

P-Spaces and the Prime Spectrum of Commutative Semirings

A. J. Peña

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad Experimental de Ciencias,
Universidad del Zulia, Maracaibo, Venezuela
apena@luz.edu.ve

L. M. Ruza

Departamento de Matemáticas, Núcleo Rafael Rangel
Universidad de los Andes, Trujillo, Venezuela
ruza@ula.ve

J. Vielma

Departamento de Matemáticas, Facultad de Ciencias
Universidad de los Andes, Mérida, Venezuela
vielma@ula.ve

Abstract

By a *semiring* we understand a commutative semiring with nonzero identity. The notions of ω -*absolutely (semiprime-) irreducible* ideals in a semiring R are introduced and we prove that the prime spectrum $\text{Spec}(R)$ of R is a P-space if and only if every prime ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible. Also, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is an Artin space totally-ordered by inclusion if and only if every (semiprime) ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible if and only if the family $\text{Spec}(R)$ is well-ordered by inclusion. Further, we characterize when every ideal of R is ω -absolutely irreducible as well as when every (semiprime) prime ideal of R is ω -absolutely irreducible.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 54E18, 54F65, 54G10, 13C05

Keywords: P-space, Artin space, prime spectrum of a semiring

1 Introduction

In this work we continue the study of the prime spectrum of a semiring ([4]). In section 2 we include some preliminaries. In section 3, we introduce the notions of ω -absolutely (semiprime-) irreducible ideals in a semiring R , and we prove that a prime ideal of R is a P-point of the prime spectrum $\text{Spec}(R)$ of R if and only if it is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible. So, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a P-space if and only if every prime ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible (Theorems 3.2-3.3). Also, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is an Artin space totally-ordered by inclusion if and only if every (semiprime) ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible if and only if the family $\text{Spec}(R)$ is well-ordered by inclusion (Theorem 3.7). In section 4 we characterize the ω -absolutely irreducible ideals of R (Theorem 4.1) and we prove that every ideal of R is ω -absolutely irreducible if and only if R satisfies the descending chain condition on principal ideals and these ideals are totally-ordered by inclusion (Theorem 4.2). Finally, every prime (resp. semiprime) ideal of R is ω -absolutely irreducible if and only if $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a P-space (resp. Artin space) and the prime radical function η preserves countable intersections (Theorems 4.3 and 4.4).

2 Preliminaries

On the following, $\mathbb{N} := \{0, 1, 2, \dots\}$ denotes the set of natural numbers, ω is the cardinal of \mathbb{N} and for every nonempty family \mathcal{F} of subsets of a set X , we denote by \mathcal{F}^\cap the family formed by all the intersections of sets in \mathcal{F} . By a *space* we understand a topological space and (X, τ) denotes a space.

Recall that a *semiring* (commutative with nonzero identity) is an algebra $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$, where R is a set with $0, 1 \in R$, and $+$ and \cdot are binary operations on R called *sum* and *multiplication*, respectively, which satisfy the following:

(S₁) $(R, +, 0)$ and $(R, \cdot, 1)$ are commutative monoids with $1 \neq 0$.

(S₂) $a \cdot (b + c) = a \cdot b + a \cdot c$ for every $a, b, c \in R$.

(S₃) $a \cdot 0 = 0$ for every $a \in R$.

We denote a semiring $(R, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ by R , and the multiplication $a \cdot b$ by ab . Also, $\text{Id}(R)$ (resp. $\text{Spec}(R)$) denotes the family of ideals (resp. prime ideals) of R , and for every ideal I of R , $\eta(I)$ is the *prime radical* of I , this is, the intersection of the prime ideals of R containing I . It is well-known that $\eta(I) = \{a \in R : \exists n \in \mathbb{N}, a^n \in I\}$ and we say I is *semiprime* if $I = \eta(I)$. So, the improper ideal R is semiprime (the empty intersection of prime ideals), and for every $x \in R$, we set $Rx := \{rx : r \in R\}$ and $\eta(x) := \eta(Rx)$. See [2]-[3] for more details about the semiring theory and their applications.

3 P-points in the prime spectrum of semirings

We recall the construction of the prime spectrum of a semiring R . For every ideal I of R , we denote by $(I)_0$ the set of prime ideals of R containing I and by $D_0(I) := \text{Spec}(R) \setminus (I)_0$. Also, $(x)_0 := (Rx)_0$ and $D_0(x) := D_0(Rx)$ for every $x \in R$. Then, it is easy to see that:

- (i) $(1)_0 = \emptyset$ and $(0)_0 = \text{Spec}(R)$.
- (ii) $(\sum_{j \in S} I_j)_0 = \bigcap_{j \in S} (I_j)_0$ for every family $\{I_j\}_{j \in S}$ of ideals of R .
- (iii) $(I)_0 \cup (J)_0 = (IJ)_0 = (I \cap J)_0$ for every pair I, J of ideals of R .

So, the collection $\{(I)_0 : I \in \text{Id}(R)\}$ satisfies the axioms of closed sets for a topology t_Z on $\text{Spec}(R)$, called the *Zariski topology*, and the space $(\text{Spec}(R), t_Z)$ is the *prime spectrum* of R . Recall that, in a space (X, τ) , a G_δ -subset of X is a countable intersection of τ -open subsets of X and a point $x \in X$ is a *P-point* if every G_δ -subset G of X containing x is a *neighborhood* of x in (X, τ) , this is, there exists $U \in \tau$ such that $x \in U \subseteq G$ ([1], [9]). We denote by $\tau(x)$ is the set of τ -open subsets of X containing x . For every subset Y of X , we denote by \overline{Y}^τ the τ -closure of Y . So, $\overline{\mathcal{F}}^{t_Z} = (\bigcap \mathcal{F})_0$ for every subset \mathcal{F} of $\text{Spec}(R)$.

Lemma 3.1 *A point x of a space (X, τ) is a P-point if and only if whenever x is a closure point of subset F of X and G is a G_δ -subset of X containing x we have $G \cap F \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. The necessary condition is clear. Suppose the sufficiency condition and let G be a G_δ -subset of X containing x . If G is not a neighborhood of x then every τ -open subset of X containing x intersects $F = X \setminus G$ and so, $x \in \overline{F}^\tau$ and $G \cap F \neq \emptyset$ which is a contradiction. □

Let I be an ideal of a semiring R and \mathcal{F} a nonempty family of ideals of R . Following [4], we say that I is ω -absolutely \mathcal{F} -irreducible if for every sequence $\{I_n\}$ in \mathcal{F} such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \subseteq I$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_n \subseteq I$. In the case $\mathcal{F} = \text{Spec}(R)^\cap$ we say that I is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible, and if $\mathcal{F} = \text{Id}(R)$ then we say that I is ω -absolutely irreducible.

Theorem 3.2 *Let R be a semiring and P a prime ideal of R . Then, the following conditions are equivalent:*

- (i) P is a P-point of $\text{Spec}(R)$.
- (ii) P is an ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible ideal.
- (iii) If P is a t_Z -closure point of subset \mathcal{F} of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and \mathcal{G} is a G_δ -subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ containing P then $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. (i) \Leftrightarrow (iii) by Lemma 3.1. We see (iii) \Rightarrow (ii). Suppose (iii) and let $\mathcal{F} = \{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of proper semiprime ideals of R such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \subseteq P$. Also, let $\mathcal{F}^* = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} (I_n)_0$. Then, $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \bigcap \mathcal{F}^*$ and P is a closure point of \mathcal{F}^* . Now, if every $I_n \not\subseteq P$ then $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_0(I_n)$ is a G_δ -subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ containing P and by hypothesis, \mathcal{G} intersects \mathcal{F}^* which is a contradiction. We see (ii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose (ii) and let \mathcal{G} be a G_δ -subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ such that $P \in \mathcal{G}$. Then, there exists a sequence $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of proper semiprime ideals of R such that $\mathcal{G} = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} D_0(I_n)$ and so, every $I_n \not\subseteq P$ and by hypothesis, there exists $a \in (\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n) \setminus P$. It follows $P \in D_0(a) \subseteq \mathcal{G}$. \square

Theorem 3.3 *Let R be a semiring. Then, are equivalent:*

- (i) $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a P -space.
- (ii) Every prime ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible.
- (iii) If P is a prime ideal R in the t_Z -closure of a subset \mathcal{F} of $\text{Spec}(R)$ and \mathcal{G} is a G_δ -subset of $\text{Spec}(R)$ containing P then $\mathcal{G} \cap \mathcal{F} \neq \emptyset$.

Proof. It is an immediate consequence of Theorem 3.2. \square

A set is *countable* if its cardinal is at most ω , this is, it is finite or ω . A pre-ordered set (X, \leq) is *countably well-ordered* if every nonempty countable subset of X have a first element respect to \leq . Also, (X, \leq) *satisfies the descending chain condition* (in short, *dcc*) if for every descending chain $x_0 \geq x_1 \geq x_2 \geq \dots$ in X , there exists $m \geq 1$ such that $x_m = x_n$ for every $n \geq m$.

Lemma 3.4 *Let (X, \leq) be a pre-ordered set. Then, (X, \leq) is (countably) well-ordered if and only if (X, \leq) is totally-ordered and satisfies dcc.*

Proof. The necessary condition is clear. Suppose the sufficiency condition and that S is a nonempty subset of X without first element respect to \leq . Then, there exists $x_1 \in S$ and since x_1 is not a first element of S , there exists $x_2 \in S$ such that $x_1 > x_2$, this is, $x_2 \leq x_1$ and $x_1 \neq x_2$. Analogously, there exists $x_3 \in S$ such that $x_2 > x_3$ and so, we can construct a strictly descending chain $x_1 > x_2 > x_3 > \dots$ in S (and hence, in X) which is a contradiction. \square

We see that the condition “every (semiprime) ideal of a semiring R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible” characterize the artinianity of $\text{Spec}(R)$ when it is totally-ordered by inclusion. Recall that (X, τ) is *Artin space* if the poset (τ, \subseteq) satisfies dcc.

Proposition 3.5 *Every Artin space is a P -space. Further, if (X, τ) is an Artin T_0 -space then the poset (X, \leq_τ) satisfies dcc.*

Proof. Let (X, τ) be an Artin space and $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} U_n$ a G_δ -subset of X where every $U_n \in \tau$. For every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we set $V_n = \bigcap_{j=0}^n U_j$. Then, $\{V_n\}$ is a descending chain in (τ, \subseteq) and so, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $V_m = V_n$ for every $n \geq m$. Hence, $G = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} V_n = V_m \in \tau$ and (X, τ) is a P-space. On the other hand, suppose (X, τ) is an Artin T_0 -space and that $\{x_n\}_{n=1}^\infty$ is a strictly descending chain in (X, \leq_τ) , this is, $x_1 \not\leq_\tau x_2 \not\leq_\tau x_3 \not\leq_\tau \dots$. Then, $x_1 \in \overline{x_2}^\tau$ and $x_2 \notin \overline{x_1}^\tau$ (by T_0). Let $V_1 \in \tau(x_1)$. Then, $x_2 \in V_1$ and there exists $U_2 \in \tau(x_2)$ such that $x_1 \notin U_2$. Let $V_2 = V_1 \cap U_2$. Then, $x_2 \in V_2$ and $V_1 \not\supseteq V_2$ (since $x_1 \in V_1 \setminus V_2$). Analogously, $x_2 \in \overline{x_3}^\tau$ and $x_3 \notin \overline{x_2}^\tau$. So, $x_3 \in V_2$ and there exist $U_3 \in \tau(x_3)$ such that $x_2 \notin U_3$. Let $V_3 = V_2 \cap U_3$. Then, $V_2 \not\supseteq V_3$ and continuing of this way, we can construct a strictly descending sequence $\{V_n\}$ in τ which is a contradiction. \square

An infinite discrete space is a P-space which is not Artin. Also, in [5] is proved that if (X, τ) is a strongly irreducible space such that (X, \leq_τ) satisfies dcc then (X, τ) is an Artin space. Recall that a space is *strongly irreducible* if the intersection of any family of nonempty open subsets is nonempty ([8]).

Lemma 3.6 *Let R be a semiring. Then, are equivalent:*

- (i) *The poset $(\text{Spec}(R)^\cap, \subseteq)$ is (countably) well-ordered.*
- (ii) *Every semiprime ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible.*
- (iii) *The poset $(\text{Spec}(R), \subseteq)$ is (countably) well-ordered.*

Further, in such a case, every proper semiprime ideal of R is prime.

Proof. We see (i) \Leftrightarrow (ii). Suppose (i) and that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n \subseteq I$ where I and every H_n is a semiprime ideal of R . Then, exists the first element H_m of the family $\{H_n\}$ and so, $H_m \subseteq I$. Conversely, suppose (ii). By Lemma 3.4, we need prove only that $(\text{Spec}(R)^\cap, \subseteq)$ is countably well-ordered. Let $\mathcal{F} = \{H_n\}$ be a sequence of semiprime ideals of R . Then, $I = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n$ is a semiprime ideal of R and by hypothesis, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I = H_m$ and so, it is the first element of \mathcal{F} . Finally, (ii) \Rightarrow (iii) is as in (ii) \Rightarrow (i) and (iii) \Rightarrow (ii) as in (i) \Rightarrow (ii). The last part is clear. \square

Theorem 3.7 *Let R be a semiring. Then, are equivalent:*

- (i) *$\text{Spec}(R)$ is an Artin space totally-ordered by inclusion.*
- (ii) *Every semiprime ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible.*
- (iii) *The poset $(\text{Spec}(R)^\cap, \subseteq)$ is (countably) well-ordered.*
- (iv) *Every ideal of R is ω -absolutely semiprime-irreducible.*

- (v) The poset $(\text{Spec}(R)^\cap, \subseteq)$ satisfies dcc and $(\text{Spec}(R), \subseteq)$ is totally-ordered.
- (vi) The poset $(\text{Spec}(R), \subseteq)$ is (countably) well-ordered.
- (vii) The poset $(\text{Spec}(R), \subseteq)$ is totally-ordered and satisfies dcc.
- (viii) For every nonempty (countable) subset S of R , there exists $a \in S$ such that $a \in \eta(b)$ for every $b \in S$.

Proof. It is clear that (iii) \Rightarrow (viii) and by Lemmas 3.4 and 3.6, (i) \Leftrightarrow (v) \Leftrightarrow (vi) \Leftrightarrow (vii) \Leftrightarrow (ii) \Leftrightarrow (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv). We see (viii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose (viii) and that \mathcal{F} is a nonempty countable family of semiprime ideals of R without first element and let $I_1 \in \mathcal{F}$. Then, there exist $I_2 \in \mathcal{F}$ such that $I_1 \not\subseteq I_2$ and $a_1 \in I_1 \setminus I_2$. Analogously, there exist $I_3 \in \mathcal{F}$ and $a_2 \in I_2 \setminus I_3$. So, we can construct two sequences $\{I_n\}$ in $\text{Spec}(R)^\cap$ and $\{a_n\}$ in R such that $a_n \in I_n \setminus I_{n+1}$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$. But then, by hypothesis, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $a_m \in \eta(a_{m+1})$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so, $a_m \in \eta(a_{m+1}) \subseteq I_{m+1}$ which is a contradiction. \square

The argument in (vii) \Rightarrow (iii) of Theorem 3.7 is a version of the proof of Theorem 2.3 in [4]. Also, if we set $a \leq_\eta b$ in R if $a \in \eta(b)$ then \leq_η is a pre-order on R such that $0 \leq_\eta a \leq_\eta 1$ for every $a \in R$. Further, \leq_η is antisymmetric (and hence, a partial order on R) if and only if R is multiplicatively idempotent and 1 is the unique invertible element of R (Theorem 2.1 in [6]). Further, is clear that the condition (vii) in Theorem 3.7 is equivalent to (R, \leq_η) is a well-ordered pre-ordered set.

4 ω -Absolutely irreducible ideals

We set $a \leq_R b$ in R if $a \in Rb$. Then, \leq_R is a pre-order on R such that $0 \leq_R a \leq_R 1$ for every $a \in R$. This pre-order is considered in [7]. We denote by $\text{Id}_1(R)$ the family of principal ideals of R , and for every subset S of R , we set $I_S := \bigcap_{a \in S} Ra$. Also, extending the notion of i-system in [2], we say that a nonempty subset A of R is an ω -system if for every sequence S in A , we have $I_S \cap A \neq \emptyset$. So, a nonempty subset of R is an ω -system (resp. i-system) if and only if (R, \leq_R) is well-ordered (resp. totally-ordered) set.

Theorem 4.1 *Equivalent conditions for an ideal I of a semiring R :*

- (i) I is ω -absolutely irreducible.
- (ii) I is ω -absolutely $\text{Id}_1(R)$ -irreducible.
- (iii) $R \setminus I$ is an ω -system.

Proof. It is clear that (i) \Rightarrow (ii). We see (ii) \Rightarrow (iii). Suppose (ii) and let S be a sequence in $A = R \setminus I$ such that $I_S \cap A = \emptyset$. Then, $I_S \subseteq I$ and there exists $x \in S$ such that $Rx \subseteq I$ which is a contradiction (since $x \in A$). We see (iii) \Rightarrow (i). Suppose (iii) and let $\{I_n\}$ be a sequence of proper ideals of R such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \subseteq I$. If every $I_n \not\subseteq I$ there exists $x_n \in I_n \setminus I$ for every index $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and so, $S = \{x_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in $R \setminus I$ such that $I_S \cap (R \setminus I) = \emptyset$, which is a contradiction (since $I_S \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \subseteq I$). \square

Theorem 4.2 *Equivalent conditions for a semiring R :*

- (i) *Every ideal of R is ω -absolutely irreducible.*
- (ii) *For every countable subset S of R , we have $I_S \cap S \neq \emptyset$.*
- (iii) *(R, \leq_R) is a (countably) well-ordered pre-ordered set.*
- (iv) *The poset $(\text{Id}_1(R), \subseteq)$ is (countably) well-ordered.*
- (v) *The poset $(\text{Id}_1(R), \subseteq)$ is totally-ordered and satisfies dcc.*
- (vi) *The poset $(\text{Id}(R), \subseteq)$ is (countably) well-ordered.*
- (vii) *The poset $(\text{Id}(R), \subseteq)$ is totally-ordered and satisfies dcc.*

Further, in such a case, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is an Artin space totally-ordered by inclusion.

Proof. It is clear that (iii) \Leftrightarrow (iv), and the equivalences (i) – (iii) and (iv) – (vii) follows from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 3.4. The last part is clear. \square

Note that the notions of prime ideal and ω -absolutely irreducible ideal are independent (consider the ring of integers). We consider the *prime radical function* $\eta : \text{Id}(R) \rightarrow \text{Id}(R)$ defined by: $I \mapsto \eta(I)$ for every ideal I of R . So, $\eta(R) = R$ and, in general, η is monotone and preserves finite intersections.

Theorem 4.3 *Every prime ideal of a semiring R is ω -absolutely irreducible if and only if $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a P -space and η preserves countable intersections.*

Proof. Suppose that every prime ideal of R is ω -absolutely irreducible. Then, $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a P -space (Theorem 3.3). Now, let $\{I_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of ideals of R . Is clear that $\eta(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n) \subseteq \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \eta(I_n)$ and if P is a prime ideal of R containing $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n$ then by hypothesis, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $I_m \subseteq P$ and so, $\eta(I_m) \subseteq P$ and we have the required equality. Conversely, suppose $\text{Spec}(R)$ is a P -space and η preserves countable intersections. Let P be a prime ideal of R and $\{I_n\}$ a sequence of ideals of R such that $\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n \subseteq P$. Then, $\eta(\bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} I_n) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \eta(I_n) \subseteq P$ and there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\eta(I_m) \subseteq P$ (Theorem 3.3). Hence, P is ω -absolutely irreducible. \square

Theorem 4.4 *Every semiprime ideal of a semiring R is ω -absolutely irreducible if and only if $\text{Spec}(R)$ is an Artin space and η preserves countable intersections.*

Proof. The necessary condition it follows of Theorems 3.7 and 4.3. Conversely, suppose $\text{Spec}(R)$ is an artinian space and η preserves countable intersections. Let I be a semiprime ideal of R and $\{H_n\}$ a sequence of ideals of R such that $H = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} H_n \subseteq I$. Then, $\eta(H) = \bigcap_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \eta(H_n) \subseteq I$ and by Theorem 3.7, there exists $m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\eta(H_m) \subseteq I$. \square

References

- [1] L. Gilman, M. Jerison, *Rings of continuous functions*, GTM 43, Springer-Verlag, New York-Berlin-Heidelberg, 1976.
- [2] J.S. Golan, *Semirings and their applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1999.
- [3] U. Hebisch, H.J. Weinert, *Semirings: Algebraic theory and applications in computer science*, Series in Algebra, Vol. 5, World Scientific Publishing, Singapore, 1993.
- [4] A.J. Peña, L.M. Ruza, and J. Vielma, *Separation axioms and the prime spectrum of commutative semirings*, submitted, 2008.
- [5] A.J. Peña, *Bounded compactness for topological spaces*, preprint, 2008.
- [6] A.J. Peña, and J. Vielma, *CP-semirings: A topological interpretation*, submitted, 2008.
- [7] M.T. Sancho de Salas, *Methods of commutative algebra for topology*, Departamento de Matemáticas, Universidad de Extremadura, Universidad de Salamanca, Salamanca, 1987.
- [8] Thron, W.J., *Lattice-equivalence of topological spaces*, Duke Math. J. 29 (4) (1962), 671-679.
- [9] R.C. Walker, *The Stone-Čech compactification*, GTM 83, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1974.

Received: February 29, 2008