

On Minimal Non PT-Groups

M. M. Al-Mosa Al-Shomrani

Department of Mathematics
King Abdulaziz University, Jeddah
P. O. Box 80257, Jeddah 21589, Saudi Arabia
malshomrani@hotmail.com

Abstract

A finite group G is said to be a PT-group if every subnormal subgroup of G is permutable in G . In this paper, we determine the structure of a non PT-group all of its proper subgroups are PT-groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 20D10, Secondary 20D20

Keywords: Finite groups, subnormality, permutability

1 Introduction

All groups considered in this paper will be finite. A group G is said to be a T-group if every subnormal subgroup of G is normal in G . Such groups were introduced by Gaschütz [6]. A subgroup H of a group G is said to be permutable (or quasinormal) in G if it permutes with every subgroup of G . A group G is said to be a PT-group if every subnormal subgroup of G is permutable in G . Solvable PT-groups were studied and classified by Zacher [11]. Clearly, every T-group is a PT-group. The converse is not true. For example, any non-dedekind modular p -group is a PT-group but not a T-group. Following Beidleman et al. [4], a group G satisfies the property X_p , where p is a prime, if and only if each subgroup of a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is permutable in $N_G(P)$. They showed that G is a solvable PT-group if and only if it satisfies X_p for all primes p . A subgroup H of G is said to be S-permutable in G if it permutes with every Sylow subgroup of G . A group G is said to be a PST-group if every subnormal subgroup of G is S-permutable in G . The structure of solvable PST-groups was determined by Agrawal [1]; see also Asaad and Csörgö [3].

If \mathcal{P} is a property of groups, a group G is said to be a minimal non \mathcal{P} -group if G does not have \mathcal{P} but all its proper subgroups do. Minimal nonnilpotent groups have been studied in detail by Schmidt, Iwasawa and Golfand; Redei

gave the complete classification of such groups (see [8, Satz 5.2, p. 281]). Also, Doerk [5] determined the structure of minimal non-supersolvable groups (non supersolvable groups all of its proper subgroups are supersolvable). Our object here is to determine the structure of minimal non PT-groups (non PT-groups all of its proper subgroups are PT-groups).

Our notation is standard and taken mainly from [9]. In addition, $|\pi(G)|$ will denote the number of distinct prime divisors of $|G|$.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we collect some of the results that will be used later.

Lemma 2.1 *A group G satisfies X_p if and only if a Sylow p -subgroup P of G is modular and every normal subgroup of P is pronormal in G .*

Proof. See [4, Theorem D].

Lemma 2.2 *Let G be a group satisfies X_p and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Then either G is p -nilpotent or P is abelian.*

Proof. See the proof of theorem B of [4].

Lemma 2.3 *If H is a normal Hall subgroup of a group G such that G/H is a PT-group and all subnormal subgroups of H are normal in G , then G is a PT-group.*

Proof. See [4, Lemma 1].

Lemma 2.4 *A group G is a solvable PT-group if and only if it satisfies X_p for all primes p .*

Proof. See [4, Theorem A].

Lemma 2.5 *Let M be a normal p' -subgroup of G . Then G satisfies X_p if and only if G/M satisfies X_p .*

Proof. See [2, Lemma 4.1].

Lemma 2.6 *Let G be a solvable PT-group. Then*

- (i) *Factor groups of G are solvable PT-groups.*
- (ii) *G is supersolvable.*

Proof.

(i) Let $H \leq K \leq G$ and $H \triangleleft G$. Suppose that K/H is a subnormal subgroup of G/H . Obviously, K is subnormal in G and then K is permutable in G . Hence $KL = LK$ for every subgroup L of G and so $(K/H)(LH/H) = (LH/H)(K/H)$ for every subgroup LH/H of G/H . Thus K/H is permutable in G/H as desired.

(ii) We shall distinguish two possible situations depending on $Z(G) = 1$ or not. If $Z(G) \neq 1$, then $G/Z(G)$ is a solvable PT-group by (i). Thus $G/Z(G)$ is supersolvable by induction on $|G|$ and so G is supersolvable.

On the other hand if $Z(G) = 1$, then G is a solvable T-group by [4, Corollary 1(4)] and so G is supersolvable, an observation due to Gaschütz [6]. ■

The converse of statement of Lemma 2.6 (ii) is not true. This can be easily seen by examining of the dihedral group of order 8.

Lemma 2.7 *A group G is a subgroup closed PT-group if and only if G is a solvable PT-group.*

Proof. See Zacher [11], and the rest follows from the fact that a group whose maximal subgroups are supersolvable is solvable. ■

3 Main results

We need the following lemmas:

Lemma 3.1 *If a group G possesses three solvable PT-subgroups whose indices are pairwise relatively prime, then G is a solvable PT-group.*

Proof. We prove the result by induction on $|G|$. It is known that G is solvable [9, Exercise 9.1.9]. Let $H_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, be the three given subgroups of G . If $H_1 = 1$, then $|G : H_1| = |G|$. Then $|G : H_2|$ must be relatively prime to $|G|$, which is possible only if $H_2 = G$, whence G is a solvable PT-group in this case. Hence we may assume that $H_i \neq 1, 1 \leq i \leq 3$. Let $M_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ be three maximal subgroups of G such that $H_i \leq M_i$. Then $M_1 = H_1(H_2 \cap M_1)$ and $M_1 = H_1(H_3 \cap M_1)$. Thus M_1 possesses three solvable PT-subgroups $H_1, H_2 \cap M_1, H_3 \cap M_1$ whose indices are pairwise relatively prime. Then M_1 is a solvable PT-group by induction on $|G|$. Similarly, M_2 and M_3 are solvable PT-groups. Let N be an arbitrary maximal subgroup of G . Hence if N is a conjugate to M_i for some $i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$, N is a solvable PT-group. Thus we may assume that N is not conjugate to $M_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$. Then $G = NM_i, 1 \leq i \leq 3$ and so $|G : M_i| = |N : N \cap M_i|, 1 \leq i \leq 3$. Now, we conclude that N possesses three solvable PT-subgroups $(N \cap M_i), 1 \leq i \leq 3$

whose indices are pairwise relatively prime. Hence N is a solvable PT-group by induction on $|G|$. Since N is an arbitrary maximal subgroup of G , it follows that all maximal subgroups of G are solvable PT-groups. Hence, all proper subgroups of G are solvable PT-groups.

If G is a PT-group, we are ready. Thus we assume that G is not a PT-group. If G is a solvable PST-group, then G is supersolvable by [3, Lemma 1(ii)] and so there exists a normal Sylow p -subgroup P for some prime p in $\pi(G)$. Also, if we assume that G is not a PST-group and since all proper subgroups of G are PT-groups, whence all proper subgroups are PST-group, it follows by [3, Corollary 5] that there exists a normal Sylow p -subgroup P for some prime p in $\pi(G)$. By Schur- Zassenhause's Theorem, $G = PK$ where K is a p' -Hall subgroup of G . We argue that G satisfies X_p for all primes p . Let L be a normal subgroup of P . Since $|\pi(G)| \geq 3$, we have that PQ is a PT-group where Q is a Sylow q -subgroup of G , $q \neq p$. Since L is subnormal in PQ , it follows that L is permutable in PQ and hence LQ is a subgroup of PQ . Clearly, L is normalized by Q and so L is normalized by $O^p(G)$, where $O^p(G) = \langle Q : Q \text{ is a Sylow } q\text{-subgroup of } G, q \neq p \rangle$. Since $L \triangleleft P$, we have that $L \triangleleft G$. Note that P is modular. Thus by Lemma 2.1, G satisfies X_p . So Lemma 2.2 implies that P is abelian or G is p -nilpotent. If P is abelian, it is easy to show that every subgroup of P is normal in G and so by Lemma 2.3, we have that G is a PT-group, a contradiction. Thus we may assume that G is p -nilpotent. Then there exists a normal p' -Hall subgroup K of G such that $G = P \times K$. Thus $G/P \cong K$ is a PT-group and so by Lemma 2.5, $G/P \cong K$ satisfies X_q for all primes q divides $|K|$. Note that P is a normal q' -subgroup of G , where $q \neq p$. Thus by Lemma 2.5, G satisfies X_q for all primes $q \neq p$. Thus by Lemma 2.5, G satisfies X_q for all primes $q \neq p$. Since G satisfies X_p , G satisfies X_p for all primes p . Applying Lemma 2.4, we have that G is a PT-group; a final contradiction. Thus G is a solvable PT-group. ■

Lemma 3.2 *Let G be a solvable group. If G is a minimal non PT-group, then $1 \leq |\pi(G)| \leq 2$.*

Proof. Suppose the result is false and let G be a counterexample of minimal order. Then $|\pi(G)| \geq 3$. So there will be three proper subgroups H_1, H_2 and H_3 are pairwise relatively prime indices which are PT-groups. By Lemma 3.1, G is a PT-group, A contradiction. Thus $1 \leq |\pi(G)| \leq 2$. ■

Lemma 3.3 *If G is a minimal non PT-group, then $1 \leq |\pi(G)| \leq 2$.*

Proof. Let p be the smallest prime dividing $|G|$ and let P be a Sylow p -subgroup of G . Suppose that G does not have a p -nilpotent. By [7, Theorem 14.4.7, p. 217], there is a subgroup P_1 of P which is normalized but it is not centralized by an element x of order prime to p . Then $P_1 \langle x \rangle$ is solvable.

If $P_1 < x \rangle = G$, we are ready. Thus we may assume that $P_1 < x \rangle < G$ and so $P_1 < x \rangle$ is a solvable PT-group. So, $P_1 < x \rangle$ is supersolvable by Lemma 2.6 and hence $P_1 < x \rangle = P_1 \times \langle x \rangle$ and this is impossible. Thus G is p -nilpotent. Hence $G = PK$, where K is a p' -Hall subgroup of G . So K is a PT-group and all subgroups of K are PT-groups. Thus Lemma 2.7 implies that K is solvable whence G is solvable. Now by Lemma 3.2, we have that $1 \leq |\pi(G)| \leq 2$. ■

We can now prove:

Theorem 3.4 *If G is a minimal non PT-group, then one of the following statements holds:*

- (i) $G = PQ$, where P is a normal Sylow p -subgroup of G and Q is a non normal cyclic Sylow q -subgroup of G for some distinct primes p and q ; or
- (ii) G is a p -group for some prime p in which it is either the dihedral group of order 8 or non abelian group of order p^3 of exponent p for $p > 2$.

Proof. By Lemma 3.3, $1 \leq |\pi(G)| \leq 2$. If $|\pi(G)| = 2$, then $G = PG$ with a Sylow p -subgroup P and a Sylow q -subgroup Q for two primes $p \neq q$. By Burnside's Theorem, G is solvable and so all proper subgroups are supersolvable. Then there exists a normal Sylow p -subgroup P for some prime p in $\pi(G)$, say. If Q is normal in G , then $G = P \times Q$. Applying Lemma 2.5, we conclude that G satisfies X_p and X_q . Thus by Lemma 2.4, G is a solvable PT-group; a contradiction. Hence Q is not normal in G .

Now we show that $G/P \cong Q$ is cyclic.

Let $Q_1 < Q$ be a maximal subgroup of Q . Then PQ_1 is a PT-group by hypothesis and it is easy to show that the subgroups of P are normalized by Q_1 . If Q is not cyclic, then it has two distinct maximal subgroups Q_1 and Q_2 . It follows that Q normalizes all subgroups of P . Clearly, every maximal subgroup of P is normal in G . We will see that every subgroup of P is permutable in G . Let $L \leq P$. We assume that $L < P$. Let M be a maximal subgroup of P such that $L \leq M$. Take $T = MQ$. Since $M \neq P$, it is clear that $M \neq G$ and so $T \neq G$. Thus L is a permutable subgroup of T . Through this agreement replacing Q with some of its conjugate if necessary, we have that L permutes with every q -subgroup of G . But since P is a modular group, it follows that L permutes with every p -subgroup of G . We conclude the proof just by expressing any other subgroup of G as a product of a p -subgroup and a q -subgroup to show that L is permutable in G . Hence G satisfies X_p . Also, since P is a normal q' -subgroup of G , it follows by Lemma 2.5 that G satisfies X_q . Therefore by Lemma 2.4, G is a PT-group; a contradiction. Thus Q is cyclic and so (i) holds.

If $|\pi(G)| = 1$, then G is a p -group for some prime p . Since G is not a PT-group, we have that G is not an M -group. By [10, Lemma 2.3.3], we

have that there exist subgroups H and K of G with $K \triangleleft H$ such that H/K is dihedral group of order 8 or nonabelian of order p^3 of exponent p for $p > 2$. Since all proper subgroups of G are PT-groups, we conclude that G is either the dihedral group of order 8 or nonabelian group of order p^3 of exponent p for $p > 2$. Thus (ii) holds. ■

Proposition 3.5 *Let G be a p -group. If all its subgroups are PT-groups, then one of the following statements holds:*

(i) $G = Q_8 \times S$, where Q_8 is a quaternion group of order 8 and S is an elementary abelian 2-group; or

(ii) G contains an abelian normal subgroup A with cyclic factor group G/A ; further there exists an element $b \in G$ with $G = A \langle b \rangle$ and a positive integer s such that $b^{-1}ab = a^{1+p^s}$ for all $a \in A$, with $s \geq 2$ in case $p = 2$.

Proof. By [10, Lemma 2.3.2], we have that G has a modular subgroup lattice and by [10, Theorem 2.3.1], we conclude the result. ■

References

- [1] R. K. AGRAWAL, *Finite groups whose subnormal subgroups permute with all Sylow subgroups*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **47** (1975), 77-83.
- [2] M. ASAAD, *Finite groups in which normality or quasinormality is transitive*, *Arch. Math.*, **83** (2004), 289-296.
- [3] M. ASAAD AND P. CSÖRGÖ, *On T^* -groups*, *Acta Math. Hungar.*, **74** (1997), 235-243.
- [4] J. C. BEIDLEMAN, B. BREWSTER AND D. J. S. ROBINSON, *Criteria for permutability to be transitive in finite groups*, *J. Algebra*, **222** (1999), 400-412.
- [5] K. DOERK, *MINIMAL NICHT ÜBERAUFLÖSBARE, endliche gruppen*, *Mth. Z.*, **91** (1966), 198-205.
- [6] W. GASCHÜTZ, *GROUPEN, in denen das Normalteilersein transitiv ist*, *J. reine angew. Math.*, **198** (1957), 87-92.
- [7] M. HALL, *The theory of groups*, Macmillan, New York, 1959.
- [8] B. HUPPERT, *Endliche Gruppen I*, Springer-Verlage, Berlin, 1979.
- [9] D. J. S. ROBINSON, *A course in the theory of groups*, 2nd ed., Springer-Verlage, New York, 1996.

- [10] R. SCHMIDT, *Subgroup Lattices of groups*, Walter de Gruyter, Berlin, New York, 1994.
- [11] G. ZACHER, *I gruppi risolubili finiti in cui i sottogruppi di composizione coincidono con i sottogruppi quasi-normali*, *Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur.* (8), **37** (1964), 150-154.

Received: November 6, 2007