

Sumudu Transform Applications to Bessel Functions and Equations

Fethi Bin Muhammad Belgacem

Department of Mathematics
Faculty of Basic Education
PAAET, Shaamyia, Kuwait
fbmbelgacem@gmail.com

Abstract

This paper starts by presenting a group of transforms that act on power series, having *the Sumudu* as a generating prototype. Among others, Sumudu convolution and shift properties are examined, extended, and used to treat Bessel equations. This relatively new transform usage is then defended in light of the bilateral Laplace-Sumudu duality.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 44A10, 44A15, 44A35, 45A05

Keywords: Sumudu, Sumudu Transform, Inverse Sumudu, Bilateral Laplace-Sumudu Duality

0. Motivation: An Interesting Group of Transforms.

For any real number p , consider the set of parametrized power series,

$$f_p(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k / [k!]^p, \quad (0.1)$$

then, $f_1(t) = e^t$, $f_0(t)$, is the geometric series converging to the function, $1/(1-t)$, in the time interval $(-1, 1)$, and all f_p are *formally* smoothly defined in \mathbb{R} . For $p > 0$, the tail end of the power series, $f_p(t)$, decreases rapidly the larger the value p is, and $f_p(t)$ tends to the null function as p tends to infinity. For $p < 0$, the power series tail end grows very fast, and f_p fails to converge except at $t = 0$.

If $f_p(t)$ functionally describes an electromagnetic or power signal, then the value p , has a direct bearing on the the strength of that signal. The signal

fades away infinitesimally the larger the value p gets, and will intensify to unbounded proportions, as p decreases to $-\infty$. Reaching such extremes, tends to render physical observations, and mathematical manipulations practically inadequate, if not impossible. This highly motivates the inception of tools, that map the signal to a more captable and manageable version. In this section, we introduce a group of operators that do just that.

Consider the operator, \mathbb{S} , mapping the series, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$, into the series, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k v^k$,

$$\mathbb{S}[f(x)](v) = \mathbb{S} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{S}[a_k x^k](v) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} k! a_k v^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k v^k = g(v). \quad (0.2)$$

Clearly, if $f^{(k)}$, denotes differentiating the power series k times, then, $g(0) = f(0)$, and, $g^{(k)}(0) = k! f^{(k)}(0)$. The tranform, \mathbb{S} , is linear, fixes linear functions, and preserves units. This means that x and v may be interchanged, albeit the domain of definition of the power series, f and g may differ. In addition, $\mathbb{S}[f_1(x)] = f_0(v)$, and for any p , we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[f_p(x)] = f_{(p-1)}(v). \quad (0.3)$$

In fact, given any real power q , we may then define the operator, \mathbb{S}^q , acting on power series as follows,

$$\mathbb{S}^q \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{S}^q[a_k x^k] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (k!)^q v^k. \quad (0.4)$$

Hence, for any reals p , q , and λ , we can apply powers of the transform, \mathbb{S} , to the parametrized family of functions in equation (0.1) to get,

$$\mathbb{S}^q[f_p(\lambda x)] = f_{(p-q)}(\lambda v). \quad (0.5)$$

The operator, \mathbb{S}^q , does not change the nature of the initial units, albeit it may have a warping effect on the domain of the image. The convergence of the resulting power series to an explicit function, may not be possible, since the image region of convergence may be empty or the singleton, $\{0\}$. In such as case, equation (0.4) remains true only in the formal sense (symbolwise), since there is no explicit function to which the right hand power v -series converges. The set, $\{\mathbb{S}^q, q \text{ is real}\}$, operating on formal powers series such as in (0.1), along

with composition, form a commutative group with the operator, $\mathbb{S}^0 = \mathbb{I}$, for an identity, where the inverse of any given operator, \mathbb{S}^q , is given by, $(\mathbb{S}^q)^{-1} = \mathbb{S}^{-q}$, and such that for all real pairs, (q_1, q_2) ,

$$\mathbb{S}^{q_1} \mathbb{S}^{q_2} = \mathbb{S}^{q_2} \mathbb{S}^{q_1} = \mathbb{S}^{q_1+q_2} = \mathbb{S}^{q_2} \mathbb{S}^{q_1}, \quad (0.6)$$

and,

$$(\mathbb{S}^{q_1})^{q_2} = \mathbb{S}^{q_2 q_1} = \mathbb{S}^{q_2 q_1} = (\mathbb{S}^{q_2})^{q_1}. \quad (0.7)$$

Furthermore, the operators, \mathbb{S}^q , are obviously linear,

$$\mathbb{S}^q [af(t) + bg(t)] = a\mathbb{S}^q [f(t)] + b\mathbb{S}^q [g(t)]. \quad (0.8)$$

It can be easily verified that the function $\mathbb{S}^q [f(x)]$ keeps the same units as $f(x)$. In fact, we can easily check that for any real (or complex) number λ ,

$$\mathbb{S}^q [f(\lambda x)] = g(\lambda v). \quad (0.9)$$

In particular, since constants are fixed by \mathbb{S}^q , taking $\lambda = 0$, in (0.8), yields,

$$g(0) = f(0), \quad (0.10)$$

and,

$$g^{(k)}(0) = (k!)^q f^{(k)}(0). \quad (0.11)$$

Furthermore, consistently with equation (0.2), for $q = -1$, we should have,

$$\mathbb{S}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k v^k = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{S}^{-1} [b_k v^k] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{b_k}{k!} x^k. \quad (0.12)$$

As an operator, \mathbb{S}^{-1} , then defines an inverse for the transform, \mathbb{S} , acting on general power series, as prescribed. The previous relations suggest that the transform, $\mathbb{S} = \mathbb{S}^1$, and its real powers may then be used as a processing or detection tool to adequately reduce or magnify a signal to a more visible or more observable ranges without altering original units or scaling factors. For instance, in situations where the original signal is weak we can use \mathbb{S}^q , (very small a_k coefficients, for large k) with large positive powers, q , as necessary, to

render the original signal more detectable form. Similarly, for $q > 0$, \mathbb{S}^{-q} , the inverse operator for \mathbb{S}^q , can be used to reduce to manipulable size fast growing signals, with large tail ends.

By the Weierstrass approximation theorem, if a function, $f(x)$, can be expanded as a series,

$$f(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k, x \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon), \quad (0.13)$$

then for some $\epsilon > 0$,

$$a_k = f^{(k)}(0)/k!, k \geq 0. \quad (0.14)$$

Hence, in this case,

$$\mathbb{S}^q[f(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathbb{S}[(f^{(k)}(0)/k!)x^k] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (k!)^{q-1} f^{(k)}(0)v^k, \quad (0.15)$$

and in particular, for $q = 1$,

$$\mathbb{S}[f(x)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} f^{(k)}(0)v^k = g(v). \quad (0.16)$$

Clearly, if $g_n(v)$ denotes the transform of the n^{th} formal derivative, $f^{(n)}(x)$, then,

$$g(0) = f(0), \text{ \&, } g_n(0) = \mathbb{S}[f^{(n)}(x)]_{v=0} = n!f^{(n)}(0), \quad (0.17)$$

and, when defined,

$$g(\pm 1) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (\pm 1)^k f^{(k)}(0). \quad (0.18)$$

The values, $g(\pm 1)$, may in fact be infinite as the image series may not converges except say at the singleton, $\{0\}$. The largest interval for which equations (0.11) & (0.12) hold is called the Region of Convergene, (RoC), of the series, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k x^k$. It is expected that the RoC of the image series is always a subset of the original series RoC.

However, not all functions can be written as a power series as prescribed by the Weierstrass theorem, (see for instance, p. 675 in [9], or Chap 4 in [14]). Despite its infinite differentiability everywhere, the function,

$$h(x) = \begin{cases} \exp(-1/x^2), & \text{if } x \neq 0, \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0, \end{cases} \quad (0.19)$$

having derivatives given by,

$$h^{(n)}(x) = Q_n(1/x) \exp(-1/x^2), \text{ with, } Q_{n+1}(t) = t^2[2tQ_n(t) - dQ_n(t)/dt], \quad (0.20)$$

does *not* satisfy the Weierstrass approximation theorem, and hence cannot be represented as a (Maclaurin) power series, and so is not admissible to the domain of the operator, \mathbb{S} , and its powers, as defined so far. This discrepancy hints to the extension of the transform, \mathbb{S} , and its powers, \mathbb{S}^q , to continuous counterparts (also see Sec. 2 in [5]).

1. The Sumudu, \mathbb{S} , and the Inverse Sumudu, \mathbb{S}^{-1} .

The transform, \mathbb{S} , of the motivational section is reminiscent of the "discrete" version of the *Sumudu*, [4]. The Sumudu transform introduced by Watugala, is bilateral in the sense its admissible functions are defined on both sides of the real line [7, 20]. Over the set of real functions,

$$A = \{f(t)/\exists M, \tau_1, \tau_2 > 0, |f(t)| < Me^{\frac{|t|}{\tau_j}}, \text{ for } t \in (-1)^j \times [0, \infty)\}, \quad (1.1)$$

the *Sumudu* of the function, $f(t)$, is defined by,

$$G(u) = \mathbb{S}[f(t)](u) = \int_0^\infty f(ut)e^{-t}dt, \quad u \in (-\tau_1, \tau_2). \quad (1.2)$$

For instance, for $a \geq 0$, the Heaviside function or unit step function, $H_a(t)$, (see for instance, p.278 [15]),

$$H_a(t) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{for } t > a, \\ 0, & \text{for } t < a, \end{cases} \quad (1.3)$$

any function shift, $f(t-a)$, has for sumudu,

$$\mathbb{S}[f(t-a)] = \mathbb{S}[H_a(t)f(t)] = e^{\frac{-a}{u}} \mathbb{S}[f(t)], \text{ for } u > a. \quad (1.4)$$

Denoting the gamma function by, Γ , wherever, $\Gamma(\alpha+1)$ can be defined (classically for $\alpha > -1$), [13, 17],

$$\mathbb{S}[t^\alpha] = \int_0^\infty (ut)^\alpha e^{-t} dt = u^\alpha \int_0^\infty t^\alpha e^{-t} dt = \Gamma(\alpha+1) u^\alpha. \quad (1.5)$$

Computationally, we then have, $\mathbb{S}[1]=1$, $\mathbb{S}[at+b] = au+b$, and $\mathbb{S}[t^n/n!] = u^n$, for any integer $n \geq 0$. Hence, for $a > 0$, the sumudu, $\mathbb{S}[\exp(at)] = \mathbb{S}[\sum_0^\infty (at)^n/n!] = \sum_0^\infty (au)^n = 1/(1-au)$, for $a \in (-1/a, 1/a)$. Consequently, for any frequency, w , $1/(1+(wu)^2)$, $(wu)/(1+(wu)^2)$, are the respective *Sumudi* of $\cos(wt)$, and, $\sin(wt)$, which may be obtained through linearity and the fact, $\mathbb{S}[\cos(wt) + j \sin(wt)] = \mathbb{S}[e^{jw t}] = 1/(1-jwu) = (1+jwu)/(1+(wu)^2)$. Conversely, taking into account that (with $w=1$), $1/(1+u^2) = \sum_0^\infty (-1)^n u^{2n}$, with u in $(-1, 1)$, and applying the operator from the previous section, $\mathbb{S}^{-1} \sum_0^\infty (-1)^n u^{2n} = \sum_0^\infty (-1)^n t^{2n}/(2n)! = \cos t$, with t in $(-\infty, \infty)$. Similarly, $\mathbb{S}^{-1}[u/(1+u^2)] = \mathbb{S}^{-1} \sum_0^\infty (-1)^n u^{2n+1} = \sum_0^\infty (-1)^n t^{2n+1}/(2n+1)! = \sin t$. (see Sumudu Pairs Tables in [6-7, 13, 16]).

An alternative method for Sumudu computation was recently established by Rana et al. in [16] and applied to basic functions as above, and get similar results. There, He's perturbation method, (HPM), was adapted to construct a homotopy and a power series converging to the Sumudu transform of any given function. The series terms are computed by finding derivatives rather than performing integration.

It turns out that the Inverse Sumudu is just a continuous extension of the discrete operator, \mathbb{S}^{-1} , introduced in the previous section by equation (0.12). One way of establishing the Inverse Sumudu, \mathbb{S}^{-1} , is through connecting the Residue Theorem with Cauchy's, as was first established in [21].

Theorem 1.1: Let $G(u)$ denote the Sumudu of the function, $f(t)$, such that,

(i) the function, $xG(x)$, is a meromorphic, with singularities having, $\text{Re}(x) < \beta$, and

(ii) there exist a circular region C with radius R , and positive constants, M and N , such that,

$$|G(x)| < M(R^N |x|)^{-1}, \quad (1.6)$$

then, modulo null functions, $f(t)$, is uniquely given by,

$$\mathbb{S}^{-1}[G(u)] = \frac{1}{2\pi j} \int_{\beta-i\infty}^{\beta+i\infty} e^{ut} \frac{G(1/u)}{u} du = \sum \text{Residues } [e^{ut} G(1/u)/u] . \quad (1.7)$$

For instance, the Inverse Sumudu of the function, $1/(1+u)$, is given by,

$$\mathbb{S}^{-1}[1/(1+u)] = \text{Residue } [e^{ut}/(1+u)] = \lim_{u \rightarrow -1} \frac{e^{ut}(1+u)}{(1+u)} = \lim_{u \rightarrow -1} e^{ut} = e^{-t}. \quad (1.8)$$

Furthermore, the Inverse Sumudu is related to, \mathbb{S}^{-1} , of the previous section in the following manner.

Corollary 1.2: The Inverse Sumudu coincides with the operator, \mathbb{S}^{-1} , defined by equation (0.12), in the previous section, when restricted to powers series, $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} b_k u^k$.

Proof: Without loss of generality, we use the already defined power series,

$f_p(u) = G(u)$, then by *Theorem 1.1*,

$$\mathbb{S}^{-1}[f_p(u)] = \mathbb{S}^{-1}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u^k/[k!]^p\right] = \sum \text{Residues } [e^{ut} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} 1/u^{k+1}[k!]^p]. \quad (1.9)$$

Therefore, as expected,

$$\mathbb{S}^{-1}[f_p(u)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \sum \text{Residues} [e^{ut}/u^{k+1}[k!]^p] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lim_{u \rightarrow 0} \frac{u(ut)^k/k!}{u^{k+1}[k!]^p} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^k/[k!]^{p+1} = f_{p+1}(t). \quad (1.10)$$

Various proofs of the next theorem can be found in some referenced Sumudu related papers,

Theorem 1.3: Let $G(u) = G_0(u)$ denote the Sumudu of the function, $f(t) = f^{(0)}(t)$, and $G_n(u)$ denote the Sumudu of the n th derivative, $f^{(n)}(t)$, of the function, f , then for $n \geq 1$,

$$G_n(u) = u^{-n} [G(u) - \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u^k f^{(k)}(0)]. \quad (1.11)$$

Corollary 1.4: If, $G(u) = G_0(u)$, denotes the sumudu of the function, $f(t) = f^{(0)}(t)$, and $G_n(u)$, denote the sumudu of the n 'th derivative, $f^{(n)}(t)$, of the function, $f(t)$, then for $n \geq 1$, and if, $G(u)$, satisfies the Weierstrass polynomial approximation theorem in an interval $\text{RoC} = I$, then for $u \in I$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n G_n(u) = 0, \quad (1.12)$$

$$G(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} u^k f^{(k)}(0), \quad (1.13)$$

and,

$$G^{(k)}(0) = k! f^{(k)}(0). \quad (1.14)$$

Proof: Since, $G(u)$, satisfies the Weierstrass polynomial approximation theorem, then from equation (1.11) in the previous theorem, we have,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n G_n(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [G(u) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} u^i f^{(i)}(0)] = 0. \quad (1.15)$$

This implies that when $G_n(\pm 1)$ are defined, then,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G_n(\pm 1) = 0. \quad (1.16)$$

At first glance, this seems to be in conflict with entries 6 & 7 of Table1, in [20], as since any derivative of $\text{sint}, (\text{cost})$, is confined into one of four possibilities, $\pm \text{cost}$, $\pm \text{sint}$, albeit in the well known cyclical manner, $G_n(\pm 1)$, respectively generate the sequence made of infinite repetitions of, $\pm(\dots 1/2, 1/2, -1/2, -1/2, 1/2, 1/2, -1/2, -1/2\dots)$ which converge nowhere, and hence violate equation (1.16). However, the resolution of this conflict lies in the fact that equation (1.16), should be considered only in the context of the Weierstrass approximation theorem, guaranteeing a specific RoC for the Sumudi. The RoC for the sumudi series version of sint and cost being, $(-1, 1)$, as observed above, $G_n(\pm 1)$ are undefined in the first place. A similar argument can be said for the functions, $e^{\pm t}$, in entries 3,4 of Table1 in [20]. On the other hand, there seems to be an averaging process of cluster points for the limit in (1.16).

Corollary 1.4: For any integer, $n \geq 1$, the non-trivial solution to the equation, $G_n(u) = G(u)$, is given by,

$$G(u) = \frac{1}{1-u} = \mathbb{S}[e^t], u \in (-1, 1). \quad (1.17)$$

Proof: For any integer, $n \geq 1$, from equations (1.11), we have,

$$(1-u^n)G(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u^k f^{(k)}(0) \Leftrightarrow G(u) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} u^k f^{(k)}(0)/(1-u^n) \Leftrightarrow G(u) = 1/(1-u). \quad (1.18)$$

Furthermore,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} u^n G_n(u) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{u^n}{1-u} = 0 \Leftrightarrow |u| < 1. \quad (1.19)$$

One must be attentive to how the sumudu is interpreted when, t , or, u , take the values, 0, and, ∞ . This should be treated in the context of the function, $f(t)$, under study. For suppose, $f(t)$ is defined in the interval $(-a_1, a_2)$, where a_1, a_2 , may be infinite, then,

$$\lim_{u \rightarrow 0^+} G(u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow 0^+} f(t), \text{ and, } \lim_{u \rightarrow (-1)^i \tau_i} G(u) = \lim_{t \rightarrow (-1)^i a_i} f(t). \quad (1.20)$$

2. Some Sumudu Convolution Properties.

Now, we present various useful Sumudu convolution results (for a proof see for instance [3]).

Theorem 2.1: Let $M(u)$, and $N(u)$, be the respective sumudu for the functions, $f(t)$ and $g(t)$, with convolution,

$$(f * g)(t) = \int_0^t f(\tau)g(t-\tau)d\tau, \quad (2.1)$$

then, the Sumudu of the convolution of the functions, $f(t)$, and $g(t)$, is given by,

$$\mathbb{S}[(f * g)(t)] = uM(u)N(u). \quad (2.2)$$

Furthermore, the Sumudu of the derivative of the convolution of the functions, $f(t)$ and $g(t)$, is given by,

$$\mathbb{S}[(f * g')(t)] = M(u)N(u). \quad (2.3)$$

Moreover, the Sumudu of the derivative of the convolution of f with itself, is given by,

$$\mathbb{S}[(f * f')(t)] = M^2(u). \quad (2.4)$$

Finally, the Sumudu of the anti-derivative of the function, $f(t)$, is given by,

$$\mathbb{S}\left[\int_0^t f(\tau)d\tau\right] = uM(u). \quad (2.5)$$

For instance, since $\int_0^t \cos \tau d\tau = \sin \tau$, and since, $\mathbb{S}[\cos(t)] = 1/(1+u^2)$, then as expected we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[\sin(t)] = u\mathbb{S}[\cos(t)] = u/(1+u^2) \quad (2.6)$$

Denoting by $f^{[n]}$, the n -time convolution of, f , with itself, for $n \geq 0$, referring to equation (2.2), we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[f^{[n+1]}(t)] = u^n(\mathbb{S}[f(t)])^{n+1}. \quad (2.7)$$

For instance, the sumudu of the n -time convolutions of the exponential function yield the expressions,

$$\mathbb{S}[(e^t)^{[n+1]}] = u^n/(1-u)^{n+1}. \quad (2.8)$$

Furthermore, differentiation of the n -time convolutions, yields the following sumudu expression,

$$\mathbb{S}[(f^{[n+1]})'(t)] = u^n(\mathbb{S}[(f^{[n]} * f')(t)]) = u^{n-1}(\mathbb{S}[f(t)])^{n+1}. \quad (2.9)$$

In particular, for $n \geq 1$, we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[(\sin(t))^{[n]} * \cos(t)] = u^{n-1}(\mathbb{S}[\sin(t)])^{n+1} = u^{n-1}(u/(1+u^2))^{n+1} = u^{2n}/(1+u^2)^{n+1}. \quad (2.10)$$

This result generalizes equation (2.20) in [3].

3. Some Sumudu Shift Properties.

Next, we establish results showing how the Sumudu transform behaves when we multiply the time function with a designated weight function.

Theorem 3.1: If $G(u)$, is the sumudu of the function, $f(t)$, then for u in the interval $(-1, 1)$ we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[e^t f(t)] = G(u/(1-u))/(1-u). \quad (3.1)$$

Proof: From equation (2.1), we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[e^t f(t)] = \int_0^\infty f(ut) e^{-(1-u)t} dt. \quad (3.2)$$

For u in $(-1, 1)$, using the change of variable, $w = (1-u)t$, we get, $dw = (1-u)dt$, and

$$\mathbb{S}(e^t f(t)) = \frac{1}{1-u} \int_0^\infty f(uw/(1-u)) e^{-w} dw = \frac{G(u/(1-u))}{1-u}. \quad (3.3)$$

For instance, knowing that the sumudu of t^n is $G(u) = n!u^n$, the theorem implies that with $n \geq 0$, and u in the interval $(-1, 1)$, we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^n e^t] = n!u^n(1-u)^{-(1+n)}. \quad (3.4)$$

Consequently, invoking equation (2.8), we see that,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^n e^t]/\mathbb{S}[(e^t)^{[n+1]}] = n!. \quad (3.5)$$

Equation (3.5) is reminiscent of highlights of convolution quotients (distributions, see [2, 12]), where for the Heaviside function, $H = H_0$, and the Dirac δ -function, we have, $H/H = H * H^{-1} = \delta$, and,

$$(H(t))^{[n+1]} = t^n/n!. \quad (3.6)$$

The inverse Sumudu being unique up to null functions by *theorem 1.1*, combining (3.5) and (3.6), we get,

$$(e^t)^{[n+1]} = e^t t^n/n! = e^t (H(t))^{[n+1]}. \quad (3.7)$$

Now we generalize further some previously established results.

Theorem 3.2: (Belgacem[2006]) If, $G(u)$, denotes the sumudu of the function, $f(t)$, and if $G^{(k)}(u)$ denotes its k 'th derivative for $k \geq 0$, then for $n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$, the sumudu of the product, $t^n f(t)$, is given by,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^n f(t)] = u^n \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^n P_{n-k}^n u^k G^{(k)}(u). \quad (3.8)$$

In particular, this theorem generalizes results for $n = 1$ & 2 , in [1],

$$\mathbb{S}[t f(t)] = u[G(u) + uG'(u)], \quad (3.9)$$

and,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^2 f(t)] = u^2[2G(u) + 4uG'(u) + u^2G''(u)]. \quad (3.10)$$

Corollary 3.3: If, $G(u)$, denotes the sumudu of the function, $f(t)$, $G_m(u)$, denotes the sumudu of the m 'th derivative of, $f^{(m)}(t)$, and $G_m^{(k)}(u)$ denotes its k 'th derivative with respect to u , then the sumudu of the product, $t^n f^{(m)}(t)$, is given by,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^n f^{(m)}(t)] = u^n \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^n P_{n-k}^n u^k G_m^{(k)}(u), \quad m, n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (3.11)$$

For instance, we have,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^2 f'(t)] = u^2[2G_1(u) + 4uG_1'(u) + u^2G_1''(u)], \quad (3.12)$$

$$\mathbb{S}[t^3 f''(t)] = u^3[G_1(u) + 6uG_1'(u) + 9u^2G_1''(u) + u^3G_1'''(u)], \quad (3.13)$$

and,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^4 f'''(t)] = u^4[24G_2(u) + 96uG_2'(u) + 72u^2G_2''(u) + 16u^3G_2'''(u) + u^4G_2''''(u)]. \quad (3.14)$$

Notwithstanding some difference in notation, equations (3.12-3.14), are to be verified against Corollary 5.4 in [6]. In fact, theorem 3.2 and Corollary 3.3 are equivalent since each one implies the other. However, the expression in the latter can afford to be improved by using theorem 4.3 and its corollaries in [5] to have formulation (3.11), be written more explicitly by expanding the term, $G_m^{(k)}(u)$. When this is properly done, a testing point is to verify that, when $n = m$, the sumudu maintains unit and scale consistency, already proved in [6], by direct integrative means,

$$\mathbb{S}[t^n f^{(n)}(t)] = u^n G_n(u). \quad (3.15)$$

4. Some Sumudu Applications to Bessel Equations and Functions.

Bessel functions long history dates back to Bernoulli in 1732, who discovered the oscillatory behavior of heavy chains. They were then used by Euler in 1764 to describe circular drumhead vibrations automatically admitting them to the set of cylindrical functions. They came to bear the name of F. W. Bessel (1784-1846), as solutions to the differential equations describing dynamics of planetary motion, [11]. In the Sumudu context, Bessel functions were first treated among other special functions by Asiru [1]. Bessel functions of the first kind of order n , are given by,

$$J_{\pm n}(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (t/2)^{2k \pm n} / k! \Gamma(k+1 \pm n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.1)$$

resolve the Bessel equations,

$$t^2 y''(t) + ty'(t) + (t^2 - n^2)y = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.2)$$

Using the discrete Sumudu definition we get,

$$\mathbb{S}[J_{\pm n}(t)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k (u/2)^{2k \pm n} (2k \pm n)! / k! \Gamma(k + 1 \pm n), \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.3)$$

according to the shift theorems in the previous section, must then solve the "sumuded" equations,

$$(u^2 + u^4)G''(u) + (u + 4u^3)G'(u) + (2u^2 - n^2)G(u) = 0, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots \quad (4.4)$$

Since,

$$J_0(t) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k [t^k / 2^k k!]^2, \quad (4.5)$$

with u in $(-1, 1)$,

$$\mathbb{S}[J_0(t)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k [2k! / (k!)^2] (u/2)^{2k} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k \binom{2k}{k} (u/2)^{2k} = 1 / \sqrt{1 + u^2}, \quad (4.6)$$

is then a solution to the seemingly more cumbersome transformed Bessel equation of order zero,

$$(u^2 + u^4)G'''(u) + (u + 4u^3)G''(u) + 2u^2 G'(u) = 0. \quad (4.7)$$

Since, $J_0(2\sqrt{t}) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k t^k / (k!)^2$, then,

$$\mathbb{S}[J_0(2\sqrt{ut})] = \mathbb{S}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k u^k t^k / (k!)^2\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k u^{2k} / k! = \exp(-u^2), \quad (4.8)$$

and,

$$\mathbb{S}[J_0(2\sqrt{t})] = \mathbb{S}\left[\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k t^k / (k!)^2\right] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k u^k / k! = \exp(-u), \quad (4.9)$$

which is consistent with entry 36 in Table A.1 in [7].

Applying the Sumudu once more, to the image in equation (4.9), we get,

$$\mathbb{S}^2[J_0(2\sqrt{t})] = \mathbb{S}[\exp(-u)] = 1/(1+w), \quad w \in (-1, 1). \quad (4.10)$$

Referring back to equation (0.1), using the following path, $(x \rightarrow t \rightarrow u \rightarrow w)$, mapwise, we have,

$$\mathbb{S}^{-1}[J_0(2\sqrt{t})] = \mathbb{S}^{-2}[\exp(-u)] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k x^k / (k!)^3 = f_3(-x), \quad (4.11)$$

and,

$$\mathbb{S}^{-1}[J_0(t)] = \mathbb{S}^{-2}[1/\sqrt{1+u^2}] = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k x^{2k} / [(2k)!(k!)^2 2^{2k}], \quad (4.12)$$

then must solve the integro-differential equation (*Inverse* Sumdu transform of the Bessel Equation of zero order),

$$x^2 Y''(x) + x Y'(x) + \int_0^x \int_0^x Y(\xi) (d\xi)^2 = 0, \quad (4.13)$$

where, $\mathbb{S}^{-1}[y(t)] = Y(x)$. Setting, $Y(x) = Z''(x)$, equation (4.13) above becomes,

$$x^2 Z'''' + x Z''' + Z = 0, \quad (4.14)$$

with power series solution,

$$Z(x) = x^2 \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (-1)^k x^{2k} / [(2k+1)(k+1)(2k)!(k!)^2 2^{2k+1}]. \quad (4.15)$$

Noteworthy is that not only the Sumudu transform or positive integer powers can be used to transform differential equations, but that at times the inverse sumudu or negative integer powers can be even more useful in this regard. It remains to show whether taking fractional powers, q , can also be as useful, [13]. Future attempts however must first define the analogous integral formulations to (1.2), for \mathbb{S}^q , when q is real. In doing so the Bessel-Sumudu connection will turn out to be useful, as is shown below.

5. The Bilateral Laplace-Sumudu Duality (BLSD).

For a real function, $f(t)$, the ordinary Laplace transform, is given by,

$$F(s) = \mathbb{L}[f(t)](s) = \int_0^{\infty} e^{-st} f(t) dt, \operatorname{Re} s \geq 0. \quad (5.1)$$

The Laplace transform pairs table in [18], page 162, entry (32.19), shows that,

$$\mathbb{L}^{-1}[F(1/s)/s](t) = \int_0^{\infty} J_0(2\sqrt{vt}) f(v) dv. \quad (5.2)$$

On the other hand, the bilateral Laplace transform of the function, $f(t)$, is given by,

$$\mathbb{B}[f(t)](s) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f(t) e^{-st} dt = \mathbb{L}[f(t)](s) + \mathbb{L}[f(-t)](-s). \quad (5.3)$$

Up to date most writings if not all regarding the Sumudu, refer at some point or another to a form of a reciprocity between the Sumudu and the Laplace transform. The Sumudu itself being defined for u , and t , changing sign, this is best stated in the context of the Bilateral Laplace-Sumudu Duality (**BLSD**), (see [3]),

$$\mathbb{S}[f(t)](u) = \frac{1}{u} \mathbb{B}[f(t)](1/u), \text{ and, } \mathbb{B}[f(t)](s) = \frac{1}{s} \mathbb{S}[f(t)](1/s), \quad u, s \neq 0. \quad (5.4)$$

Since by definition the Sumudu functional value at $u = 0$, is fixed to the antecedant's (also see equation (1.20)),

$$G(0) = \mathbb{S}[f(t)](0) = f(0), \quad (5.5)$$

for pragmatic simplicity of expression, we may split the Sumudu, \mathbb{S} , to "positive" and "negative" components, \mathbb{S}^+ , and, \mathbb{S}^- , each acting on the correspondingly signed side of the real axis,

$$\mathbb{S}[f(t)](u) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{S}^+[f(t)](u) = \mathbb{S}[H(t)f(t)](u), & \text{for } t, u > 0, \\ \mathbb{S}^-[f(t)](u) = \mathbb{S}[H(-t)f(t)](u), & \text{for } t, u < 0. \end{cases} \quad (5.6)$$

This means that the **BLSD** can also be broken apart. In particular, we can recover the duality between ordinary Laplace transform and, \mathbb{S}^+ , (**LSD**, [6-, 7]),

$$\mathbb{S}^+[f(t)](u) = \frac{1}{u} \mathbb{L}[f(t)](1/u) = F(1/u)/u, \text{ and, } \mathbb{L}[f(t)](s) = \frac{1}{s} \mathbb{S}^+[f(t)](1/s), \quad u, s > 0. \quad (5.7)$$

Combining equations (5.2), and (5.7), for s positive real, we have an alternative characterization for, \mathbb{S}^+ .

Theorem 5.1: The Positive Sumudu operator, \mathbb{S}^+ , of the function, $f(t)$, can be expressed in terms of the Laplace transform and the zeroth order Bessel function, J_0 , as,

$$\mathbb{S}^+[f(t)](u) = \frac{1}{u} \int_0^\infty e^{-t/u} f(t) dt = \mathbb{L}\left[\int_0^\infty J_0(2\sqrt{vt}) f(v) dv\right](s=u), \quad u > 0. \quad (5.8)$$

For instance, since, the Heaviside function is fixed by the Sumudu

$$\mathbb{S}^+[H(t)](u) = H(u) = 1, \text{ for } u > 0, \quad (5.9)$$

by equation (5.1), we have,

$$\mathbb{L}^{-1}[1](t) = \int_0^\infty J_0(2\sqrt{vt}) dv, \text{ for } t > 0. \quad (5.10)$$

The previous theorem and similar results involving higher order Bessel functions can most probably be extended, with some care to both components of the Sumudu, \mathbb{S} , and the Bilateral Laplace transform, \mathbb{B} , through the **BLSD**.

6. Epilogue: In Defense of the Sumudu.

On one hand the **BLSD** helps establish useful parallels between the Sumudu and Laplace transforms. These conversions helped generate and check the Transform pairs tables in [6-, 7, 13]. On the other hand, it instigates concerns over what possible advantages can the Sumudu bring to the mathematical community over the Laplace transform. Being mathematical tools, one may think of various coordinate systems Euclidian vs cylindrical or spherical, Eulerian vs Lagrangian systems viewpoints, Stratonovich vs Ito stochastic calculi, and even the Maple vs Mathematica symbolic algebra packages, and there is room and need for all. For at first glance, it may just be a matter of taste, or simply familiarity. The Laplace transform claiming a history advantage nearing three centuries, the majority of the engineering, mathematical, and physical sciences communities at large, have yet to be exposed to this two-decade old (young) transform. However, we believe the issue goes deeper than mere convenience.

The mathematical literature abounds with theories with more than one name attached to them, or with multiple dualities. If the Sumudu can advance some mathematical theory further, fasten some computation techniques, provide easier (user friendly) tools for solved problems, or help solve new ones, then its purpose for existence would be more than justified. There are clear indications that the Sumudu can do all of the above, [1, 4, 13, 17, 20-23]. In fact, with almost every new paper on the subject, there is growing evidence, for the Sumudu as a stand alone viable tool rivaling usually used transforms in typical problem solving, or serving a separate mathematical purpose all together.

For instance, in [16], the authors successfully used He's Perturbation Method (HPM) to construct a homotopy that helps compute the Sumudu transform using differentiation instead of integration which is expected to foster ramified theoretical advances, and many more applications with functions that are not integration prone. The authors of [19] combined the Sumudu transform to analytically solve the two dimensional neutron transport equation. In [10] Maxwell's equations were Sumudu treated, and the Sumudu particular reciprocity property neutralized the need for inversion since the Electrical solution profiles characteristics could be read off its transform.

To defend the Sumudu, we first recall that such legitimate concerns were in fact brought up more than a decade ago, and were in part but eloquently addressed in [8]. We say that for pedagogical purposes, the Sumudu seems a bit easier to handle, due to its discrete version simplicity, and its powers, S^q , formulation introduced at the beginning of this paper. Furthermore, the discrete Sumudu various fractional powers, and the HPM method in [16] may lend more

strength to Sumudu use. Moreover, the Sumudu convolution, *Theorem 2.1*, and possible generalizations can probably help invert some nonlinear differential equations into more resolvable formats, such as convolution, integral or integro-differential ones. In fact, as was indicated in *section 4* above, and expressed in [6], many differential equations may become more tractable when they are inverse "sumuded", than when forwardly transformed.

This flexibility seems not to exist with other transforms because they do not preserve units, and the Sumudu does, which makes it appear a bit more "natural". The Sumudu has many other useful preserving properties related to scaling, and averages...etc. This suggests that it may be preferable to use the Sumudu when the variable, $u = 1/s$, is real, while the more familiar transforms such as Laplace and Fourier can be used more when, $s = 1/u$, is complex or pure imaginary. This is why we think it may be feasible to obtain a real inversion formula for the Sumudu that may be useful, but that does not use complex theory as in *Theorem 1.1*. We believe, the Sumudu has already made strides, and has a firm mathematical future almost surely, especially in physical and engineering applications. The Sumudu transform widespread use, if any, will reinforce the truth of this stance, or negate it in the contrary, and time will tell!

REFERENCES.

1. M. A. Asiru, Further Properties of the Sumudu Transform and its Applications, Internat. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol., (IJMEST), Vol.33, No.2, (2002) 441–449.
2. A. Battig, S.L. Kalla, Convolution Quotients in the Production of Heat in an Infinite Cylinder, Revista Brasileira, Vol. 4, No. 3, 499–504, (1974).
3. F.B.M Belgacem, Sumudu Applications to Maxwell's Equations, PIERS Online, Vol. 5, (2009) 1–6 .
4. F.B.M. Belgacem, Applications of the Sumudu Transform to Indefinite Periodic Parabolic Problems, Proceedings of the 6th International Conference on Mathematical Problems & Aerospace Sciences, (ICNPAA 06), Cambridge Scientific Publishers, Cambridge, UK, Chap. 6, (2007) 51–60.
5. F.B.M.Belgacem, Introducing and Analyzing Deeper Sumudu Properties, Nonlinear Studies Journal (NSJ), Vol.13, No. 1, (2006) 23–41.
6. F.B.M. Belgacem, A.A. Karaballi, Sumudu Transform Fundamental Properties Investigations and Applications, Journal of Applied Mathematics and Stochastic Analysis, (JAMSA), Article ID 91083, (2005) 1–23.

7. F.B.M. Belgacem, A.A. Karaballi, S.L. Kalla, ; Analytical Investigations of the Sumudu Transform, and Applications to Integral Production Equations, *Mathematical Problems in Eng.*, (MPE), No.3, (2003) 103–118.
8. M.A.B. Deakin, , S. Weerakoon, The 'Sumudu transform' and the Laplace Transform, *Internat. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, (IJMEST), Vol.28, No.1, (1997) 159–160.
9. R.L. Finney, M.D. Weir, F.R. Giordano, *Thomas Calculus*, 10th edition, Addison Wesley, New York, (2001).
10. M.G.M. Hussain, F.B.M. Belgacem, Transient Solutions of Maxwell's Equations Based on Sumudu Transformation, *Journal of Progress In Electromagnetic Research*, (PIER), No. 74, (2007) 273–289.
11. Kalla, S.L., Tuan V.K., Al-Saqabi B., Al-Zanaidi, M.A., Al-Zamel, A.; *Applied Mathematical Analysis: Lecture Notes*, Department of Mathematics & Computer Science, Kuwait University, (1998).
12. S.L. Kalla, L. Vilorio, S. Conde, On an Integral Equation Associated with a Production Problem, *Kyungpook Math. Journal*, Vol. 19, No. 1, (1979) 135–139.
13. Q.D.Katatbeh, F.B.M. Belgacem, Sumudu Transform of Fractional Differential Equations, accepted in *Nonlinear Studies Journal*, (NSJ), (2009).
14. T. W. Körner, *Fourier Analysis*, Cambridge University Press, New York, (1988).
15. E. Kreyzig, *Advanced Engineering Mathematics*, John Wiley and Sons Inc., New York, 7th edition, (1993).
16. M.A. Rana, A.M. Siddiqui, Q.K. Ghori, R. Qamar, Application of He's Homotopy Perturbation Method to Sumudu Transform, *Inter. Journal of Nonlinear Sci. and Num. Simulations.*, Vol.8, No.2, (2007) 185–190.
17. S. Salinas, A. Jiménez, F. Arteaga, J. Rodriguez, Estudio Analítico de la Transformada de Sumudu y Algunas Aplicaciones a la Teoría de Control, *Revista Ingeniería UC*. Vol. 11, No. 3, (2004) 79–86.
18. M.R. Spiegel, *Mathematical Handbook of Formulas and Tables*, Schaums Outline Series, McGraw-Hill Book Company, New York, (1968).

19. A. Tamrabet, A. Kadem, A combined Walsh Function and Sumudu Transform for Solving the Two-dimensional Neutron Transport Equation, *Inter. Journal of Mathematical Analysis*, (IJMA), Vol. 1, No. 9, (2007) 409–421.
20. G.K. Watugala, Sumudu Transform-a new integral transform to solve differential equations and control engineering problems. *Mathematical Engineering in Industry*, Vol. 6, No.4, (1998) 319–329.
21. S. Weerakoon, Complex Inversion Formula for Sumudu Transform, *Internat. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, (IJMEST), Vol.29, No.4, (1998) 618–621.
22. S. Weerakoon, Application of Sumudu transform to partial differential equations, *Internat. J. Math. Educ. Sci. Technol.*, (IJMEST), Vol.25, No.4, (1994) 277–283.
23. J. Zhang, A Sumudu based algorithm for solving differential equations Calculation, *Computer Science Journal of Moldova*, vol.15, no.3(45), (2007) 303–313.

Table 1. Sumudu Properties

Formula	Comment
$G(u) = \mathbb{S}(f(t)) = \int_0^{\infty} f(ut) e^{-t} dt, \quad -\tau_1 < u < \tau_2$	Sumudu Definition ($f \in A$)
$G(u) = \frac{F\left(\frac{1}{u}\right)}{u}, \& F(s) = \frac{G\left(\frac{1}{s}\right)}{s}$	BLSD
$\mathbb{S}[af(t) + bg(t)] = a\mathbb{S}[f(t)] + b\mathbb{S}[g(t)]$	Sumudu Linearity
$\mathbb{S} \int_0^t f(\tau) d\tau = uG(u)$	Sumudu of function antiderivative
$G_n(u) = \mathbb{S}[f^{(n)}(t)] = \frac{G(u)}{u^n} - \frac{f(0)}{u^n} - \dots - \frac{f^{(n-1)}(0)}{u}$	Sumudu of function derivative
$\mathbb{S}[H(t-a)f(t)](u) = e^{-\frac{a}{u}}G(u)$	Heaviside Shift Theorem
$\mathbb{S}[e^{at}f(t)](u) = \frac{1}{1-au}G\left(\frac{u}{1-au}\right)$	Exponential Shift Theorem
$\mathbb{S}[t^n f(t)](u) = u^n \sum_{k=0}^n C_k^n P_{n-k}^n u^k G^{(k)}(u).$	Time Powers Shift Theorem
$\mathbb{S}[(f * g)(t)](u) = u\mathbb{S}[f(t)](u)\mathbb{S}[g(t)](u)$	Sumudu Convolution Theorem

Received: June, 2008