

On Nearly m -Embedded Subgroups ¹

Xinjian Zhang ²

School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University
Yangzhou 225002, P. R. China

&

School of Mathematical Sciences, Huaiyin Normal University
Huaian, Jiangsu, 223300, P. R. China

Long Miao

School of Mathematical Sciences, Yangzhou University
Yangzhou 225002, P. R. China

Copyright © 2016 Xinjian Zhang and Long Miao. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

A subgroup H of a finite group G is said to be nearly m -embedded subgroup in G if G has a subgroup T and a $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded subgroup C in G such that $G = HT$ and $T \cap H \leq C \leq H$. In this paper we investigate the structure of G under the assumption that some subgroups of P are nearly m -embedded in the normalizer of P in G , and some new criteria are obtained.

Mathematics Subject Classifications: 20D10, 20D15

Keywords: p -nilpotent group, Sylow subgroup, nearly m -embedded subgroup

¹This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant N. 11171243,11501235), Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No.BK20140451) and Postdoctoral Foundation of Jiangsu Province (No.1302068C).

²Corresponding author

1 Introduction

It is well known that the normalizer of Sylow subgroups of a group play an important role in the structure of groups. Let P be a Sylow subgroup of a group G . A question who is always interested in is the relation between the property of the normalizer of P and property of G , and many results have been obtained.

Theorem 1.1. (*Burnside*) *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Hall in [1] got the following generalization of Burnside's theorem:

Theorem 1.2. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If p' -elements of $N_G(P)$ are commute to the elements of P and the class size of P is less than p , then G is p -nilpotent.*

Wielandt, Ballester-Boliches and Esteban-Romero proved the following respectively.

Theorem 1.3. (*[2]*) *A group G is p -nilpotent if it has a regular Sylow p -subgroup whose G -normalizer is p -nilpotent.*

Theorem 1.4. (*[3]*) *A group G is p -nilpotent if it has a modular Sylow p -subgroup whose G -normalizer is p -nilpotent.*

Let G be a group and H a subgroup of G . H is said to be s -permutable (or s -quasinormal, π -quasinormal) in G if H permutes with every Sylow subgroup of G ; H is called c -normal in G if G has a normal subgroup T such that $G = HT$ and $H \cap T \leq H_G$, where H_G is the normal core of H in G ; H is called c -supplemented in G permutable in G if there is a subnormal subgroup T of G such that $G = HT$ and $H \cap T \leq H_{sG}$, where H_{sG} is the maximal s -permutable subgroups of G contained in H ; H is said to be s -permutably embedded in G if for each prime p dividing $|H|$, a Sylow p -subgroup of H is also a Sylow p -subgroup of some s -permutable subgroup of G . More recently, authors in [4] introduced the following concept, which covers both weak s -permutably embedded property and weakly s -permutability.

Definition 1.5. *A subgroup H of a finite group G is said to be nearly m -embedded subgroup in G if G has a subgroup T and a $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded subgroup C in G such that $G = HT$ and $T \cap H \leq C \leq H$.*

Let G be a group, p a prime and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G , we introduce a family of subgroups: $\mathcal{H}(P) = \{H \leq P \mid P' \leq H \leq \Phi(P)\}$. Now, we consider the structure of G under the assumption that some subgroups of P are nearly

m -embedded in the normalizer of the Sylow subgroup, and some new criteria are obtained. For example, we prove the following results:

Theorem A *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a group G , where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. If there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}(P)$ such that H is nearly m -embedded in G and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Theorem B *Let G be a group and p a prime dividing the order of G with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. Suppose that E is a normal subgroup of G such that G/E is p -nilpotent. Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of E . If there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}(P)$ such that H is nearly m -embedded in G and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Theorem C *Let \mathcal{F} be a saturated formation containing the class of all supersolvable groups \mathcal{U} , and assume that G is a group with a normal subgroup E satisfies $G/E \in \mathcal{F}$. Suppose that for any prime p dividing $|E|$ and $P \in \text{Syl}_p(E)$, there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}(P)$ such that H is nearly m -embedded in G and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then $G \in \mathcal{F}$.*

All groups in this paper are finite. The notations and terminology are standard, as in [2].

2 Preliminaries

Lemma 2.1. *Let K and H be subgroups of G . Suppose that K is nearly m -embedded in G and H is normal in G . Then*

- (1) *If $H \leq K$, then K/H is nearly m -embedded in G/H .*
- (2) *If $K \leq E \leq G$, then K is nearly m -embedded in E .*
- (3) *If $(|H|, |K|) = 1$, then HK/H is nearly m -embedded in G/H .*

Lemma 2.2. *Let N be a normal subgroup of a group G and M a subgroup of G . If M is $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded in G , then NM is $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded in G and NM/N is $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded in G/N .*

Lemma 2.3. *Every $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded subgroup of G is subnormal in G .*

Lemma 2.4. *Let $P/\Phi(P)$ be a minimal normal subgroup of a group $G/\Phi(P)$, where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G . If every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in G , then P is cyclic.*

Proof Let P_1 be a proper subgroup of P . If P_1 is nearly m -embedded in G , we claim that $P_1 \leq \Phi(P)$. Let T be a supplement of P_1 in G such that $G = P_1T$

and $P_1 \cap T \leq C$, where C is a $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded subgroup of G contained in P_1 . Then $G = P_1T$ and $P = P \cap G = P \cap P_1T = P_1(P \cap T)$. Since $P/\Phi(P)$ is abelian, $(P \cap T)\Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \trianglelefteq G/\Phi(P)$, and hence $(P \cap T)\Phi(P) \trianglelefteq G$. Since $P/\Phi(P)$ be a minimal normal Sylow p -subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$, $P \cap T \leq \Phi(P)$ or $P \cap T = P$. If $P \cap T \leq \Phi(P)$, then $P = P_1(P \cap T) = P_1$, a contradiction. Now assume that $P \cap T = P$. Then $P_1 \leq P_1 \cap T \leq C \leq O_p(G) = P$.

Hence P_1 is $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded in G . So $P_1\Phi(P)/\Phi(P)$ is $\{1 \leq G/\Phi(P)\}$ -embedded in $G/\Phi(P)$ follows from Lemma 2.2. For any maximal subgroup $K/\Phi(P)$ of $G/\Phi(P)$, either $P_1\Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \leq K/\Phi(P)$ or $G/\Phi(P) = P_1\Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \cdot K/\Phi(P)$. Because P is the Sylow p -subgroup of G and $P/\Phi(P)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$, there exists a maximal subgroup $M/\Phi(P)$ of $G/\Phi(P)$ such that $G/\Phi(P) = (P/\Phi(P)) \cdot (M/\Phi(P))$ and $(P/\Phi(P)) \cap (M/\Phi(P)) = 1$. If $G/\Phi(P) = P_1\Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \cdot M/\Phi(P)$, then we have $P = P_1\Phi(P) = P_1$, a contradiction. Hence $P_1\Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \leq M/\Phi(P)$, then $P_1\Phi(P)/\Phi(P) \leq (P/\Phi(P)) \cap (M/\Phi(P)) = 1$ and so $P_1 \leq \Phi(P)$.

If every maximal subgroup of P is nearly m -embedded in G , then by the above argument P has a unique maximal subgroup, which implies that P is cyclic.

If every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in G , then we also have $|P/\Phi(P)| = p$ and then P is cyclic. Otherwise, let $K/\Phi(P)$ be any non-trivial cyclic subgroup of $P/\Phi(P)$. Let $x \in K \setminus \Phi(P)$ such that $T = \langle x \rangle \Phi(P)$. Then by the above argument, $\langle x \rangle \leq \Phi(P)$ and so $T = \Phi(P)$, a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof the lemma.

Lemma 2.5. *Let P be a normal Sylow p -subgroup of a group G , where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ and $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. Then G is p -nilpotent if and only if every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in G .*

Proof If G is p -nilpotent, then G has a normal p -complement T . Let P_1 be a every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4. It follows that P_1 is a Sylow p -subgroup of P_1T . Let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of G , where $q \neq p$ is a prime divisor of $|G|$. Then $Q \leq T$ and so $P_1TQ = QP_1T = P_1T$. By hypothesis, P is normal in G and so $P_1TP = PT$ is a subgroup of G , which implies that P_1T is an s -permutable subgroup of G and P_1 is s -permutably embedded in G . Hence P_1 is nearly m -embedded in G .

Conversely, assume that every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in G and G is non- p -nilpotent. Let G be a counterexample with minimal order. Let M be a proper subgroup of G . Then $P \cap M$ is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of M . It follows from Lemma 2.1 that every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in M and so M is p -nilpotent. By [10, VI, Theorem 24.2], $P/\Phi(P)$ is a G -chief

factor of P . Now by Lemma 2.4, P is cyclic and G is p -nilpotent follows from Burside's Theorem, a contradiction.

Lemma 2.6. *Let Q be a normal Sylow q -subgroup of a group G such that G/Q is supersolvable, where q is a prime divisor of $|G|$. If every maximal subgroup of Q or every minimal subgroup of Q with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in G , then G is supersolvable.*

Proof Assume the result is false and let G be a counterexample with minimal order. By Lemma 2.1, it is easy to see that if every minimal subgroup of Q with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in G and G is non-supersolvable, then G is a minimal non-supersolvable, i.e., each proper subgroup of G is supersolvable and G is non-supersolvable. Now by [16], G has a normal Sylow p -subgroup P such that $G = PM$, where M is a supersolvable maximal subgroup of G and $P/\Phi(P)$ is a minimal normal subgroup of $G/\Phi(P)$. If $P \neq Q$, then $G \lesssim G/P \times G/Q$ is supersolvable, a contradiction. Hence $P = Q$. Now Q is cyclic by Lemma 2.4 and then G is supersolvable, a contradiction.

So we may assume that every maximal subgroup of Q is nearly m -embedded in G . Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G contained in Q . Let Q_1/N be a maximal subgroup of Q/N , then Q_1 is maximal in Q and by hypothesis and Lemma 2.1, Q_1/N is nearly m -embedded in G/N . So G/N satisfies the hypothesis and by induction G/N is supersolvable. It follows that N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of G contained in Q and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$. Hence $N = Q$. Now by Lemma 2.4 again, we have that Q is cyclic and so G is supersolvable, a contradiction. This contradiction complete the proof.

3 Proofs of Theorems A-C

Proof of Theorem A suppose the theorem is false and let G be a counterexample with minimal order. We proceed the proof by the following steps.

Step 1. H is a non-identity $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded subgroup of G . Furthermore, G is not a non-abelian simple group.

Let T and C be subgroups of G such that $G = HT$, C is $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded in G and $T \cap H \leq C \leq H$. Then $P = P \cap HT = H(P \cap T) = P \cap T$. It follows that $H \leq P \leq T$ and so $H = T \cap H \leq C \leq H$, which implies that H is $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded in G .

If $H = 1$, then $P' \leq H = 1$ implies that P is abelian and so $P \leq C_G(P)$. Let Q be a Sylow q -subgroup of $N_G(P)$, where q is a prime dividing $|N_G(P)|$ different from p . It is easy to see that PQ satisfies the hypothesis by Lemma 2.1 and so PQ is p -nilpotent. Hence $Q \leq C_G(P)$ and so all p' -elements of $N_G(P)$ are contained in $C_G(P)$. It follows that $N_G(P) = C_G(P)$, which implies that

G is p -nilpotent by Burnside's Theorem, a contradiction. So we may assume that $H \neq 1$. Now by Lemma 2.3, G is not a non-abelian simple group.

Step 2. G has a unique minimal normal subgroup N , G/N is p -nilpotent. Furthermore, $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$.

Let N be a minimal normal subgroup of G , consider the quotient group $\overline{G} = G/N$. Then $\overline{P} = PN/N$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of \overline{G} and $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{P}) = \overline{N_G(P)}$.

If every maximal subgroup of P is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, we claim that every maximal subgroup of \overline{P} is nearly m -embedded in $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{P})$. Let M/N be a maximal subgroup of PN/N . Then there exists a maximal subgroup P_1 of P such that $M = P_1N$ and $P \cap N = P_1 \cap N$ is a Sylow p -subgroup of N . By hypothesis, P_1 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, so there exists a subgroup T of $N_G(P)$ such that $N_G(P) = P_1T$ and $P_1 \cap T \leq C$, where C is a $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded subgroup of $N_G(P)$ contained in P_1 . It follows that $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{P}) = \overline{P_1} \cdot \overline{T} = M/N \cdot TN/N$. For any prime divisor $r \neq p$ of $|N \cap N_G(P)|$, T contains some Sylow r -subgroup of $N_G(P)$, which implies $(|(N \cap N_G(P)) : (P_1 \cap N)|, |(N \cap N_G(P)) : (T \cap N)|) = 1$. So $(P_1 \cap N)(T \cap N) = N \cap N_G(P) = N \cap P_1T$. By [15, A, Lemma 1.2], $P_1N \cap TN = (P_1 \cap T)N$. Then $\overline{P_1} \cap \overline{T} \leq \overline{C} \leq M/N$, where \overline{C} is $\{1 \leq \overline{G}\}$ -embedded in \overline{G} . Hence M/N is nearly m -embedded in $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{P})$.

If every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then by Lemma 2.5, we have that $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent and so $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{P})$ is p -nilpotent. Now by Lemma 2.5 again, every cyclic subgroup of \overline{P} with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_{\overline{G}}(\overline{P})$.

Note that $(\overline{P})' \leq \overline{P}' \leq \overline{H} \leq \overline{\Phi(P)} \leq \Phi(\overline{P})$. It follows that $(\overline{P})' \leq \overline{H} \leq \Phi(\overline{P})$. Hence $\overline{H} \in \mathcal{H}(\overline{P})$. By Step 1 and Lemma 2.2, it is easy to see that G/N satisfies the hypotheses, so by induction G/N is p -nilpotent. Obviously N is the unique minimal normal subgroup of N . Furthermore, $O_{p'}(G) = 1$ and $N \not\leq \Phi(G)$.

Step 3. $N \leq \Phi(P)$ and G is p -nilpotent.

By Step 1 and Lemma 2.3, $O_p(G) \neq 1$. By Step 2 $N \leq O_p(G)$ and $N \cap \Phi(G) = 1$, it follows that $O_p(G) \cap \Phi(G) = 1$. Now by [12, Lemma 2.6] we have $N = O_p(G) = C_G(O_p(G))$. By Lemma 2.3 again, we have $H \leq N$.

Let M be a maximal subgroup of G such that $G = NM$ and $N \cap M = 1$. Since H is $\{1 \leq G\}$ -embedded in G , either $G = HM$ or $H \leq M$. If $H \leq M$, then $H \leq N \cap M = 1$, which contradicts to step 1. So $G = HM$ and $N = H \leq \Phi(P)$. It follows that $N \leq \Phi(G)$ and so G is p -nilpotent by Step 2, the final contradiction. The theorem is proved.

Proof of Theorem B Assume that the result is false and let G with subgroup E be a minimal counterexample to the theorem in respect to $|G|+|E|$. By Lemma 2.2 and Theorem A, E is p -nilpotent. Let T be the normal p -complement of E , then $T \trianglelefteq G$. If $T \neq 1$, we consider G/T with subgroup E/T . It is easy to see that $E = PT$ and $(|P|, |T|) = 1$. With a similar argument

as in Step 2 of Theorem A, we know that the hypothesis is still true for G/T with subgroup E/T , hence the minimal choice of G implies that G/M is p -nilpotent. Thus G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. So we may assume that $T = 1$, i.e., $E = P$ is a p -group. Let K/P be the normal p -complement of G/P , this makes sense as $G/P = G/E$ is p -nilpotent. It is clear that there exists $H \in \mathcal{H}(P)$ such that H is nearly m -embedded in K and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_K(P)$, whence K is p -nilpotent by Theorem A, so that $K_{p'} \text{char} T \trianglelefteq G$ yielding that $K_{p'}$ is also a normal Hall p' -subgroup of G , i.e., G is p -nilpotent, a contradiction. This contradiction completes the proof of Theorem B. \square

Proof of Theorem C Assume that the result is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. By Theorem A, G is a Sylow-tower group. Let $q = \max \pi(G)$ and $Q \in \text{Syl}_q(G)$. Then $Q \trianglelefteq G$. By Lemma 2.1 and the proof of Theorem A, it is easy to see that G/Q satisfies the hypothesis and by induction G/Q is supersolvable. Now by Lemma 2.6, G is supersolvable.

4 Some Applications

Let G be a group and P a Sylow p -subgroup of G , where $p \in \pi(G)$. If $N_G(P)$ is p -nilpotent, then it is easy to see that $P' \in \text{Syl}_p((N_G(P))')$ and $\Phi(P) \in \text{Syl}_p(\Phi(N_G(P)))$. So Theorem A has the following corollaries:

Corollary 4.1. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a group G , where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. If P' is nearly m -embedded in G and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 4.2. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a group G , where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. If $\Phi(P)$ is nearly m -embedded in G and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 4.3. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a group G , where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. If $(N_G(P))'$ is nearly m -embedded in G and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

Corollary 4.4. *Let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of a group G , where p is a prime divisor of $|G|$ with $(|G|, p - 1) = 1$. If $\Phi(N_G(P))$ is nearly m -embedded in G and every maximal subgroup of P or every cyclic subgroup of P with prime order and order 4 is nearly m -embedded in $N_G(P)$, then G is p -nilpotent.*

References

- [1] P. Hall, On a theorem of Frobenius, *Proc. London Math. Soc.*, **s2-40** (1936), 468-501. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1112/plms/s2-40.1.468>
- [2] B. Huppert, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer Berlin Heidelberg, New York, 1967. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-64981-3>
- [3] A. Ballester-Bolinches and R. Esteban-Romero, Sylow permutable subnormal subgroups of finite groups, *Journal of Algebra*, **251** (2002), 727-738. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jabr.2001.9138>
- [4] X.H. Li, T. Zhao and Y. Xu, A new kind of generalized normality in finite groups, *Indagationes Mathematicae*, **22** (2011), 69-76. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.indag.2011.08.002>
- [5] O.H. Kegel, Sylow-Gruppen and Subnormalteiler endlicher Gruppen, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **78** (1962), 205-221. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01195169>
- [6] A. Ballester-Bolinches, M.C. Pedraza-Aguilera, Sufficient conditions for supersolubility of finite groups, *Journal of Pure and Applied Algebra*, **127** (1998), 113-118. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049\(96\)00172-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/s0022-4049(96)00172-7)
- [7] H.Q. Wei, Y.M. Wang, On c^* -normality and its properties, *Journal of Group Theory*, **10** (2007), 211-223. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/jgt.2007.017>
- [8] J. Tate, Nilpotent quotient groups, *Topology*, **3** (1964), 109-111. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383\(64\)90008-4](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0040-9383(64)90008-4)
- [9] D. J. S. Robinson, *A Course in the Theory of Groups*, Springer New York, Berlin, 1993. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4684-0128-8>
- [10] L.A. Shemetkov, Formations of finite Group, Nauka, Main Editorial Board for Physical and Mathematical Literature, Moscow, 1978.
- [11] A. N. Skiba, On weakly s -permutable subgroups of finite groups, *Journal of Algebra*, **315** (2007), 192-209. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jalgebra.2007.04.025>
- [12] Y.M. Li, Y.M. Wang and H.Q. Wei, The influence of π -quasinormality of some subgroups of a finite group, *Archiv der Mathematik*, **81** (2003), 245-252. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s00013-003-0829-6>
- [13] W.E. Deskins, On quasinormal subgroups of finite groups, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **82** (1963), 125-132. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01111801>

- [14] Yanming Wang, C -normality of groups and its properties, *Journal of Algebra*, **180** (1996), 954-965. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1006/jabr.1996.0103>
- [15] K. Doerk, T. Hawkes, *Finite Soluble Groups*, Walter de Gruyter: Berlin, New York, 1992. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1515/9783110870138>
- [16] K. Doerk, Minimal nicht Überauflösbare, endliche Gruppen, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, **91** (1996), 198-205. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/bf01312426>

Received: March 26, 2016; Published: May 15, 2016