

# Almost Normality and Non $\pi$ -Normality of the Rational Sequence Topological Space

Sadeq Ali Saad Thabit<sup>1</sup> and Hailiza Kamarulhaili<sup>2</sup>

School of Mathematical Sciences, University Sains Malaysia  
11800 USM, Penang, Malaysia

## Abstract

The Rational Sequence Topology is one of the famous topological spaces, which is a Tychonoff and not normal. In this paper, we show that the Rational Sequence Topology is an almost normal but not a  $\pi$ -normal space.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 54D15; 54B10; 54D70; 54D10

**Keywords:** closed domain,  $\pi$ -closed, normal,  $\pi$ -normal, quasi-normal, almost normal and semi-normal

## 1 Introduction and Preliminary

Throughout this paper, a space  $X$  always means a topological space on which no separation axioms are assumed, unless explicitly stated. We will denote an ordered pair by  $\langle x, y \rangle$ , the set of positive integers by  $\mathbb{N}$ , the power set of  $A$  by  $\mathcal{P}(A)$  and the set of real numbers by  $\mathbb{R}$ . For a subset  $A$  of a space  $X$ ,  $\overline{A}$ ,  $\text{int}(A)$  and  $X \setminus A$  denote to the closure, the interior and the complement of  $A$  in  $X$ , respectively.

Now, we need to recall the following definitions.

**Definition 1.1** *A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called a closed domain (resp. an open domain) if  $A = \overline{\text{int}(A)}$  (resp.  $A = \text{int}(\overline{A})$ ), [5].*

**Definition 1.2** *A subset  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called a  $\pi$ -closed (resp.  $\pi$ -open) if it is a finite intersection of closed domain subsets (resp. a finite union of open domain subsets), [11].*

---

<sup>1</sup>Corresponding author's e-mail: sthabit1975@gmail.com

<sup>2</sup>e-mail: hailiza@cs.usm.my

**Definition 1.3** Two sets  $A$  and  $B$  of a space  $X$  are said to be separated if there exist disjoint open sets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ , see [1, 2, 6].

**Definition 1.4** A space  $X$  is called a first countable if every point  $x \in X$  has a countable local base  $\mathcal{B} = \{B_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , see [2, 6].

**Definition 1.5** A set  $A$  of a space  $X$  is called a  $G_\delta$ -set of  $X$  if it is a countable intersection of open subsets of  $X$ , see [2].

**Definition 1.6** A topological space  $X$  is called a mildly normal, [8], (resp. quasi-normal, [11]) if any two disjoint closed domain (resp.  $\pi$ -closed) subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$  can be separated.

**Definition 1.7** A space  $X$  is called an almost normal, [9], (resp. a  $\pi$ -normal, [4]) if any disjoint closed subsets  $A$  and  $B$  of  $X$ , one of which is closed domain (resp.  $\pi$ -closed), can be separated.

**Definition 1.8** A space  $X$  is said to be a semi-normal if for any closed set  $A$  and every open set  $B$  with  $A \subseteq B$ , there exists an open set  $U$  such that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \text{int}(\overline{U}) \subseteq B$ , see [9].

Clearly that:

$$\text{normal} \implies \pi\text{-normal} \implies \text{almost normal} \implies \text{mildly normal}$$

$$\text{normal} \implies \pi\text{-normal} \implies \text{quasi-normal} \implies \text{mildly normal}$$

Non of the above implications is reversible.

One of the problems that introduced by Kalantan in 2008, see [4], was “Is there a Tychonoff space, which is an almost normal and not a  $\pi$ -normal?” We presented some characterizations and properties on  $\pi$ -normality in [7]. In this paper, we show that the Rational Sequence topology, which is a Tychonoff space, is an almost normal and not a  $\pi$ -normal. First, we need to recall the following definitions and theorems which are in [3]: Two sets  $A$  and  $B$  are said to be *equipotent* and write  $A \sim B$ , if there exists a one-to-one function  $f$  from  $A$  onto  $B$ . If  $A$  and  $B$  be two sets, then we write  $|A| \leq |B|$  and say that the cardinality of  $A$  is less than or equal to the cardinality of  $B$ , if there exist a one-to-one function  $f : A \rightarrow B$ . If  $A$  be any set, then  $|A| < |\mathcal{P}(A)|$ , (Cantor Theorem). If  $X$  is a separable space and has an uncountable closed relatively discrete subset  $C$ , then  $X$  is not normal, (Jones’ Lemma), see [1, 2, 6].

## 2 Main Results

First, we recall the definition of the Rational Sequence topology:

**Definition 2.1** Let  $X = \mathbb{R}$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ , where  $\mathbb{P}$  is the irrational numbers, fix a sequence  $\{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{Q}$ , such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$ , where the convergency is taken in  $(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{U})$ ,  $\mathbb{R}$  with its usual topology. Let  $A_n(x)$  denote the  $n^{\text{th}}$ -tail of the sequence, where  $A_n(x) = \{x_j : j \geq n\}$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(x) = \{U_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , where  $U_n(x) = A_n(x) \cup \{x\}$ . For each  $x \in \mathbb{Q}$ , let  $\mathcal{B}(x) = \{\{x\}\}$ . Then  $\{\mathcal{B}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  is a neighborhood system. The unique topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  generated by  $\{\mathcal{B}(x)\}_{x \in \mathbb{R}}$  is called the Rational Sequence topology on  $\mathbb{R}$  and denoted by  $\mathcal{RS}$ .

In this space, we observe that  $X$  is a Tychonoff, first countable, not normal and separable. Any singleton  $\{x\}$  is  $\pi$ -closed.  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an open dense subset of  $X$ . Also, any subset of  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an open subset of  $X$ .  $\mathbb{P}$  is an uncountable closed discrete subspace of  $X$ . For more information about this space, see [10].

Now, we prove the following result.

**Proposition 2.2** *The Rational sequence topology is an almost normal.*

**Proof:** Let  $A$  and  $B$  be any two disjoint closed sets in  $X$  such that  $A$  is closed domain. Since  $A$  is a closed domain, then  $A = \overline{\text{int}(A)}$ . So,  $A$  can not be in  $\mathbb{P}$  (i.e  $A \not\subseteq \mathbb{P}$ ). In fact, if  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ , then  $\overline{\text{int}(A)} = \emptyset \neq A$ . Therefore, there are two cases about  $A$ , which are  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  or  $A \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap \mathbb{P}$ . For each case about  $A$ , there are three subcases about  $B$ , which are  $B \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$  or  $B \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  or  $B \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset \neq B \cap \mathbb{P}$ . Now, we show that  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated for each case.

**Case 1.** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ .

**Subcase a1.** Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ .

Then,  $A$  and  $B$  are disjoint clopen (closed and open) subsets. Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated.

**Subcase a2.** Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ .

Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$  and  $A$  is clopen. Then for each  $x \in B$ , we have  $x \notin A$ . By regularity of  $X$ , there exists an open set  $U_x$  such that  $x \in U_x$  and  $U_x \cap A = \emptyset$ . Thus,  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in B} U_x$ . Take  $U = \bigcup_{x \in B} U_x$ , which is an open set in  $X$  such that  $B \subseteq U$  and  $U \cap A = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated.

**Subcase a3.** Let  $B \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset \neq B \cap \mathbb{P}$ .

Then,  $B \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is an open and  $B \cap \mathbb{P}$  is a closed. Thus, we have  $A$  and  $B \cap \mathbb{Q}$  are disjoint open subsets. Put  $U_1 = A$  and  $V_1 = B \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . So, we can write

$$A \subseteq U_1, \quad B \cap \mathbb{Q} \subseteq V_1 \quad \text{and} \quad U_1 \cap V_1 = \emptyset \tag{1}$$

Since  $A \cap (B \cap \mathbb{P}) = \emptyset$  and  $A$  is clopen, then by Subcase a2., there is an open set  $V_2$  such that

$$B \cap \mathbb{P} \subseteq V_2 \text{ and } A \cap V_2 = \emptyset \quad (2)$$

From (1) and (2), we have

$$B \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2 \text{ and } A \cap (V_1 \cup V_2) = \emptyset$$

Now, put  $U = A$  and  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ . Then,  $U$  and  $V$  are open sets of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $B \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated.

**Case 2.** Suppose  $A \cap \mathbb{Q} \neq \emptyset \neq A \cap \mathbb{P}$ .

**Subcase b1.** Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ .

Then, the open set  $A \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is disjoint from the clopen set  $B$ . Thus, they can be separated by putting  $U_1 = A \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and  $V_1 = B$ . So, we can write

$$A \cap \mathbb{Q} \subseteq U_1, \quad B \subseteq V_1 \text{ and } U_1 \cap V_1 = \emptyset \quad (3)$$

Also, the closed set  $A \cap \mathbb{P}$  is disjoint from the clopen set  $B$ . Then by subcase a2., there exists an open set  $U_2$  such that

$$A \cap \mathbb{P} \subseteq U_2 \text{ and } U_2 \cap B = \emptyset \quad (4)$$

From (3) and (4), we have

$$A \subseteq U_1 \cup U_2 \text{ and } B \cap (U_1 \cup U_2) = \emptyset$$

Put  $U = U_1 \cup U_2$  and  $V = B$ . Thus, there exist open sets  $U$  and  $V$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$ ,  $B \subseteq V$  and  $U \cap V = \emptyset$ . Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated.

**Subcase b2.** Let  $B \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ .

Then,  $(A \cap \mathbb{Q}) \cap B = \emptyset$ , where  $A \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is open. Since  $A$  is closed domain and  $\mathbb{Q}$  is an open dense subset of  $X$ , then we have  $\overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}} = A$ . Now, for each  $x \in B$ , we have  $x \notin A = \overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ . Therefore, for each  $x \in B$ , there exists a basic open neighborhood  $V_x$  of  $x$  such that  $V_x \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ . Now, we have  $B \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in B} V_x$ . Let  $V = \bigcup_{x \in B} V_x$ . Then,  $V$  is an open set of  $X$  such that  $B \subseteq V$  and  $V \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ . Since  $A \cap \mathbb{Q}$  is an open, then we have  $\overline{V} \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$  and  $V \cap \overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}} = \emptyset$ . Therefore, there exists an open set  $V$  of  $X$  such that

$$B \subseteq V, \quad \overline{V} \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset \text{ and } V \cap A = \emptyset \quad (5)$$

**Claim:**  $A \cap \overline{V} = \emptyset$ .

Suppose that  $\overline{V} \cap A \neq \emptyset$ . Then, there exists an element  $y \in X$  such that  $y \in \overline{V}$  and  $y \in A$ . By (5), we have  $y \notin A \cap \mathbb{Q}$  and  $y \notin V$ . Now, since  $y \in A = \overline{A \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ , then for each basic open neighborhood  $U_y$  of  $y$  we have

$$U_y \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset \quad (6)$$

Since  $X$  is a first countable, see [10], and  $y \in \bar{V} = \overline{V \cap \mathbb{Q}}$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of points of  $V \cap \mathbb{Q} \subseteq V$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Let  $D_y = \{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \cup \{y\}$ . Then,  $D_y$  is an open neighborhood of  $y$ . By (6), we have  $D_y \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $y \notin A \cap \mathbb{Q}$ , then there exists an element  $y_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $y_m \in A \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . But  $y_m \in V$ . Hence  $V \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) \neq \emptyset$ , which is a contradiction as by (5),  $V \cap (A \cap \mathbb{Q}) = \emptyset$ . Therefore,  $A \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ . Now,  $A \cap \bar{V} = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $A \subseteq X \setminus \bar{V}$ . Put  $U = X \setminus \bar{V}$ . Then,  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint open sets of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ . Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated.

**Subcase b3.** Let  $B \cap \mathbb{P} \neq \emptyset \neq B \cap \mathbb{Q}$ .

Since  $A \cap B = \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap (B \cap \mathbb{P}) = \emptyset$ , where  $B \cap \mathbb{P}$  is closed set in  $X$ . Then by Subcase b2, there exist open sets  $U_1$  and  $V_1$  such that

$$A \subseteq U_1, \quad B \cap \mathbb{P} \subseteq V_1 \quad \text{and} \quad U_1 \cap V_1 = \emptyset \tag{7}$$

Also, Let  $V_2 = B \cap \mathbb{Q}$ . Then,  $V_2$  is an open set of  $X$  such that  $A \cap V_2 = \emptyset$  and  $A \cap \bar{V}_2 = \emptyset$ . This implies that  $A \subseteq X \setminus \bar{V}_2$ . Now,  $A \subseteq U_1 \cap X \setminus \bar{V}_2$  and  $B \subseteq V_1 \cup V_2$ . Put  $U = U_1 \cap X \setminus \bar{V}_2$  and  $V = V_1 \cup V_2$ . Then,  $U$  and  $V$  are disjoint open sets of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U$  and  $B \subseteq V$ . Hence,  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated. For each case, we have shown that  $A$  and  $B$  can be separated. Therefore,  $X$  is almost normal space.

In view of the facts that every almost normal, semi-normal space is normal and that the Rational Sequence topology is not normal, we have the following corollaries.

**Corollary 2.3** *The Rational Sequence topology is not semi-normal.*

**Corollary 2.4** *Every  $\pi$ -normal, semi-normal space is normal.*

Observe that the Rational Sequence topology is an example of an almost normal, Tychonoff space but not semi-normal.

Now, we show that the Rational Sequence topology is not quasi-normal. First, we give the following lemmas.

**Lemma 2.5** *In the Rational Sequence topology, every closed subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.*

**Proof:** Let  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  and let  $x \in A$ . Since  $X$  is a first countable and  $T_1$ -space, then  $\{x\}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set of  $X$ , see [2]. Therefore,  $\{x\}$  has a decreasing sequence  $\{U_n(x) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open sets of  $X$  such that  $\{x\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n(x)$ . So for each  $n$ ,  $x \in U_n(x)$  and  $A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} U_n(x)$ . Therefore,  $A = \bigcup_{x \in A} (\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n(x)) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (\bigcup_{x \in A} U_n(x))$ . Put  $U_n(A) = \bigcup_{x \in A} U_n(x)$ . Then  $\{U_n(A) : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is a decreasing sequence of open sets of  $X$  such that  $A = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n(A)$ . Hence,  $A$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set.

**Lemma 2.6** *In the Rational Sequence topology, the set  $\mathbb{P}$  is a  $\pi$ -closed subset of  $X$ .*

**Proof:** By the Lemma 2.5, we have  $\mathbb{P}$  is a  $G_\delta$ -set of  $X$ . Then, there exists a decreasing sequence  $\{U_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of open sets of  $X$  such that

$$\mathbb{P} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{U_n}$$

First, we show that  $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{U_n} \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ . For that, let  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{U_n}$ . This implies that  $y \in \overline{U_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then either  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$  or  $y \in \mathbb{P}$ . If  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ , then  $\{y\}$  is a basic open neighborhood of  $y$  and so  $\{y\} \cap U_n \neq \emptyset$ , for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then,  $y \in U_n$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore,  $y \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \mathbb{P}$ . So  $y \in \mathbb{P}$ , which is a contradiction as  $y \in \mathbb{Q}$ . Hence,  $y \notin \mathbb{Q}$  and therefore  $y \in \mathbb{P}$ . Since  $y$  was arbitrary, then we have

$$\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{U_n} \subseteq \mathbb{P} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$$

Therefore, we have  $\mathbb{P} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{U_n}$ .

Now, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $A_n = U_{4n-3} \setminus \overline{U_{4n-2}}$  and  $B_n = U_{4n-1} \setminus \overline{U_{4n}}$ . Then  $A_n$  and  $B_n$  are disjoint open sets of  $X$  for each  $n$ . Furthermore,  $\overline{A_n} \cap \overline{B_n} = \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now, let  $A = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n$  and  $B = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n$ . Then,  $A$  and  $B$  are open sets of  $X$  such that

$$\overline{A} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n} = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{A_n} \right) \cup \mathbb{P} = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} A_n \right) \cup \mathbb{P}$$

and

$$\overline{B} = \overline{\bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n} = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{B_n} \right) \cup \mathbb{P} = \left( \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} B_n \right) \cup \mathbb{P}$$

Then,  $\overline{A}$  and  $\overline{B}$  are closed domain sets of  $X$  and  $\overline{A} \cap \overline{B} = \mathbb{P}$ . Hence,  $\mathbb{P}$  is  $\pi$ -closed. Therefore,  $\mathbb{P}$  is uncountable  $\pi$ -closed discrete subspace of  $X$ .

**Lemma 2.7** *In the Rational sequence topology, for any closed subset  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A = \overline{U} \cap \mathbb{P}$ .*

**Proof:** Let  $A$  be any non-empty closed subset of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ . Then, for each  $x \in A$ , we have  $x \in \mathbb{P}$ . Thus, there exists a sequence  $A(x) = \{x_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  for each  $x \in A$ . Suppose  $U(x) = A(x) \cup \{x\}$  be a basic open neighborhood of  $x$ . Then, we have

$$A \subseteq \bigcup_{x \in A} U(x) = \left( \bigcup_{x \in A} A(x) \right) \cup A$$

Now, let  $U = \bigcup_{x \in A} U(x)$  and  $W = \bigcup_{x \in A} A(x)$ . Then,  $U$  and  $W$  are open sets of  $X$  such that  $W \subseteq \mathbb{Q}$ ,  $A \subseteq \overline{W}$  and  $U = W \cup A$ . Clearly that  $A \subseteq U \subseteq \overline{U}$ . Therefore, we have

$$A \subseteq \overline{U} \cap \mathbb{P}$$

Now, we need to show that  $\overline{U} \cap \mathbb{P} \subseteq A$ .

Let  $y \in \overline{U} \cap \mathbb{P}$ . Then  $y \in \overline{U}$  and  $y \in \mathbb{P}$ . Since  $y \in \mathbb{P}$ , then there exists a sequence  $\{y_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  such that  $y_n \rightarrow y$ . Now, for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $V_n = \{y_m : m \geq n\} \cup \{y\}$ . Then,  $V_n$  is a basic open neighborhood of  $y$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Thus,  $V_n$  is clopen for each  $n$ , see [10]. We observe that  $V_1 \supseteq V_2 \supseteq V_3 \supseteq \dots \supseteq V_n \supseteq \dots$ . Also,  $\{y\} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{V_n}$ . Since  $y \in \overline{U}$ , then we have  $V_n \cap U \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

**Claim:**  $y \in U$ .

Suppose that  $y \notin U$ , then  $y \notin W$  and  $y \notin A$ . Since  $y \notin A$ ,  $V_n \setminus \{y\} \cap U \neq \emptyset$ ,  $V_n \setminus \{y\} \subset \mathbb{Q}$  and  $U = W \cup A$ , then we have  $V_n \cap W \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Therefore, there is an element  $z \in W$  such that  $z \in V_n = \overline{V_n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . This implies that  $z \in \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \overline{V_n} = \{y\}$ . Hence  $z = y$ . Therefore,  $y \in W$ , which is a contradiction as  $y \notin W$ . Therefore,  $y \in U$ .

Now, since  $y \in U$ ,  $U = W \cup A$  and  $y \notin W$ , then we have  $y \in A$ . Since  $y$  was arbitrary, then we have  $\overline{U} \cap \mathbb{P} \subseteq A$ . Therefore,  $A = \overline{U} \cap \mathbb{P}$ . Hence for any closed set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$ , there exists an open set  $U$  of  $X$  such that  $A = \overline{U} \cap \mathbb{P}$ .

Since  $\mathbb{P}$  and  $\overline{U}$  are  $\pi$ -closed and the intersection of two  $\pi$ -closed sets is  $\pi$ -closed, then we have the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.8** *In the Rational sequence topology, any closed set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{P}$  is  $\pi$ -closed subset of  $X$ .*

The following result is analogous to the Jones' Lemma for normal spaces, see [2, 6].

**Theorem 2.9** *If  $X$  is an infinite, separable space with a dense subset  $D$  and has an uncountable closed relatively discrete subset  $C$  such that  $|\mathcal{P}(D)| \leq |C|$  and every subset of  $C$  is  $\pi$ -closed subset of  $X$ , then  $X$  is not quasi-normal.*

**Proof:** We need to show that  $X$  is not quasi-normal.

For that, suppose  $X$  is quasi-normal. For each  $A \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ , where  $A \neq \emptyset$  and by the Corollary 2.8, we have  $A$  and  $C \setminus A$  are  $\pi$ -closed subsets and  $A \cap C \setminus A = \emptyset$ . Since  $X$  is quasi-normal, then there exist two disjoint open subsets  $U_A$  and  $V_A$  of  $X$  such that  $A \subseteq U_A$  and  $C \setminus A \subseteq V_A$ . Let  $D_A = U_A \cap D$ , then  $D_A \neq \emptyset$ . Now, suppose that  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(C)$  and  $A \neq B$ . We may assume that  $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $U_A$  and  $V_A$  are two disjoint open sets of  $X$  such that

$$A \subseteq U_A, \quad C \setminus A \subseteq V_A$$

and let  $U_B$  and  $V_B$  are two disjoint open sets of  $X$  such that

$$B \subseteq U_B, \quad C \setminus B \subseteq V_B$$

Since  $A \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ , then  $A \cap C \setminus B \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, we have  $U_A \cap V_B \neq \emptyset$ , which is an open set of  $X$ . Since  $D$  is dense, then  $U_A \cap V_B \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Now, we have

$$U_A \cap V_B \cap D \subseteq U_A \cap D = D_A$$

and

$$U_A \cap V_B \cap D \not\subseteq U_B \cap D = D_B$$

Therefore,  $D_A \neq D_B$ . Thus, for any two distinct subsets  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(C)$ , there exist two distinct subsets  $D_A$  and  $D_B$  of  $D$ . Then, we have

$$|\mathcal{P}(C)| \leq |\mathcal{P}(D)| \tag{8}$$

Since  $C \not\approx \mathcal{P}(C)$  (i.e.  $|C| < |\mathcal{P}(C)|$ ), then by (8), we have  $C \not\approx \mathcal{P}(D)$  and  $|C| < |\mathcal{P}(D)|$ , which is not the case  $|\mathcal{P}(D)| \leq |C|$ . Hence,  $X$  is not quasi-normal.

The Rational sequence topology satisfies the conditions of the Theorem 2.9 and since every  $\pi$ -normal space is quasi-normal, we have the following corollaries:

**Corollary 2.10** *The Rational sequence topology is not quasi-normal.*

**Corollary 2.11** *The Rational sequence topology is not  $\pi$ -normal.*

We have observed that the Rational sequence topology is an example of a Tychonoff space which is an almost normal but not a  $\pi$ -normal.

### 3 Conclusion

We proved that the Rational Sequence topology is an almost normal but not a  $\pi$ -normal. We observed that it is not semi-normal and not quasi-normal. So, this space is an example of a Tychonoff space which is an almost normal but not a  $\pi$ -normal (resp. not a quasi-normal). Also, we presented some properties about it.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS.** The authors would like to thank University Sains Malaysia, Research University Grant and USM Fellowship for funding and supporting this research.



## References

- [1] J. Dugundji, *Topology*, Allyn and Bacon, Inc, 1966.
- [2] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, (PWN, Warszawa, 1977).
- [3] W. Just and M. Weese, *Discovering Modern Set Theory I*, *American Mathematical Society*, Graduate Studies in Mathematics, Volume **8**, 1995.
- [4] L. Kalantan,  $\pi$ -normal topological spaces, *Filomat*, volume **22-1** (2008), pp. 173-181.
- [5] C. Kuratowski, *Topology I*, 4th. ed., in French, *Hafner*, New York, 1958.
- [6] C. Patty, *foundation of topology*, *PWS-KENT Publishing Company*, Boston, 1993.
- [7] Sadeq Ali Saad Thabit and Hailiza Kamarulhaili, On  $\pi$ -Normality, Weak Regularity and the Product of Topological Spaces, *European Journal of Scientific Research*, ISSN 1450-216X, Vol.**51**, No.**1** (2011), pp. 29-39.
- [8] M. K. Singal and A. R. Singal, Mildly normal spaces, *Kyungpook Math. J.*, volume **13-1** (1973), pp 27-31.
- [9] M. K. Singal and S. Arya, Almost normal and Almost completely regular spaces, *Glasnik Mate.*, vol. **5(25)** (1970), pp 141-152.
- [10] Lynn A. Steen and J. Arthur Seebach, *Counterexamples in Topology*, *Dover Publications, INC*, New York, 1970.
- [11] V. Zaitsev, On certain classes of topological spaces and their bicompaifications, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, **178**(1968), 778-779.

**Received: October, 2011**