

Essential Ideals and Finsler Modules

A. Taghavi and M. Jafarzadeh

Department of Mathematics
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran
taghavi@nit.ac.ir

Abstract

In this paper, the notion of associated (essential) ideal submodule in Finsler module over C^* -algebras is introduced. Moreover, it is shown that if essential ideal submodule V_I is a Hilbert I -module, then V is itself a Hilbert A -module.

Mathematics Subject Classification: Primary 46C50, 46L08

Keywords: C^* -algebra, essential ideal, Finsler module, Hilbert C^* -module

1 Introduction

A (right) Hilbert C^* -module over a C^* -algebra A is a right A -module V equipped with A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ which is A -linear in the second and conjugate linear in the first variable such that V is a Banach space with the norm $\|x\| = \|\langle x, x \rangle\|^{1/2}$ (see [3]).

Finsler modules over C^* -algebras are generalization of Hilbert C^* -modules that first investigated in [5]. Let A be a C^* -algebra and A^+ denote the set of positive elements of a C^* -algebra A . Let V be a right module over C^* -algebra A and the map $\rho : V \rightarrow A^+$ satisfies the following condition.

- (i) the map $\|\cdot\|_V : x \rightarrow \|\rho(x)\|$ is a Banach space norm on V ; and
- (ii) $\rho(xa)^2 = a^* \rho(x)^2 a$ for all $a \in A$ and $x \in V$.

Then V is called a Finsler module over C^* -algebra A .

A Finsler module over C^* -algebra A is said to be full if the linear span $\{\rho(x)^2; x \in V\}$ denoted by $\mathcal{F}(V)$ is dense in A .

Ideal submodules in Hilbert C^* -modules are investigated in [2] and [6]. In [5] Phillips and Weaver proved that if (V, ρ) is a Finsler module over C^* -algebra A such that ρ satisfies the parallelogram law

$$\rho(v+w)^2 + \rho(v-w)^2 = 2\rho(v)^2 + 2\rho(w)^2,$$

for $v, w \in V$, then V is a Hilbert A -module. In this paper, the notion of associated (essential) ideal submodule in Finsler modules over C^* -algebras is introduced and it is shown that if ρ satisfies the parallelogram law only on an essential ideal submodule V_I then V is a Hilbert A -module, that is, if essential ideal submodule V_I is a Hilbert I -module, then V is itself a Hilbert A -module.

2 Preliminaries

Definition 2.1. *let V be a Finsler modules over C^* -algebra A , and let I be an ideal in A . The associated ideal submodule V_I is defined by*

$$V_I = [VI]^- = [\{vb : v \in V, b \in I\}]^-$$

(the closed linear span of the action of I on V).

Clearly, V_I is a closed submodule of V . It can be also regarded as a Finsler module over I .

In general, there exist closed submodules which are not ideal submodule. For instance, if a C^* -algebra A is regarded as a Hilbert A -module (with the inner product $\langle a, b \rangle = a^*b$), then ideal submodules of A are precisely ideals in A , while closed submodules of A are closed right ideals in A .

We arise some properties of ideal submodules. Following results are already known of ([2],[1]). let V be a Finsler module over C^* -algebra A , and I be an ideal of A . By application of Hewitt-Cohen factorization theorem ([4], Theorem 4.1,[6], proposition 2.31) it is easy to that $V_I = VI = \{vb : v \in V, b \in I\}$. If V be a full Finsler module over A , V_I will be full over I [1, Lemma 2.2].

Remark 2.2. let V be a Finsler module and I be an ideal of A , and V_I be associated ideal submodule. Define by $q : V \rightarrow \frac{V}{V_I}$ and $\pi : A \rightarrow \frac{A}{I}$ the quotient maps. By definition right action of $\frac{A}{I}$ on linear space $\frac{V}{V_I}$ with $q(v)\pi(a) = q(va)$, $\frac{V}{V_I}$ will be a $\frac{A}{I}$ -module and by [5 Lemma 12], $\frac{V}{V_I}$ is a Finsler $\frac{A}{I}$ -module with norm Finsler $\rho_{\frac{A}{I}}(q(v)) = \pi(\rho_A(v))$. Then $\rho_{\frac{A}{I}}(q(V)) = \pi(\rho_A(V))$, so $[\rho_{\frac{A}{I}}(q(V))] = \pi([\rho_A(V)])$.

In addition, $\frac{V}{V_I}$ is a full Finsler $\frac{A}{I}$ -module if and only if V is full. This follows at once from the evident equality $[\rho_{\frac{A}{I}}(q(V))] = \pi([\rho_A(V)])$.

With similar argument of [2 p. 4], if X be a closed submodule of V , J be an ideal of A such that $\rho(V) \subseteq J$, then $\frac{V}{X}$ with module action $q(x)\pi(a) = q(xa)$ is a $\frac{A}{J}$ -module iff $X = V_J$. Note that smallest of such ideals is A -linear hull $(\rho(V))^2$.

3 main results

Definition 3.1. Let I be an ideal of C^* -algebra A , define $I^\perp = \{a \in A : aI = 0\}$ (that is ideal of A). I is essential if $I^\perp = \{0\}$, that is equivalent $I \cap J \neq \{0\}$ for all closed ideal J of A .

The following lemma is a much similar relative of Lemma 1.10 of [2]. (Enough that replacing I with I^+ denoted positive elements of I).

Lemma 3.2. *Let I be an ideal in a C^* -algebra A . The following condition are mutually equivalent:*

- (a) I is an essential ideal in A
- (b) $\|a\| = \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|ab\|), \forall a \in A$
- (c) $\|a\| = \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|ba\|), \forall a \in A$
- (d) $\|a\| = \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|bab\|), \forall a \in A^+$.

Theorem 3.3. *let V be a Finsler module and I be an essential ideal of A , and V_I be (associated) ideal submodule. Then $\|v\| = \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|vb\|), \forall v \in V$. Conversely, if V is a full Finsler module over C^* -algebra A and $\|v\| = \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|vb\|), \forall v \in V$ respect to some ideal I of A , then I is an essential ideal in A .*

Proof . Let I be an essential ideal in A , then $\forall v \in V$

$$\begin{aligned} \|v\|^2 &= \|\rho(v)\|^2 = \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|b\rho(v)^2b\|) \\ &= \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|\rho(vb)^2\|) \\ &= \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|vb\|)^2, \end{aligned}$$

by definition of norm Finsler and Lemma 3.2.

To prove the converse, suppose that V is a full Finsler module and I is not essential so that $I^\perp \neq \{0\}$. Take any $c \in I^\perp, c \neq 0$. By [1 proof Theorem 3.2(iii)], there exists $v \in V$ such that $vc \neq 0$. Now by hypothesis of theorem we have

$$\begin{aligned} \|vc\| &= \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|(vc)b\|) \\ &= \sup_{b \in I^+, \|b\| \leq 1} (\|v(cb)\|) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Means $vc = 0$, that is a contradiction. So I is an essential ideal of A . \square

Recall that a Finsler A -module V with map ρ is a Hilbert module if ρ produce a A -valued inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on V such that V is a Hilbert A -module and $\rho(x) = \langle x, x \rangle^{1/2}$ for all $x \in V$.

Theorem 3.4. *Let V be a Finsler module of commutative C^* -algebra A and I be an essential ideal of A . If essential ideal submodule V_I is a Hilbert*

I-module, then V is itself a Hilbert A -module.

Proof . Let ρ be norm Finsler map over V . Note that essential ideal submodule V_I is a Finsler I -module with map $\rho|_{V_I}$. Hence ρ satisfies in parallelogram law on V_I . Therefore, for all $v, w \in V$ and $a \in I$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \rho & (va + wa)^2 + \rho(va - wa)^2 - 2\rho(va)^2 - 2\rho(wa)^2 = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & a^*(\rho(v + w)^2 + \rho(v - w)^2) - 2\rho(v)^2 - 2\rho(w)^2 a = 0 \\ \Leftrightarrow & (\rho(v + w)^2 + \rho(v - w)^2 - 2\rho(v)^2 - 2\rho(w)^2)a^*a = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now Lemma 3.2(b), show that $\|\rho(v + w)^2 + \rho(v - w)^2 - 2\rho(v)^2 - 2\rho(w)^2\| = 0$. Hence, $\rho(v + w)^2 + \rho(v - w)^2 = 2\rho(v)^2 + 2\rho(w)^2$ means norm Finsler ρ satisfies in parallelogram law on V , so by [5 lemma 12] V is a Hilbert A -module. \square

Recall that if A, B , and D are C^* -algebra, and if homomorphisms $\phi : A \rightarrow D$ and $\psi : B \rightarrow D$ are given, then the C^* -algebra $A \oplus_D B$ is defined as

$$A \oplus_D B = \{(a, b) \in A \oplus B : \phi(a) = \psi(b)\}.$$

We use the same notation for modules, Banach spaces, etc.

Let A be a C^* -algebra. By [5 lemmas 10 and 11] A has a unique maximal commutative ideal I_0 and a closed ideal J such that $I_0 \cap J = \{0\}$ and $\frac{A}{J}$ is commutative, moreover, $A \cong \frac{A}{J} \oplus_{\frac{A}{I_0+J}} \frac{A}{I_0}$ by *-isomorphism $\varphi : A \rightarrow \frac{A}{J} \oplus_{\frac{A}{I_0+J}} \frac{A}{I_0}$ such that $\varphi(a) = (a + J, a + I_0)$.

Lemma 3.5. *Let A be a C^* -algebra, I_0, J and φ be as in above argument, I be an essential ideal in C^* -algebra A if and only if $\frac{I}{J}$ and $\frac{I}{I_0}$ are essential ideal in C^* -algebras $\frac{A}{J}$ and $\frac{A}{I_0}$ respectively.*

Proof . It is clear that quotient map $\pi : A \rightarrow \frac{A}{J}$ is a *-surjective homomorphism. It is enough to show that $\pi(K) \cap \frac{I}{J} \neq \{0\}$ for arbitrary closed ideal K of A such that $K \cap J \neq K$. Suppose that $\pi(K)$ is a non zero closed ideal of $\frac{A}{J}$. There exists $k \in K - J$, so we have

$$0 \neq \varphi(k) = (k + J, k + I_0) \in \pi(K) \oplus_{\frac{A}{I_0+J}} \frac{A}{I_0}.$$

So $\pi(K) \oplus_{\frac{A}{I_0+J}} \frac{A}{I_0}$ is a non zero closed ideal of $\frac{A}{J} \oplus_{\frac{A}{I_0+J}} \frac{A}{I_0}$. Since $I \cong \frac{I}{J} \oplus_{\frac{A}{I_0+J}} \frac{I}{I_0}$ is essential ideal in C^* -algebra A . Now we have $\{0\} \neq \pi(K) \cap \frac{I}{J}$. Hence $\frac{I}{J}$ is an essential ideal of $\frac{A}{J}$. Similar statement is true for $\frac{I}{I_0}$.

Conversely, let $a \in I^\perp$. Then $ac = 0$ for every $c \in I$. Thus $ac \in J$ and $ac \in I_0$. It implies that $a + J \in (\frac{I}{J})^\perp$ and $a + I_0 \in (\frac{I}{I_0})^\perp$. Therefore $a \in I_0 \cap J = \{0\}$ because $\frac{I}{J}$ and $\frac{I}{I_0}$ are essential ideal. Hence in view of the

mention of above this Lemma $a = 0$. Consequently, $I^\perp = \{0\}$, that means I is an essential ideal. \square

Theorem 3.6. Let V be a Finsler module over C^* -algebra A and I be an essential ideal of A . If essential ideal submodule V_I is a Hilbert I -module, then V is itself a Hilbert A -module.

Proof . By Theorem 17 of [5], we can write $V \cong V_1 \oplus_{V_0} V_2$, where V_2 and V_0 are Hilbert $\frac{A}{I_0}$ and $\frac{A}{I_0+J}$ modules resp., and V_1 is a Finsler module over commutative C^* -algebra $\frac{A}{J}$. Also, we note that $\rho_V(x_1, x_2) = (\rho_{V_1}(x_1), \rho_{V_2}(x_2))$, for every $x_1 \in V_1$ and $x_2 \in V_2$ [5, Lemma 16]. Now by Lemma 3.5 and Theorem 3.4, V_1 is a Hilbert module over C^* -algebra $\frac{A}{J}$. Consequently, V is a Hilbert module over C^* -algebra A . \square

Remark 3.7. Essentiality can not be dropped. For instance, let V be a non- Hilbert Finsler module and $I = 0$ so is not essential. $V_I = 0$ is a Hilbert I -module but V is not.

References

1. M. Amyari and A. Niknam, On homomorphisms of Finsler modules, Intern. Math. Journal, vol. 3, No. 3 (2003), 277-281.
2. D. Bakic and B. Guljas, On a class of module maps of Hilbert C^* -modules, Mathematica communications, 7(2002), no.2 177-192.
3. E. C. Lance, Hilbert C^* -modules, LMS Lecture Note Series 210, Cambridge University Press (1995).
4. G. K. Pedersen, Factorization in C^* -algebras, Exposition Math., 16(1998), No 2, 145-156.
5. N.C. Phillips, N. Weaver, Modules with Norms which take values in a C^* -algebra, Pacific journal of mathematics, vol. 185, no. 1, (1998).
6. I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams, Morita equivalence and continuous-trace C^* -algebras, Mathematical surveys and Monographs AMS 60 (1998).

Received: December 5, 2006