

Approximation of Pseudo-Inverse Operators by g -Frames

Mohammad Sadegh Asgari and Seyedeh Sara Karimizad

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Islamic Azad University, Central Tehran Branch, Tehran, Iran
moh.asgari@iauctb.ac.ir, msasgari@yahoo.com
s_karimizad@yahoo.com

Copyright © 2013 Mohammad Sadegh Asgari and Seyedeh Sara Karimizad. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

Let T denote an operator on a Hilbert space \mathcal{H} and let $\{\Lambda_j\}$ be a g -frame for the orthogonal complement of the kernel N_T . We construct a sequence of operators $\{\phi_n\}$ of the form $\phi_n(\cdot) = \sum_{j=1}^n g_j^n(\cdot) \Lambda_j$ which converges to the psuedoinverse T^\dagger of T in the strong operator topology as $n \rightarrow \infty$. The operators $\{\phi_n\}$ can be found using finite-dimensional methods. We also prove an adaptive iterative version of the result.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 42C99; Secondary 46B99, 46C99

Keywords: g -frames, approximate frames, pseudo-inverse

1 Introduction

Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be two separable Hilbert spaces and $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a sequence of closed subspaces of \mathcal{K} , where J is a subset of \mathbb{Z} . Let $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, W_j)$ be the collection of all bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} into W_j . For each sequence $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$, we define the space $\left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_j\right)_{\ell^2}$ by

$$\left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_j\right)_{\ell^2} = \left\{ \{g_j\}_{j \in J} \mid g_j \in W_j \text{ and } \sum_{j \in J} \|g_j\|^2 < \infty \right\}. \quad (1)$$

With the inner product defined by

$$\langle \{f_j\}, \{g_j\} \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle f_j, g_j \rangle.$$

It is clear that $\left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_j\right)_{\ell^2}$ is a Hilbert space.

Definition 1.1. Let $\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, W_j)$ for all $j \in J$. A family $\Lambda = \{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is called a generalized frame or simply a g -frame for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ if there exist constants $0 < A \leq B < \infty$ such that

$$A\|f\|^2 \leq \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \leq B\|f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (2)$$

The constants A and B are called g -frame bounds. The synthesis operator of Λ given by

$$T_\Lambda : \left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_j \right)_{\ell^2} \rightarrow \mathcal{H} \quad T_\Lambda(\{g_j\}_{j \in J}) = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* g_j.$$

The adjoint operator of T_Λ , which is called the analysis operator also obtain as follows

$$T_\Lambda^* : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \left(\sum_{j \in J} \oplus W_j \right)_{\ell^2} \quad T_\Lambda^* f = \{\Lambda_j f\}_{j \in J}.$$

By composing T_Λ with its adjoint T_Λ^* , we obtain the generalized frame operator

$$S_\Lambda : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad S_\Lambda f = T_\Lambda T_\Lambda^* f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f$$

which is a bounded, self-adjoint, positive and invertible operator and $CI_{\mathcal{H}} \leq S_\Lambda \leq DI_{\mathcal{H}}$. We call the operators T and T^* , synthesis and analysis operators, respectively. A g -frame for a subspace yields a representation of the orthogonal projection onto the subspace. Given a sequence $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$, let P_J denote the orthogonal projection onto $\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_j^*(W_j)\}_{j \in J}$. Let $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, W_j) : j \in J\}$ be a g -Bessel sequence for \mathcal{H} . The operator

$$S : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}, \quad Sf = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f \quad (3)$$

is a positive and bounded operator.

A simple computation shows that

$$\langle Sf, f \rangle = \left\langle \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f, f \right\rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle \Lambda_j f, \Lambda_j f \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \|\Lambda_j f\|^2 \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (4)$$

Therefore

$$A\langle f, f \rangle \leq \langle Sf, f \rangle \leq B\langle f, f \rangle \quad (5)$$

i.e.,

$$AI \leq S \leq BI. \quad (6)$$

This implies that S is an invertible operator if and only if $\{\Lambda_j \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, W_j) : j \in J\}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H} . For more details about the theory and applications of generalized frames we refer the readers to [4,5].

2 Linear approximation of pseudo-inverse operators

Let \mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K} be two Hilbert spaces and $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ the set of bounded linear operators from \mathcal{H} into \mathcal{K} . The range and the null space of $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ are denoted by R_T and N_T , respectively. Suppose that the operator $T \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathcal{K})$ has a closed range. Then there exists a unique bounded operator $T^\dagger: \mathcal{K} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ satisfying:

$$TT^\dagger T = T, T^\dagger TT^\dagger = T^\dagger, (T^\dagger T)^* = T^\dagger T, (TT^\dagger)^* = TT^\dagger \quad (7)$$

The operator T^\dagger is called the pseudo-inverse operator of T . If T is a bounded invertible operator, then $T^\dagger = T^{-1}$. Alternatively, T^\dagger can be characterized as the unique linear operator from \mathcal{K} to \mathcal{H} for which

$$N_T^\dagger = R_T^\perp, R_T^\dagger = N_T^\perp, TT^\dagger f = f \quad \forall f \in R_T. \quad (8)$$

It is well known that TT^\dagger is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto R_T and that $T^\dagger T$ is the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{K} onto N_T^\perp . Let P_{R_T} denote the orthogonal projection of \mathcal{H} onto R_T and observe that for arbitrary $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$(I - P_{R_T})f \in R_T^\perp = N_T^\dagger \quad (9)$$

Therefore

$$T^\dagger f = T^\dagger P_{R_T} f + T^\dagger (I - P_{R_T})f = T^\dagger P_{R_T} f. \quad (10)$$

The purpose of this note is to present a method for approximation of T^\dagger . Let $T: \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{K}$ be a bounded linear operator with closed range R_T . Let $\{\Lambda_i\}_{i \in I}$ be a g -frame for the subspace N_T^\perp with respect to $\{W_i\}_{i \in I}$. For each finite subset $J \subseteq I$, let $\mathcal{H}_J = \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_j^*(W_j)\}_{j \in J}$. Then $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g -frame for \mathcal{H}_J with respect to $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ with g -frame operator given by

$$S_J: \mathcal{H}_J \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}_J \quad S_J f = \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f$$

Also, $\{\Lambda_j T^*\}_{j \in J}$ is a g -frame for $T(\mathcal{H}_J)$ with respect to $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ with g -frame operator given by

$$V_J: \overline{T(\mathcal{H}_J)} \longrightarrow \overline{T(\mathcal{H}_J)} \quad V_J f = \sum_{j \in J} T \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* f \quad \forall f \in \overline{T(\mathcal{H}_J)}$$

where V_J is a invertible, bounded linear operator onto $T(\mathcal{H}_J)$. When in the following we write V_J^{-1} , it is understood that we invert V_J as an operator from $\overline{T(\mathcal{H}_J)}$ onto $\overline{T(\mathcal{H}_J)}$.

Also for all $f \in \overline{T(\mathcal{H}_J)}$ we have

$$V_J f = \sum_{j \in J} T \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* f = T \left(\sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j \right) (T^* f) = T S_J P_J T^* f$$

or

$$V_J f = \sum_{j \in J} T \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* f = T \left(\sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j \right) (T^* f) = T P_J S_J T^* f$$

Hence for all $f \in \overline{T(\mathcal{H}_J)}$

$$V_J f = TP_J S_J T^* f = TS_J P_J T^* f.$$

Lemma 2.1. Let $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ be a g -frame sequence for \mathcal{H} with respect to $\{w_j\}_{j \in J}$. Then the orthogonal projection onto $H_0 = \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_j^*(w_j)\}_{j \in J}$ is given by

$$P_{H_0} = \sum_{j \in J} S_\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f \quad \forall f \in \mathcal{H}. \quad (11)$$

Proof. By assumption we have $S^{-1}(H_0) = H_0$. Hence for all $g \in \mathcal{H}$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \sum_{j \in J} S_\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f, g \rangle &= \sum_{j \in J} \langle S_\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f, g \rangle = \sum_{j \in J} \langle P_{H_0} S_\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f, g \rangle = \langle f, \sum_{j \in J} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j S_\Lambda^{-1} P_{H_0} g \rangle \\ &= \langle f, P_{H_0} g \rangle = \langle P_{H_0} f, g \rangle \end{aligned}$$

Hence $P_{H_0} f = \sum_{j \in J} S_\Lambda^{-1} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j f$. □

Lemma 2.2. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ be and $I \subseteq J$ be finite. Then

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_I} \|f - T\phi\| = \|f - T\psi\|$$

where ψ is defined by

$$\psi = \sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_I^{-1} f \quad \text{for all } f \in \mathcal{H}.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ be arbitrary and $W \subseteq \mathcal{H}$. We have $\|f - P_W f\| = \inf_{h \in W} \|f - h\|$ where $P_W : \mathcal{H} \rightarrow W$ is orthogonal projection. Then

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_I} \|f - T\phi\| = \inf_{h \in T\mathcal{H}_I} \|f - h\| = \|f - P_{T\mathcal{H}_I} f\|.$$

In addition

$$P_{T\mathcal{H}_I} f = \sum_{j \in I} T \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* \Lambda_j^{-1} f.$$

Hence

$$P_{T\mathcal{H}_I} f = \sum_{j \in I} T \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_j^{-1} f = T \left(\sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_j^{-1} f \right).$$

Put

$$\psi = \sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_j^{-1} f = T \left(\sum_{j \in I} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_j^{-1} f \right)$$

Therefore we obtain

$$\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_I} \|f - T\phi\| = \inf_{h \in T\mathcal{H}_I} \|f - h\| = \|f - P_{T\mathcal{H}_I} f\| = \|f - T\psi\|.$$

□

Lemma 2.2 leads to a method for approximation of $T^\dagger f$. Let $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a family of finite subsets of I such that $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \nearrow I$. Abusing the notation, we will write $\mathcal{H}_n, S_n, V_n, P_n$ instead of $\mathcal{H}_{I_n}, S_{I_n}, V_{I_n}, P_{I_n}$. The following lemma states that for $f \in R_T$ we can make $\inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n} \|f - T\phi\|$ arbitrarily small by choosing n large enough.

Lemma 2.3. Let $f \in R_T$ be, then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n} \|f - T\phi\| = 0.$$

Proof. By lemma (2.2) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n} \|f - T\phi\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - P_{T\mathcal{H}_n} f\|$$

we show given $f \in \mathcal{H}$,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{T\mathcal{H}_n} f = f$$

Since $I_1 \subseteq I_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq I_n \nearrow I$ and $\{\Lambda_j\}_{j \in J}$ is a g -frame for N_T^\perp with respect to $\{W_j\}_{j \in J}$ therefore

$$N_T^\perp = \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_j^*(W_j)\}$$

and

$$\mathcal{H}_1 \subseteq \mathcal{H}_2 \subseteq \dots \subseteq \mathcal{H}_n \nearrow N_T^\perp.$$

Then

$$N_T^\perp = \overline{\text{span}}\{\mathcal{H}_n\}$$

and

$$TN_T^\perp = \overline{\text{span}}\{T\mathcal{H}_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}.$$

On the other hand

$$T(\mathcal{H}) = T(N_T \oplus N_T^\perp) = T(N_T^\perp) = \overline{\text{span}}\{T(\mathcal{H}_n)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$$

Since

$$T(\mathcal{H}_1) \subseteq T(\mathcal{H}_2) \subseteq \dots \subseteq T(\mathcal{H}_n) \nearrow T(\mathcal{H})$$

Therefore given $f \in \mathcal{H}$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{T(\mathcal{H}_n)} P_{T(\mathcal{H})} f = P_{T(\mathcal{H})} f \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{T(\mathcal{H}_n)} f = f \quad \text{for all } f \in R_T$$

Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \inf_{\phi \in \mathcal{H}_n} \|f - T\phi\| = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|f - P_{T\mathcal{H}_n} f\| = 0.$$

□

The next theorem shows how we can obtain a family of operators $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ that converges to T^\dagger in the strong operator topology.

Lemma 2.4. For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $\phi_n : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow \mathcal{H}$ by

$$\phi_n f = \sum_{j \in J_n} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_n^{-1} f$$

then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n f = T^\dagger f.$$

Proof. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$. We have $T\phi_n f = \sum_{j \in J_n} T\Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_n^{-1} f = P_{T(\mathcal{H}_n)} f$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} T\phi_n f = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} P_{T(\mathcal{H}_n)} f = P_{T(\mathcal{H})} f = TT^\dagger f.$$

Since

$$\overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_j^*(W_j)\}_{j \in J} = N_T^\perp$$

and given $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have $\phi_n f \in N_T^\perp$. Then

$$T^\dagger T\phi_n f = \phi_n f.$$

It follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n f = \lim T^\dagger T\phi_n f = T^\dagger f.$$

□

3 Nonlinear iterative approximation of $T^\dagger f$

In the previous section, the index sets $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ were fixed independently of f . As a consequence, we obtained a family of operators $\{\phi_n\}$ converging to T^\dagger in the strong operator topology. We now describe an element-dependent method for approximation of $T^\dagger f$. This means that we fix $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and that the choice of $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ depends on f . The advantage is that the choice of I_n at the n th step of the approximation might fit f better, but the disadvantage is that the method becomes nonlinear. This method is inspired by various versions of matching pursuit algorithms; cf. [1, 2, 3]. Corresponding to an index set I_n , we use the notation \mathcal{H}_n, S_n, V_n as in Section 2. First, fix $f \in \mathcal{H}$ and let $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. Choose the set I_1 such that

$$\|P_{R_T} f - P_{T\mathcal{H}_1} P_{R_T} f\| \leq \varepsilon$$

Write $P_{R_T} f = P_{T\mathcal{H}_1} P_{R_T} f + R_1$ and observe that

$$\|P_{R_T} f\|^2 = \|P_{T\mathcal{H}_1} P_{R_T} f\|^2 + \|R_1\|^2$$

Now choose I_2 such that $\|R_1 - P_{T\mathcal{H}_2}R_1\| \leq \varepsilon$. Write $R_1 = P_{T\mathcal{H}_2}R_1 + R_2$ and observe that

$$\|R_2\| = \|R_1 - P_{T\mathcal{H}_2}R_1\| \leq \varepsilon$$

Thus

$$\|P_{R_T}f - (P_{T\mathcal{H}_1}P_{R_T}f + P_{T\mathcal{H}_2}R_1)\| = \|R_2\| \leq \varepsilon.$$

In general, after constructing R_n , choose I_{n+1} such that

$$\|R_n - P_{T\mathcal{H}_{n+1}}R_n\| \leq \varepsilon(2^{-n-1}).$$

Write $R_n = P_{T\mathcal{H}_{n+1}}R_n + R_{n+1}$ and observe that $\|R_{n+1}\| \leq \varepsilon(2^{-n-1})$. Thus, with $R_0 = P_{R_T}f$ we have

$$\|P_{R_T}f\|^2 = \sum_{k=0}^n \|P_{T\mathcal{H}_{k+1}}R_k\|^2 + \|R_{n+1}\|^2.$$

Since $\{\Lambda_i T^*\}_{i \in I_{k+1}}$ is a g -frame for $T\mathcal{H}_{k+1}$ and the corresponding g -frame operator is V_{k+1} , we have by Lemma 2.1 that

$$P_{T\mathcal{H}_{k+1}}R_k = \sum_{j \in J_{k+1}} T\Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_{k+1}^{-1} R_k$$

Put $g_k = \sum_{j \in J_{k+1}} \Lambda_j^* \Lambda_j T^* V_{k+1}^{-1} R_k$ then $P_{T\mathcal{H}_{k+1}}R_k = Tg_k$. We obtain

$$\|P_{R_T}f - T \sum_{k=0}^n g_k\| < \varepsilon(2^{-n-1})$$

The iterative approximation of $P_{R_T}f$ leads to the following result on approximation of $T^\dagger f$.

Lemma 3.1. Let $f \in \mathcal{H}$ be and construct $\{g_k\}_{k=0}^\infty$ as above. Then

$$\|T^\dagger f - \sum_{k=0}^n g_k\| \leq \varepsilon(2^{-n-1})\|T^\dagger\|$$

Proof. First observe that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$g_k \in \overline{\text{span}}\{\Lambda_j^*(W_j)\}_{j \in J} = N_T^\perp$$

also $T^\dagger f \in R_{T^\dagger} = N_T^\perp$, and since $T^\dagger T : \mathcal{H} \longrightarrow N_T^\perp$ is the orthogonal projection onto N_T^\perp . We obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \|T^\dagger f - \sum_{k=0}^n g_k\| &= \|T^\dagger T T^\dagger f - T^\dagger T (\sum_{k=0}^n g_k)\| \leq \|T^\dagger\| \|T T^\dagger f - T \sum_{k=0}^n g_k\| \\ &= \|T^\dagger\| \|P_{R_T}f - T \sum_{k=0}^n g_k\| < \varepsilon(2^{-n-1})\|T^\dagger\|. \end{aligned}$$

□

Let P denote the orthogonal projection onto $\text{span } \{\Lambda_j T^*\}_{j \in k+1}$. Then

$$\|f - Pf\| \leq \|f - T \sum_{k=0}^n g_k\|.$$

By writing $Pf = Tg$, g might approximate $T^\dagger f$ even better than $\sum_{k=0}^n g_k$. However, for large index sets, calculation of g becomes more involved because of the need to invert the frame operator corresponding to $\{\Lambda_j T^*\}_{j \in k+1}$.

The motivation behind the iterative method is to split the inversion into successive inversions of smaller matrices. However, in the worst case the index set I_n may have a lot of overlap with I_1, I_2, \dots, I_{n-1} (or even include those sets) and then the iterative method is not appropriate.

References

- [1] F. J. Beutler and W. L. Root, The operator pseudo-inverse in control and system identifications, in "Generalized Inverses and Applications" (M. Zuhair Nashed, Ed.), Academic Press, New York, 1976.
- [2] P. G. Casazza and O. Christensen, Approximation of the inverse frame operator and applications to Weyl-Heisenberg frames, J. Approx. Theory 103, No. 2 (2000), 338-356.
- [3] P. G. Casazza and O. Christensen, Riesz frames and approximation of the frame coefficients. Appr. Theory and Appl. 14 no. 2(1998). *Appl. Comput. Harmon. Anal.* **31**(1) (2011) 1-25.
- [4] W. Sun, G-frames and G-Riesz bases, J. Math. Anal. Appl. (2006), 322, 437-452.
- [5] Zhu, Y. C, Characterizations of g-frames and g-Riesz bases in Hilbert spaces. Acta Mathematica Sinica, English Series, 24(10), 1727-1736 (2008)

Received: December 1, 2013