

# Estimation of Unique Variances Using G-inverse Matrix in Factor Analysis

Seval Süzölmüs

Osmaniye Korkut Ata University  
Vocational High School of Osmaniye  
Osmaniye, Turkey  
suzulmus@cu.edu.tr

Sadullah Sakalliođlu

Çukurova University  
Faculty Science and Letters  
Department of Statistics  
01330 Adana, Turkey

## Abstract

The problem of estimation of parameters in factor analysis is one of the important phase and has attracted several researchers. In all methods, when identifying of parameters,  $\Sigma$  (variance-covariance matrix) is positive definite. In a few studies, when  $\Sigma$  is not positive definite generalized inverse (g-inverse) is used [3,6]. So that, when the population variance-covariance (correlation) matrix is non-negative definite we define the estimators of unique variances in factor analysis.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 15A09, 62H25

**Keywords:** Factor analysis, unique variance, g-inverse

## 1 Introduction

The observable random vector  $\mathbf{x}$ , with  $p$  components, has mean  $\mu$  and covariance matrix  $\Sigma$ . Under the factor analysis model  $\mathbf{x}$  can be written in the form

$$\mathbf{x} = \mu + \Lambda\mathbf{f} + \mathbf{e}$$

where  $\mathbf{\Lambda} = (\lambda_{ij})$  is a  $pxk$  matrix of factor loadings;  $\mathbf{f} = (f_1, f_2, \dots, f_k)'$  and  $\mathbf{e} = (e_1, e_2, \dots, e_p)'$  are unobservable random vectors. The elements of  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{e}$  are called the common factors and the unique factors, respectively. We assume that the means of the elements of  $\mathbf{f}$  and  $\mathbf{e}$  are zero and that  $E(\mathbf{ff}') = I_k$  and  $E(\mathbf{ee}') = \mathbf{\Psi}$ , where  $I_k$  is the identity matrix of order  $k$  and  $\mathbf{\Psi}$  is a diagonal matrix, of which the diagonal elements  $\Psi_j (> 0)$  are called the unique variances. It will furthermore be assumed that  $E(\mathbf{fe}') = 0$ . From these assumptions we have

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \mathbf{\Lambda}\mathbf{\Lambda}' + \mathbf{\Psi} \quad (1)$$

where the matrix  $\mathbf{\Sigma} = (\sigma_{ij})$  denotes variance-covariance matrix of  $\mathbf{x}$  [3].

Albert [1] has given a theorem, that leads to a direct procedure for determining whether  $\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{\Psi}$  is of rank  $k$ . This procedure does not verify that whether  $\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{\Psi}$  positive definite. Suppose that the matrix  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  partitions as follows:

$$\mathbf{\Sigma} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{13} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{22} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{23} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{31} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{32} & \mathbf{\Sigma}_{33} \end{bmatrix}$$

Let  $k$  is the maximum rank of the submatrices of  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$  that do not include diagonal elements and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11}, \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12} = \mathbf{\Sigma}'_{21}$  and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{22}$  are square submatrices of order  $k$  and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{12}$  is nonsingular. Then  $\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{\Psi}$  is of rank  $k$ , if

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{12} &= (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} - \mathbf{\Psi}_1) \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21}^{-1} (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{22} - \mathbf{\Psi}_2) \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{13} &= (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{11} - \mathbf{\Psi}_1) \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{23} \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{32} &= \mathbf{\Sigma}_{31} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21}^{-1} (\mathbf{\Sigma}_{22} - \mathbf{\Psi}_2) \\ \mathbf{\Sigma}_{33} - \mathbf{\Psi}_3 &= \mathbf{\Sigma}_{31} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{21}^{-1} \mathbf{\Sigma}_{23}. \end{aligned}$$

Albert [2] has further shown that if  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{31}$  and  $\mathbf{\Sigma}_{32}$  are also of rank  $k$ , then there is a uniquely determined  $\mathbf{\Psi}$  such that  $\mathbf{\Sigma} - \mathbf{\Psi}$  is of rank  $k$ .

Anderson and Rubin [5] gave Theorem 5.1 that is a sufficient condition for identification of  $\mathbf{\Psi}$  and  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  up to multiplication on the right by an orthogonal matrix is that if any row  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  is deleted there remain two disjoint submatrices of rank  $k$ .

Ihara and Kano [3] presumed that the matrix  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  in (1) satisfies the condition for identification of  $\mathbf{\Psi}$  in Theorem 5.1 in [5]. Then, partitioning the matrices  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ ,  $\mathbf{\Lambda}$  and  $\mathbf{\Psi}$ , they defined an estimator of  $\Psi_p$  by

$$\hat{\Psi}_p = s_{pp} - s'_{2p} S_{12}^{-1} s_{1p},$$

provided that the submatrix  $\mathbf{S}_{12}$  is nonsingular.  $\mathbf{S}$  is sample covariance matrix which is partitioned in the same fashion as  $\mathbf{\Sigma}$ .

Kano [6] proposed a non-iterative estimator using g-inverse matrix in factor analysis, which is a generalization of Ihara and Kano's estimator [3].

If there exists an explicit function  $\mathbf{g}(\Sigma)$  of  $\Sigma$  such that  $\Psi = \mathbf{g}(\Sigma)$ , then  $\mathbf{g}(S)$  will be a good estimator of  $\Psi$  and  $\Lambda$  can be easily estimated based on  $\mathbf{S} - \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{S})$  [6].

Ihara and Kano [3] found such a function  $\mathbf{g}$  and showed that the estimate  $\hat{\Psi} = \mathbf{g}(\mathbf{S})$  leads to a value rather close to maximum likelihood estimator (MLE) by using two real data sets.

Kano [6] partitions  $\Lambda$  as follow:

$$\Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \lambda_1 & 1 \\ \Lambda_2 & k_2 \\ \Lambda_3 & k_3 \\ & k \end{bmatrix}$$

where  $\Sigma$  and  $\mathbf{S}$  are partitioned according to the above  $\Lambda$ .

Since  $\Lambda_2$  and  $\Lambda_3$  are of full column rank under Anderson and Rubin's condition, there are a  $k_2$  vector  $\mathbf{a}_2$  and a  $k_3$  vector  $\mathbf{a}_3$  such as

$$\lambda_1 = \mathbf{a}'_2 \Lambda_2 = \mathbf{a}'_3 \Lambda_3. \tag{2}$$

Let  $\mathbf{A}^-$  be any generalized inverse (g-inverse) matrix of  $\mathbf{A}$ . From the equation (2), Kano [6] led to the following relation:

$$\begin{aligned} \lambda_1 &= \mathbf{a}'_2 = \mathbf{a}'_3 \Lambda_3 \Lambda'_2 \mathbf{a}_2 \\ &= \mathbf{a}'_3 \Lambda_3 \Lambda'_2 (\Lambda_3 \Lambda'_2)^- \Lambda_3 \Lambda'_2 \mathbf{a}_2 \\ &= \lambda_1 \Lambda'_2 (\Lambda_3 \Lambda'_2)^- \Lambda_3 \Lambda'_2 \\ &= \sigma_{12} \Sigma'_{32} \sigma_{31}. \end{aligned}$$

Then from this equation  $\psi_1$  is found in equation (3)

$$\psi_1 = \sigma_{11} - \sigma_{12} \Sigma'_{32} \sigma_{31}. \tag{3}$$

## 2 Theoretical Aspects

In this paper by using generalized inverse matrix we give a theorem below, defining estimators of unique variances in factor analysis, which is generalization of Albert's Theorem[1,2].

**Theorem 2.1** *Let  $\Sigma = \Lambda \Lambda' + \Psi$  be covariance matrix of observable vector  $\mathbf{x}$  and the matrices  $\Sigma$ ,  $\Lambda$  and  $\Psi$  partition as follows:*

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_{11} & \Sigma_{12} & \Sigma_{13} \\ \Sigma_{21} & \Sigma_{22} & \Sigma_{23} \\ \Sigma_{31} & \Sigma_{32} & \Sigma_{33} \end{bmatrix} \begin{matrix} m \\ n \\ t \end{matrix} \quad \Lambda = \begin{bmatrix} \Lambda_1 \\ \Lambda_2 \\ \Lambda_3 \end{bmatrix} \quad \Psi = \begin{bmatrix} \Psi_1 & \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \Psi_2 & \mathbf{0} \\ \mathbf{0} & \mathbf{0} & \Psi_3 \end{bmatrix}.$$

Suppose that  $\text{rank } \Sigma_{12} = m$ . Then  $\Sigma - \Psi$  is of rank  $m$  if

$$\begin{aligned}\Sigma_{21} &= (\Sigma_{22} - \Psi_2) \Sigma_{12}^- (\Sigma_{11} - \Psi_1) \\ \Sigma_{31} &= \Sigma_{32} \Sigma_{12}^- (\Sigma_{11} - \Psi_1) \\ \Sigma_{23} &= (\Sigma_{22} - \Psi_2) \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{13} \\ \Sigma_{33} - \Psi_3 &= \Sigma_{32} \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{13} \\ (\Sigma_{22} - \Psi_2) (\mathbf{I} - \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{12}) &= \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} \\ \Sigma_{32} (\mathbf{I} - \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{12}) &= \mathbf{0}_{t \times n}.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, if  $m = n$ ,  $\Sigma_{13}$  and  $\Sigma_{23}$  are also full row rank, then there is a uniquely determined  $\Psi$  such that  $\Sigma - \Psi$  is of rank  $m$ .

**Proof 2.1** Premultiplication of  $\Sigma - \Psi$  by

$$\mathbf{P} = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{m \times m} & \mathbf{0}_{m \times n} & \mathbf{0}_{m \times t} \\ -(\Sigma_{22} - \Psi_2)_{n \times n} \Sigma_{12}^- & \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} & \mathbf{0}_{n \times t} \\ -\Sigma_{32} \Sigma_{12}^- & \mathbf{0}_{t \times n} & \mathbf{I}_{t \times t} \end{bmatrix}_{p \times p}$$

and post-multiplication by

$$\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{I}_{m \times m} & \mathbf{0}_{m \times n} & \mathbf{0}_{m \times t} \\ -\Sigma_{12}^- (\Sigma_{11} - \Psi_1)_{m \times m} & \mathbf{I}_{n \times n} & -\Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{13} \\ \mathbf{0}_{t \times m} & \mathbf{0}_{t \times n} & \mathbf{I}_{t \times t} \end{bmatrix}_{p \times p}$$

then we get,

$$P(\Sigma - \Psi)\Theta = \begin{bmatrix} \mathbf{0} & \Sigma_{12} & \mathbf{0} \\ A & B & C \\ D & E & F \end{bmatrix}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}A &= -(\Sigma_{22} - \Psi_2) \Sigma_{12}^- (\Sigma_{11} - \Psi_1) + \Sigma_{21}, \\ B &= -(\Sigma_{22} - \Psi_2) (\mathbf{I} - \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{12}), \\ C &= -(\Sigma_{22} - \Psi_2) \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{13} + \Sigma_{23}, \\ D &= -\Sigma_{32} \Sigma_{12}^- (\Sigma_{11} - \Psi_1) + \Sigma_{31}, \\ E &= -\Sigma_{32} \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{12} + \Sigma_{32}, \\ F &= -\Sigma_{32} \Sigma_{12}^- \Sigma_{13} + \Sigma_{33} - \Psi_3.\end{aligned}$$

Since the matrices  $\mathbf{P}$  and  $\Theta$  are nonsingular, then

$$\text{rank}(\mathbf{P}(\Sigma - \Psi)\Theta) = \text{rank}(\Sigma - \Psi).$$

So, the rank of matrices  $\mathbf{P}(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} - \boldsymbol{\Psi})\boldsymbol{\Theta}$  and  $(\boldsymbol{\Sigma} - \boldsymbol{\Psi})$  are equal to  $m$  if

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{21} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_1) \tag{4}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{31} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{32} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_1) \tag{5}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{23} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13} \tag{6}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{33} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_3 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{32} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13} \tag{7}$$

$$(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2) (\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}) = \mathbf{0}_{n \times n} \tag{8}$$

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{32} (\mathbf{I} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}) = \mathbf{0}_{t \times n}. \tag{9}$$

Since  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{23}$  are full row rank, pre-multiplication of the equation (5) by  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{32}^-$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}$ , respectively, then gives,

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{32}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{31} = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_1.$$

From this equation we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_1 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{11} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{32}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{31} \tag{10}$$

Post multiplication of the equation (6) by  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13}^-$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}$ , respectively, since  $\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13}$  is full row rank, then we get

$$\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{23} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} = (\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2) \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}. \tag{11}$$

From the equation (8), the right hand side of the equation (11) will be equal to  $(\boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2)$ , so we have

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{23} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12} &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2 \\ \boldsymbol{\Psi}_2 &= \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{22} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{23} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}. \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

From the equation (7), we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Psi}_3 = \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{33} - \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{32} \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{12}^- \boldsymbol{\Sigma}_{13}. \tag{13}$$

So  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}$  can be uniquely determined and the proof is completed.

Estimation for  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_1$ ,  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_2$  and  $\boldsymbol{\Psi}_3$  are obtained if the corresponding sample covariance matrices are used in (10), (12) and (13) instead of the population covariance matrices we find:

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_1 = \mathbf{S}_{11} - \mathbf{S}_{12} \mathbf{S}_{32}^- \mathbf{S}_{31} \tag{14}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_2 = \mathbf{S}_{22} - \mathbf{S}_{23} \mathbf{S}_{13}^- \mathbf{S}_{12} \tag{15}$$

$$\hat{\boldsymbol{\Psi}}_3 = \mathbf{S}_{33} - \mathbf{S}_{32} \mathbf{S}_{12}^- \mathbf{S}_{13}. \tag{16}$$

As a result writing  $m = 1$  for equation (10) gives us the equation (3).  $m = n = t = k$  for equations (10), (12) and (13) gives us the Albert's Theorem [2].

## References

- [1] A.A. Albert, The matrices of factor analysis, *Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.*, **30** (1944a), 90-95.
- [2] A.A. Albert, The minimum rank of a correlation matrix, *Proc.Nat.Acad.Sci.*, **30** (1944b), 144-146.
- [3] M. Ihara, Y. Kano, A new estimator of the uniqueness in factor analysis, *Psychometrika*, **51** (1986), 563 - 566.
- [4] R.A. Johnson, D.W. Wichern, *Applied Multivariate Statistical Analysis*, Wiley, United States of America, 2002.
- [5] T.W. Anderson, H. Rubin, Statistical inference in factor analysis, *Proc.3rd Berkeley Symp*, **5** (1956), 111 - 150.
- [6] Y. Kano, A new estimation procedure using g-inverse matrix in factor analysis, *Math. Japonica*, **34:1** (1989), 43-52.

**Received: October 29, 2007**