

# A (Very) Simple Proof that $H^1(G, V) = (0)$ for a Compact, or Connected Semi-Simple Group

Ioannis Farmakis

Brooklyn College  
The City University of New York (CUNY)  
2900 Bedford Ave., Brooklyn, NY 11210, USA  
IFarmakis@brooklyn.cuny.edu

## Abstract

In this note we give a (very) simple proof of the known fact that the first cohomology group with coefficients in a finite dimensional real vector space  $V$  of a compact, or of a connected semi-simple group  $G$  must vanish.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 22C05, 22D12, 22E41, 22E46, 57T10

**Keywords:** Continuous group cohomology and its vanishing, continuous representation, affine action, fixed point, 1-cochain, 1-coboundary, compact group, semi-simple group

## 1 Introduction.

Here we prove that  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$  for any compact, or connected semi-simple group  $G$  with coefficients in a finite dimensional vector space  $V$ . The compact case is very well known, see for example Moskowitz, [7] pg. 334, and actually it is proved that for a compact group all the higher order cohomology groups vanish, even when  $V$  is a Banach space (see [4], Theorem 6.0.3). Things are quite different when  $G$  is a non compact connected semi-simple Lie group.

Here there only seem to be proofs of the following two results:

Let  $G$  be a real, connected, semi-simple Lie group acting continuously on a Banach space  $V$ .

1. If none of the simple components is locally isomorphic to  $SO_o(n, 1)$  or  $SU(n, 1)$ , then  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$ . (Erven-Kazdan [3] Chapter V).
2. If  $G$  is simply connected, then  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$  (S. Komy [5]).

For a counter example in the case of  $SO_o(n, 1)$  (which works equally well for  $SU(n, 1)$ ) see [4] pg. 118, or the original proof in [2].

For the reader convenience we recall the definition of the first cohomology group  $H^1(G, V)$ .

Let  $G$  be a locally compact, second countable group and  $\rho$  be a continuous representation of  $G$  on a real finite dimensional vector space  $V$ . We will use without distinction the notations  $\rho(g)(v)$ , or  $g.v$  ( $g \in G$  and  $v \in V$ ).

Then, the first cohomology group  $H^1(G, V)$  is defined as follows:

**Definition 1.**  $H^1(G, V)$  is defined to be the quotient group  $\mathcal{Z}^1/\mathcal{B}^1$ , where  $\mathcal{Z}^1$  is the space of the crossed homomorphisms (or 1-cocycles)

$$\varphi : G \longrightarrow V : \varphi(gh) = \varphi(g) + g\varphi(h),$$

and  $\mathcal{B}^1$  consists of those  $\varphi$  (or 1-coboundaries) of the form  $\varphi(g) = g.v_0 - v_0$ , for some  $v_0$  in  $V$  and all  $g$  in  $G$ .

Based in a geometric observation of Milnor ([6]), we shall give a very simple proof that  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$  ( $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ ) dealing with the compact and semi-simple cases simultaneously.

## 2 Main Theorem.

In fact, we have the following unifying result:

**Theorem 1.** *Let  $G$  be a group all of whose finite dimensional real representations are completely reducible. Then for every finite dimensional representation of  $G$  on  $V$ ,  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$ .*

In particular,

**Corollary 1.** *If  $G$  contains a connected semi-simple subgroup  $H$  with  $G/H$  either compact or of finite volume, then all finite dimensional real representations  $\rho$  are completely reducible. (Of course if  $G$  is compact, or connected semi-simple this is so. Hence, in all these cases  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$ ).*

*Proof.* Since  $H$  is connected semi-simple any continuous representation is completely reducible by H. Weyl's theorem (see e.g. [1] p. 175). Moreover, as is proved in Moskowitz [8] (Theorem 1, or Corollary 2 respectively), since  $G/H$  is either compact, or of finite volume,  $\rho$  must be completely reducible.  $\square$

To prove Theorem 1 we need the following:

**Definition 2.** *By an invertible affine transformation of a vector space  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$  we mean a map  $V \rightarrow V$  given by  $x \mapsto Ax + b$ , where  $x, b \in V$ ,  $A \in GL(V)$ .*

The next lemma is a slight modification of a result of Milnor (see [6] pg. 183).

**Lemma 1.** *If  $\rho$  is completely reducible continuous representation of  $G$  by affine transformations of  $V$ , then  $\rho$  admits a fixed point.*

*Proof.* Identify the space  $V$  with the hyperplane  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{1\}$  in  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Now, any representation of  $G$  by affine transformations of  $V \times \{1\}$  extends uniquely to a linear representation of  $G$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{n+1}$ . Indeed the map  $x \mapsto Ax + b$ ,  $x \in V$  extends to the map

$$\begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \longrightarrow \begin{pmatrix} A & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \cdot \begin{pmatrix} x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} Ax + b \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

which is linear. Since the linear subspace  $\mathbb{R}^n \times \{1\}$  is invariant, by hypothesis, there exists a complementary  $G$ -invariant subspace  $W$ . Then, the intersection

$$W \cap (\mathbb{R}^n \times \{1\})$$

is a fixed point which is not the point  $(0)$  since  $(0)$  is not in this hyperplane.  $\square$

Turning to the proof of our theorem,

*Proof.* Let  $\rho : G \longrightarrow GL(V)$  be a continuous linear representation of  $G$  and  $\varphi$  be a 1-cocycle. Define the affine map,

$$\rho_\varphi : G \longrightarrow \text{Aff}(V) := G \ltimes GL(V),$$

given by

$$\rho_\varphi(g) : V \longrightarrow V \quad \text{such that} \quad \rho_\varphi(g)(v) := \rho(g)(v) + \varphi(g).$$

From the cocycle identity this map is a homomorphism. But by the Lemma 1, the affine map  $\rho_\varphi$  has a fixed point. That is, there is a  $v_0$  in  $V$  with  $\rho_\varphi(g)(v_0) = v_0$ , for each  $g \in G$ . Then  $\rho(g)(v_0) + \varphi(g) = v_0$  so that  $\varphi$  is a 1-coboundary and  $H^1(G, V) = (0)$ .  $\square$

Brooklyn College, CUNY

## References

- [1] H. Abbaspour, M. Moskowitz, *Basic Lie Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co., 2007.
- [2] P. Delorme, *1-cohomologie des représentations unitaires des Groupes de Lie semi-simple et résolubles*, Bull. Soc. Math. France, vol. **105**, 1977, pp. 281-336.
- [3] J. Erven, B.-J. Falkowski, *Low Order Cohomology and Applications*, Lecture Notes in mathematics, vol. **877**, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1980.
- [4] I. Farmakis, *Cohomological Aspects of Complete Reducibility of Representations*, LAP LAMBERT Academic Publishing, Saarbrucken, 2010.
- [5] S.R. Komy *On the first cohomology group for simply connected Lie groups*, J. Phys. A: Math. Gen. **18**, Issue 8, 1985, pp. 1159-1165.
- [6] J. Milnor, *On fundamental groups of complete affinely flat manifolds*, Advances in Math., vol. **25**, 1977, pp. 178-187.
- [7] M. Moskowitz, *Some remarks on Automorphisms of Bounded Displacement and Bounded Cocycles.*, Mh. Math., vol. **85**, pp. 323-336, 1978.

- [8] M. Moskowitz, *Complete reducibility and Zariski density in linear Lie groups*, *Mathematische Zeitschrift*, vol. **232**, 1999, pp. 357-365.

**Received: April, 2012**