

# More on Cartesian Products over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

Annie Varghese<sup>1</sup>

Department of Mathematics  
St. Peter's College, Kolencherry  
Kerala, India  
anniestpc@gmail.com

Sunny Kuriakose

Principal, BPC College, Piravom, Kerala, India

**Abstract.** In this paper we introduce two versions of Cartesian products over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets and study their properties.

**Keywords:** Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets, Cartesian product over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets

## 1 Introduction

Atanassov [1] has defined five versions of cartesian products of two Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets (IFSs) “ $\times_1$ ”, “ $\times_2$ ”, “ $\times_3$ ”, “ $\times_4$ ”, “ $\times_5$ ”. Also, Velin Andonov [2] introduced a sixth version “ $\times_6$ ” of cartesian product of two IFSs. In an earlier paper by the authors, three different types of Cartesian products “ $\times_7$ ”, “ $\times_8$ ” and “ $\times_9$ ” are introduced. In this paper we introduce two more versions of cartesian products “ $\times_{10}$ ” and “ $\times_{11}$ ” of two IFSs.

Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be two universes and let  $\mathcal{A} = \{\langle x, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \rangle | x \in E_1\}$  and  $\mathcal{B} = \{\langle y, \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y), \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) \rangle | y \in E_2\}$  be two IFSs:  $\mathcal{A}$  over  $E_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  over  $E_2$ .

**Definition 1.1.** The five cartesian products of two IFSs  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are defined

---

<sup>1</sup>Correspondence address: Manihottathil, S. Marady P.O., Muvattupuzha, Kerala 686 673, India.

as follows:

$$\mathcal{A} \times_7 \mathcal{B} = \{ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \min(1, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)), \max(0, \nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) - 1) \rangle \mid x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \times_8 \mathcal{B} = \{ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \max(0, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) - 1), \min(1, \nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)) \rangle \mid x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \times_9 \mathcal{B} = \{ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}, \sqrt{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)} \rangle \mid x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \times_{10} \mathcal{B} = \{ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}, \frac{2\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)} \rangle \mid x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \}$$

for which we will accept that

if  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) = 0$ , then  $\frac{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)} = 0$  and

if  $\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) = \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) = 0$ , then  $\frac{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)} = 0$ .

$$\mathcal{A} \times_{11} \mathcal{B} = \{ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{2(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) + 1)}, \frac{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{2(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) + 1)} \rangle \mid x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \}$$

**Result 1.1.** [4]  $\mathcal{A} \times_7 \mathcal{B}$ ,  $\mathcal{A} \times_8 \mathcal{B}$  and  $\mathcal{A} \times_9 \mathcal{B}$  are IFS over  $E_1 \times E_2$  where “ $\times$ ” is the classical cartesian product on ordinary sets  $E_1$  and  $E_2$ .

**Result 1.2.**  $\mathcal{A} \times_{10} \mathcal{B}$  is an IFS over  $E_1 \times E_2$ .

*Proof.* If  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) > 0$  and  $\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) > 0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)} + \frac{2\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)} \\ &\leq \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{2} + \frac{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{2} \\ &\leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

□

**Result 1.3.**  $\mathcal{A} \times_{11} \mathcal{B}$  is an IFS over  $E_1 \times E_2$ .

*Proof.* For four real numbers  $0 \leq a, b, c, d \leq 1$ ,  $a + c \leq ac + 1$ .

Then  $\frac{a + c}{2(ac + 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$

(If  $a + c > ac + 1$ , then  $a + c(1 - a) > 1$ , a contradiction). Similarly  $\frac{b + d}{2(bd + 1)} \leq \frac{1}{2}$ .

$$0 \leq \frac{a + c}{2(ac + 1)} + \frac{b + d}{2(bd + 1)} \leq 1.$$

From these inequations it follows that  $\mathcal{A} \times_{11} \mathcal{B}$  is an IFS

□

**Definition 1.2.** [1] If  $\mathcal{A}$  is the IFS  $\{ \langle x, \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}$ , then

$$\bar{\mathcal{A}} = \{ \langle x, \nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \rangle \mid x \in E \}.$$

## 2 Properties

**Proposition 2.1.** *Let  $E_1$  and  $E_2$  be two universes. If  $\mathcal{A}$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  are IFSs over  $E_1$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  is an IFS over  $E_2$ , then the following equalities hold*

$$(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}) \cup (\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}) \tag{1}$$

$$(\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{C} = (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}) \cap (\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}) \tag{2}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \times (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{A}) \cup (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}) \tag{3}$$

$$\mathcal{C} \times (\mathcal{A} \cap \mathcal{B}) = (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{A}) \cap (\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{B}) \tag{4}$$

where  $\times \in \{\times_9, \times_{10}\}$

*Proof.* We shall prove (1) for “ $\times_9$ ” and (1) for “ $\times_{10}$ ”.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B} &= \{ \langle x, \max(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)), \min(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)) \rangle \mid x \in E_1 \} \\ \mathcal{C} &= \{ \langle y, \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y), \nu_{\mathcal{C}}(y) \rangle \mid y \in E_2 \} \end{aligned}$$

*Proof* (1) for  $\times_9$ . Let  $\times$  be the cartesian product  $\times_9$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{C} &= \{ \langle x, y \rangle, \sqrt{\max(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x))\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}, \sqrt{\min(\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(x))\nu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} \rangle \\ &\quad \mid x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}) \cup (\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C}) &= \{ \langle \langle x, y \rangle, \max(\sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)}, \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)), \sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)}, \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y) \rangle, \\ &\quad \min(\sqrt{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)}, \nu_{\mathcal{C}}(y), \sqrt{\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)}, \nu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)) \rangle \\ &\quad \mid x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Assume that  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) > \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y) &> \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y) \\ \sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} &> \sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} \\ \mu_{(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{C}}(x, y) &= \sqrt{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} = \mu_{(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}) \cup (\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})}(x, y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we can prove that

$$\nu_{(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{C}}(x, y) = \nu_{(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}) \cup (\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})}.$$

The proof of (2) for  $\times_9$  is similar.

*Proof* (1) for  $\times_{10}$ . Let  $\times$  be the cartesian product  $\times_{10}$ .

Assume  $\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) > \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)$ .

$$\mu_{(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{B}) \times \mathcal{C}}(x, y) = \frac{2 \max(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x))\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}{\max(\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)) + \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} = \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \mu_{(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C})}(x, y) &= \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} \\ \mu_{(\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})}(x, y) &= \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} \\ \mu_{(\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}) \cup (\mathcal{B} \times \mathcal{C})}(x, y) &= \max \left( \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}, \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} \right) \\ &= \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)} \quad \text{since if } \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) > \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x), \\ &\qquad\qquad\qquad \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)} + \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}} > \frac{2}{\frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(x)} + \frac{1}{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)}} \end{aligned}$$

Similar is the case for non-membership grade also. The proof of (2) for  $\times_{10}$  is similar.

(3) and (4) are direct corollaries of (1) and (2) □

**Remark 2.1.**  $\mu_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}}(x, y) = \mu_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{A}}(y, x)$  and  $\nu_{\mathcal{A} \times \mathcal{C}}(x, y) = \nu_{\mathcal{C} \times \mathcal{A}}(y, x)$  where  $\times$  is any of  $\times_7, \times_8, \times_9, \times_{10}, \times_{11}$ .

*Proof.*

$$\mu_{\mathcal{C} \times_{11} \mathcal{A}}(y, x) = \frac{\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y) + \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)}{2(\mu_{\mathcal{C}}(y)\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + 1)} = \mu_{\mathcal{A} \times_{11} \mathcal{C}}(x, y)$$

Similar is the case for non membership grade also. Similar result holds for  $\times_7, \times_8, \times_9$  and  $\times_{10}$ . □

**Proposition 2.2.** [4] *If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an IFS over  $E_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is an IFS over  $E_2$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A} \times_7 \mathcal{B}}} &= \mathcal{A} \times_8 \mathcal{B} \\ \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A} \times_8 \mathcal{B}}} &= \mathcal{A} \times_7 \mathcal{B} \\ \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A} \times_9 \mathcal{B}}} &= \mathcal{A} \times_9 \mathcal{B} \end{aligned}$$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Formulas similar to DeMorgan’s law hold for the cartesian products  $\times_{10}$  and  $\times_{11}$ . If  $\mathcal{A}$  is an IFS over  $E_1$  and  $\mathcal{B}$  is an IFS over  $E_2$ , then*

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A} \times_{10} \mathcal{B}}} &= \mathcal{A} \times_{10} \mathcal{B} \\ \overline{\overline{\mathcal{A} \times_{11} \mathcal{B}}} &= \mathcal{A} \times_{11} \mathcal{B} \end{aligned}$$

*Therefore, the cartesian products  $\times_{10}$  and  $\times_{11}$  are autodual.*

*Proof.*

$$\begin{aligned} \bar{\mathcal{A}} &= \{ \langle x, \nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x), \mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) \rangle | x \in E_1 \} \\ \bar{\mathcal{B}} &= \{ \langle y, \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y), \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y) \rangle | y \in E_2 \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{\mathcal{A} \times_{10} \mathcal{B}} &= \left\{ \left\langle \langle x, y \rangle, \frac{2\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\mu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \mu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}, \frac{2\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x)\nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)}{\nu_{\mathcal{A}}(x) + \nu_{\mathcal{B}}(y)} \right\rangle \right. \\ &\quad \left. | x \in E_1, y \in E_2 \right\} \\ &= \mathcal{A} \times_{10} \mathcal{B}. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly the other result can be proved.  $\square$

### 3 Conclusion

In this paper we have introduced two versions of cartesian products of two IFSs and we have proved some of their properties. We have proved some properties of other versions of cartesian products also.

### References

- [1] K. Atanassov, *Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Physica-Verlag, Heidelberg, Chapter 1 (1999).
- [2] Velin Andonov, “On some properties of one Cartesian product over Intuitionistic fuzzy sets”, *Notes on Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets*, Vol. 14 (2008), No.1, 12–19.
- [3] K. Atanassov, “Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets”, *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, Vol. 20(1986), No. 1, 87–96.
- [4] Annie Varghese, Sunny Kuriakose, “Cartesian products over Intuitionistic Fuzzy Sets”, Accepted for publication in ‘International Journal of Fuzzy Mathematics and Systems’.

**Received: October, 2011**