

# On Symmetric Bi-Multipliers of Lattice Implication Algebras

Kyung Ho Kim

Department of Mathematics  
Korea National University of Transportation  
Chungju 380-702, Korea

Copyright © 2018 Kyung Ho Kim. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

## Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the notion of symmetric bi-multiplier of lattice implication algebra and investigated some related properties. Also, we prove that if  $D$  is a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ , then  $d_a$  is an isotone map of  $L$ .

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 16Y30

**Keywords:** Lattice implication algebra, symmetric bi-multiplier, isotone,  $Fix_a(L)$ ,  $Kerd$ .

## 1 Introduction

In order to research a logical system whose propositional value is given in a lattice. Y. Xu [7] proposed the concept of lattice implication algebras, and some researchers have studied their properties and the corresponding logic systems. Also, in [8], Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin discussed the properties lattice H implication algebras, and gave some equivalent conditions about lattice H implication algebras. Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin [9] introduced the notion of filters in a lattice implication, and investigated their properties. In this paper, we introduce the notion of symmetric bi-multiplier of lattice implication algebra and investigated some related properties. Also, we prove that if  $D$  is a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ , then  $d_a$  is an isotone map of  $L$ .

## 2 Preliminaries

A *lattice implication algebra* is an algebra  $(L; \wedge, \vee, \prime, \rightarrow, 0, 1)$  of type  $(2, 2, 1, 2, 0, 0)$ , where  $(L; \wedge, \vee, 0, 1)$  is a bounded lattice, “ $\prime$ ” is an order-reversing involution and “ $\rightarrow$ ” is a binary operation, satisfying the following axioms:

$$(I1) \quad x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = y \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \text{ for any } x, y, z \in L,$$

$$(I2) \quad x \rightarrow x = 1 \text{ for any } x \in L,$$

$$(I3) \quad x \rightarrow y = y' \rightarrow x' \text{ for any } x, y \in L,$$

$$(I4) \quad x \rightarrow y = y \rightarrow x = 1 \Rightarrow x = y \text{ for any } x, y \in L,$$

$$(I5) \quad (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y = (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x \text{ for any } x, y \in L,$$

$$(L1) \quad (x \vee y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \wedge (y \rightarrow z) \text{ for any } x, y, z \in L,$$

$$(L2) \quad (x \wedge y) \rightarrow z = (x \rightarrow z) \vee (y \rightarrow z) \text{ for any } x, y, z \in L.$$

If  $L$  satisfies conditions (I1) – (I5), we say that  $L$  is a *quasi lattice implication algebra*. A lattice implication algebra  $L$  is called a *lattice H implication algebra* if it satisfies  $x \vee y \vee ((x \wedge y) \rightarrow z) = 1$  for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

In the sequel the binary operation “ $\rightarrow$ ” will be denoted by juxtaposition. We can define a partial ordering “ $\leq$ ” on a lattice implication algebra  $L$  by  $x \leq y$  if and only if  $x \rightarrow y = 1$ .

In a lattice implication algebra  $L$ , the following hold (see [7]):

$$(u1) \quad 0 \rightarrow x = 1, 1 \rightarrow x = x \text{ and } x \rightarrow 1 = 1 \text{ for any } x \in L,$$

$$(u2) \quad x \rightarrow y \leq (y \rightarrow z) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \text{ for any } x, y, z \in L,$$

$$(u3) \quad x \leq y \text{ implies } y \rightarrow z \leq x \rightarrow z \text{ and } z \rightarrow x \leq z \rightarrow y \text{ for any } x, y, z \in L,$$

$$(u4) \quad x' = x \rightarrow 0 \text{ for any } x \in L,$$

$$(u5) \quad x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \text{ for any } x, y \in L,$$

$$(u6) \quad ((y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow y')' = x \wedge y = ((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x')' \text{ for any } x, y \in L,$$

$$(u7) \quad x \leq (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \text{ for any } x, y \in L.$$

In a lattice H implication algebra  $L$ , the following hold:

$$(u8) \quad x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) = x \rightarrow y \text{ for any } x, y \in L,$$

$$(u9) \quad x \rightarrow (y \rightarrow z) = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (x \rightarrow z) \text{ for any } x, y, z \in L.$$

A subset  $F$  of a lattice implication algebra  $L$  is called a *filter* of  $L$  if it satisfies:

(F1)  $1 \in F$ ,

(F2)  $x \in F$  and  $x \rightarrow y \in F$  imply  $y \in F$ , for all  $x, y \in L$ .

**Definition 2.1** Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra. A mapping  $D : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is called symmetric if  $D(x, y) = D(y, x)$  holds for all  $x, y \in L$ .

**Definition 2.2** Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and  $x \in L$ . A mapping  $d(x) = D(x, x)$  is called trace of  $D$ , where  $D : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is a symmetric mapping on  $L$ .

### 3 Symmetric bi-multipliers of lattice implication algebras

In what follows, let  $L$  denote a lattice implication algebra unless otherwise specified.

**Definition 3.1** Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra. A symmetric map  $D : L \times L \rightarrow L$  is called a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$  if the following condition hold:

$$D(x \vee y, z) = x \vee D(y, z)$$

for all  $x, y, z \in L$ .

**Example 3.2** Let  $L := \{0, a, b, 1\}$  be a set with the Cayley table.

$x$	$x'$	$\rightarrow$	0	a	b	1
0	1	0	1	1	1	1
a	b	a	b	1	1	1
b	a	b	a	b	1	1
1	0	1	0	a	b	1

For any  $x \in L$ , we have  $x' = x \rightarrow 0$ . The operations  $\wedge$  and  $\vee$  on  $L$  are defined as follows:

$$x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, \quad x \wedge y = ((x' \rightarrow y') \rightarrow y)'$$

Then  $(L, \vee, \wedge, \iota, \rightarrow)$  is a lattice implication algebra. Define a map  $D : L \times L \rightarrow L$  by

$$D(x, y) = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, 0) \\ b & \text{if } (x, y) = (0, a) \text{ or } (x, y) = (a, 0) \\ 1, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is easy to check that  $D$  is a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ .

**Proposition 3.3** *Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then  $D(1, 1) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(1, 1) &= D(1 \vee 1, 1) \\ &= 1 \vee D(1, 1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.4** *Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then  $D(1, x) = D(x, 1) = 1$  for all  $x \in L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(1, x) &= D(1 \vee 1, x) \\ &= 1 \vee D(1, x) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

for every  $x \in L$ . Similarly,  $D(x, 1) = 1$  for every  $x \in L$ .

**Proposition 3.5** *Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . If  $d$  is a trace of  $D$ , then the following conditions hold:*

- (1)  $D(x, y) = x \vee D(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .
- (2)  $d(1) = 1$ .

*Proof.* (1) Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) &= D(x \vee x, y) \\ &= x \vee D(x, y) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in L$ .

- (2) It is clear from (1).

**Proposition 3.6** *Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . If  $d$  is a trace of  $D$ , then  $d(x) = d(x) \vee x$  for all  $x \in L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $d$  be a trace of symmetric bi-multiplier  $D$  of  $L$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x) &= D(x, x) = D(x \vee x, x) \\ &= x \vee D(x, x) = x \vee d(x) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x \in L$ . This completes the proof.

**Corollary 3.7** *Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . If  $d$  is a trace of  $D$ , then  $x \leq d(x)$  for all  $x \in L$ .*

**Proposition 3.8** *Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then  $D(x, y) \geq x$  and  $D(x, y) \geq y$  for all  $x, y \in L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} D(x, y) &= D(x \vee x, y) = x \vee D(x, y) \\ &= (x \rightarrow D(x, y)) \rightarrow D(x, y) \geq x \end{aligned}$$

for all  $x, y \in L$  by (u7). Similarly, we have  $y \leq D(x, y)$  for all  $x, y \in L$ . This completes the proof.

**Definition 3.9** Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . If  $x \leq w$  implies  $D(x, y) \leq D(w, y)$ ,  $D$  is called an isotone symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ .

**Theorem 3.10** Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then  $D$  is an isotone map of  $L$ .

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in L$  be such that  $x \leq y$  and  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(y, z) &= D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, z) = D(x \vee y, z) \\ &= D(y \vee x, z) = y \vee D(x, z) \\ &= (y \rightarrow D(x, z)) \rightarrow D(x, z) \\ &= (D(x, z) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \geq D(x, z) \end{aligned}$$

for all  $z \in L$ . This implies that  $D$  is an isotone map of  $L$  by (u7).

Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . For a fixed element  $a \in L$ , define a map  $d_a : L \rightarrow L$  by  $d_a(x) = D(x, a)$  for all  $x \in L$ .

**Proposition 3.11** Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then the following conditions hold:

- (1)  $d_a(x) = d_a(x) \vee x$  for every  $x \in L$ .
- (2)  $d_a(x \vee y) = x \vee d_a(y)$  for every  $x, y \in L$ .
- (3) If  $x \leq y$ , then  $d_a(x \vee y) = d_a(y) \vee y$  for  $x, y \in L$ .

*Proof.* (1) For every  $x \in L$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x) &= D(x, a) = D(x \vee x, a) \\ &= x \vee D(x, a) = x \vee d_a(x) \end{aligned}$$

(2) For every  $x, y \in L$ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, a) \\ &= x \vee D(y, a) = x \vee d_a(y) \end{aligned}$$

(3) Let  $x, y \in L$  be such that  $x \leq y$ . Then  $x \rightarrow y = 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, a) \\ &= D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, a) = D(y, a) = d_a(y) \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 3.12** *Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then  $d_a(1) = 1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ .

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(1) &= D(1, a) = D(1 \vee 1, a) \\ &= 1 \vee D(1, a) = 1 \vee 1 = 1. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

**Theorem 3.13** *Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then  $d_a$  is an isotone map of  $L$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y \in L$  be such that  $x \leq y$  and  $z \in L$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d_a(y) &= D(y, a) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, a) \\ &= D(x \vee y, a) = D(y \vee x, a) \\ &= y \vee D(x, a) = (y \rightarrow d_a(x)) \rightarrow d_a(x) \\ &= (d_a(x) \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \geq d_a(x). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that  $d_a$  is an isotone map of  $L$  by (u7).

Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . For a fixed element  $a \in L$ , define a set  $Fix_a(L)$  by

$$Fix_a(L) = \{x \in L \mid D(x, a) = x\}.$$

**Proposition 3.14** *Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . If  $x \in L$  and  $y \in Fix_a(L)$ , then  $x \vee y \in Fix_a(L)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in L$  and  $y \in Fix_a(L)$ . Then we obtain

$$D(x \vee y, a) = x \vee D(y, a) = x \vee y.$$

This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.15** *Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . Then  $x \leq y$  and  $x \in Fix_a(L)$  implies  $y \in Fix_a(L)$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x, y$  be such that  $x \leq y$  and  $x \in Fix_a(L)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} D(y, a) &= D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, a) \\ &= D(x \vee y, a) = D(y \vee x, a) \\ &= y \vee D(x, a) = y \vee x \\ &= x \vee y = (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y \\ &= 1 \rightarrow y = y. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

Let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$  and let  $d$  be a trace of  $D$ . Define a set  $Kerd$  by

$$Kerd = \{x \in L \mid D(x, x) = d(x) = 1\}.$$

**Proposition 3.16** *Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . If  $x \in L$  and  $y \in Kerd$ , then  $x \vee y \in Kerd$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in L$  and  $y \in Kerd$ . Then we obtain  $d(y) = 1$ . Hence

$$\begin{aligned} d(x \vee y) &= D(x \vee y, x \vee y) \\ &= x \vee D(x \vee y, y) = x \vee (x \vee D(y, y)) \\ &= x \vee (x \vee 1) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,  $x \vee y \in Kerd$ . This completes the proof.

**Proposition 3.17** *Let  $L$  be a lattice implication algebra and let  $D$  be a symmetric bi-multiplier of  $L$ . If  $x \leq y$  and  $x \in Kerd$ , then  $y \in Kerd$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $x \in Kerd$  and  $x \leq y$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(y) &= D(y, y) = D((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y, (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y) \\ &= D(x \vee y, x \vee y) = D(y \vee x, y \vee x) \\ &= y \vee D(x, y \vee x) = y \vee D(y \vee x, x) \\ &= y \vee (y \vee D(x, x)) = y \vee (y \vee d(x)) \\ &= y \vee (y \vee 1) = 1 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, this implies  $y \in Kerd$ . This completes the proof.

## References

- [1] L. Bolc and P. Borowik, *Many-Valued Logic*, Springer, Berlin, 1994.
- [2] J. A. Goguen, The logic of inexact concepts, *Synthese*, **19** (1969), 325–373.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf00485654>
- [3] S. D. Lee and K. H. Kim, On derivations of lattice implication algebras, *Ars Combinatoria*, **108** (2013), 279-288.
- [4] J. Liu and Y. Xu, On certain filters in lattice implication algebras, *Chinese Quarterly J. Math.*, **11** (1996), no. 4, 106–111.

- [5] J. Liu and Y. Xu, On the property ( $P$ ) of lattice implication algebras, *J. Lanzhou Univ.*, **32** (1996), 344–348.
- [6] J. Liu and Y. Xu, Filters and structure of lattice implication algebras, *Chinese Science Bulletin*, **42** (1997), no. 18, 1517–1520.  
<https://doi.org/10.1007/bf02882921>
- [7] Y. Xu, Lattice implication algebras, *J. Southwest Jiaotong Univ.*, **1** (1993), 20–27.
- [8] Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin, Lattice  $H$  implication algebras and lattice implication algebra classes, *J. Hebei Mining and Civil Engineering Institute*, **3** (1992), 139–143.
- [9] Y. Xu and K. Y. Qin, On filters of lattice implication algebras, *J. Fuzzy Math.*, **1** (1993), no. 2, 251–260.

**Received: April 30, 2018; Published: June 26, 2018**