$, then D is d -regular (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation.*

Theorem 3.14. *Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation. Then X be a BCK-Algebra if and only if D is componentwise regular.*

Proof. Let X be a BCK-Algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation. Then for all $x, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, z) &= D(0 * x, z) = D(0, z) * x \wedge 0 * D(x, z) \\ &= D(0, z) * x \wedge 0 \\ &= 0 * (0 * (D(0, z) * x)) \\ &= 0 * 0 \end{aligned}$$

Conversely Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a componentwise regular (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation. Let for a $x \in X$ $0 * x \neq 0$. Since D is componentwise regular $D(0 * x, 0) = 0$. And

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, 0) * x \wedge 0 * D(x, 0) &= 0 * x \wedge 0 * 0 \\ &= 0 * x \wedge 0 \\ &= 0 * (0 * (0 * x)) = (0 * x) \neq 0 \end{aligned}$$

But it is not possible since D is (l, r) -symmetric bi-derivation. Thus for all $x \in X$ $0 * x = 0$, i.e. X is BCK-algebra. □

Theorem 3.15. *Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation. Then X be a BCK-Algebra if and only if D is componentwise regular.*

Proof. Let X be a BCK-Algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation. Then for all $x, z \in X$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(0, z) &= D(0 * x, z) = 0 * D(x, z) \wedge D(0, z) * x \\ &= 0 \wedge D(0, z) * x \\ &= (D(0, z) * x) * ((D(0, z) * x) * 0) \\ &= (D(0, z) * x) * (D(0, z) * x) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Conversely Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(., .) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a componentwise regular (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation. Suppose $a \in L_p(X)$ and $a \neq 0$. Since D is componentwise regular

$$D(a * 0, 0) = D(a, 0) = 0.$$

But

$$\begin{aligned} a * D(0, 0) \wedge D(a, 0) * 0 &= a * 0 \wedge 0 * 0 \\ &= a \wedge 0 = 0 * (0 * a) = a \neq 0. \end{aligned}$$

But it is not possible since D is (r, l) -symmetric bi-derivation. Thus the unique p-atom is 0. Assume that for a $x \in X$ $0 * x \neq 0$ then $a_{0*x} = 0 * (0 * (0 * x)) = 0$, so $0 * x \in L_p(X)$ but this is a contradiction. Thus for all $x \in X$ $0 * x = 0$, i.e. X is BCK-Algebra. \square

Corollary 3.16. *Let X be a BCI-algebra and $D(.,.) : X \times X \rightarrow X$ be a symmetric bi-derivation. Then X be a BCK-Algebra if and only if D is componentwise regular.*

REFERENCES

- [1] Abujabal, H. A. S. and Al-Shehri, N. O., *Some Results on Derivations of BCI-Algebras.*, J. Nat. sci. Math., 46:1 & 2(April & October 2006), 13-19.
- [2] Aslam M. and Thaheem A. B. , *A Note on p-Semisimple BCI-Algebras*, Math. Japon., 36:1 (1991), 39-45.
- [3] Chaudhry M.A., *Branchwise Commutative BCI-Algebras*, Math. Japon. 37:1 (1992), 163-170.
- [4] Çeven, Y., *Symmetric bi-derivations of lattices*, Quaestiones Mathematicae 32(2009), 241-245.
- [5] Daoji M., *BCI-Algebras and Abelian Groups*, Math. Japonica, 35:5(1987), 693-696.
- [6] Jun, Y. B. and Xin, L. , *On Derivations of BCI-Algebras*, Information Sciences 159(2004), 167-176.
- [7] Lei T.D. and Xi X. L. , *On Derivations of BCI-Algebras*, Math. Japon., 30:4(1985), 511-517.
- [8] Maksa Gy., *A Remark on Symmetric Biadditive Functions Having Nonnegative Diagonalization*, Glasnik Math., 15:35(1980), 279-282.
- [9] Maksa Gy., *On the Trace of Symmetric Bi-Derivation*, C. R. Math. Rep. Acad. Sci. Canada, 9(1987), 303-307.
- [10] Meng, J. and Xin, X. L., *Commutative BCI-algebras*, Math. Japonica. 37:3(1992), 569-572.
- [11] Vukman J., *Symmetric Bi-Derivations on Prime and Semi-Prime Rings*, Aequations Math., 38(1989), 245-254.
- [12] Vukman J., *Two result Concerning Symmetric Bi-Derivations on Prime Rings*, Aequations Math., 40(1990), 181-189.
- [13] Xin X.L., Roh E.H. and Li J.C., *Some Results on the BCI-G-Part of BCI-Agebras*, Far East J. Math. Sci., Special Volume(Part III), (1997), 363-370.
- [14] Zhang Q., *Some Other Characterizations of p-semisimple BCI-Algebras*, Math. Japon., 36:5(1991), 815-817.

Received: April, 2011