

Inclusion Properties for Certain Subclasses of Analytic Functions Defined by a Generalized Multiplier Transformation

S. R. Swamy

Department of Computer Science and Engineering
R V College of Engineering, Mysore Road
Bangalore-560 059, India
mailto:swamy@rediffmail.com

Abstract

Using the principle of subordination, we obtain some inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions defined by a generalized multiplier transformation. Also inclusion properties of these classes involving the generalized integral operator are obtained.

Mathematical Subject Classification: 30C45

Keywords: Analytic function, multiplier transformation, differential subordination

1. INTRODUCTION

Let A_p denote the class of functions of the form

$$(1.1) \quad f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k, (p \in N = \{1,2,3,\dots\}).$$

which are analytic in the open unit disc $U = \{z \in C : |z| < 1\}$. If f and g are analytic in U , we say that f is subordinate to g , written $f \prec g$, if there exists a Schwarz

function $w(z)$, which (by definition) is analytic in U with $w(0) = 0$ and $|w(z)| < 1$, for all $z \in U$, such that $f(z) = g(w(z))$, $z \in U$. Furthermore, if the function g is univalent in U , then we have the following equivalence (See [7],[14] and [15]):

$$f \prec g \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0) \text{ and } f(U) \subset g(U).$$

For $0 \leq \eta < p$, $p \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $S_p^*(\eta)$, $K_p(\eta)$ and C_p the subclasses of A_p consisting of all analytic functions which are, respectively, p -valent starlike of order η , p -valent convex of order η , and p -valent close-to-convex functions in U ([17],[19] and [22]).

Let Λ be the class of all functions ϕ which are analytic and univalent in U and for which $\phi(U)$ is convex with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\operatorname{Re}(\phi(z)) > 0$, $z \in U$.

Making use of the principle of subordination between analytic functions, we introduce the subclasses $S_p^*(\eta; \phi)$, $K_p(\eta; \phi)$ and $C_p(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$ of the class A_p , $0 \leq \eta < p$, $0 \leq \rho < p$ and $\phi, \varphi \in \Lambda$, which are defined by:

$$S_p^*(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ f \in A_p : \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z), z \in U \right\},$$

$$K_p(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ f \in A_p : \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - \eta \right) \prec \phi(z), z \in U \right\},$$

and

$$C_p(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi) = \left\{ f \in A_p : \frac{1}{p-\rho} \left(\frac{zf'(z)}{g(z)} - \rho \right) \prec \varphi(z), z \in U, \text{ where, } g \in S_p^*(\eta; \phi) \right\}.$$

From these definitions, we can obtain some well known subclasses of A_p , by special choices of the functions ϕ and φ . For example, we have

$$S_p^* \left(\eta; \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = S_p^*(\eta), \quad K_p \left(\eta; \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = K_p(\eta) \text{ and } C_p \left(0, 0; \frac{1+z}{1-z}, \frac{1+z}{1-z} \right) = C_p.$$

Now we define the new generalized multiplier transformation $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n$ on A_p as below:

Definition 1.1. Let $p \in N$, $n \in N_0 = N \cup \{0\}$, $\beta \geq 0$ and α a real number with $\alpha + p\beta > 0$. Then for $f \in A_p$, we define the operator $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n$ by

$$\begin{aligned} I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^0 f(z) &= f(z), \\ I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^1 f(z) &= \frac{\alpha f(z) + \beta z f'(z)}{\alpha + p\beta}, \\ &\dots, \\ I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) &= I_{p,\alpha,\beta}(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n-1} f(z)). \end{aligned}$$

Remark 1.2. We observe that $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n : A_p \rightarrow A_p$, is a linear operator and for $f(z)$ given by (1.1), we have

$$(1.2) \quad I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) = z^p + \sum_{k=p+1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha + k\beta}{\alpha + p\beta} \right)^n a_k z^k.$$

It follows from (1.2) that

$$(1.3) \quad I_{p,\alpha,0}^n f(z) = f(z),$$

$$(1.4) \quad (\alpha + p\beta)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z) = \alpha I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) + \beta z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z))', \beta > 0,$$

and

$$I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n_1}(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n_2} f(z)) = I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n_2}(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n_1} f(z)), \text{ for all } n_1, n_2 \in N_0.$$

We note that

- $I_{1,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) = I_{\alpha,\beta}^n f(z)$ (See [24]).
- $I_{p,\alpha,1}^n f(z) = I_p^n(\alpha) f(z), \alpha > -p$ (See [1], [21] and [23]).
- $I_{p,l+p-p\beta,\beta}^n f(z) = I_p^n(\beta, l) f(z), l > -p, \beta \geq 0$ (See Catas [8]).
- $I_{p,0,\beta}^n f(z) = D_p^n f(z)$ (See [4], [12] and [16]).

Remark 1.3. a) i) $I_p^n(\alpha) f(z)$ was considered in [1], [21] and [23] for $\alpha \geq 0$ and $I_p^n(\beta, l) f(z)$ was defined in [8] for $l \geq 0, \beta \geq 0$, ii) $I_p^n(l) f(z) = I_p^n(1, l) f(z), l > -p$. So our results in this paper are improvement of corresponding results proved earlier for $I_p^n(\alpha) f(z)$ or $I_p^n(\beta, l) f(z)$ to $\alpha > -p$ or $l > -p$, respectively.

b) i) $I_p^n(\beta, 0)f(z) = D_p^n(\beta)f(z)$, $\beta \geq 0$, was mentioned in Aouf et.al. [3], ii) $D_1^n(\beta)$, $\beta \geq 0$, was introduced by Al-Oboudi [2], iii) $D_1^n(1)f(z) = D^n f(z)$ was defined by Salagean [20] and was considered for $n \geq 0$ in [5], iv) $I_1^n(\alpha)f(z)$, $\alpha \geq 0$, was investigated in [9] and [10] and v) $I_1^n(1)$ was due to Uralegaddi and Somanatha [25].

Next, by using the operator $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n$, we introduce the following subclasses of analytic functions for $\phi, \varphi \in \Lambda$, $p \in N$, $n \in N_0$, $\beta \geq 0$, α a real number with $\alpha + p\beta > 0$, $0 \leq \eta < p$ and $0 \leq \rho < p$.

$$S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ f \in A_p : I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) \in S_p^n(\eta; \phi) \right\},$$

$$K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi) = \left\{ f \in A_p : I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) \in K_p(\eta; \phi) \right\},$$

and

$$C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi) = \left\{ f \in A_p : I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) \in C_p(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi) \right\}.$$

We also note that

$$(1.5) \quad f(z) \in K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi) \Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'(z)}{p} \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi).$$

In particular, we set

$$(1.6) \quad S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n \left(\eta; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B), -1 \leq B < A \leq 1,$$

and

$$(1.7) \quad K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n \left(\eta; \frac{1+Az}{1+Bz} \right) = K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B), -1 \leq B < A \leq 1.$$

In section 2, some preliminary results are mentioned. In section 3, we show that $S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$, $K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$ and $C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi) \subset C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$. In section 4, we study inclusion properties of classes $S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$, $K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$ and $C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$, involving generalized Libera integral operator.

2. PRELIMINARY LEMMAS

The following lemmas will be required in our investigation.

Lemma 2.1 ([11]). Let ϕ be convex, univalent in U with $\phi(0) = 1$ and $\text{Re}(\kappa\phi(z) + \gamma) > 0$, $\kappa, \gamma \in C$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$, then

$$p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{\kappa p(z) + \gamma} \prec \phi(z), (z \in U) \text{ implies } p(z) \prec \phi(z), (z \in U).$$

Lemma 2.2 ([15]). Let ϕ be convex, univalent in U and w be analytic in U with $\text{Re}(w(z)) \geq 0$. If $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = \phi(0)$, then

$$p(z) + w(z)zp'(z) \prec \phi(z), (z \in U) \text{ implies } p(z) \prec \phi(z), (z \in U).$$

3. INCLUSION PROPERTIES INVOLVING THE OPERATOR $I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n$.

Unless otherwise mentioned we shall assume that $\beta \geq 0, \alpha$ a real number with $\alpha + p\beta > 0, p \in N, n \in N_0, 0 \leq \eta < p$ and $0 \leq \rho < p$, throughout this paper.

Theorem 3.1. Let $f \in A_p$ and let $\phi \in \Lambda$ with $\text{Re}((p - \eta)\phi(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta)) > 0$. Then

$$S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi).$$

Proof. Let $f(z) \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi)$ and set

$$(3.1) \quad p(z) = \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z)} - \eta \right),$$

Where $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$. Using (1.4) in (3.1), we get

$$(3.2) \quad \left(\frac{\alpha + p\beta}{\beta} \right) \frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z)} = (p - \eta)p(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta).$$

Differentiating (3.2) logarithmically with respect to z , we obtain

$$(3.3) \quad \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z)} - \eta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(p - \eta)p(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta)}, z \in U.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (3.3), it follows that $p \prec \phi$, i.e. $f \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$.

Theorem 3.2. Let $f \in A_p$ and let $\phi \in \Lambda$ with $\text{Re}((p - \eta)\phi(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta)) > 0$. Then

$$K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi).$$

Proof. Applying (1.5) and Theorem 3.1, we conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} f \in K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi) &\Rightarrow \frac{zf'}{p} \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi) \subset S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow \frac{zf'}{p} \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi) \\ &\Leftrightarrow f \in K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi). \end{aligned}$$

Taking $\phi(z) = \frac{1 + Az}{1 + Bz}$, $-1 \leq B < A \leq 1; z \in U$, in Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.2, we have the following corollary.

Corollary 3.3. Let $f \in A_p$. Then $S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; A, B) \subset S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B)$ and $K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta, A, B) \subset K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B)$.

By using Lemma 2.2, we obtain the following inclusion relation for the class $C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$.

Theorem 3.4. Let $f \in A_p$ and let $\phi, \varphi \in \Lambda$ with $\text{Re}((p - \eta)\phi(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta)) > 0$. Then $C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi) \subset C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$, then by definition there exists a function $g \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p - \rho} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} g(z)} - \rho \right) \prec \varphi(z), z \in U.$$

Now, let $p(z) = \frac{1}{p - \rho} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z)} - \rho \right)$, where $p(z)$ is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$.

Using (1.4), we have

$$(3.4) \quad \left(\frac{\alpha + p\beta}{\beta} \right) I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z) = (\alpha / \beta) I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) + [(p - \rho)p(z) + \rho] I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z).$$

Differentiating (3.4) with respect to z and multiplying by z , we get

$$(3.5) \quad \left(\frac{\alpha + p\beta}{\beta}\right) z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z))' = \left(\frac{\alpha}{\beta}\right) z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z))' + [(p - \rho)p(z) + \rho] z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z))' + (p - \rho)zp'(z)(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z)).$$

Since $g \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1}(\eta; \phi)$, then by Theorem 3.1, we have $g \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$. Let

$$h(z) = \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z)} - \eta \right).$$

Applying (1.4) again, we get

$$(3.6) \quad \left(\frac{\alpha + p\beta}{\beta}\right) \frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} g(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z)} = (p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta).$$

From (3.5) and (3.6), we have

$$\frac{1}{p - \rho} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^{n+1} g(z)} - \rho \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta)}, z \in U.$$

Since $0 \leq \eta < p$ and $h(z) \prec \phi(z)$ in U , then $\text{Re}((p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta)) > 0$. So by taking $w(z) = 1/[(p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + (\alpha / \beta)]$ and applying Lemma 2.2, we can show that $p \prec \varphi$, so that $f \in C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$, which proves Theorem 3.4.

4. INCLUSION PROPERTIES INVOLVING THE INTEGRAL OPERATOR F_c

In this section we consider the generalized Libera integral operator $F_{p,c}$ (See [6], [13] and [18]), defined by

$$(4.1) \quad F_{p,c}(f)(z) = \frac{c + p}{z^c} \int_0^z t^{c-1} f(t) dt, (c > -p; f \in A_p).$$

Theorem 4.1. Let $c > -p$ and let $\phi \in \Lambda$ with $\text{Re}((p - \eta)\phi(z) + \eta + c) > 0$. If $f \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$, then $F_{p,c}(f) \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$ and set

$$(4.2) \quad p(z) = \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(f)(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(f)(z)} - \eta \right),$$

Where p is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$. From (4.1), we have

$$(4.3) \quad z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(f)(z))' = (c+1)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z) - cI_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(f)(z).$$

Using (4.3) in (4.2), we get

$$(4.4) \quad (c+p) \frac{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z)}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(f)(z)} = (p-\eta)p(z) + \eta + c.$$

Differentiating (4.4) logarithmically with respect to z , we obtain

$$(4.5) \quad \frac{1}{p-\eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z)} - \eta \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(p-\eta)p(z) + \eta + c}.$$

Applying Lemma 2.1 to (4.5), we conclude that $F_{p,c}(f)(z) \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$.

Similarly applying (1.5) and Theorem 4.1, we have the following result.

Theorem 4.2. Let $c > -p$ and let $\phi \in \Lambda$ with $\operatorname{Re}((p-\eta)\phi(z) + \eta + c) > 0$. If $f \in K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$, then $F_{p,c}(f) \in K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$.

From Theorem 4.1 and Theorem 4.2, we have the following corollary:

Corollary 4.3. Let $f \in A_p$ and $c > -p$. If $f \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B)$ (or $K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B)$), then $F_{p,c}(f) \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B)$ (or $K_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; A, B)$).

Theorem 4.4. Let $c > -p$ and let $\phi, \varphi \in \Lambda$ with $\operatorname{Re}((p-\eta)\phi(z) + \eta + c) > 0$. If $f \in C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$, then $F_{p,c}(f) \in C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$.

Proof. Let $f \in C_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta, \rho; \phi, \varphi)$. Then there exists a function $g \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$ such that

$$\frac{1}{p-\rho} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z)} - \rho \right) \prec \varphi(z), z \in U.$$

We set

$$p(z) = \frac{1}{p - \rho} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(f)(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(g)(z)} - \rho \right)$$

where p is analytic in U with $p(0) = 1$. Since $g \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$, we have from Theorem 4.1, that $F_{p,c}(g) \in S_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n(\eta; \phi)$. Using (4.3) we obtain

$$[(p - \rho)p(z) + \rho]I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(g)(z) + cI_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(f)(z) = (c + p)I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z).$$

Then by simple calculations, we get

$$(c + p) \frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(g)(z)} = [(p - \rho)p(z) + \rho][(p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + c] + (p - \rho)zp'(z),$$

where, $h(z) = \frac{1}{p - \eta} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(g)(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n F_{p,c}(g)(z)} - \eta \right)$. Hence, we have

$$\frac{1}{p - \rho} \left(\frac{z(I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n f(z))'}{I_{p,\alpha,\beta}^n g(z)} - \rho \right) = p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{(p - \eta)h(z) + \eta + c}.$$

The remaining part of the proof is similar to that of Theorem 3.4 and so we omit it.

REFERENCES

- [1] R. Aghalary , R. M. Ali , S. B. Joshi and V. Ravichandran, Inequalities for functions defined by certain linear operator, *Int. j. Math. Sci.*, **4**, no.2, (2005), 267 - 274.
- [2] F. M. Al-Oboudi, On univalent functions defined by a generalized Salagean operator, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 27(2004), 1429 - 1436.
- [3] M. K. Aouf, R. M. El-Ashwah and S. M. El-Deeb, Some inequalities for certain p-valent functions involving extended multiplier transformations, *Proc. Pak. Acad. Sci.*, **46**(4)(2009), 217 - 221.
- [4] M. K. Aouf and A. O. Mostafa, On a subclasses of n-p-valent prestarlike, functions , *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **55**(2008), 851 - 861.
- [5] S. S. Bhoosnurmath and S. R. Swamy, On certain classes of analytic functions, *Soochow J. Math.*, **20**(1994), no.1, 1-9.

- [6] S. D. Bernardi, Convex and starlike univalent functions, trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **35**(1969), 429 – 446.
- [7] T. Bulboaca, Differential Subordinations and Superordinations, Recent Results, House of Scientific Book Publ., Cluj-Napoca, 2005.
- [8] A. Catas, On certain class of p -valent functions defined by new multiplier transformations, Proceedings book of the international symposium on geometric function theory and applications, August, 20-24, 2007, TC Isambul Kultur Univ., Turkey, 241 - 250.
- [9] N. E. Cho and H. M. Srivastava , Argument estimates of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformations, Math. Comput. Modeling, **37**(1-2) (2003), 39 - 49.
- [10] N. E. Cho and T. H. Kim, Multiplier transformations and strongly Close-to-Convex functions, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **40**(3) (2003), 399 - 410.
- [11] P. Eenigenberg, S. S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu and M. O. Reade, On a Briot-Bouquet differential subordination, General Inequalities, Vol. 3, Birkhauser-Verlag, Basel, 1983, 339 - 348.
- [12] M. Kamali and H. Orhan, On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients, Bull. Korean Math. Soc., **41**(2004), 53 - 71.
- [13] R. J. Libera, Some classes of regular univalent functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **16**(1956), 755-758.
- [14] S. S. Miller and P.T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations and univalent functions, Michigan Math. J, **28**(1981), 157 - 171.
- [15] S. S. Miller AND P.T. Mocanu, Differential Subordinations: Theory and Applications, Series on Monographs and Text Books in Pure and Applied Mathematics (N.225), Marcel Dekker, New York and Besel, 2000.
- [16] H. Orhan and H. Kiziltunc, A generalization on subfamily of p -valent functions with negative coefficients, Appl. Math. Comput. **155**(2004), 521 - 530.
- [17] S. Owa, On certain classes of p -valent functions with negative coefficients, Simon Stevin, **59**(1985), 385 - 402.

[18] S. Owa and H. M. Srivastava, Some applications of the generalized Libera integral operator, Proc. Japan Acad. Ser. A, Math. Sci., **62**(1986), 125 – 135.

[19] D. A. Patil and N. K. Thakare, On convex hulls and extreme points of p-valent starlike and convex classes with applications, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. Roumanie (N.S.), **27** (1983), no. 75, 145 - 160.

[20] G. St. Salagean, Subclasses of univalent functions, Proc. Fifth Rou. Fin. Semin. Buch. Complex Anal., Lect. notes in Math., Springer Verlag , Berlin, 1013(1983), 362 – 372.

[21] S. Shivaprasad Kumar, H. C. Taneja and V. Ravichandran, Classes of Multivalent functions defined by Dziok-Srivastava linear operator and multiplier transformation, Kyungpook Math. J. , **46**(2006), no.1, 97 - 109.

[22] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, Current topics in analytic function theory, World Sci. Publishing company, Singapore, 1992.

[23] H. M. Srivastava, K. B. Suchitra, A. Stephen and S. Sivasubramanian, Inclusion and neighborhood properties of certain subclasses of multivalent functions of complex order, JIPAM, **7**, Issue 1, (2006), article 7,1 – 8.

[24] S. R. Swamy, Inclusion properties of certain subclasses of analytic functions, to appear in Int. Math. Forum, **7**, no.36, (2012), 1751 – 1760.

[25] B. A. Uralegaddi and C. Somanatha, Certain classes of univalent functions, Current topics in analytic function theory, World Sci. Publishing, River Edge, N. Y., (1992), 371 – 375.

Received: January, 2012