

A Note on Bernardi's Integral Operators of Certain Classes of Analytic Functions

Saibah Siregar and *Maslina Darus

School of Mathematical Sciences
Faculty of Science and Technology
Universiti Kebangsaan Malaysia
Bangi 43600 Selangor D.E., Malaysia
*maslina@ukm.my

Abstract

Let $S^*(\alpha)$ denote the class of functions f analytic in the open unit disc $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$, normalized and satisfying

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1} \right| < \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

Making use of the following integral operator, that is

$$\mathcal{F} = I_{\beta, \gamma} f(z) = \left[\frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \right]^{1/\beta},$$

where $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\beta \neq 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $Re(\beta + \gamma) > 0$, we determine δ such that whenever $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ then $\mathcal{F} \in S^*(\delta)$. Also in this paper, a similar problem for the class $R_\beta(\alpha)$ of all analytic functions satisfying

$$R_\beta(\alpha) = \left| \frac{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} + 1} \right| < \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}$$

is investigated. Thus generalise some known results.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45

Keywords: starlike of order α , Bernardi's integral operator

1 Introduction

Let $H(\mathcal{D})$ denotes the class of functions f analytic in the open unit disc $\mathcal{D} = \{z \in \mathbb{C}; |z| < 1\}$ and $S = \{f \in H(\mathcal{D}) : f(0) = 0 = f'(0) - 1\}$. Also, let α be a given real number $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and define that $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ if

$$\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} + 1} \right| < \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}.$$

$S^*(1)$ is the well-known class S^* of starlike functions with respect to the origin, that is

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > 0, \quad (z \in \mathcal{D}).$$

1.1 The class of Caratheodory Functions

Let $p(z) = 1 + p_1z + p_2z + \dots$ be a function regular and analytic in \mathcal{D} and satisfies the conditions $p(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$. Then this type of function is known as *Caratheodory* functions and denoted by \mathcal{P} . If we use the subordination principle we have

$$p(z) \in \mathcal{P} \quad \text{if and only if} \quad p(z) \prec \frac{1+z}{1-z}. \quad (1.1)$$

1.2 The class of Janowski Functions

Let $p(z) = 1 + b_1z + b_2z + \dots$ be regular and analytic in \mathcal{D} and satisfies the condition

$$p(0) = 1 \quad \operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0, \quad p(z) \prec \frac{1 + Az}{1 - Bz}, \quad -1 < A < 1, \quad -1 \leq B < A \quad (1.2)$$

then this function is known as *Janowski* functions and denoted by $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$. Geometrically, $p(z)$ is in $\mathcal{P}(A, B)$ if and only if $p(0) = 1$ and $p(\mathcal{D})$ inside the open disc centered on the real axis with diameter end points:

$$p(-1) = \frac{1-A}{1-B} \quad \text{and} \quad p(1) = \frac{1+A}{1+B}.$$

Special selections of A and B lead to familiar sets defined by inequalities under the conditions $p(0) = 1$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we have

1. $p(-1, 1) = \mathcal{P}$ is the set defined by $\operatorname{Re} p(z) > 0$ (Caratheodory's Class)

2. $p(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = p(\alpha)$ is the set defined by $\text{Rep}(z) > \alpha$
3. $p(1, 0) = p(1)$ is the set defined by $|p(z) - 1| < 1$
4. $p(\alpha, 0) = p_*(\alpha)$ is the set defined by $|p(z) - 1| < \alpha$
5. $p(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1) = p(M)$ is the set defined by $|p(z) - M| < M$
6. $p(\alpha, -\alpha) = p_{**}(\alpha)$ is the set defined by $\left| \frac{p(z)-1}{p(z)+1} \right| < \alpha$.

1.3 The class of Janowski's Starlike Functions

Let $S^*(A, B)$ be the class of functions $f(z)$, $f(0) = 0$, $f'(0) = 1$ regular in \mathcal{D} and satisfying the condition

$$f(z) \in S^*(A, B) \quad \text{if and only if} \quad \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \in p(A, B). \tag{1.3}$$

Special selections of A and B lead to familiar sets defined by the inequality under the conditions $M > \frac{1}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$, we have

1. $S^*(-1, 1) = S^*$ is the class of starlike functions with respect to the origin
2. $S^*(1 - 2\alpha, -1) = S^*(\alpha)$ is the class of starlike functions of order α
3. $S^*p(1, 0) = S^*(1)$ is the class defined by $|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1| < 1$
4. $S^*p(\alpha, 0) = S^*_*(\alpha)$ is the class defined by $|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - 1| < \alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha < 1$
5. $S^*(1, \frac{1}{M} - 1) = S^*(M)$ is the class defined by $|\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - M| < M$, $M > \frac{1}{2}$
6. $S^*(\alpha, -\alpha) = S^*_{**}(\alpha)$ is the class defined by $\left| \frac{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}-1}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}+1} \right| < \alpha$.

Functions f in S^* and $S^*(\alpha)$ respectively are called the Janowski starlike functions and Janowski starlike functions of order α [5]. Since the condition $\left| \frac{w(z)-1}{w(z)+1} \right| < \alpha$, $z \in \mathcal{D}$, $w(z) = \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}$ implies that $|w(z) - m| < M$ where $M = \frac{1+\alpha^2}{1-\alpha^2}$.

For this latter class $S^*(\alpha)$, Parvatham proved the following:

Theorem 1.1 [1]. *Let $\gamma \geq 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and δ be given by*

$$\delta := \alpha \left[\frac{2 + \alpha + \gamma(1 - \alpha)}{1 + 2\alpha + \gamma(1 - \alpha)} \right]. \tag{1.4}$$

If $f \in S^*(\delta)$, then the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ given by Bernardi's integral,

$$F = I_\gamma f(z) = \frac{\gamma + 1}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z t^{\gamma-1} f(t) dt. \quad (1.5)$$

is in $S^*(\alpha)$.

Theorem 1.2 [1]. Let $\gamma \geq 0$, $0 < \alpha \leq 1$ and δ be given by

$$\delta := \alpha \left[\frac{2 - \alpha + \gamma(1 - \alpha)}{1 + \gamma(1 - \alpha)} \right]. \quad (1.6)$$

If $f \in R(\delta)$, then the function $\mathcal{F}(z)$ given by Bernardi's integral (1.5) is in $R(\alpha)$.

In this paper, we study the integral operator such that

$$\mathcal{F} = I_{\beta, \gamma} f(z) = \left[\frac{\beta + \gamma}{z^\gamma} \int_0^z f^\beta(t) t^{\gamma-1} dt \right]^{1/\beta}, \quad (1.7)$$

where $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\beta \neq 0$, $\beta > 0$, $\gamma \geq 0$, $Re(\beta + \gamma) > 0$ and $f \in S$.

Bulboacă [4] and Bernardi [2] showed that the classes: K of convex functions, S^* of starlike functions were closed under the transform (1.7) and (1.5), respectively.

Furthermore, in this paper we determine δ so that whenever $f \in S^*(\alpha)$ we have $\mathcal{F} \in S^*(\delta)$, and also, we consider a similar problem for

$$R_\beta(\alpha) = \left| \frac{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} + 1} \right| < \alpha, \quad z \in \mathcal{D}. \quad (1.8)$$

Setting $p(z) = \frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}}$, we can rewrite the condition of (1.8) in the form of the condition given by item number 6 in sections 1.2 and 1.3, or equivalent to

$$Re\{p(z)\} > \frac{1 + \alpha}{1 - \alpha}.$$

Here $R_1(1)$ is the class of $f \in S$ such that f' belongs to the Caratheodory class $w(z)$ of functions and by Parvatham [1], $R_1(\alpha)$ is the class of $f \in S$ such that f' belongs to $R(\alpha)$.

Lemma 1.3 [3] Suppose that the functions $w(z)$ is regular in \mathcal{D} with $w(0) = 0$. Then if $|w(z)|$ attains its maximum value on a circle $|z| = r < 1$ at a point $z_o \in \mathcal{D}$, we have

1. $z_o w'(z_o) = k z_o$
2. $Re\left\{1 + \frac{z_o w''(z_o)}{w'(z_o)}\right\} \geq k$ where $k \geq 1$ is a real number.

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 Let \mathcal{F} be given by (1.7) and $f \in S^*(\delta)$, where

$$\delta = \frac{2\alpha(3\beta + \gamma - 1) + (3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma)\alpha^2 + \beta - 1}{2\alpha(3\beta - \gamma - 1) + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 + (3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma)}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F} \in S^*(\alpha)$ for all $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\beta \neq 0, \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 0, 0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Proof. First, we define a function $w(z)$ by

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} - 1}{\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + 1} \right\}, \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1. \tag{2.9}$$

and $w(z) \neq 1$ for $z \in \mathcal{D}$. Then $w(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{D} and $w(0) = 0$. It suffices to show that $|w(z)| < 1$ in \mathcal{D} .

From (2.9) we have

$$\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} = \frac{1 + \alpha w(z)}{1 - \alpha w(z)};$$

by taking the logarithmic derivative we obtain

$$1 + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} = \frac{2\alpha zw'(z)}{1 - \alpha^2 w^2(z)} + \frac{1 + \alpha w(z)}{1 - \alpha w(z)}. \tag{2.10}$$

From (1.7) with simple differentiation, we have

$$(\beta + \gamma)f^\beta(z) = \mathcal{F}^\beta(z) \left[\beta \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \gamma \right].$$

By logarithmic differentiation yields

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} &= \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} \left\{ \frac{\beta \left(\frac{1+z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} \right) - \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)}}{\beta \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \gamma} + 1 \right\} \\ &= \frac{1 + \alpha w(z)}{1 - \alpha w(z)} \left\{ \frac{\frac{2\beta\alpha zw'(z)}{1+\alpha w(z)} + (\beta - 1)(1 + \alpha w(z))}{\beta(1 + \alpha w(z)) + \gamma(1 - \alpha w(z))} + 1 \right\}, \end{aligned}$$

such that

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} = \frac{2\beta\alpha zw'(z) + (\beta - 1)(1 + \alpha w(z))^2}{(1 - \alpha w(z)) [\beta(1 + \alpha w(z)) + \gamma(1 - \alpha w(z))]} + \frac{1 + \alpha w(z)}{1 - \alpha w(z)}.$$

According to lemma 1.3, we assume that there exist a point $z_o \in \mathcal{D}$, and

$$z_o w'(z_o) = k z_o, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then we get

$$\left\{ \frac{\frac{z_o f'(z_o)}{f(z_o)} - 1}{\frac{z_o f'(z_o)}{f(z_o)} + 1} \right\} = \frac{\alpha w(z_o)[(2\beta k + 4\beta - 2 + 2\gamma) + (3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma)\alpha w(z_o)] + \beta - 1}{\alpha w(z_o)[(2\beta k + 4\beta - 2 - 2\gamma) + (\beta - 1)\alpha w(z_o)] + (3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{\frac{z_o f'(z_o)}{f(z_o)} - 1}{\frac{z_o f'(z_o)}{f(z_o)} + 1} \right| &= \frac{\left| \alpha e^{i\theta}[(2\beta k + 4\beta - 2 + 2\gamma) + (3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma)\alpha e^{i\theta}] + \beta - 1 \right|}{\left| \alpha e^{i\theta}[(2\beta k + 4\beta - 2 - 2\gamma) + (\beta - 1)\alpha e^{i\theta}] + (3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma) \right|} \\ &= \sigma(\theta), \end{aligned} \tag{2.11}$$

where $\sigma(\theta)$

$$= \frac{\left\{ [(\beta k + 2\beta - 1 + \gamma) 2\alpha \cos \theta + (3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma) \alpha^2 \cos 2\theta + (\beta - 1)]^2 + [(\beta k + 2\beta - 1 + \gamma) 2\alpha \sin \theta + (3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma) \alpha^2 \sin 2\theta]^2 \right\}^{1/2}}{\left\{ [(\beta k + 2\beta - 1 - \gamma) 2\alpha \cos \theta + (\beta - 1) \alpha^2 \cos 2\theta + (3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma)]^2 + [(\beta k + 2\beta - 1 - \gamma) 2\alpha \sin \theta + (\beta - 1) \alpha^2 \sin 2\theta]^2 \right\}^{1/2}}.$$

Let $\varphi(t)$

$$= \frac{4\alpha^2(\beta k + 2\beta - 1 + \gamma)^2 + \alpha^4(3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma)^2 + (\beta - 1)^2 + 2\alpha \left[\alpha(2t^2 - 1)(3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma)(\beta - 1) + (\beta k + 2\beta - 1 + \gamma)[(3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma)\alpha^2 + (\beta - 1)]4t \right]}{4\alpha^2(\beta k + 2\beta - 1 - \gamma)^2 + \alpha^4(\beta - 1)^2 + (3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma)^2 + 2\alpha \left[\alpha(2t^2 - 1)(3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma)(\beta - 1) + (\beta k + 2\beta - 1 - \gamma)[(3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma)\alpha^2 + (\beta - 1)]4t \right]};$$

we can show that $\varphi(t)$ is a decreasing function of $t = \cos \theta$ in $[-1, 1]$ for $\gamma \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$.

Hence from (2.11), we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{\frac{z_o f'(z_o)}{f(z_o)} - 1}{\frac{z_o f'(z_o)}{f(z_o)} + 1} \right\} \geq \frac{2\alpha(3\beta + \gamma - 1) + (3\beta - 1 - 2\gamma)\alpha^2 + \beta - 1}{2\alpha(3\beta - \gamma - 1) + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 + (3\beta - 1 + 2\gamma)} = \delta$$

which contradicts the hypothesis that $f \in S^*(\delta)$. Hence we have

$$|w(z)| = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left| \frac{\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} - 1}{\frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + 1} \right| < 1,$$

or $\mathcal{F} \in S^*(\alpha)$ which completes the proof of the theorem. □

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $\alpha < \delta$ in Theorem 2.1 and obtain the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.2 *If $f \in S^*(\delta)$ then $\mathcal{F} \in S^*(\delta)$.*

Corollary 2.3 *If $\delta = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, then α reduces to one for all $\beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ which is a result of Bernardi [2].*

Remark 2.4 *If we take $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 2.1, we obtain the results (1.4) given by Parvatham [1].*

Theorem 2.5 *Let \mathcal{F} be given by (1.7) and $f \in R_\beta(\eta)$, where*

$$\eta = \frac{2\alpha(1 + \beta + \gamma) - (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)\alpha^2 - (\beta - 1)}{2\alpha(1 - (\beta + \gamma)) + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)}.$$

Then $\mathcal{F} \in R_\beta(\alpha)$ for all $\beta, \gamma \in \mathbb{C}$, with $\beta \neq 0, \beta > 0, \gamma \geq 0$ and $0 < \alpha \leq 1$.

Proof.

Let us define a function $w(z)$ by

$$w(z) = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left\{ \frac{\frac{\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\beta}} + 1} \right\}, \quad \text{for } 0 < \alpha \leq 1. \tag{2.12}$$

and $w(z) \neq 1$ for $z \in \mathcal{D}$, such that $w(z)$ is analytic in \mathcal{D} and $w(0) = 0$. It suffices to show that $|w(z)| < 1$ in \mathcal{D} .

From (2.12) we have

$$\frac{\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\beta}} = \frac{1 + \alpha w(z)}{1 - \alpha w(z)},$$

and by taking the logarithmic derivative, we obtain

$$(\beta - 1) \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} = \frac{2\alpha zw'(z)}{1 - \alpha^2 w^2(z)}. \tag{2.13}$$

Differentiate (1.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} &= \frac{\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\beta}} \left\{ \left(\beta \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \gamma \right) + \left(1 + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} - \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} \right) \right\} \frac{1}{\beta + \gamma} \\ &= \frac{\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\beta}} \left\{ (\beta - 1) \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} + (\gamma + 1) \right\} \frac{1}{\beta + \gamma}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} + 1}} &= \frac{\frac{\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\beta}} \left\{ (\beta - 1) \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} + (\gamma + 1) \right\} \frac{1}{\beta + \gamma} - 1}{\frac{\mathcal{F}'(z)}{(\mathcal{F}(z))^{1-\beta}} \left\{ (\beta - 1) \frac{z\mathcal{F}'(z)}{\mathcal{F}(z)} + \frac{z\mathcal{F}''(z)}{\mathcal{F}'(z)} + (\gamma + 1) \right\} \frac{1}{\beta + \gamma} + 1} \\
&= \frac{\left(\frac{1 + \alpha w(z)}{1 - \alpha w(z)} \right) \left\{ \frac{2\alpha z w'(z)}{1 - \alpha^2 w^2(z)} + (\gamma + 1) \right\} \frac{1}{\beta + \gamma} - 1}{\left(\frac{1 + \alpha w(z)}{1 - \alpha w(z)} \right) \left\{ \frac{2\alpha z w'(z)}{1 - \alpha^2 w^2(z)} + (\gamma + 1) \right\} \frac{1}{\beta + \gamma} + 1} \\
&= \frac{2\alpha z w'(z) + 2\alpha(\beta + \gamma)w(z) - (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)\alpha^2 w^2(z) - (\beta - 1)}{2\alpha z w'(z) - 2\alpha(\beta + \gamma)w(z) + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 w^2(z) + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)}.
\end{aligned}$$

According to Lemma 1.3, assume that there exist a point $z_o \in \mathcal{D}$ such that $\max_{|z| \leq |z_o|} |w(z)| = |w(z_o)| = 1$, and

$$z_o w'(z_o) = k z_o, \quad k \geq 1.$$

Then we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{\frac{f'(z_o)}{(f(z_o))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{f'(z_o)}{(f(z_o))^{1-\beta}} + 1} \right\} = \frac{2\alpha z w'(z_o) + 2\alpha(\beta + \gamma)w(z_o) - (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)\alpha^2 w^2(z_o) - (\beta - 1)}{2\alpha z w'(z_o) - 2\alpha(\beta + \gamma)w(z_o) + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 w^2(z_o) + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\left| \frac{\frac{f'(z_o)}{(f(z_o))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{f'(z_o)}{(f(z_o))^{1-\beta}} + 1} \right| &= \frac{\left| 2\alpha(k + \beta + \gamma)e^{i\theta} - (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)\alpha^2 e^{i2\theta} - (\beta - 1) \right|}{\left| 2\alpha(k - (\beta + \gamma))e^{i\theta} + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 e^{i2\theta} + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1) \right|} \\
&= \tau(\theta),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.14}$$

where $\tau(\theta)$

$$= \frac{\left\{ [2\alpha(k + \beta + \gamma) \cos \theta - (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)\alpha^2 \cos 2\theta - (\beta - 1)]^2 + [2\alpha(k + \beta + \gamma) \sin \theta - (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)\alpha^2 \sin 2\theta]^2 \right\}^{1/2}}{\left\{ [2\alpha(k - (\beta + \gamma)) \cos \theta + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 \cos 2\theta + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)]^2 + [2\alpha(k - (\beta + \gamma)) \sin \theta + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 \sin 2\theta]^2 \right\}^{1/2}}.$$

Let $\Psi(t)$

$$= \frac{4\alpha^2(k + \beta + \gamma)^2 + \alpha^4(\beta + 2\gamma + 1)^2 + (\beta - 1)^2 - 4\alpha(k + \beta + \gamma) \left[\alpha^2(\beta + 2\gamma + 1) - (\beta - 1) \right] t - 2\alpha^2(\beta + 2\gamma + 1)(\beta - 1)(2t^2 - 1)}{4\alpha^2(k - (\beta + \gamma))^2 + \alpha^4(\beta - 1)^2 + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)^2 + 4\alpha(k - (\beta + \gamma)) \left[\alpha^2(\beta - 1) + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1) \right] t + 2\alpha^2(\beta + 2\gamma + 1)(\beta - 1)(2t^2 - 1)};$$

we can show that $\Psi(t)$ is a decreasing function of $t = \cos \theta$ in $[-1, 1]$ for $\gamma \geq 0$, $\beta \geq 0$.

Hence from (2.14) we obtain

$$\left\{ \frac{\frac{f'(z_0)}{(f(z_0))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{f'(z_0)}{(f(z_0))^{1-\beta}} + 1} \right\} \geq \frac{2\alpha(1 + \beta + \gamma) - (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)\alpha^2 - (\beta - 1)}{2\alpha(1 - (\beta + \gamma)) + (\beta - 1)\alpha^2 + (\beta + 2\gamma + 1)} = \eta$$

which contradicts the hypothesis that $f \in R_\beta(\eta)$. Hence we have

$$|w(z)| = \frac{1}{\alpha} \left| \frac{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} - 1}{\frac{f'(z)}{(f(z))^{1-\beta}} + 1} \right| < 1,$$

or $\mathcal{F} \in R_\beta(\alpha)$ which completes the proof of the theorem. \square

For $0 < \alpha \leq 1$, we have $\alpha < \eta$ in Theorem 2.5 and obtain the following corollaries:

Corollary 2.6 *If $f \in R_\beta(\eta)$ then $\mathcal{F} \in R_\beta(\eta)$.*

Corollary 2.7 *If $\eta = 1$ and $\beta = 1$, then α reduces to one for all $\beta \geq 0$ and $\gamma \geq 0$ which is a result of Bernardi [2].*

Remark 2.8 *If we take $\beta = 1$ in Theorem 2.5, we obtain the results (1.6) given by Parvatham [1].*

Acknowledgement The work presented here was supported by SAGA: STGL-012-2006, Academy of Sciences, Malaysia.

References

- [1] R. Parvatham, On Bernardi's integral operators of certain classes of functions, *Kyungpook Math. J.* 42 (2002), 437-441.
- [2] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and Starlike univalent functions, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 135 (1969), 429-446.
- [3] S. S. Miller and P. T. Mocanu, Second order Differential inequalities in the complex plane, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 65 (1978), 289-305.
- [4] T. Bulboacă, On a class of superordination-preserving integral operators, *Indag. Mathem.* 13(3) (2002), 301-311.
- [5] W. Janowski, Some extremal problems for certain families of analytic functions. I, *Ann. Polon. Math. J.* 28 (1973), 297-326.

Received: April 19, 2008