

# A Common Fixed Point Theorem in Menger Probabilistic Metric Spaces Using Compatibility

K. P. R. Sastry<sup>1</sup>, G. A. Naidu<sup>2</sup>, P. V. S. Prasad<sup>3</sup> and S. S. A. Sastri<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> 8-28-8/1, Tamil Street, Chinna Waltair  
Visakhapatnam-530 017, India  
kprsastry@hotmail.com

<sup>2 3</sup> Department of Mathematics, Andhra University  
Visakhapatnam-530 003, India  
drgolivean@yahoo.com, pvsprasad10@yahoo.in

<sup>4</sup> Department of Basic Science and Humanities  
Coastal Institute of Technology and Management  
Narapam, Vizianagaram-535 183, India  
sambharasas@yahoo.co.in

## Abstract

In this paper, we show that a result of Servet Kutukcu and Sushil Sharma of [6] is not valid and provide also modifications Theorem 2.6 and corollary 2.7. For this we introduce the notion of a strict Menger space. We also give supporting examples. Two open problems also are given.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 47H10, 54H25

**Keywords:** common fixed point, compatible maps, Menger space, strict Menger space, triangular norm

## 1 Introduction

In this paper we show that Corollary 1 and hence Theorem 1 of [6] is not valid, through an example and obtains necessary modifications to the above theorem.

We start with

**Definition 1.1** :[4] A function  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is called a distribution function if

- (i)  $F$  is non-decreasing,
- (ii)  $F$  is left continuous,
- (iii)  $\inf_{x \in \mathbb{R}} F(x) = 0$  and  $\sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}} F(x) = 1$

**Definition 1.2:** [2] A triangular norm  $*$  :  $[0, 1] \times [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a function satisfying the following conditions

- (i)  $a * 1 = a \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]$
- (ii)  $a * b = b * a \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1]$
- (iii)  $c * d \geq a * b \quad \forall a, b, c, d \in [0, 1]$  with  $c \geq a$  and  $d \geq b$
- (iv)  $(a * b) * c = a * (b * c) \quad \forall a, b, c \in [0, 1]$

A triangular norm is also denoted by t-norm.

For any  $a, b \in [0, 1]$ , if we define  $a * b = \min\{a, b\}$ , then  $*$  is a t-norm and is denoted by 'min'.

We observe that if  $a * a \geq a \quad \forall a \in [0, 1]$ , then  $*$  is min t-norm.

**Definition 1.3:** [4] Let  $X$  be a non-empty set and let  $F : X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$  (The set of distribution functions). For  $p, q \in X$ , we denote the image of the pair  $(p, q)$  by  $F_{p,q}$  which is a distribution function so that  $F_{p,q}(x) \in [0, 1]$ , for every real  $x$ .

Suppose  $F$  satisfies:

- (i)  $F_{p,q}(x) = 1$  for all  $x > 0$  if and only if  $p = q$
- (ii)  $F_{p,q}(0) = 0$
- (iii)  $F_{p,q}(x) = F_{q,p}(x)$
- (iv) If  $F_{p,q}(x) = 1$  and  $F_{q,r}(y) = 1$  then  $F_{p,r}(x + y) = 1$  where  $p, q, r \in X$ .

Then  $(X, F)$  is called a probabilistic metric space.

**Definition 1.4:** [2] Let  $X$  be a non empty set,  $*$  be a t-norm and  $F : X \times X \rightarrow \mathfrak{D}$  be a function satisfying

- (i)  $F_{p,q}(0) = 0$
- (ii)  $F_{p,q}(x) = 1$  for all  $x > 0$  if and only if  $p = q$
- (iii)  $F_{p,q}(x) = F_{q,p}(x)$
- (iv)  $F_{p,r}(x + y) \geq F_{p,q}(x) * F_{q,r}(y)$  for all  $x, y \geq 0$  and  $p, q, r \in X$ .

Then the triplet  $(X, F, *)$  is called a Menger space.

**Definition 1.5:**[5]

(i) Let  $(X, F, *)$  be a Menger space and  $p \in X$ . For  $\epsilon > 0$ ,  $0 < \lambda < 1$ , the  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  -neighborhood of  $p$  is defined as  $U_p(\epsilon, \lambda) = \{q \in X: F_{p,q}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda\}$ . It may be observed that, if  $*$  is continuous then the topology induced by the family  $\{U_p(\epsilon, \lambda) : p \in X, \epsilon > 0, 0 < \lambda < 1\}$  is a Hausdorff topology on  $X$  and is known as the  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  -topology.

(ii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to converge to  $p \in X$  in the  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  -topology, if for any  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  there exists a positive integer  $N = N(\epsilon, \lambda)$  such that  $F_{x_n,p}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  where  $n > N$ .

(iii) A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $X$  is said to be a Cauchy sequence in the  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  -topology, if for  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $0 < \lambda < 1$  there exists a positive integer  $N = N(\epsilon, \lambda)$  such that  $F_{x_m,x_n}(\epsilon) > 1 - \lambda$  for all  $m, n > N$ .

(iv) A Menger space  $(X, F, *)$  where  $*$  is continuous, is said to be complete if every Cauchy sequence in  $X$  is convergent in  $(\epsilon, \lambda)$  -topology.

**Definition 1.6:**[6] Two self mappings  $A$  and  $B$  of a Menger space  $(X, F, *)$  are said to be

(i) compatible of type (P) if

$$F_{ABx_n, BBx_n}(t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ and } F_{BAx_n, AAx_n}(t) \rightarrow 1 \text{ for all } t > 0$$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $Ax_n, Bx_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z$  in  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(ii) compatible of type  $(P_1)$  if  $F_{ABx_n, BBx_n}(t) \rightarrow 1$  for all  $t > 0$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $Ax_n, Bx_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z$  in  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

(iii) compatible of type  $(P_2)$  if  $F_{BAx_n, AAx_n}(t) \rightarrow 1$  for all  $t > 0$

where  $\{x_n\}$  is a sequence in  $X$  such that  $Ax_n, Bx_n \rightarrow z$  for some  $z$  in  $X$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

**Lemma 1.7:**[3] Let  $\{x_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$  be a sequence in a Menger space  $(X, F, *)$  with Hadzic-type t-norm  $*$ . If there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{x_n, x_{n+1}}(kt) \geq F_{x_{n-1}, x_n}(t) \text{ for all } t > 0,$$

then  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

We observe that ‘min’ t-norm is of Hadzic type.

**Lemma 1.8:**[7] Let  $(X, F, *)$  be a Menger space. If there exists  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that  $F_{x,y}(kt) \geq F_{x,y}(t)$  for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $t > 0$ , then  $x = y$ .

## 2 Main Results

Now we state below Theorem 1 of [6], which we show to be not valid (Example 2.3) and obtain modifications (Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7).

**Theorem 2.1** ([6], **Theorem 1**): Let  $A, B, P, Q, S$  and  $T$  be self mappings of a complete Menger space  $(X, F, *)$  with continuous t-norm  $*$  such that  $t * t \geq t \forall t \in [0, 1]$  (i.e.  $*$  is the ‘min’ t-norm), satisfying:

(i)  $P(X) \subseteq ST(X), Q(X) \subseteq AB(X)$

(ii) there exists a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{Px, Qy}(kt) \geq F_{ABx, STy}(t) * F_{Px, ABx}(t) * F_{Qy, STy}(t) * F_{Px, STy}(\alpha t) * F_{Qy, ABx}((2 - \alpha)t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X, t > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$

(iii) either  $P$  or  $C$  is continuous

(iv) the pairs  $(P, C)$  and  $(Q, R)$  are both compatible of type  $(P_1)$  or type  $(P_2)$

(v)  $AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, QT = TQ$

Then  $A, B, P, Q, S$  and  $T$  have a unique common fixed point. The following Corollary of Theorem 2.1 is given in [6].

**Corollary 2.2** ([6], **Corollary 1**): Let  $P, Q$  be self maps on Menger space  $(X, F, *)$  with continuous t-norm and  $t * t \geq t \quad \forall t \in [0, 1]$ . If there exists a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{Px, Qy}(kt) \geq F_{x,y}(t) * F_{Px,x}(t) * F_{Qy,y}(t) * F_{Px,y}(\alpha t) * F_{Qy,x}((2 - \alpha)t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X, t > 0$  and  $\alpha \in (0, 2)$ ,

then  $P$  and  $Q$  have a unique common fixed point.

Corollary 2.2 is not valid in view of the following example.

**Example 2.3:** Let  $X = Z^+$  and the function  $F$  by for any  $m, n \in Z^+$

$$F_{m,n}(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } t \leq \max\{m, n\} \\ 1 & \text{if } t > \max\{m, n\} \end{cases}$$

Define the functions  $P$  and  $Q$  on  $Z^+$  by  $Pn = n+1$  and  $Qn = 1$  for all  $n \in Z^+$ .

Let  $k \in (0, 1)$  and take  $\alpha = k$

Then for every  $x, y \in X, t > 0$ , we have

$$F_{x+1,1}(kt) \geq F_{x,y}(t) * F_{x+1,x}(t) * F_{1,y}(t) * F_{x+1,y}(\alpha t) * F_{1,x}((2 - \alpha)t) \dots \quad (1)$$

This is so, because  $F_{x+1,1}(kt) = 1$  if  $kt > x + 1$  and (1) is satisfied and

if  $kt < x + 1$ , then  $F_{x+1,1}(kt) = 0$  and  $F_{x+1,1}(\alpha t) = 0$  ( $\because \alpha = k$ )

So that (1) is again satisfied. In either case the inequality (1) holds, but  $P$  and  $Q$  fail to have a common fixed point.

Hence Theorem 2.1 needs modification which we give below. In this connection it may be observed that  $F_{m,n}(t)$  is not strictly increasing in  $(0, \infty)$ . We make use of this observation in obtaining the necessary modifications to Theorem 2.1

**Definition 2.4:** Let  $(X, F, *)$  be a Menger space such that  $F_{x,y}(t)$  is strictly increasing in  $t$  when ever  $x \neq y$ . Then  $(X, F, *)$  is called a strict Menger space.

**Example 2.5:** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space. Define  $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)} \quad \forall t > 0$  and  $x, y \in X$ . If t-norm  $*$  is  $a * b = \min\{a, b\} \quad \forall a, b \in [0, 1]$ , then  $(X, F, *)$  is a strict Menger space.

The following Theorem 2.6 and Corollary 2.7 may be regards as modifications to Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.6:** Let  $P, Q, R$  and  $C$  be self mappings of a complete strict Menger space  $(X, F, *)$  where  $*$  is the min t-norm, satisfying:

(i)  $P(X) \subseteq R(X), Q(X) \subseteq C(X)$

(ii) there exists a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{Px, Qy}(kt) \geq F_{Cx, Ry}(t) * F_{Px, Cx}(t) * F_{Qy, Ry}(t) * F_{Px, Ry}(2t) * F_{Qy, Cx}(2t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X, t > 0$

(iii) either  $P$  or  $C$  is continuous

(iv) the pairs (P,C) and (Q,R) are both compatible of type  $(P_1)$  or type  $(P_2)$

Then P, Q, R and C have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Let  $x_0 \in X$ . By (i), there exist sequences  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  in X such that

$$Px_{2n} = Rx_{2n+1} = y_{2n} \text{ and } Qx_{2n+1} = Cx_{2n+2} = y_{2n+1} \text{ for } n = 0, 1, 2 \dots$$

By taking  $x = x_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$  for all  $t > 0$  in (ii), we get

$$\begin{aligned} F_{Px_{2n}, Qx_{2n+1}}(kt) &\geq F_{Cx_{2n}, Rx_{2n+1}}(t) * F_{Px_{2n}, Cx_{2n}}(t) * F_{Qx_{2n+1}, Rx_{2n+1}}(t) * \\ &\quad F_{Px_{2n}, Rx_{2n+1}}(2t) * F_{Qx_{2n+1}, Cx_{2n}}(2t) \\ \Rightarrow F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(kt) &\geq F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}}(t) * F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n}}(2t) * \\ &\quad F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}}(2t) \\ &\geq F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}}(2t) \\ &\geq F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}}(t) \\ &\geq F_{y_{2n-1}, y_{2n}}(t) * F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}}(t) \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we can prove that  $F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}}(kt) \geq F_{y_{2n}, y_{2n+1}}(t) * F_{y_{2n+1}, y_{2n+2}}(t)$

Hence  $F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(kt) \geq F_{y_{n-1}, y_n}(t) * F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(t)$

Consequently  $F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(kt) \geq F_{y_{n-1}, y_n}(t)$  for all  $t > 0, k \in (0, 1), n \in \mathbb{N}$

which is obvious if  $y_n = y_{n+1}$  and follows from the strictly increasing nature of  $F_{y_n, y_{n+1}}(t)$  when  $y_n \neq y_{n+1}$ .

By Lemma [1.7],  $\{y_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence.

Since  $(X, F, *)$  is complete, it converges to a point  $z$  in X. Also its sub sequences  $\{Px_{2n}\} \rightarrow z, \{Cx_{2n}\} \rightarrow z, \{Qx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z$  and  $\{Rx_{2n+1}\} \rightarrow z$

Case (i): C is continuous, (P,C) and (Q,R) are compatible of type  $(P_2)$

$$CCx_{2n} \rightarrow Cz, CPx_{2n} \rightarrow Cz (\because C \text{ is continuous})$$

$$PPx_{2n} \rightarrow Cz (\because (P,C) \text{ is compatible of type } (P_2))$$

Now by taking  $x = Px_{2n}, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (ii), we get  $Cz = z$ .

Similarly by taking  $x = z, y = x_{2n+1}$  in (ii), we get  $Pz = z$ .

Since  $P(X) \subseteq R(X)$ , there exists  $w \in X$  such that  $z = Pz = Rw$

By taking  $x = x_{2n}, y = w$  in (ii), we get  $Qw = z$

$$\therefore Rw = Qw = z$$

Since (Q,R) is compatible of type  $(P_2)$ , we have  $RQw = QQw$ .

Therefore  $Rz = Qz$ .

Now by taking  $x = x_{2n}, y = z$  in (ii), we get  $Qz = z$ .

$$\therefore Pz = Qz = Cz = Rz = z.$$

i.e.  $z$  is a common fixed point for P, Q, R and C.

Case (ii): P is continuous and (P,C), (Q,R) are both compatible of type  $(P_2)$

$$PPx_{2n} \rightarrow Pz, PCx_{2n} \rightarrow Pz (\because P \text{ is continuous})$$

$$CPx_{2n} \rightarrow Pz (\because (P,C) \text{ is compatible of type } (P_2))$$

By taking  $x = Px_{2n}, y = y_{2n+1}$  in (ii), we get  $Pz = z$ .

we have  $z = Qz = Rz$ .

Since  $Q(X) \subseteq C(X)$ , there exists  $w \in X$  such that  $z = Qz = Cw$ .

By taking  $x = w$ ,  $y = x_{2n+1}$  in (ii), we get  $z = Pw$ .

Since  $z = Qz = Cw$ , hence  $Pw = Cw$ .

(P,C) is compatible of type  $(P_2)$ , we have  $CPw = PPw$

i.e.  $Cz = Pz$ .

$$\therefore z = Pz = Cz = Qz = Rz$$

i.e.  $z$  is a common fixed point for P, Q, R and C.

$\therefore z$  is a common fixed point for P, Q, R and C when C is continuous ( or P is continuous) and (P,C), (Q,R) are compatible of type  $P_2$  ( or  $P_1$ )

For uniqueness, let  $v$  be a common fixed point for P, Q, R and C.

Take  $x = z$ ,  $y = v$  in the condition (ii), then we get  $v = z$ .

Therefore P, Q, R and C have a unique common fixed point.

**Corollary 2.7:** Let A, B, P, Q, S and T be self mappings of a complete strict Menger space  $(X, F, *)$  where  $*$  is the min t-norm, satisfying:

(i)  $P(X) \subseteq ST(X), Q(X) \subseteq AB(X)$

(ii) there exists a constant  $k \in (0, 1)$  such that

$$F_{Px, Qy}(kt) \geq F_{ABx, STy}(t) * F_{Px, ABx}(t) * F_{Qy, STy}(t) * F_{Px, STy}(2t) * F_{Qy, ABx}(2t)$$

for all  $x, y \in X$ ,  $t > 0$

(iii) either P or ST is continuous

(iv) the pairs (P,ST) and (Q,AB) are both compatible of type  $(P_1)$  or type  $(P_2)$

(v)  $AB = BA, ST = TS, PB = BP, QT = TQ$

Then A, B, P, Q, S and T have a unique common fixed point.

**Proof:** Write  $C = ST$  and  $R = AB$

Then, by Theorem 2.6, there exists  $z \in X$  such that  $z = Pz = Rz = Qz = Cz$ .

Hence  $z = Pz = Rz = STz = Qz = Cz = ABz$ .

Now  $STz = z \Rightarrow T(STz) = Tz \Rightarrow TSTz = Tz \Rightarrow STTz = Tz$

$\therefore Tz$  is a fixed point for ST.

Since  $ABz = z \Rightarrow BABz = Bz \Rightarrow ABBz = Bz$

i.e.  $Bz$  is a fixed point for AB.

Similarly,  $ABz = z \Rightarrow AABz = Az \Rightarrow ABAz = Az$

i.e.  $Az$  is a fixed point for AB.

Therefore  $Az$  and  $Bz$  are fixed points for AB.

Now  $Pz = z \Rightarrow BPz = Bz \Rightarrow PBz = Bz$

i.e.  $Bz$  is a fixed point for P.

Since  $Qz = z \Rightarrow TQz = Tz \Rightarrow QTz = Tz$

i.e.  $Tz$  is a fixed point for Q.

By taking  $x = Bz$ ,  $y = Tz$  in (b), we get  $Bz = Tz$

$\therefore Bz$  is a common fixed point for P, Q, AB, ST.

By Theorem 2.6,  $Bz = z = Tz$  is a common fixed point for P, Q, AB, ST.

Since  $ABz = z \Rightarrow Az = z$  and  $STz = z \Rightarrow Sz = z$

$\therefore z$  is a common fixed point for A, B, S, T, P and Q.

For uniqueness, let  $v$  be a common fixed point for A, B, S, T, P and Q.

By taking  $x = z$ ,  $y = v$  in condition (ii), we get  $z = v$ .

We provide the following example in support of Theorem 2.6.

**Example 2.8:**  $(\mathbb{R}, F, *)$  is a strict Menger space where  $\mathbb{R}$  is a real line with the usual metric, and  $F : \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is defined by  $F_{x,y}(t) = \frac{t}{t+d(x,y)} \forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  and  $*$  is the min t-norm, i.e.  $*$  =  $\min\{a, b\}$ .

Let P, Q, R and C be the self maps on  $\mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $Px = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 3 \end{cases}$

$Qx = 0, Rx = x^2$  and  $Cx = I \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Then clearly P, Q, R and C satisfy the hypothesis of Theorem 2.6. It is clear that 0 is the only common fixed point of P, Q, R and C.

It may be noted that P is discontinuous and C is continuous.

The following example is supporting Corollary 2.7.

**Example 2.9:** Let  $(\mathbb{R}, F, *)$  be as in Example 2.8.

Let A, B, P, Q, S and T be the self maps on  $\mathbb{R}$ , defined by  $Px = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } x \leq 3 \\ 1 & \text{if } x > 3 \end{cases}$

$Qx = 0, Ax = x^4, Bx = \sqrt{|x|}$  and  $Sx = -x = Tx \forall x \in \mathbb{R}$ .

Clearly A, B, P, Q, S and T satisfy the hypothesis of Corollary 2.7. It is clear that 0 is the only common fixed point of A, B, P, Q, S and T.

We conclude the paper with two open problems.

**Open problem 2.10:** Is Theorem 2.6 valid if  $2t$  in condition (ii) is replaced by  $\alpha t$  where  $\alpha \in (1, 2)$ ?

**Open problem 2.11:** Is Theorem 2.6 valid if  $(X, F, *)$  is not necessarily strict?

## References :

[1]. **O. Hadzic:** A Generalization of the contraction principle in probabilistic metric spaces, Univ. u. Nvom Sadu Zb. Road, Prirod-Mat. Fak. 10(1980),13-21 (1981).

[2]. **H.K. Pathak and R.K. Verma:** Common fixed point Theorems for weakly compatible mappings on Menger spaces and application, Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 3, 2009, no.24, 1199-1206.(86g: 54045).

[3]. **K.P.R. Sastry, G.V.R. Babu and M.L. Sandhya:** Weak contractions

in Menger spaces - II, Journal of Adv. Research in Pure Mathematics, Vol. 2, issue 1, 2010, pp.65-73.

[4]. **B. Schweizer and A. Sklar:** Probabilistic metric spaces, North Holland Series in Probability and applied Mathematics, 1983; MR0790314.

[5]. **B. Schweizer and A. Sklar:** Statistical metric spaces, North Holland Amsterdam, (1983).

[6]. **Servet Kutukcu and Sushil Sharma:** Compatible maps and common fixed points in Menger probabilistic metric spaces, Commun. Korean Math. Soc. 24 (2009), No.1, pp. 17-27.

[7]. **B. Singh and S. Jain:** A fixed point theorem in Menger spaces through weak compatibility. J. Math. Anal. Appl. 301(2005), 439-448.

**Received: July, 2010**