

Generalized Matrix Near Ring over Abstract Affine Near Ring

A. Y. Abdelwanis

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Cairo University, Giza, Egypt
ahmedyones2@yahoo.com

Abstract. Let A be an abstract affine near ring, M be a faithful near ring A -module and n be a positive integer. In this paper we define the $n \times n$ generalized matrix near ring over A using the faithful near ring A -module M which is denoted by $\text{Mat}_n(A, M)$. Also we find a necessary and sufficient condition for which $\text{Mat}_n(A, M)$ is an abstract affine near ring.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 16Y30

Keywords: Generalized Matrix Near Ring, abstract affine near ring

1. INTRODUCTION

The theory of near-rings is presented in [3]. We recall some concepts of this theory. Let $A = (A, +, \cdot)$ be an abstract affine near-ring (a.a.n.r for short), i.e. $(A, +)$ is an abelian group, (A, \cdot) is a semigroup, $(a + b) \cdot c = a \cdot c + b \cdot c$, for all $a, b, c \in A$, and $A_0 = A_d$, where $A_0 = \{a \in A : a \cdot 0 = 0\}$ is the zero symmetric part and $A_d = \{a \in A : a \cdot (x + y) = a \cdot x + a \cdot y, \text{ for all } x, y \in A\}$ is the distributive part. Let R be a ring and M a left R -module. By [3, Prop.9.81] there is exactly one way to extend the multiplication $\cdot : R \times M \rightarrow M$ to a multiplication " \circ " in $(A, +) = (R, +) \oplus (M, +)$ such that $(A, +, \circ)$ is a near-ring with $A_d = A_0 = R \oplus (0)$ and $A_c = (0) \oplus M$, namely $(r, m) \circ (s, n) = (r \cdot s, r \cdot n + m)$. Moreover, $A = (A, +, \circ)$ is an aanr and all aanr's arise in this way. This aanr will be denoted by $R * M$.

Conversely, for any aanr A , the zero symmetric part A_0 is a ring and the constant part $A_c = \{a \in A : a \cdot x = a, \text{ for all } x \in A\}$ is a left module over A_0 .

Let A be an abstract affine near ring, N be a faithful near ring A -module and n be a positive integer. In this paper we define the $n \times n$ generalized matrix near ring over A using the faithful near ring A -module N which is denoted by $\text{Mat}_n(A, M)$ as the direct sum of the two near rings $\text{Mat}_n(A_0, N)$

and A_c^n , where $\text{Mat}_n(A_0, N)$ is the generalized matrix near ring as in [2], A_c^n is the direct sum of n copies of A_c which is a near ring under component wise addition and multiplication.

It is clearly that the direct sum of two right near rings S, D which is denoted by $S \oplus D$ is a right near ring under component wise addition and multiplication. In the following \oplus means direct sum, this lemma is important in our work

Lemma 1.1

Let S_1 and D_1 are right zero symmetric near rings and they are isomorphic (i.e $S_1 \cong D_1$), S_2 and D_2 are right constant near rings and isomorphic (i.e $S_2 \cong D_2$), then $S = S_1 \oplus S_2, D = D_1 \oplus D_2$ are isomorphic (i.e $S \cong D$).

Proof

Let $f_1 : S_1 \rightarrow D_1, f_2 : S_2 \rightarrow D_2$ are isomorphisms. Define $f : S \rightarrow D$, by $f(s_1, s_2) = (f_1(s_1), f_2(s_2))$.

It is clearly that f is an isomorphism. ■

From [3,] if $A = R * M$, be an abstract affine near-ring and $n > 1$ be a natural number. Then $M_n(R * M) \simeq M_n(R) * {}^n M$.

In this paper we extend this to define the generalized matrix near ring $M_n(A, M)$ when A is an abstract affine near ring.

2. NOTATIONS AND DEFINITIONS

If A is a right near ring with identity, n be any positive integer. In 1986 J.D.P.Meldrum and A.P.J. Van der walt define Matrix near ring over A , $\text{Mat}_n(A)$, regards A as a left module over A . In [2] A denote a right, zero symmetric near ring with identity, M be a faithful left A -module, $(M, +)$ need not be a belian group and M^n is the direct sum of n copies of M , also a faithful left A -module.

Kirby.C.Smith in [2] define the $n \times n$ generalized matrix near ring over A using the faithful left A -module M as the subnear ring $M_n(A, M)$ of $M_0(M^n)$ generated by $f_{ij}^r, r \in R$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$, where the generalized $n \times n$ matrix near ring will be a function from M^n to M^n . Now we define special functions in $M_0(M^n)$ will be denoted by $f_{ij}^r, r \in A$ and $1 \leq i, j \leq n$

$$\begin{aligned} f_{ij}^r & : M^n \rightarrow M^n \text{ such that } r \in A \text{ and } 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \\ f_{ij}^r(a_1, \dots, a_k) & = (0, \dots, 0, ra_j, 0, \dots, 0) \text{ where } ra_j \text{ in the } i\text{-th position,} \\ (a_1, \dots, a_k) & \in M^n \text{ and } f_{ij}^r = l_i f^r \pi_j \text{ where } l_i : M \rightarrow M^n \text{ is} \end{aligned}$$

the i -th injection, $\pi_j : M^n \rightarrow M$ is the j -th projection and $f^r : M \rightarrow M$ such that $f^r(s) = rs \forall s \in M$,

So f_{ij}^r is the function from M^n to M^n that takes a n -tuple with entries from M , multiples the j -th entry a_j by r using the module action of R on M , puts the result ra_j into the i -th position and puts 0 in the other positions. We may sometimes write f_{ij}^r as $[r; i, j]$.

3. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 3.1

Let A be any abstract affine near ring, N be a faithful A -module then generalized matrix near ring with respect to A and N which is denoted by $\text{Mat}_n(A, N)$ is the direct sum of $\text{Mat}_n(A_0, N)$ and A_c^n i.e

$$M_n(A, N) = M_n(A_0, N) \oplus A_c^n$$

In the following $A = A_0 * A_c$ be any abstract affine near ring, N, M are faithful A -modules, n be a positive integer

Lemma 3.2

$$M_1(A, N) \cong A \cong M_1(A)$$

Proof

We have

$$M_1(A, N) = M_1(A_0, N) \oplus A_c$$

but also we have

$$M_1(A_0, N) \cong M_1(A_0) \cong A_0$$

and

$$A_c \cong M_1(A_c).$$

So by lemma 1.1

$$\begin{aligned} M_1(A, N) &= M_1(A_0, N) \oplus A_c \cong A_0 \oplus A_c = A \\ &\cong M_1(A_0) \oplus M_1(A_c) = M_1(A). \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 3.3

If $\theta : M \rightarrow N$ is an A -epimorphism, then θ induces a near ring epimorphism from $M_n(A; M)$ into $M_n(A; N)$. So if N is a homomorphic image of M , then the matrix near ring $M_n(A; N)$ is a homomorphic image of $M_n(A; M)$.

Proof

Since M, N are faithful A -modules so M, N are faithful A_0 -modules and so we have

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(A, M) &= M_n(A_0, M) \oplus A_c^n \\ M_n(A, N) &= M_n(A_0, N) \oplus A_c^n. \end{aligned}$$

But from [2, Theorem1] we have $M_n(A_0; N)$ is a homomorphic image of $M_n(A_0; M)$. So $M_n(A, M) = M_n(A_0, M) \oplus A_c^n$ is a homomorphic image of $M_n(A, N) = M_n(A_0, N) \oplus A_c^n$.

Corollary 3.4

Let M be a homomorphic image of ${}_A A$. Then $M_n(A; M)$ is isomorphic to $M_n(A; A)$ for all $n \geq 1$.

Proof

Since M is a faithful A -module which is a homomorphic image of ${}_A A$ so M

be a faithful A_0 -module which is a homomorphic image of ${}_A A_0$. Then from [2, Corollary 1]

$$M_n(A_0, M) \cong M_n(A_0, A_0)$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(A; M) &= M_n(A_0, M) \oplus A_c^n \\ &\cong M_n(A_0, A_0) \oplus A_c^n \text{ from lemma 1.1} \\ &\cong M_n(A_0) \oplus A_c^n \text{ from lemma 1.1} \\ &\cong M_n(A) \text{ from [3, Lemma 2.1]} \\ &= M_n(A; A) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 3.5

If M and N are isomorphic faithful A -modules, then

$$M_n(A; M) \cong M_n(A; N) \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Proof

Since M and N are isomorphic faithful A -modules, then M and N are isomorphic faithful A_0 -modules and so from [2, Corollary 2]

$$M_n(A_0; M) \cong M_n(A_0; N) \text{ for all } n \geq 1.$$

Now for all $n \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(A; M) &= M_n(A_0; M) \oplus A_c^n \\ &\cong M_n(A_0; N) \oplus A_c^n \text{ from lemma 1.1} \\ &= M_n(A_0; N) \oplus A_c^n \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.6

$$M_n(A_0, A) \cong M_n(A_0, A_0)$$

Proof

From [2, Corollary 1] since A is a module over A_0 under the usual multiplication and is a homomorphic image of the module A_0 over A_0 ■

Proposition 3.7

$$M_n(A, A) \cong M_n(A)$$

Proof

$$\begin{aligned} M_n(A, A) &= M_n(A_0, A) \oplus A_c^n \text{ from definition 3.1} \\ &\cong M_n(A_0, A_0) \oplus A_c^n \text{ from lemma 2.6, lemma 1.1} \\ &\cong M_n(A_0) \oplus A_c^n \text{ from [2, proposition 1] and lemma 1.1} \\ &\cong M_n(A) \text{ from [3, lemma 2.1]} \text{ .} \blacksquare \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 3.8

$$(M_n(A, M))_d \cong M_n(A_d, M)$$

Proof

Since A_d is embeded in A then $M_n(A_d, M)$ is embeded in $M_n(A, M)$ i.e

$$M_n(A_d, M) \hookrightarrow M_n(A, M)$$

so

$$(M_n(A_d, M))_d \hookrightarrow (M_n(A, M))_d \quad .$$

But $M_n(A_d, M)$ is distributive so $(M_n(A_d, M))_d = M_n(A_d, M)$ which implies that

$$M_n(A_d, M) \hookrightarrow (M_n(A, M))_d \quad .$$

But there is a one to one correspondence between the set of generators of $(Mat_n(A, M))_d$ and the set of generators of $Mat_n(A_d, M)$ because $(M_n(A, M))_d$ is embeded in the the zero-symmetric part of $M_n(A, M)$ and we have

$$(f_{ij}^r, 0) \in (M_n(A, M))_d \text{ iff } r \in A_d.$$

So

$$(Mat_n(A, M))_d \cong Mat_n(A_d, M). \blacksquare$$

We use lemma 3.8 to proof the following theorem which gives a necessary and sufficient condition for which $Mat_n(A, M)$ is an abstract affine near ring.

Theorem 3.9

$M_n(A_0 * A_c, N)$ is abstract affine near ring (a.a.n.r for short) iff N is a ring A_0 -module

Proof

(\Rightarrow) Let $M_n(A_0 * A_c, N)$ is an a.a.n.r then we have $M_n(A_0 * A_c, N)$ is abelian and so N is abelian (1). We show that

$$\forall r \in A_0, \forall l, m \in N \quad r(l + m) = rl + rm.$$

Since $M_n(A_0 * A_c, N)$ is an a.a.n.r then $(M_n(A_0 * A_c, N))_0 = (Mat_n(A_0 * A_c, N))_d$ and so we have if $r \in A_0, 1 \leq i, j, k, h \leq n$

$$(f_{ij}^r, 0)((f_{jk}^1, 0) + (f_{jh}^1, 0)) = (f_{ij}^r, 0)(f_{jk}^1, 0) + (f_{ij}^r, 0)(f_{jh}^1, 0)$$

so if $n_k = m, n_h = l \in N$ then

$$\begin{aligned} & [(f_{ij}^r, 0)((f_{jk}^1, 0) + (f_{jh}^1, 0))](0, \dots, m, 0, \dots, l, 0, \dots, 0) = \\ & [(f_{ij}^r, 0)(f_{jk}^1, 0) + (f_{ij}^r, 0)(f_{jh}^1, 0)](0, \dots, m, 0, \dots, l, 0, \dots, 0) \end{aligned}$$

so

$$(f_{ij}^r, 0)(0, \dots, m + l, 0, \dots, 0) = (f_{ij}^r, 0)(0, \dots, m, 0, \dots, 0) + (f_{ij}^r, 0)(0, \dots, l, 0, \dots, 0)$$

then

$$r(m + l) = rm + rl \quad (2)$$

so from (1),(2) we have N is a ring A_0 -module.

(\Leftarrow) Let N be a ring A_0 -module we have $M_n(A_0 * A_c, N)$ is the direct sum of $M_n(A_0 \oplus \{0\}, N), A_c^n$ i.e

$$M_n(A_0 * A_c, N) = M_n(A_0, N) \oplus A_c^n.$$

Since A_0, N are abelian so $M_n(A_0, N)$ is abelian also A_c^n is abelian since A_c is a belian then $M_n(A_0 * A_c, N)$ is abelian (1). Also from lemma 3.8 we have

$$\begin{aligned} (M_n(A_0 * A_c, N))_d &\cong M_n((A_0 * A_c)_d, N) \\ &= M_n(A_0, N) \quad .(2) \end{aligned}$$

$M_n(A_0 * A_c, N)$ is a.a.n.r. ■

REFERENCES

- [1] J.D.P. Meldrum and A.P.J. Van der Walt; Matrix near-rings. Arch.Math.47 (1986) 312-319.
- [2] Kirby .C.Smith. Generalized matrix near ring. Communication in algebra. 24(6), 2065-2077(1996)
- [3] G. Pilz, Near-Rings. Amasterdam: North-Holland (1977)
- [4] M. Zayed and N. Nour ElDean; On a Question of J.h.Meyer. Comm. Algebra,31(10)(2003) 5039-5046.

Received: December, 2011