

Indefinite RK -Manifolds of Constant Holomorphic Sectional Curvature

Rakesh Kumar

Department of Mathematics
University College of Engineering
Punjabi University Patiala 147 002, India
dr_rk37c@yahoo.co.in

R. K. Nagaich

Department of Mathematics
Punjabi University Patiala 147 002, India
rk_nagaich@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

The Riemannian Curvature tensor for an RK -manifolds with constant holomorphic sectional curvature has been derived and then discussed the Cartan's Lemma for indefinite RK -manifolds.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 53B35, 53C55

Keywords: RK -manifolds, Cartan's lemma, Holomorphic sectional curvature

1 Introduction

Let (M^{2n}, g, J) be an almost Hermitian manifold with almost complex structure J and a metric g , which is J -Hermitian, that is, $g(JX, JY) = g(X, Y)$, where $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

An almost Hermitian manifold whose Riemannian curvature tensor R is J -invariant, that is,

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(JX, JY, JZ, JW), \quad (1)$$

for $X, Y, Z, W \in \chi(M)$, is called an RK -manifold.

The metric g is said to be degenerate if there exists a non-zero vector $X \in \chi(M)$

such that $g(X, Y) = 0$ for all $Y \in \chi(M)$ and a vector field X is a space-like, time-like or null if $g(X, X) > 0$, $g(X, X) < 0$ or $g(X, X) = 0$ respectively for $X \neq 0$.

A plane (this will always mean a real 2-dimensional vector subspace) P of $T_m(M)$ is non-degenerate (with respect to g) if and only if P has a basis $\{X, Y\}$ with

$$g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2 \neq 0, \quad (2)$$

then sectional curvature function K is defined for a non-degenerate plane P of $T_x(M)$ by

$$K(X, Y) = \frac{R(X, Y, X, Y)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}. \quad (3)$$

A holomorphic plane spanned by $\{X, JX\}$ is said to be non-degenerate if and only if it contains X with $g(X, X) \neq 0$ (non null) and the restriction of K to these non-degenerate holomorphic planes is called the holomorphic sectional curvature of M and denoted by $H(X)$ for $K(X, JX)$. The holomorphic bisectonal curvature $H(X, Y)$ is defined for a non-degenerate plane spanned by $\{X, Y\}$ of $T_x(M)$ as usual by

$$H(X, Y) = \frac{R(X, JX, Y, JY)}{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2}. \quad (4)$$

Let M be an RK -manifold and $X, Y \in T_m(M)$, $m \in M$. Then, it is known [4] that,

$$R(X, Y, X, Y) = \frac{1}{32} \{3Q(X + JY) + 3Q(X - JY) - Q(X + Y) - Q(X - Y) - 4Q(X) - 4Q(Y)\} + \frac{5}{8}\lambda(X, Y) + \frac{1}{8}\lambda(X, JY), \quad (5)$$

where $Q(X) = R(X, JX, X, JX)$ and

$$\lambda(X, Y) = R(X, Y, X, Y) - R(X, Y, JX, JY). \quad (6)$$

It is also well known that, if a Kähler manifold M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c(m)$, at every point $m \in M$, then the Riemannian curvature tensor $R(X, Y, Z, W)$ of M , is of the form [3]:

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) = \frac{c(m)}{4} \{g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + g(X, JW)g(Y, JZ) - g(X, JZ)g(Y, JW) - 2g(X, JY)g(Z, JW)\}, \quad (7)$$

for any vectors X, Y, Z and $W \in T_m(M)$.

Definition([1]): Let M be an almost Hermitian manifold. Then M is said to be of

constant type at $m \in M$ provided that for all $x \in T_m(M)$ we have $\|\nabla_x(J)(y)\| = \|\nabla_x(J)(z)\|$ whenever $\langle x, y \rangle = \langle Jx, y \rangle = \langle x, z \rangle = \langle Jx, z \rangle = 0$ and $\|y\| = \|z\|$. If this hold for all $m \in M$ we say that M has (pointwise) constant type. Finally, if M has pointwise constant type and for $X, Y \in \chi(M)$ with $\langle X, Y \rangle = \langle JX, Y \rangle = 0$, the function $\|\nabla_X(J)(Y)\|$ is constant whenever $\|X\| = \|Y\| = 1$, then we say that M has global constant type.

Lemma: Let M be a nearly Kaehler manifold. Then M has (pointwise) constant type if and only if there exists α such that

$$\lambda(X, Y) = \alpha\{g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - g(X, Y)^2 - g(X, JY)^2\}, \tag{8}$$

for all $X, Y \in \chi(M)$.

The first aim of this paper is to generalize the (7) for an RK-manifold when endowed with definite metric g . In fact, we prove the following:

Theorem [A]: Let (M^{2n}, g, J) be an RK-manifold of dimension ≥ 6 , with constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c(m)$, at every point $m \in M$. Then, the Riemannian curvature tensor of M is of the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, W) = & \frac{c(m)}{4}\{g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + g(X, JW)g(Y, JZ) \\ & - g(X, JZ)g(Y, JW) - 2g(X, JY)g(Z, JW)\} \\ & + \frac{3}{4}\lambda(X, Y, Z, W) + \frac{1}{4}\{R(X, Y, JZ, JW) + R(Y, Z, JX, JW) \\ & + R(Z, X, JY, JW)\}, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where X, Y, Z and W in $T_m(M)$ and $\lambda(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, Z, W) - R(X, Y, JZ, JW)$.

For a Riemannian manifold (M, g) the following result, so called the Cartan's lemma, is well known [2]:

Lemma [B]: Let (M, g) be a Riemannian manifold of dimension ≥ 3 . Then, M is a space of constant sectional curvature if and only if, $R(X, Y, Z, X) = 0$, for all orthonormal vectors X, Y and Z at any point of M .

2 Proof of Theorem [A]

Since $Q(X) = R(X, JX, X, JX) = -H(X)\|X\|^4$ therefore

$$\begin{aligned} Q(X + JY) &= -H(X + JY)\|X + JY\|^4 \\ &= -H(X + JY)\{(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))^2 + 4(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))g(X, JY) \\ &\quad + 4g(X, JY)^2\}. \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Similarly, calculating $Q(X - JY)$, $Q(X + Y)$ and $Q(X - Y)$ and substituting these values in (5), we have:

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, X, Y) = & -\frac{1}{32}[3H(X + JY)\{(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))^2 + 4(g(X, X) \\
& + g(Y, Y))g(X, JY) + 4g(X, JY)^2\} + 3H(X - JY)\{(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))^2 \\
& - 4(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))g(X, JY) + 4g(X, JY)^2\} \\
& - H(X + Y)\{(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))^2 + 4(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))g(X, Y) \\
& + 4g(X, Y)^2\} - H(X - Y)\{(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))^2 \\
& - 4(g(X, X) + g(Y, Y))g(X, Y) + 4g(X, Y)^2\} - 4H(X)g(X, X)^2 \\
& - 4H(Y)g(Y, Y)^2] + \frac{5}{8}[R(X, Y, X, Y) - R(X, Y, JX, JY)] \\
& + \frac{1}{8}[R(X, JY, X, JY) + R(X, JY, JX, Y)]. \tag{11}
\end{aligned}$$

Hence, if M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature $c(m)$ then from above, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, X, Y) = & \frac{c(m)}{4}\{g(X, Y)^2 - g(X, X)g(Y, Y) - 3g(X, JY)^2\} \\
& + \frac{5}{8}[R(X, Y, X, Y) - R(X, Y, JX, JY)] + \frac{1}{8}[R(X, JY, X, JY) \\
& + R(X, JY, JX, Y)]. \tag{12}
\end{aligned}$$

Replacing Y by $Y + W$ in above relation, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, X, W) = & \frac{c(m)}{4}\{g(X, Y)g(X, W) - g(X, X).g(Y, W) - 3g(X, JY)g(X, JW)\} \\
& + \frac{5}{8}[R(X, Y, X, W) - R(X, Y, JX, JW)] + \frac{1}{8}[R(X, JY, X, JW) \\
& + R(X, JY, JX, W)]. \tag{13}
\end{aligned}$$

Again, replacing X by $X + Z$ in (13), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
R(X, Y, Z, W) + R(Z, Y, X, W) = & \frac{c(m)}{4}\{g(X, W)g(Y, Z) + g(X, Y)g(Z, W) \\
& - 2g(X, Z)g(Y, W) - 3g(X, JW)g(Z, JY) \\
& - 3g(X, JY).g(Z, JW)\} \\
& + \frac{5}{8}[R(X, Y, Z, W) - R(X, Y, JZ, JW)] \\
& + \frac{1}{8}[R(X, JY, Z, JW) + R(X, JY, JZ, W)] \\
& + \frac{5}{8}[R(Z, Y, X, W) - R(Z, Y, JX, JW)] \\
& + \frac{1}{8}[R(Z, JY, X, JW) + R(Z, JY, JX, W)]. \tag{14}
\end{aligned}$$

Interchanging X and Y in (14) and subtracting the equation thus obtained, we get

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) + R(Z, Y, X, W) - R(Y, X, Z, W) - R(Z, X, Y, W) \tag{15}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \frac{c(m)}{4} \{g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(Y, W)g(X, Z) + g(X, Y)g(Z, W) \\
 &\quad - g(Y, X)g(Z, W) - 2g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + 2g(Y, Z)g(X, W) \\
 &\quad - 3g(X, JW)g(Z, JY) + 3g(Y, JW)g(Z, JX) \\
 &\quad - 3g(X, JY)g(Z, JW) + 3g(Y, JX)g(Z, JW)\} \\
 &+ \frac{5}{8} [R(JX, Y, JZ, W) + R(JX, Y, Z, JW) + R(JZ, Y, JX, W) \\
 &\quad + R(JZ, Y, X, JW) - R(JY, X, JZ, W) - R(JY, X, Z, JW) \\
 &\quad - R(JZ, X, JY, W) - R(JZ, X, Y, JW)] \\
 &+ \frac{1}{8} [R(X, JY, Z, JW) + R(X, JY, JZ, W) + R(Z, JY, X, JW) \\
 &\quad + R(Z, JY, JX, W) - R(Y, JX, Z, JW) - R(Y, JX, JZ, W) \\
 &\quad - R(Z, JX, Y, JW) - R(Z, JX, JY, W)].
 \end{aligned}$$

Using Bianchi's first identity and the fact that

$$R(X, Y, Z, W) - R(X, Y, JZ, JW) = R(JX, Y, JZ, W) + R(JX, Y, Z, JW) \quad (16)$$

in (15), we get the required result.

Remark [C] Among Riemannian manifolds, Kähler manifolds have rich geometric and topological structures because the curvature tensor of Kähler manifold satisfies a special identity, namely, the Kähler identity $R(X, Y, Z, W) = R(X, Y, JZ, JW)$ therefore if we consider M as a Kähler manifold then $\lambda(X, Y, Z, W) = 0$. Using this result with Kähler identity and Bianchi's first identity in (9), we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 R(X, Y, Z, W) &= \frac{c(m)}{4} \{g(X, W)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, W) + g(X, JW)g(Y, JZ) \\
 &\quad - g(X, JZ)g(Y, JW) - 2g(X, JY)g(Z, JW)\}, \quad (17)
 \end{aligned}$$

which is same as (7).

Next, we shall prove the generalization of Cartan's lemma for an RK -manifold, in the following form:

Theorem [D]: Let (M^{2n}, g, J) be an indefinite RK -manifold of constant type α , with dimension ≥ 6 . Then, M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if, $R(X, Y, Z, X) = 0$, for all orthonormal vectors X, Y and Z .

Proof: Let M be an indefinite RK -manifold of constant type α , and $\{X, Y, Z, JX, JY, JZ\}$ be a set of orthonormal vectors. We shall discuss the proof in two different cases.

Case I: When $g(X, X) = g(Y, Y)$.

Using (6), we have

$$2\alpha = \lambda(X, Y + Z) = \lambda(X, Y) + \lambda(X, Z) + 2\{R(X, Y, X, Z) - R(X, Y, JX, JZ)\}.$$

For orthonormal vectors $\{X, Y\}$, from (8) we have $\lambda(X, Y) = \alpha$. Using this in above equation, we get

$$R(X, Y, Z, X) = R(X, Y, JZ, JX). \quad (18)$$

Let (M^{2n}, g, J) be of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, then from (9), replacing W by X , we get

$$\begin{aligned} R(X, Y, Z, X) &= \frac{c(m)}{4} \{g(X, X)g(Y, Z) - g(X, Z)g(Y, X) + g(X, JX)g(Y, JZ) \\ &\quad - g(X, JZ)g(Y, JX) - 2g(X, JY)g(Z, JX)\} + \frac{3}{4}\lambda(X, Y, Z, X) \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{4}\{R(X, Y, JZ, JX) + R(Y, Z, JX, JX) + R(Z, X, JY, JX)\}, \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

since $\{X, Y, Z, JX, JY, JZ\}$ is a set of orthonormal vectors and using Bianchi's first identity, we have

$$R(X, Y, Z, X) = \frac{3}{4}\{R(X, Y, Z, X) - R(X, Y, JZ, JX)\}, \quad (20)$$

using (18), we have

$$R(X, Y, Z, X) = 0. \quad (21)$$

Conversely, define $\acute{X} = X \cos \theta + Y \sin \theta$ and $\acute{Y} = -X \sin \theta + Y \cos \theta$ then \acute{X}, \acute{Y} and $J\acute{X}$ form an orthonormal set of vectors. Using (21), we have

$$R(\acute{X}, J\acute{X}, \acute{Y}, J\acute{X}) = 0. \quad (22)$$

From this we have

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= -\sin \theta \cos^3 \theta H(X) + \sin^3 \theta \cos \theta H(Y) - \sin^3 \theta \cos \theta R(Y, JY, X, JX) \\ &\quad - \sin^3 \theta \cos \theta R(X, JY, X, JY) - \sin^3 \theta \cos \theta R(Y, JX, X, JY) \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta R(X, JY, Y, JX) + \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta R(Y, JX, Y, JX) \\ &\quad + \sin \theta \cos^3 \theta R(X, JX, Y, JY). \end{aligned} \quad (23)$$

Choosing $\theta = \pi/4$ in above then we have

$$H(X) = H(Y). \quad (24)$$

Thus M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Case II: When $g(X, X) = -g(Y, Y)$.

Here define $\acute{X} = X \cosh \theta + Y \sinh \theta$ and $\acute{Y} = X \sinh \theta + Y \cosh \theta$ then \acute{X}, \acute{Y} and $J\acute{X}$ form an orthonormal set of vectors and using (21), we have

$$R(\acute{X}, J\acute{X}, \acute{Y}, J\acute{X}) = 0, \quad (25)$$

this gives

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \sinh \theta \cosh^3 \theta H(X) + \sinh^3 \theta \cosh \theta H(Y) + \sinh^3 \theta \cosh \theta R(Y, JY, X, JX) \\
&+ \sinh^3 \theta \cosh \theta R(X, JY, X, JY) + \sinh^3 \theta \cosh \theta R(Y, JX, X, JY) \\
&+ \sinh \theta \cosh^3 \theta R(X, JY, Y, JX) + \sinh \theta \cosh^3 \theta R(Y, JX, Y, JX) \\
&+ \sinh \theta \cosh^3 \theta R(X, JX, Y, JY). \tag{26}
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \cosh^2 \theta H(X) + \sinh^2 \theta H(Y) + \sinh^2 \theta R(Y, JY, X, JX) \\
&+ \sinh^2 \theta R(X, JY, X, JY) + \sinh^2 \theta R(Y, JX, X, JY) \\
&+ \cosh^2 \theta R(X, JY, Y, JX) + \cosh^2 \theta R(Y, JX, Y, JX) \\
&+ \cosh^2 \theta R(X, JX, Y, JY). \tag{27}
\end{aligned}$$

Or

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \cosh^2 \theta H(X) + \sinh^2 \theta H(Y) + (\cosh^2 \theta + \sinh^2 \theta) R(X, JX, Y, JY) \\
&+ (\cosh^2 \theta + \sinh^2 \theta) R(X, JY, Y, JX) + (\cosh^2 \theta + \sinh^2 \theta) R(X, JY, X, JY). \tag{28}
\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &= \cos^2 \theta H(X) - \sin^2 \theta H(Y) + (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) R(X, JX, Y, JY) \\
&+ (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) R(X, JY, Y, JX) + (\cos^2 \theta - \sin^2 \theta) R(X, JY, X, JY). \tag{29}
\end{aligned}$$

Choosing $\theta = \pi/4$ in above then we have

$$H(X) = H(Y). \tag{30}$$

Thus M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature.

Corollary [F]: *Let (M^{2n}, g, J) be an RK-manifold of constant type α with dimension ≥ 6 . Then, M is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature if and only if, $R(X, Y, X, JX) = 0$, for every orthonormal set of vectors X, Y and JX .*

References

- [1] A. Gray, *Nearly Kaehler Manifolds*, J. Diff. Geom. 4 (1970), 283–309.
- [2] E. Cartan, *Lecons Sur La geometrie des espaces de Riemann*, Paris Gauthier Villars (1946).
- [3] K. Yano and I. Mogi, *On real representation of Kählerian manifolds*, Math. Ann. 61 (1955), 170–189.

- [4] L. Vanhecke, *Almost Hermitian manifolds with J -invariant Riemann Curvature tensor*, Rend. Sem. Mat. Univers. Politecn. Vol. 24, (1975-76), 487–498.
- [5] L. Vanhecke, *Some theorems for quasi- and nearly Kähler manifolds*, Boll. Un. Matem. Ital., 43, (1975), 174–188.
- [6] M. Barros and A. Remero, *Indefinite Kähler manifolds*, Math. Ann. 266 (1982), 55–62.

Received: July, 2008