

The Study of Convergence Theorems for Nonlinear Cyclic Mappings

Ing-Jer Lin¹ and Wei-Ting Chou

Department of Mathematics
National Kaohsiung Normal University
Kaohsiung 82444, Taiwan

Copyright © 2018 Ing-Jer Lin and Wei-Ting Chou. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In this paper, we establish some new convergence theorems for new nonlinear mappings satisfying the following condition:

(S) there exists an \mathcal{MT} -function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{5}[d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \right\} \\ + (1 - \varphi(d(x, y))) \text{dist}(A, B)$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 41A17, 41A65

Keywords: \mathcal{MT} -function (\mathcal{R} -function), cyclic mapping, best proximity point, convergence theorem

1. Introduction and preliminaries

Let M be a nonempty subset of a metric space (X, d) . If the fixed point equation $Tx = x$ has at least one solution, then we state that the map $T : M \rightarrow X$ has a fixed point in M (that is, $d(x, Tx) = 0$). By our experience, the

¹Corresponding author

equation $Tx = x$ does not necessarily have a solution. In this case, we turn to find an element $x \in M$ such that $d(x, Tx)$ is minimum and call the x is the best approximation of the fixed point of T .

The cyclic mappings and the best proximity points were introduced by Kirk, Srinivasan and Veeramani [10] in 2003. Some new results on cyclic mappings have been established in the literature, see e.g. [1, 9, 11-12, 14].

Definition 1.1 [10]. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . A mapping $S : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is called a *cyclic* mapping if

$$S(A) \subset B \text{ and } S(B) \subset A. \quad (1.1)$$

Definition 1.2 [9]. Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space (X, d) . A cyclic mapping $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is called a cyclic contraction if for some $\alpha \in (0, 1)$, the condition

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \alpha d(x, y) + (1 - \alpha) \text{dist}(A, B)$$

holds for all $x \in A, y \in B$, where $\text{dist}(A, B) = \inf\{d(x, y) : x \in A \text{ and } y \in B\}$.

Theorem 1.3 [9]. Let A and B be nonempty closed subsets of a complete metric space X . $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic contraction mapping, $x_1 \in A$ and define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose $\{x_{2n-1}\}$ has a convergent subsequence in A . Then there exists $x \in A$ such that $d(x, Tx) = \text{dist}(A, B)$.

Theorem 1.4 [12, Proposition 6]. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . Suppose $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a generalized cyclic contraction mapping then starting with any $x_0 \in A \cup B$, we have $d(x_n, Tx_n) \rightarrow \text{dist}(A, B)$, where $Tx_n = x_{n+1}, n \in \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

All over this paper, we denote by \mathbb{N} and \mathbb{R} the sets of positive integers and real numbers, respectively. Let f be a real-valued function defined on \mathbb{R} . For $c \in \mathbb{R}$, we recall that

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow c} f(x) = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{0 < |x-c| < \varepsilon} f(x)$$

and

$$\limsup_{x \rightarrow c^+} f(x) = \inf_{\varepsilon > 0} \sup_{0 < x-c < \varepsilon} f(x).$$

Definition 1.5 [3-8, 14]. A function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is said to be an \mathcal{MT} -function (or \mathcal{R} -function) if

$$\limsup_{s \rightarrow t^+} \varphi(s) < 1 \quad \text{for all } t \in [0, \infty)$$

Obviously, if $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ is a nondecreasing function or a nonincreasing function, then φ is an \mathcal{MT} -function. Hence the set of \mathcal{MT} -functions is an important class.

In 2012, Du [5] first proved the following characterizations of \mathcal{MT} -functions.

Theorem 1.6 [5]. Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ be a function. Then the following statements are equivalent.

- (a) φ is an \mathcal{MT} -function.
- (b) For each $t \in [0, \infty)$, there exist $r_t^{(1)} \in [0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon_t^{(1)} > 0$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(1)}$ for all $s \in (t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(1)})$.
- (c) For each $t \in [0, \infty)$, there exist $r_t^{(2)} \in [0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon_t^{(2)} > 0$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(2)}$ for all $s \in [t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(2)}]$.
- (d) For each $t \in [0, \infty)$, there exist $r_t^{(3)} \in [0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon_t^{(3)} > 0$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(3)}$ for all $s \in (t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(3)})$.
- (e) For each $t \in [0, \infty)$, there exist $r_t^{(4)} \in [0, 1)$ and $\varepsilon_t^{(4)} > 0$ such that $\varphi(s) \leq r_t^{(4)}$ for all $s \in [t, t + \varepsilon_t^{(4)})$.
- (f) For any nonincreasing sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $[0, \infty)$, we have $0 \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(x_n) < 1$.
- (g) φ is a **function of contractive factor**; that is, for any strictly decreasing sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $[0, \infty)$, we have $0 \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \varphi(x_n) < 1$.

In 2014, Lin and Chang [14] first introduced the new concept of Karapinar's type \mathcal{MT} -cyclic contraction as follows.

Definition 1.7 [14]. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . A cyclic mapping $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is called *Karapinar's type \mathcal{MT} -cyclic contraction*, if there exists an \mathcal{MT} -function $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$, such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \frac{1}{3}\varphi(d(x, y))[d(x, y) + d(Tx, x) + d(Ty, y)] + (1 - \varphi(d(x, y)))d(A, B)$$

holds for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Lin and Chang [14] proved the following convergence theorems for Karapinar's type \mathcal{MT} -cyclic contraction.

Theorem 1.8 [14]. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) . Suppose $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ is a Karapinar's type \mathcal{MT} -cyclic contraction, then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}$, such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = d(A, B).$$

In this paper, we establish some new convergence theorems for new non-linear mappings satisfying the following condition:

(S) there exists an \mathcal{MT} -function $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{5}[d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \right\} \\ + (1 - \varphi(d(x, y)))dist(A, B)$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

2. Some new convergence theorems

In this section, we establish some convergence theorems with regard to the best proximity points.

Theorem 2.1. Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $T: A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic mapping. Suppose that

(S) there exists an \mathcal{MT} -function $\varphi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that

$$d(Tx, Ty) \leq \varphi(d(x, y)) \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{5}[d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \right\} \\ + (1 - \varphi(d(x, y)))dist(A, B)$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A \cup B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Proof. Because T is cyclic, we have $T(A) \subset B$ and $T(B) \subset A$. Let $x_1 \in A$ be given. Define $x_{n+1} = Tx_n$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then $\{x_{2n-1}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset A$ and $\{x_{2n}\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset B$. We want to prove

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

If $\varphi(d(x_1, x_2)) = 0$, then, by (S), we have

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \text{dist}(A, B) \leq d(x_1, x_2)$$

and

$$d(x_2, x_3) \leq \varphi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_1, x_2) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))\text{dist}(A, B).$$

If $\varphi(d(x_1, x_2)) > 0$, then, by (S) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)) \max \left\{ d(x_1, x_2), \frac{1}{5}[d(x_2, x_1) + 2d(x_3, x_2) + d(x_2, x_2)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))\text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)) \max \left\{ d(x_1, x_2), \frac{1}{5}[d(x_1, x_2) + 2d(x_2, x_3)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))\text{dist}(A, B). \end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

Assume that

$$\frac{1}{5}[d(x_1, x_2) + 2d(x_2, x_3)] > d(x_1, x_2)$$

which implies

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x_2, x_3) > d(x_1, x_2).$$

It follows from (2.1) and above inequalities that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq \frac{1}{5}\varphi(d(x_1, x_2))[d(x_1, x_2) + 2d(x_2, x_3)] + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))\text{dist}(A, B) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\varphi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_2, x_3) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))\text{dist}(A, B) \\ &< \varphi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_2, x_3) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))\text{dist}(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_2, x_3) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))d(x_2, x_3) \\ &= d(x_2, x_3) \end{aligned}$$

which leads a contradiction. Hence it must be

$$d(x_1, x_2) \geq \frac{1}{5}[d(x_1, x_2) + 2d(x_2, x_3)]. \quad (2.2)$$

By (2.1) and (2.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq \varphi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_1, x_2) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_1, x_2) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))d(x_1, x_2) \\ &= d(x_1, x_2). \end{aligned}$$

Next, if $\varphi(d(x_3, x_2)) = 0$, then, by (S), we have

$$d(x_4, x_3) \leq dist(A, B) \leq d(x_2, x_3)$$

and

$$d(x_4, x_3) \leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_2))d(x_3, x_2) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_2)))dist(A, B).$$

If $\varphi(d(x_3, x_2)) > 0$, then, from (S) again, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_4, x_3) &\leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_2)) \max \left\{ d(x_3, x_2), \frac{1}{5}[d(x_4, x_3) + 2d(x_3, x_2) + d(x_2, x_4)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_2)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)) \max \left\{ d(x_2, x_3), \frac{1}{5}[2d(x_2, x_3) + d(x_3, x_4) + d(x_2, x_4)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))dist(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (2.3)$$

If $\frac{1}{5}[2d(x_2, x_3) + d(x_3, x_4) + d(x_2, x_4)] > d(x_2, x_3)$, then we obtain

$$d(x_3, x_4) + d(x_2, x_4) > 3d(x_2, x_3)$$

which deduces

$$d(x_2, x_3) + 2d(x_3, x_4) \geq d(x_3, x_4) + d(x_2, x_4) > 3d(x_2, x_3)$$

and hence

$$d(x_3, x_4) > d(x_2, x_3).$$

By (2.3) and above inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_3, x_4) &\leq \frac{1}{5}\varphi(d(x_2, x_3))[2d(x_2, x_3) + d(x_3, x_4) + d(x_2, x_4)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5}\varphi(d(x_2, x_3))[3d(x_2, x_3) + 2d(x_3, x_4)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))dist(A, B) \\ &< \varphi(d(x_2, x_3))d(x_3, x_4) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_2, x_3))d(x_3, x_4) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))d(x_3, x_4) \\ &< d(x_3, x_4) \end{aligned}$$

which is a contradiction. So it must be

$$d(x_2, x_3) \geq \frac{1}{5}[2d(x_2, x_3) + d(x_3, x_4) + d(x_2, x_4)]. \quad (2.4)$$

Using (2.3) and (2.4), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_3, x_4) &\leq \varphi(d(x_2, x_3))d(x_2, x_3) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq d(x_2, x_3). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $\varphi(d(x_3, x_4)) = 0$, then, by (S), we have

$$d(x_4, x_5) \leq dist(A, B) \leq d(x_3, x_4)$$

and hence

$$d(x_4, x_5) \leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_4))d(x_3, x_4) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B).$$

If $\varphi(d(x_3, x_4)) > 0$, then, by (S), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_4, x_5) &\leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)) \max \left\{ d(x_3, x_4), \frac{1}{5}[d(x_4, x_3) + 2d(x_5, x_4) + d(x_4, x_4)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)) \max \left\{ d(x_3, x_4), \frac{1}{5}[d(x_3, x_4) + 2d(x_4, x_5)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (2.5)$$

If $\frac{1}{5}[d(x_3, x_4) + 2d(x_4, x_5)] > d(x_3, x_4)$, then we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}d(x_4, x_5) > d(x_3, x_4).$$

By (2.5) and above inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_4, x_5) &\leq \frac{1}{5}\varphi(d(x_3, x_4))[d(x_3, x_4) + 2d(x_4, x_5)] + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &< \frac{1}{2}\varphi(d(x_3, x_4))d(x_4, x_5) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &< \varphi(d(x_3, x_4))d(x_4, x_5) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_4))d(x_4, x_5) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))d(x_4, x_5) \\ &= d(x_4, x_5) \end{aligned}$$

which leads a contradiction. Hence

$$d(x_3, x_4) \geq \frac{1}{5}[d(x_3, x_4) + 2d(x_4, x_5)]. \quad (2.6)$$

From (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_4, x_5) &\leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_4))d(x_3, x_4) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq d(x_3, x_4). \end{aligned}$$

If $\varphi(d(x_5, x_4)) = 0$, then, by (S), we have

$$d(x_5, x_4) \leq dist(A, B) \leq d(x_5, x_4)$$

and

$$d(x_6, x_5) \leq \varphi(d(x_5, x_4))d(x_5, x_4) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_5, x_4)))dist(A, B).$$

If $\varphi(d(x_5, x_4)) > 0$, then From (S) again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_6, x_5) &\leq \varphi(d(x_5, x_4)) \max \left\{ d(x_5, x_4), \frac{1}{5}[d(x_6, x_5) + 2d(x_5, x_4) + d(x_4, x_6)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_5, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)) \max \left\{ d(x_4, x_5), \frac{1}{5}[2d(x_4, x_5) + d(x_5, x_6) + d(x_4, x_6)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))dist(A, B). \end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

If $\frac{1}{5}[2d(x_4, x_5) + d(x_5, x_6) + d(x_4, x_6)] > d(x_4, x_5)$, then we obtain

$$d(x_5, x_6) + d(x_4, x_6) > 3d(x_4, x_5)$$

which deduces

$$d(x_4, x_5) + 2d(x_5, x_6) \geq d(x_5, x_6) + d(x_4, x_6) > 3d(x_4, x_5)$$

and hence

$$d(x_5, x_6) > d(x_4, x_5).$$

So we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_5, x_6) &\leq \frac{1}{5}\varphi(d(x_4, x_5))[2d(x_4, x_5) + d(x_5, x_6) + d(x_4, x_6)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \frac{1}{5}\varphi(d(x_4, x_5))[3d(x_4, x_5) + 2d(x_5, x_6)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))dist(A, B) \\ &< \varphi(d(x_4, x_5))d(x_5, x_6) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_4, x_5))d(x_5, x_6) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))d(x_5, x_6) \\ &< d(x_5, x_6) \end{aligned}$$

which leads a contradiction. So we confirm that

$$d(x_4, x_5) \geq \frac{1}{5}[2d(x_4, x_5) + d(x_5, x_6) + d(x_4, x_6)].$$

From (2.7) and above inequalities, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_5, x_6) &\leq \varphi(d(x_4, x_5))d(x_4, x_5) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq d(x_4, x_5). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by induction, we obtain a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ satisfying

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \quad (2.8)$$

and

$$d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})))dist(A, B) \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.9)$$

Clearly, (2.8) shows that the sequence $\{d(x_n, x_{n+1})\}$ is nonincreasing in $[0, \infty)$, and hence we know

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) \quad \text{exists.} \quad (2.10)$$

Since φ is an \mathcal{MT} -function, by Theorem 1.6, we obtain

$$0 \leq \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\varphi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))) < 1.$$

Let

$$\eta := \sup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\varphi(d(x_n, x_{n+1}))).$$

Then

$$0 \leq \varphi(d(x_n, x_{n+1})) \leq \eta < 1 \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N}. \quad (2.11)$$

Taking $n = 1$ in (2.9), we have from (2.11) that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_2, x_3) &\leq \varphi(d(x_1, x_2))d(x_1, x_2) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_1, x_2)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \eta d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (2.12)$$

Taking $n = 2$ in (2.9) and using (2.11) and (2.12), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_3, x_4) &\leq \varphi(d(x_2, x_3))d(x_2, x_3) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_2, x_3))[\eta d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B)] + (1 - \varphi(d(x_2, x_3)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \eta^2 d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

Taking $n = 3$ in (2.9) and using (2.11) and (2.13), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_4, x_5) &\leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_4))d(x_3, x_4) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)) [\eta^2 d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B)] + (1 - \varphi(d(x_3, x_4)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \eta^3 d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B). \end{aligned} \quad (2.14)$$

Taking $n = 4$ in (2.9) and using (2.11) and (2.14), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_5, x_6) &\leq \varphi(d(x_4, x_5))d(x_4, x_5) + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)) [\eta^3 d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B)] + (1 - \varphi(d(x_4, x_5)))dist(A, B) \\ &\leq \eta^4 d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B). \end{aligned}$$

Continuing this process, we obtain

$$dist(A, B) \leq d(x_{n+1}, x_{n+2}) \leq \eta^n d(x_1, x_2) + dist(A, B). \quad (2.15)$$

Due to $\eta \in [0, 1)$, $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \eta^n = 0$. By taking the limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.15), we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = dist(A, B). \quad (2.16)$$

Finally, we finish the proof by combining (2.10) with (2.16). \square

Corollary 2.2. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic mapping. Suppose that there exists a non-decreasing function $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \mu(d(x, y)) \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{5} [d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \mu(d(x, y)))dist(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A \cup B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = dist(A, B).$$

Proof. Since μ is a nondecreasing function, μ is an \mathcal{MT} -function. Therefore, the conclusion is immediate from Theorem 2.1. \square

Corollary 2.3. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic mapping. Suppose that there exists a nonincreasing function $\tau : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \tau(d(x, y)) \max \left\{ d(x, y), \frac{1}{5} [d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \right\} \\ &\quad + (1 - \tau(d(x, y)))dist(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A \cup B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Proof. Since τ is a nonincreasing function, τ is an \mathcal{MT} -function. Therefore, the conclusion is immediate from Theorem 2.1. \square

The following conclusions are immediate from Theorem 2.1.

Theorem 2.4. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic mapping. Suppose that*

(S) *there exists an \mathcal{MT} -function $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \frac{1}{5}\varphi(d(x, y))[d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \varphi(d(x, y)))\text{dist}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$.

Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A \cup B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Corollary 2.5. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic mapping. Suppose that there exists a non-decreasing function $\mu : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \frac{1}{5}\mu(d(x, y))[d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \mu(d(x, y)))\text{dist}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A \cup B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Corollary 2.6. *Let A and B be nonempty subsets of a metric space (X, d) and $T : A \cup B \rightarrow A \cup B$ be a cyclic mapping. Suppose that there exists a nonincreasing function $\tau : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ such that*

$$\begin{aligned} d(Tx, Ty) &\leq \frac{1}{5}\tau(d(x, y))[d(Tx, x) + 2d(Ty, y) + d(y, Tx)] \\ &\quad + (1 - \tau(d(x, y)))\text{dist}(A, B) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in A$ and $y \in B$. Then there exists a sequence $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ in $A \cup B$ such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} d(x_n, x_{n+1}) = \text{dist}(A, B).$$

Acknowledgments. The authors wish to express their hearty thanks to Professor Wei-Shih Du for his valuable suggestions and comments.

References

- [1] M. A. Al-Thagfai, N. Shahzad, Convergence and existence for best proximity points, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **70** (2009), no. 10, 3665-3671. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2008.07.022>
- [2] H. Aydi, A. Felhi, Best proximity points for cyclic Kannan-Chatterjea-Ciric type contractions on metric-like spaces, *J. Nonlinear Sci. Appl.*, **9** (2016), no. 5, 2458-2466. <https://doi.org/10.22436/jnsa.009.05.45>
- [3] W.-S. Du, Some new results and generalizations in metric fixed point theory, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **73** (2010), 1439-1446. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2010.05.007>
- [4] W.-S. Du, New cone fixed point theorems for nonlinear multivalued maps with their applications, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **24** (2011), 172-178. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2010.08.040>
- [5] W.-S. Du, On coincidence point and fixed point theorems for nonlinear multivalued maps, *Topology and its Applications*, **159** (2012), 49-56. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.topol.2011.07.021>
- [6] W.-S. Du, On generalized weakly directional contractions and approximate fixed point property with applications, *Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **2012** (2012), 6. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2012-6>
- [7] W.-S. Du, S.-X. Zheng, Nonlinear conditions for coincidence point and fixed point theorems, *Taiwanese Journal Mathematics*, **16** (2012), 857-868. <https://doi.org/10.11650/twjmath/1500406661>
- [8] W.-S. Du, On Caristi-type mappings without lower semicontinuity assumptions, *Journal of Fixed Point Theory and Applications*, **17** (2015), 733-752. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11784-015-0253-0>

- [9] A. A. Eldered, P. Veeramani, Existence and Convergence of best proximity points, *Journal of Mathematical Analysis Applications*, **323** (2006), no. 2, 1001-1006. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jmaa.2005.10.081>
- [10] W. A. Kirk, P. S. Srinivasan, P. Veeramani, Fixed points for mapping satisfying cyclical contractive conditions, *Fixed Point Theory*, **4** (2003), no. 1, 79-89.
- [11] E. Karapinar, Fixed point theorem for cyclic maps on partial metric spaces, *Applied Mathematics Information Sciences*, **6** (2012), 239-244.
- [12] E. Karapinar, Best proximity points of cyclic mappings, *Applied Mathematics Letters*, **25** (2012), 1761-1766. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aml.2012.02.008>
- [13] P. Kumam, P. Salimi, C. Vetro, Best proximity point results for modified α -proximal C-contraction mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, **2014** (2014), 99. <https://doi.org/10.1186/1687-1812-2014-99>
- [14] I.-J. Lin, Y.-L. Chang, Some new generalizations of Karapinar's theorems, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **8** (2014), no. 20, 957-966. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2014.45127>
- [15] I.-J. Lin, C.-H. Chang, The study of generalizations of Lin-Chang's convergence theorems, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **9** (2015), no. 54, 2649-2658. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2015.510264>
- [16] I.-J. Lin, S.-G. Huang, Some new convergence theorems for MT-functions and new cyclic nonlinear mappings, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **9** (2015), no. 57, 2811-2819. <https://doi.org/10.12988/ijma.2015.511284>
- [17] I.-J. Lin, Y.-J. Lin, S.-D. Hou, Some new best proximity point theorems and convergence theorems for \mathcal{MT} -functions, *Nonlinear Analysis and Differential Equations*, **4** (2016), no. 4, 189-198. <https://doi.org/10.12988/nade.2016.6312>
- [18] I.-J. Lin, W.-S. Du, Y.-W. Wu, C.-H. Hsu, The existence of best proximity points and fixed points for new nonlinear mappings on quasiordered metric spaces, *Bangmod International Journal of Mathematical & Computational Science*, **1** (2015), no. 1, 112-121.
- [19] I.-J. Lin, S.-H. Yang, Some existence and convergence theorems of best proximity point for new nonlinear cyclic maps, *Int. Journal of Math. Analysis*, **6** (2012), no. 52, 2563-2578.

- [20] I.-J. Lin, H. Lakzian, Y. Chou, On best proximity point theorems for new cyclic maps, *International Mathematical Forum*, **7** (2012), no. 37, 1839-1849.
- [21] I.-J. Lin, H. Lakzian, Y. Chou, On convergence theorems for nonlinear mappings satisfying $\mathcal{MT}\text{-}C$ conditions, *Applied Mathematical Sciences*, **6** (2012), no. 67, 3329-3337.
- [22] M. Păcurar, I.A. Rus, Fixed point theory for cyclic φ -contractions, *Nonlinear Analysis: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **72** (2010), 1181-1187. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.08.002>
- [23] T. Suzuki, M. Kikkawa, C. Vetro, The existence of best proximity points in metric spaces with the property UC, *Nonlinear Anal.: Theory, Methods and Applications*, **71** (2009), 2918-2926. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2009.01.173>

Received: March 25, 2018; Published: April 10, 2018