

A Linear Operator and its Applications on p-Valent Functions

H. A. Al-Kharsani and S. S. Al-Hajiry ¹

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Science
Girls College, Dammam, Saudi Arabia

Abstract

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p}z^{k+p}$, which are analytic in the open unit disk $D = \{z : z \in C; |z| < 1\}$. The authors define the function $\phi^{(*)}(a, c; z)$ by using convolution $*$ as $\phi_p(a, c; z) * \phi_p^{(*)}(a, c; z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+1}}$ and then introduce new subclass of p-valent functions using an integral operator defined as $IL_p(a, c; z)f(z) = \phi_p^{(*)}(a, c; z) * f(z)$ and derive some interesting properties of this generalized integral operator $IL_p(a, c; z)$.

1 Introduction

Let $A(p)$ denote the class of functions of the form $f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k+p}z^{k+p}$, which are analytic in the open unit disk $D = \{z : z \in C; |z| < 1\}$. If f and g are analytic in D , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$ or $f(z) \prec g(z)$, if there exists a Schwartz function w in D such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$.

The function $\phi_p(a, c; z)$ is defined by

$$\phi_p(a, c; z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} z^{k+p}$$

$$(a \in R, c \in C - \{0, -1, -2, \dots\}, z \in D)$$

Corresponding to the function $\phi_p(a, c; z)$, Saitoh[6] introduced a linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ which is defined by Hadamard product $(*)$ (or convolution):

$$\begin{aligned} L_p(a, c; z)f(z) &= \phi_p(a, c; z) * f(z) \\ &= z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(a)_k}{(c)_k} a_{k+p} z^{k+p}, \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

¹ssmh1@hotmail.com

where $(a)_k$ is the Pochhammer symbol defined by

$$(a)_k = \frac{\Gamma(a+k)}{\Gamma(a)} = a(a+1)\dots(a+k-1), k \in N = \{1, 2, \dots\}$$

$$(a)_0 = 1.$$

The definition 1 of the linear operator $L_p(a, c)$ is motivated essentially by the familiar Carlson-Shaffer operator $L(a, c) := L_1(a, c)[1]$, which has been used widely on such spaces of analytic and univalent functions in D . A linear operator $l_p(a, c)$, analogous to $L_p(a, c)$ considered here, was investigated recently by Liu and Srivastava [4] on the space of meromorphically p -valent functions in U . We remark in passing that a much more general convolution operator than the operator $L_p(a, c)$ considered here, involving the generalized hypergeometric function in the defining Hadamard product (or convolution), was introduced earlier by Dziok and Srivastava[2].

Recently, analogous to Saitoh [6] defined an integral operator as follows.

Let $\phi_p^{(*)}(a, c; z)$ be defined such that

$$\phi_p(a, c; z) * \phi_p^{(*)}(a, c; z) = \frac{z^p}{(1-z)^{p+1}}$$

Then

$$IL_p(a, c; z)f(z) = \phi_p^{(*)}(a, c; z) * f(z) \quad (1.2)$$

The operator $IL_p(a, c; z)$ satisfies that

$$\begin{aligned} & IL_p(a, c+1; z)f(z) \\ &= z(IL_p(a, c; z)f(z))' + (c-p)IL_p(a, c; z)f(z) \end{aligned} \quad (1.3)$$

Putting $a = p$ and $c = 1$ in 1, we have $pIL_p(a, c; z)f(z) = zf'(z)$, and when we put $a = p+1, c = 1$ in 1, we obtain $IL_p(a, c; z)f(z) = f(z)$. Setting $a = n+p, c = 1$ in 1 we obtain $IL_p(a, c; z)f(z) = I_{n+p-1}$, and when $a = p+1, c = n+p$, we have $IL_p(a, c; z)f(z) = D^{n+p-1}f(z)$.

2 Main Results

In this section, we shall derive some properties of the linear operator $IL_p(a, c; z)$.

Theorem 1 Let $f(z) \in A(p)$, $\beta \geq 1$, $c > 0$ and $0 \leq \alpha < 1$. If

$$\Re\left\{\frac{IL_p(a, c+1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}\right\} < 1 + \frac{1-\alpha}{c}, \quad (2.1)$$

then

$$\Re\left\{\left(\frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{z^p}\right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)}}\right\} > 2^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}. \quad (2.2)$$

In order to prove the above theorem we shall need the following lemma which is due to Eenigenburg, Miller, Mocanu, and Reade [3].

Lemma 2 *Let γ, β be complex constants and $h(z)$ be univalently convex in the unit disk D with $h(0) = p$ and $\Re(\beta h(z) + \gamma) > 0$. Let $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$ be analytic in D . Then*

$$g(z) + \frac{zg'(z)}{\beta g(z) + \gamma} \prec h(z) \implies g(z) \prec h(z).$$

Proof. From 1 and 1, we have

$$\frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} = \frac{1}{c} \left\{ \frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} + (c - p) \right\}, \tag{2.3}$$

then

$$\Re \left\{ \frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} \right\} < p + 1 - \alpha, z \in D.$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{2(1 - \alpha)} \left[\frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} + p \right] \prec \frac{z}{1 - z}.$$

Now, let

$$s(z) = \left(\frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2(1-\alpha)}} \tag{2.4}$$

then 1 may be written as

$$z(\log s(z))' \prec z \left(\log \frac{1}{1 - z} \right)'. \tag{2.5}$$

Using a well-known result[7] to 1, we find that

$$s(z) \prec \frac{1}{1 - z}$$

that is, that

$$\left(\frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)}} = \left(\frac{1}{1 - w(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}, \tag{2.6}$$

where $w(z)$ is analytic in D , $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$ for $z \in D$. According to $\Re(t^{\frac{1}{\beta}}) \geq (\Re t)^{\frac{1}{\beta}}$ for $\Re t > 0$ and $\beta \geq 1$, 1 yields

$$\Re \left(\frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{z^p} \right)^{\frac{1}{2\beta(1-\alpha)}} \geq \left(\Re \frac{1}{1 - w(z)} \right)^{\frac{1}{\beta}} > 2^{-\frac{1}{\beta}}$$

This completes the proof. ■

Theorem 3 Let $f(z) \in A(p)$, $c > 0, 0 \leq \lambda < c + 1$ and $\alpha > 1$. Then

$$\Re \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} + \lambda \frac{IL_p(a, c + 2; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)} \right) < \alpha, z \in D, \quad (2.7)$$

implies

$$\Re \left(\frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} \right) < \beta, z \in D, \quad (2.8)$$

where $\beta \in [\alpha, +\infty)$ is the positive root of the equation

$$2(c + 1 - \lambda)x^2 + (3\lambda - 2(c + 1)\alpha)x - \lambda = 0.$$

We need the following lemma to prove our theorem

Lemma 4 (see [5]). Let Ω be a set in the complex plane C and let b be a complex number satisfying $\Re b > 0$. Suppose that the function $\psi : C^2 \times D \rightarrow C$ satisfies the condition $\psi(ix; y) \notin \Omega$ for all real $x; y \leq \frac{-|b+ix|^2}{2\Re b}$ and all $z \in D$. If the function $p(z)$ defined by $p(z) = b + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$ is analytic in D and if $\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) \in \Omega$, then $\Re p(z) > 0$ in D .

Proof. Let

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left(\beta - \frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} \right), \quad (2.9)$$

then $p(z)$ is analytic in D and $p(0) = 1$. Differentiating 1 and using 1 we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} + \lambda \frac{IL_p(a, c + 2; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)} \\ &= \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{c + 1} \left(1 + \frac{zp'(z)}{\beta - (\beta - 1)p(z)} \right) - \frac{(\beta - 1)(c + 1 - \lambda)}{c + 1} p(z) \\ &= \psi(p(z), zp'(z)) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\psi(r, s) = \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{c + 1} \left(1 + \frac{s}{\beta - (\beta - 1)r} \right) - \frac{(\beta - 1)(c + 1 - \lambda)}{c + 1} r. \quad (2.10)$$

Using 1 and 1, we have

$$\{\psi(p(z), zp'(z)) : z \in D\} \subset \Omega = \{w \in C : \Re w < \alpha\}.$$

Now for all real $x; y \leq \frac{1+x^2}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\psi(ix, y) &= \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{c + 1} \left(1 + y \frac{\beta}{\beta^2 + (\beta - 1)^2 x^2}\right) \\ &\geq \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{c + 1} \left(1 - \frac{\beta(1 + x^2)}{2(\beta^2 + (\beta - 1)^2 x^2)}\right) \\ &\geq \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{c + 1} \left(1 - \frac{1}{2\beta}\right) = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

where β is the positive root of the equation

$$2(c + 1 - \lambda)x^2 + (3\lambda - 2(c + 1)\alpha)x - \lambda = 0.$$

Note that $0 \leq \lambda < \alpha + 1$ and $f(\alpha) = -\lambda(2\alpha - 1)(\alpha - 1) \leq 0$, then we have $\beta \in [\alpha, +\infty)$. Hence for each $z \in D$, $\psi(ix; y) \notin \Omega$. By Lemma 1, we get $\Re p(z) > 0$. This proves 1. ■

We next prove

Theorem 5 Let α, λ, c be real number with $0 \leq \lambda, \alpha > 1$ and $c > 0$. Let $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$ satisfy

$$\Re \left(\frac{IL_p(a, c; z)g(z)}{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)g(z)} \right) > \gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1. \tag{2.11}$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\Re \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)g(z)} \right) < \alpha, z \in D, \tag{2.12}$$

then we have

$$\Re \left(\frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)g(z)} \right) < \beta, z \in D, \tag{2.13}$$

where $\beta = \frac{2\alpha c + \lambda\gamma}{2c + \lambda\gamma}$.

Proof. Let $\beta = \frac{2\alpha c + \lambda\gamma}{2c + \lambda\gamma}$, and consider the function

$$s(z) = \frac{1}{\beta - 1} \left(\beta - \frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)g(z)} \right). \tag{2.14}$$

The function $s(z)$ is analytic in D and $s(0) = 1$. Set

$$B(z) = \frac{IL_p(a, c; z)g(z)}{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}$$

then $\Re B(z) > \gamma$. Differentiating $s(z)$ and using 1, we

$$\begin{aligned} & (1 - \lambda) \frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)g(z)} + \lambda \frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)g(z)} \\ &= \beta - (\beta - 1)s(z) - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{c} B(z)zs'(z). \end{aligned}$$

Let

$$\psi(r, t) = \beta - (\beta - 1)r - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)}{c} B(z)t.$$

then from 1, we deduce that

$$\{\psi(s(z), zs'(z)) : z \in D\} \subset \Omega = \{w \in C : \Re w < \alpha\}.$$

Now for all real $x; y \leq \frac{1+x^2}{2}$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Re \psi(ix, y) &= \beta - \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)y}{c + 1} \Re(B(z)) \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)\gamma}{2c} (1 + x^2) \\ &\geq \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)\gamma}{2c} = \beta + \frac{\lambda(\beta - 1)(1 - 2\beta)}{2\beta(c + 1)} = \alpha \end{aligned}$$

Hence for each $z \in D$, $\psi(ix; y) \notin \Omega$. Thus by Lemma 1, $\Re s(z) > 0$ in D . The proof of the theorem is complete. ■

From the proof of Theorem 3, we can easily have the following corollaries.

Corollary 6 Let α, λ, c be real number with $0 \leq \lambda, \alpha > 1$ and $c > 0$. Let $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$ satisfy the condition 1. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies 1, then we have

$$\Re \left(\frac{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c + 1; z)g(z)} \right) < \frac{\alpha(2c + \gamma) + \gamma(\lambda - 1)}{2c + \lambda\gamma}, z \in D.$$

Taking $a = n + p$ and $c = 1$ in Theorem 3, we have

Corollary 7 Let α, λ be real number with $0 \leq \lambda$ and $\alpha > 1$. Let $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p} z^{k+p}$ satisfy

$$\Re \left(\frac{I_{n+p-1}g(z)}{I_{n+p}g(z)} \right) > \gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\Re \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{I_{n+p-1}g(z)} + \lambda \frac{I_{n+p}f(z)}{I_{n+p}g(z)} \right) < \alpha, z \in D,$$

then we have

$$\Re \left(\frac{I_{n+p-1}f(z)}{I_{n+p-1}g(z)} \right) < \frac{2\alpha + \lambda\gamma}{2 + \lambda\gamma}.$$

Putting $a = p + 1$ and $c = n + p$ in Theorem 3, we obtain

Corollary 8 Let α, λ be real number with $0 \leq \lambda$ and $\alpha. > 1$.Let $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p}z^{k+p}$ satisfy

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}g(z)}{D^{n+p}g(z)} \right) > \gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\Re \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}g(z)} + \lambda \frac{D^{n+p}f(z)}{D^{n+p}g(z)} \right) < \alpha, z \in D \dots\dots$$

then we have

$$\Re \left(\frac{D^{n+p-1}f(z)}{D^{n+p-1}g(z)} \right) < \frac{2\alpha(n + p) + \lambda\gamma}{2(n + p) + \lambda\gamma}.$$

For a function $f(z) \in A(p)$, we define the integral operator $F_\nu(f)$ by

$$F_\nu(f) = \frac{\nu + p}{z^\nu} \int_0^z t^{\nu-1} f(t) dt, (\nu + p \geq 0), f(z) \in A(p). \tag{2.15}$$

Corollary 9 Let α, λ, ν be real number with $0 \leq \lambda, \alpha. > 1$ and $\nu > 0$.Let $g(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} b_{k+p}z^{k+p}$ satisfy

$$\Re \left(\frac{F_\nu g(z)}{g(z)} \right) > \gamma, 0 \leq \gamma < 1.$$

If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies

$$\Re \left((1 - \lambda) \frac{F_\nu f(z)}{F_\nu g(z)} + \lambda \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} \right) < \alpha, z \in D,$$

then we have

$$\Re \left(\frac{F_\nu f(z)}{F_\nu g(z)} \right) < \frac{2\alpha\nu + \lambda\gamma}{2\nu + \lambda\gamma}.$$

Theorem 10 Let $h(z)$ be convex univalent in D with $\Re(h(z)) > 0$ and $h(0) = p$. If $f(z) \in A(p)$ satisfies the condition $\frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)} \prec h(z)$, then $\frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)F_\nu(f(z)))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)F_\nu(f(z))} \prec h(z)$, where F_ν is the integral operator defined by 1

Proof. From 1, we have

$$z(IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z))' = (c+p)IL_p(a, c; z)f(z) - cIL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z). \quad (2.16)$$

Letting $s(z) = \frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z)}$ in 1, we can write

$$\frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z)} + c = (c+p)\frac{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}{IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z)}. \quad (2.17)$$

Differentiating 1 yields

$$s(z) + \frac{zs'(z)}{s(z) + c} = \frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)f(z)}.$$

It follows that $s(z) \prec h(z)$, that is, $\frac{z(IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z))'}{IL_p(a, c; z)F_v f(z)} \prec h(z)$. ■

References

- [1] B.C. CARLSON AND S.B. SHAFFER, Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions, *SIAM J.Math. Anal.*, 15 (1984), 737–745.
- [2] J. DZIOK AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Integral Transform Spec.Funct.*, 14 (2003), 7–18.
- [3] P. EEINGENBURG, S.S. MILLER, P.T. MOCANU AND M.D. READE, General inequalities, 64(1983), (Birkhauseverlag-Basel) ISNM, 339–348.
- [4] J.-L. LIU AND H.M. SRIVASTAVA, Classes of meromorphically multivalent functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function, *Math. Comput. Modelling*, 38 (2004), 21–34.
- [5] S. S. MILLER AND P. T. MOCANU, Differential subordination and univalent functions, *Michigan Math. J.*, 28(1981), 157-171.
- [6] H. SAITOH, A linear operator and its application of first order differential subordinations, *Math. Japonica*, 44(1996), 31-38.
- [7] T. J. SUFFRIDGE, Some remarks on convex maps of the unit disk, *Duke Math. J.*, 37(1970), 775-777.

Received: December 19, 2006