

Self-Duality of Tridiagonal Pairs of q -Serre Type

Hasan Alnajjar

Department of Mathematics, University of Jordan
Amman 11942, Jordan
h.najjar@ju.edu.jo

Abstract

Let \mathcal{F} denote an algebraically closed field. Let V denote a vector space over \mathcal{F} with finite positive dimension, and let A, A^* be a tridiagonal pair on V . Let $\{\theta_i\}_{i=0}^d$ (resp. $\{\theta_i^*\}_{i=0}^d$) denote a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*). We assume $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ (resp. $\theta_i^* = q^{d-2i}$) for $(0 \leq i \leq d)$. We show that A, A^* is isomorphic to A^*, A .

Mathematics Subject Classification: 15A04, 33D80, 20G42, 20C33

Keywords: Tridiagonal pairs, q -Serre type, Quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$.

1 Introduction

Throughout this paper \mathcal{F} denotes an algebraically closed field. In this section we recall the notion of a Tridiagonal pair. Let V denote a vector space over \mathcal{F} with finite positive dimension. Let $\text{End}(V)$ denote the \mathcal{F} -algebra consisting of all \mathcal{F} -linear transformations from V to V .

Definition 1.1 An ordered pair A, A^* of elements from $\text{End}(V)$ is said to be a *tridiagonal pair (TDP)* on V whenever the following four conditions are satisfied.

- (i) Each of A and A^* is diagonalizable over \mathcal{F} .
- (ii) There exists an ordering V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d of the eigenspaces of A such that

$$A^*V_i \subseteq V_{i-1} + V_i + V_{i+1} \quad (0 \leq i \leq d), \quad (1)$$

where $V_{-1} = 0, V_{d+1} = 0$.

- (iii) There exists an ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_\delta^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* such that

$$AV_i^* \subseteq V_{i-1}^* + V_i^* + V_{i+1}^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq \delta), \quad (2)$$

where $V_{-1}^* = 0, V_{\delta+1}^* = 0$.

- (iv) There is no proper nonzero subspace H of V such that both $AH \subseteq H$ and $A^*H \subseteq H$.

Tridiagonal pairs in which the eigenspaces V_i and V_i^* have dimension one are called *Leonard pairs*, and have been extensively studied in [11, 12, 13, 14, 15]. In this paper we focus on TDP's of q -Serre type. TDP's of q -Serre type have received a great deal of attention [1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7, 8], in part because of their connection to finite-dimensional representations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. We recall the q -Serre property now.

Definition 1.2 Fix a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathcal{F}$ which is not a root of unity. A TDP A, A^* on V is said to be of q -Serre type whenever the following hold:

$$A^3A^* - [3]A^2A^*A + [3]AA^*A^2 - A^*A^3 = 0, \quad (3)$$

$$A^*A^3 - [3]A^*A^2AA^* + [3]A^*AA^*A^2 - AA^*A^3 = 0, \quad (4)$$

where $[3] = (q^3 - q^{-3})/(q - q^{-1})$.

Equations (3) and (4) are called the *cubic q -Serre relations* and are among the defining relations of the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

2 Main result

To state the main result, we recall some facts.

Definition 2.1 Let A, A^* and B, B^* be TDP's over \mathcal{F} . By an isomorphism of TDP's from A, A^* to B, B^* we mean a vector space isomorphism γ from the vector space underlying A, A^* to the vector space underlying B, B^* such that $\gamma A = B\gamma$ and $\gamma A^* = B^*\gamma$.

Lemma 2.2 [6] Let A, A^* denote a TDP on V . The scalars d and δ from Definition 1.1 are equal; we refer to this common value as the diameter of A, A^* .

Definition 2.3 [6] Let A, A^* denote a TDP on V of diameter d .

- (i) An ordering V_0, V_1, \dots, V_d of the eigenspaces of A is said to be *standard* whenever it satisfies (1). An *eigenvalue sequence* of A, A^* is an ordering $\theta_0, \theta_1, \dots, \theta_d$ of the eigenvalues of A such that the induced ordering of the eigenspaces of A is standard.
- (ii) An ordering $V_0^*, V_1^*, \dots, V_d^*$ of the eigenspaces of A^* is said to be *standard* whenever it satisfies (2). A *dual eigenvalue sequence* of A, A^* is an ordering $\theta_0^*, \theta_1^*, \dots, \theta_d^*$ of the eigenvalues of A^* such that the induced ordering of the eigenspaces of A^* is standard.

Definition 2.4 Let A, A^* denote TDP over \mathcal{F} and let d denote the diameter. We say that A, A^* is a *normalized* TDP of q -Serre type whenever the sequence $\theta_i = q^{2i-d}$ (resp. $\theta_i^* = q^{d-2i}$) for $(0 \leq i \leq d)$ is a standard ordering of the eigenvalues of A (resp. A^*).

The main result in this paper is the following theorem

Theorem 2.5 *Let V denote a vector space over \mathcal{F} with finite positive dimension, and let A, A^* be a normalized TDP of q -Serre type on V . Then A, A^* and A^*, A are isomorphic TDP's.*

We remark that in [10] Ito and Terwilliger proved the same result for tridiagonal pairs of Krawtchouk type.

3 The quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$

In this section we recall the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ and some facts concerning its irreducible modules, also will proof some results. Fix a nonzero scalar $q \in \mathcal{F}$ which is not a root of unity. For all integers k and for all positive integers n write

$$[k] = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}} \quad \text{and} \quad [n]! = [1][2] \dots [n].$$

Definition 3.1 [4] The *quantum affine algebra* $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ is the associative \mathcal{F} -algebra with generators e_i^\pm, K_i, K_i^{-1} ($i = 0, 1$) and relations:

$$\begin{aligned} K_i K_i^{-1} &= K_i^{-1} K_i = 1, \\ K_0 K_1 &= K_1 K_0, \\ K_i e_i^\pm K_i^{-1} &= q^{\pm 2} e_i^\pm, \\ K_i e_j^\pm K_i^{-1} &= q^{\mp 2} e_j^\pm \quad (i \neq j), \\ [e_i^+, e_i^-] &= \frac{K_i - K_i^{-1}}{q - q^{-1}}, \\ [e_0^\pm, e_1^\mp] &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

$$(e_i^\pm)^3 e_j^\pm - [3](e_i^\pm)^2 e_j^\pm e_i^\pm + [3]e_i^\pm e_j^\pm (e_i^\pm)^2 - e_j^\pm (e_i^\pm)^3 = 0 \quad (i \neq j). \tag{5}$$

The equations of (5) are cubic q -Serre relations, just as (3) and (4) are.

In the next Lemma we define an automorphism on $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$.

Lemma 3.2 *Let $\sigma : U_q(\widehat{sl_2}) \longrightarrow U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ such that for $i = \{0, 1\}$*

$$\sigma(e_i^\pm) = e_{1-i}^\pm, \quad \sigma(K_i) = K_{i-1}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(K_i^{-1}) = K_{i-1}^{-1}.$$

Then σ is an automorphism of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$.

Proof. Straightforward.

Lemma 3.3 [4] *$U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ is a Hopf algebra with co-multiplication $\Delta(e_i^+) = e_i^+ \otimes K_i + 1 \otimes e_i^+$, $\Delta(e_i^-) = e_i^- \otimes 1 + K_i^{-1} \otimes e_i^-$, $\Delta(K_i) = K_i \otimes K_i$ ($i = 0, 1$).*

Lemma 3.4 [4] *Let M denote a finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ -module. Then there exist unique scalars $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1$ in $\{1, -1\}$ and a unique decomposition U_0, U_1, \dots, U_d of M such that for all i ($0 \leq i \leq d$)*

$$\begin{aligned} (K_0 - \varepsilon_0 q^{2i-d})U_i &= 0, & (K_1 - \varepsilon_1 q^{d-2i})U_i &= 0, \\ e_0^+ U_i &\subseteq U_{i+1}, & e_1^- U_i &\subseteq U_{i+1}, \\ e_0^- U_i &\subseteq U_{i-1}, & e_1^+ U_i &\subseteq U_{i-1}. \end{aligned}$$

We refer to the ordered pair $\varepsilon_0, \varepsilon_1$ as the type of M .

Lemma 3.5 [4] *For all nonnegative integers d and for all nonzero scalars $a \in \mathcal{F}$, there is a $(d + 1)$ -dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ -module of type $(1,1)$ with basis v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d and action*

$$\begin{aligned} e_0^+ \cdot v_{i-1} &= q^{-1} a [i] v_i, & e_1^- \cdot v_{i-1} &= [i] v_i, \\ e_0^- \cdot v_i &= q a^{-1} [d - i + 1] v_{i-1}, & e_1^+ \cdot v_i &= [d - i + 1] v_{i-1}, \\ K_0 \cdot v_i &= q^{-d+2i} v_i, & K_1 \cdot v_i &= q^{d-2i} v_i. \end{aligned}$$

Such a module is called an evaluation module.

In light of Lemma 3.3, the tensor product of evaluation modules is a $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ -module.

Theorem 3.6 [4] *Every finite-dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ -modules of type $(1,1)$ is isomorphic to a tensor product of evaluation modules.*

There is a second action \cdot_σ of $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ on each $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ -module V given by

$$u \cdot_\sigma v = \sigma(u) \cdot v, \quad \text{for all } v \in V \quad \text{and } u \in U_q(\widehat{sl_2}),$$

where σ is the automorphism in Lemma 3.2 and the \cdot is the action in Lemma 3.5, this new action will help us to proof our main result.

Lemma 3.7 *Let M denote a finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ -module of type $(1, 1)$. Then the module structures on M defined by \cdot and \cdot_σ are isomorphic.*

Proof. The finite dimensional irreducible $U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ -module M may be evaluation module or according to Theorem 3.6 isomorphic to tensor product of evaluation modules. If M is an evaluation module with basis v_0, v_1, \dots, v_d as in Lemma 3.5, then for $0 \leq i \leq d$, define $w_i = (qa^{-1})^i v_{d-i}$. Observe that for each $u \in U_q(\widehat{sl_2})$ the \cdot_σ action on $\{w_i\}_{i=0}^d$ agrees with the \cdot action on $\{v_i\}_{i=0}^d$. If M tensor product of evaluation modules, then the result hold by induction and Lemma 3.3.

4 TD pairs of q -Serre type

In this section, we recall some facts related to TDP of q -Serre type and use them to show that A, A^* and A^*, A are isomorphic.

Lemma 4.1 [12, Lemma 4.8] *Let A, A^* denote a TDP on V of diameter d . Then the following are equivalent.*

- (i) A, A^* is of q -Serre type.
- (ii) *There exist eigenvalue and dual eigenvalue sequences for A, A^* which satisfy*

$$\theta_i = q^{2i}\theta, \quad \theta_i^* = q^{2d-2i}\theta^* \quad (0 \leq i \leq d) \tag{6}$$

for some nonzero scalars $\theta, \theta^ \in \mathcal{F}$.*

Lemma 4.2 *If A, A^* is a TDP on V of q -Serre type with diameter d , then so is A^*, A .*

Proof. It is clear that A^*, A is a TDP since Definition 1.1 is symmetric in A and A^* . The q -Serre type follows directly by replacing q by q^{-1} , θ by $q^{2d}\theta$ and θ^* by $q^{2d}\theta^*$ in Lemma 4.1.

Lemma 4.3 *Let A, A^* be a TDP on V of q -Serre type, and let θ and θ^* be as in Lemma 4.1. Then $q^{-d}\theta^{-1}A, q^{-d}\theta^{*-1}A^*$ is a normalized TDP on V of q -Serre type.*

Proof. Straightforward.

Theorem 4.4 [9] Let A, A^* be a normalized TDP on V of q -Serre type. Then there exists a unique $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ -module structure on V such that A and A^* act as $e_0^+ + K_0$ and $e_1^+ + K_1$, respectively. This $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ -module is irreducible of type $(1,1)$.

Proof of Theorem 2.5. Let $\gamma : V \longrightarrow V$ be the $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$ -module isomorphism from V with \cdot to V with \cdot_σ . By construction and since $\sigma^2 = 1$,

$$\gamma u \cdot v = u \cdot_\sigma \gamma v = \sigma(u) \cdot \gamma v, \quad \forall u \in U_q(\widehat{sl}_2), \quad v \in V.$$

Compute:

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma A v &= \gamma(e_0^+ + K_0) \cdot v \\ &= \sigma(e_0^+ + K_0) \cdot \gamma v \\ &= e_1^+ + K_1 \cdot \gamma v \\ &= A^* \gamma v. \end{aligned}$$

And

$$\begin{aligned} \gamma A^* v &= \gamma(e_1^+ + K_1) \cdot v \\ &= \sigma(e_1^+ + K_1) \cdot \gamma v \\ &= e_0^+ + K_0 \cdot \gamma v \\ &= A \gamma v. \end{aligned}$$

Thus by Def 2.1, γ is an isomorphism of TDP's from A, A^* to A^*, A .

References

- [1] H. Alnajjar and B. Curtin. A Family of tridiagonal pairs. *Linear algebra Appl.*, **390** (2004), 369–384.
- [2] H. Alnajjar and B. Curtin. A Family of tridiagonal pairs related to quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. *Electron. J. Linear algebra*, **13** (2005), 1–9.
- [3] H. Alnajjar and B. Curtin. A bilinear form for tridiagonal pairs of q -Serre type. *Linear algebra Appl.*, **428** (2008), 2688–2698.
- [4] V. Chari and A. Pressley. Quantum affine algebras. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **142**(2) (1991), 261–283.
- [5] S. Hobart and T. Ito. The structure of nonthin irreducible T-modules of endpoint 1: Ladder bases and classical parameters. *J. Algebraic Combin.*, **7** (1) (1998), 53–75.
- [6] T. Ito, K. Tanabe and P. Terwilliger. Some algebra related to P- and Q-polynomial association schemes. *Codes and Association Schemes (Piscataway NJ, 1999)*, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence RI, **56** (2001), 167–192.

- [7] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. The shape of a tridiagonal pair. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra* **188**(1–3) (2004), 145–160.
- [8] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs and the quantum affine algebra $U_q(\widehat{sl}_2)$. *Ramanujan J.*, **13** no. 1-3 (2007), 39–62.
- [9] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Two non-nilpotent linear transformation that satisfy the cubic q -Serre relations. *J. Algebra Appl.*, **6** no. 3 (2007), 477–503.
- [10] T. Ito and P. Terwilliger. Tridiagonal pairs of Krawtchouk type. arXiv:0706.1065v1.
- [11] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, **330** (2001), 149–203.
- [12] P. Terwilliger. Two relations that generalize the q -Serre relations and Dolan-Grady relations. *Physics and combinatorics 1999 (Nagoya)*, World Scientific Publishing, River Edge, NJ, 2001.
- [13] P. Terwilliger. Leonard pairs from 24 points of view. *Rocky Mountain J. Math.*, **32**(2) (2002), 827–888.
- [14] P. Terwilliger. Two linear transformations each tridiagonal with respect to an eigenbasis of the other: the TD - D and the LB - UB canonical form. *J. Algebra* **291**(1) (2005), 1–45.
- [15] P. Terwilliger. Introduction to Leonard pairs. OPSFA Rome 2001. *J. Comput. Appl. Math.*, **153**(2) (2003), 463–475.

Received: October, 2009