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1. Introduction

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and norm $\| \cdot \|$. Let $T : H \rightarrow H$ be a nonlinear mapping. In this paper, we use $F(T)$ to denote the fixed point set of $T$.

Recall the following definitions.

(1) The mappings $T$ is said to be contractive with the coefficient $\beta \in (0, 1)$ if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \beta \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (1.1.1)$$

(2) The mappings $T$ is said to be nonexpansive if

$$\|Tx - Ty\| \leq \|x - y\|, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \quad (1.1.2)$$
(3) The mappings \( T \) is said to be strictly pseudocontractive with the coefficient \( k \in [0, 1) \) if
\[
\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + k\|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \tag{1.1.3}
\]

(4) The mappings \( T \) is said to be pseudocontractive if
\[
\|Tx - Ty\|^2 \leq \|x - y\|^2 + \|(I - T)x - (I - T)y\|^2, \quad \forall x, y \in H. \tag{1.1.4}
\]

Next, let \( F \) be a bifunction from \( C \times C \) into \( \mathbb{R} \), the set of real and \( A : C \rightarrow H \) be a nonlinear mapping. The generalized equilibrium problem is to find \( x \in C \) such that
\[
F(x, y) + \langle Ax, y - x \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C. \tag{1.1.5}
\]
The set of solutions of the generalized equilibrium problem is denoted by \( EP \).

Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space and \( C \) be a closed convex subset of \( H \). Let \( B : C \rightarrow H \) be a mapping. The classical variational inequality, denoted by \( VI(B, C) \), is to find \( x^* \in C \) such that
\[
\langle Bx^*, v - x^* \rangle \geq 0 \quad \text{for all} \quad v \in C.
\]

It is obvious that any \( \alpha \)-inverse-strongly monotone mapping \( B \) is monotone and Lipschitz continuous. A mapping \( S \) of \( C \) into itself is called nonexpansive if
\[
\|Su - Sv\| \leq \|u - v\| \quad \text{for all} \quad u, v \in C.
\]

Then \( T \) is maximal monotone and \( 0 \in Tv \) if and only if \( v \in VI(C, B) \); see [12]. For finding an element of \( F(S) \cap VI(B, C) \), Takahashi and Toyoda [14] introduced the following iterative scheme:
\[
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x_n + (1 - \alpha_n)SP_C(x_n - \lambda_n Bx_n)
\]
for every \( n = 0, 1, 2, \ldots \), where \( x_0 = x \in C \), \( \{\alpha_n\} \) is a sequence in \( (0, 1) \), and \( \{\lambda_n\} \) is a sequence in \( (0, 2\alpha) \). They showed that, if \( F(S) \cap VI(B, C) \) is
this iterative process converge to the same point $z \in F(S) \cap VI(B, C)$. On the other hand, for solving the variational inequality problem in the finite-dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$ under the assumption that a set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is closed and convex, a mapping $B$ of $C$ into $\mathbb{R}^n$ is monotone and $k$-Lipschitz continuous and $VI(B, C)$ is nonempty, Korpelevich [6] introduced the following so-called extragradient method:

\[
\begin{align*}
(1.1.8) & \\
& \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
x_1 = u \in C \\
y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda Bx_n) \\
x_{n+1} = P_C(x_n - \lambda By_n), \quad n \geq 1,
\end{array} \right.
\end{align*}
\]

where $\lambda \in (0, \frac{1}{k})$. He proved that the sequences $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$ generated by this iterative process converge to the same point $z \in VI(B, C)$. Recently, Nadezhkina and Takahashi [10], Zeng and Yao [20] proposed some new iterative schemes for finding elements in $F(S) \cap VI(B, C)$. Recently, Iiduka and Takahashi [5] proposed another iterative scheme as following

\[
(1.1.9) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
x_1 = x \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary}, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n)SP_C(x_n - \lambda_n Bx_n), \quad n \geq 1
\end{array} \right.
\]

where $B$ is an $\alpha$-cocoercive map, $\{\alpha_n\} \subseteq (0, 1)$ and $\{\lambda_n\} \subseteq (0, 2\alpha)$ satisfy some parameters controlling conditions. They showed that, if $F(S) \cap VI(B, C)$ is nonempty, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.1.9) converges strongly to some $z \in F(S) \cap VI(B, C)$. By using this idea, Yao and Yao [18] gave the iterative scheme (1.1.10) below for finding an element of $F(S) \cap VI(B, C)$ under the assumption that a set $C \subseteq H$ is nonempty, closed and convex, a mapping $S : C \rightarrow C$ is nonexpansive and a mapping $B : C \rightarrow H$ is $\alpha$-inverse-strongly-monotone:

\[
(1.1.10) \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
x_1 = u \in C \\
y_n = P_C(x_n - \lambda_n Bx_n) \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n u + \beta_n x_n + \gamma_n SP_C(y_n - \lambda_n By_n), \quad n \geq 1,
\end{array} \right.
\]

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ are three sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 2\alpha]$. They proved that if $F(S) \cap VI(B, C) \neq \emptyset$ and and the sequences $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$ and $\{\lambda_n\}$ of parameters satisfy appropriate conditions, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1.10) converges strongly to $q \in F(S) \cap VI(B, C)$.

On the other hand, Moudafi [8] introduced the viscosity approximation method for nonexpansive mappings (see [16] for further developments in both Hilbert and Banach spaces). Let $f$ be a contraction on $C$. Starting with an arbitrary initial $x_1 \in C$, define a sequence $\{x_n\}$ recursively by

\[
(1.1.11) \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \sigma_n)Tx_n + \sigma_n f(x_n), \quad n \geq 0,
\]

where $\{\sigma_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 1)$. It is proved [8, 16] that under certain appropriate conditions imposed on $\{\sigma_n\}$, the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.1.11) converges.
strongly converges to the unique solution $q$ in $C$ of the variational inequality
\[
\langle (I - f)q, p - q \rangle \geq 0, p \in C.
\]
Recently, Marino and Xu [9] introduced the following general iterative method:
\[
x_{n+1} = (I - \alpha_n A)Tx_n + \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n), n \geq 0.
\]
where $A$ is a strongly positive bounded linear operator on $H$. They proved that if the sequence $\{\alpha_n\}$ of parameters satisfies appropriate conditions, then the sequence $\{x_n\}$ generated by (1.1.12) converges strongly to the unique solution of the variational inequality
\[
\langle (A - \gamma f)x^*, x - x^* \rangle \geq 0, x \in C
\]
which is the optimality condition for the minimization problem
\[
\min_{x \in C} \frac{1}{2} \langle Ax, x \rangle - h(x),
\]
where $h$ is a potential function for $\gamma f$ (i.e., $h'(x) = \gamma f(x)$ for $x \in H$).

Very recently, to find a common fixed point of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, Aoyama et al. [1] introduced the following iterative sequence:
\[
\begin{cases}
  x_1 = x \in C \\
  x_{n+1} = \alpha_n x + (1 - \alpha_n)S_n x_n, \ n \geq 1,
\end{cases}
\]
where $C$ is a nonempty closed convex subset of a Banach space, $\{\alpha_n\}$ is a sequence of $[0, 1]$, and $\{S_n\}$ is a sequence of nonexpansive mappings with some conditions. Then they proved that $\{x_n\}$ defined by (1.1.14) converges strongly to a common fixed point of $\{S_n\}$.

Inspired and motivated by the above research, we suggest and analyze a new iterative scheme for finding a common element of the fixed point set of common fixed points of a countable family of nonexpansive mappings and the solution set of the variational inequality problem for an $\alpha$-inverse-strongly monotone mapping in a real Hilbert space. Under some appropriate conditions imposed on the parameters, we obtain a strong convergence theorem for the sequence generated by the proposed method. The results of this paper extend and improve the results of Yao and Yao [18] and many others.

2. Preliminaries

Let $H$ be a real Hilbert space with norm $\| \cdot \|$ and inner product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ and let $C$ be a closed convex subset of $H$. We denote weak convergence and strong convergence by notations $\rightharpoonup$ and $\rightarrow$, respectively.

A space $X$ is said to satisfy Opial’s condition [11] if for each sequence $\{x_n\}$ in $X$ which converges weakly to a point $x \in X$, we have
\[
\liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \|x_n - y\|, \ \forall y \in X, y \neq x.
\]
For every point \( x \in H \), there exists a unique nearest point in \( C \), denoted by \( P_Cx \), such that
\[
\|x - P_Cx\| \leq \|x - y\| \quad \text{for all } y \in C.
\]
\( P_C \) is called the metric projection of \( H \) onto \( C \). It is well known that \( P_C \) is a nonexpansive mapping of \( H \) onto \( C \) and satisfies
\[
(\text{2.2.1}) \quad \langle x - y, P_Cx - P_Cy \rangle \geq \|P_Cx - P_Cy\|^2
\]
for every \( x, y \in H \). Moreover, \( P_Cx \) is characterized by the following properties: \( P_Cx \in C \) and
\[
(\text{2.2.2}) \quad \langle x - P_Cx, y - P_Cx \rangle \leq 0,
\]
\[
(\text{2.2.3}) \quad \|x - y\|^2 \geq \|x - P_Cx\|^2 + \|y - P_Cx\|^2
\]
for all \( x \in H, y \in C \). It is easy to see that the following is true:
\[
(\text{2.2.4}) \quad u \in VI(A, C) \iff u = P_C(u - \lambda Au), \lambda > 0.
\]
If \( A \) an \( \alpha \)–inverse-strongly monotone mapping of \( C \) into \( H \), then it is obvious that \( A \) is \( \frac{1}{\alpha} \)–Lipschitz continuous. We also have that for all \( x, y \in C \) and \( \lambda > 0, \)
\[
(\text{2.2.5}) \quad \|(I - \lambda A)x - (I - \lambda A)y\|^2 = \|x - y\|^2 - \lambda(Ax - Ay, x - y) + \lambda^2\|Ax - Ay\|^2
\]
So, if \( \lambda \leq 2\alpha \), then \( I - \lambda A \) is a nonexpansive mapping of \( C \) into \( H \).

The following lemmas will be useful for proving the convergence result of this paper.

**Lemma 2.1.** Let \( H \) be a real Hilbert space. Then for all \( x, y \in H \),
\[
(1) \quad \|x + y\|^2 \leq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x + y \rangle
\]
\[
(2) \quad \|x + y\|^2 \geq \|x\|^2 + 2\langle y, x \rangle.
\]

**Lemma 2.2.** ([13]) Let \( \{x_n\} \) and \( \{y_n\} \) be bounded sequences in a Banach space \( X \) and let \( \{\beta_n\} \) be a sequence in \([0, 1]\) with \( 0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1 \). Suppose that \( x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)y_n + \beta_n x_n \) for all integers \( n \geq 0 \) and \( \limsup_{n \to \infty}(\|y_{n+1} - y_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0 \). Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \|y_n - x_n\| = 0 \).

For solving the equilibrium problems for a bifunction \( F : C \times C \to \mathbb{R} \), let us assume that \( F \) such that satisfies the following conditions:
\[
(A1) \quad F(x, x) = 0 \text{ for all } x \in C;
\]
\[
(A2) \quad F \text{ is monotone, i.e. } F(x, y) + F(y, x) \leq 0 \text{ for all } x, y \in C;
\]
\[
(A3) \quad \text{for each } x, y \in C, \lim_{t \to 0} F(tz + (1 - t)x, y) \leq F(x, y);
\]
\[
(A4) \quad \text{for each } x \in C, y \mapsto F(x, y) \text{ is convex and lower semicontinuous.}
\]
Lemma 2.3. ([2]) Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed convex subset of \( H \) and \( F \) be a bifunction from \( C \times C \) to \( \mathbb{R} \) satisfying (A1) – (A4). Let \( r > 0 \) and \( x \in H \). Then there exists \( z \in C \) such that 
\[
F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r}(y - z, z - x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C.
\]

Lemma 2.4. ([4]) Assume that \( F : C \times C \to \mathbb{R} \) satisfies (A1)-(A4). For \( r > 0 \) and \( x \in H \), define a mapping \( T_r : H \to C \) as follows:
\[
T_r(x) = \{ z \in C : F(z, y) + \frac{1}{r}(y - z, z - x) \geq 0, \quad \forall y \in C \}
\]
for all \( z \in H \). Then, the following hold:
1. \( T_r \) is single-valued;
2. \( T_r \) is firmly nonexpansive, i.e., for any \( x, y \in H \),
\[
\|T_r x - T_r y\|^2 \leq (T_r x - T_r y, x - y);
\]
3. \( F(T_r) = EP(F) \);
4. \( EP(F) \) is closed and convex.

Lemma 2.5. ([11]) Let \( H \) be a Hilbert space, \( C \) a closed convex subset of \( H \), and \( S : C \to C \) a nonexpansive mapping with \( F(S) \neq \emptyset \). If \( \{x_n\} \) is a sequence in \( C \) weakly converging to \( x \in C \) and if \( \{(I - S)x_n\} \) converges strongly to \( y \), then \( (I - S)x = y \).

Lemma 2.6. ([16]). Assume \( \{a_n\} \) is a sequence of nonnegative real numbers such that
\[
a_{n+1} \leq (1 - \alpha_n)a_n + \sigma_n, \quad n \geq 0
\]
where \( \{\alpha_n\} \) is a sequence in \((0,1)\) and \( \{\sigma_n\} \) is a sequence in \( \mathbb{R} \) such that
1. \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty \)
2. \( \limsup_{n \to \infty} \frac{\sigma_n}{\alpha_n} \leq 0 \) or \( \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n| < \infty \).
Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} a_n = 0 \).

Lemma 2.7. [1, Lemma 3.2] Let \( C \) be a nonempty closed subset of a Banach space and let \( \{S_n\} \) be a sequence of mappings of \( C \) into itself. Suppose that
\[
\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup\{\|S_{n+1}z - S_n z\| : z \in C\} < \infty.
\]
Then, for each \( y \in C \), \( \{S_ny\} \) converges strongly to some point of \( C \). Moreover, let \( S \) be a mapping of \( C \) into itself defined by
\[
S y = \lim_{n \to \infty} S_n y, \quad \forall y \in C.
\]
Then \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \sup\{\|S z - S_n z\| : z \in C\} = 0 \).

Lemma 2.8. ([9]) Assume \( A \) is a strongly positive linear bounded operator on a Hilbert space \( H \) with coefficient \( \bar{\gamma} > 0 \) and \( 0 < \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1} \). Then \( \|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho \bar{\gamma} \).
Lemma 2.9. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$ and $T : C \rightarrow C$ a $k$-strict pseudocontraction. Define $S : C \rightarrow H$ by
\[ Sx = \alpha x + (1 - \alpha)Tx, \text{ for all } x \in C. \]
Then, as $\alpha \in [k, 1)$, $S$ is nonexpansive such that $F(S) = F(T)$.

3. Main Results

In this section, we prove the strong convergence theorem for solving the generalized equilibrium problems for strictly pseudocontractive mappings in a real Hilbert spaces.

Theorem 3.1. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $F$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\beta \in (0, 1)$, and let $T$ be a $k$-strict pseudocontraction of $C$ into itself such that $\Omega = F(T) \cap EP \neq \emptyset$. Define $T_k : C \rightarrow C$ by
\[ T_k x = kx + (1 - k)Tx, \text{ for all } x \in C. \]
Let $B$ be a strongly positive bounded linear operator on $C$ with coefficient $\gamma > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < \frac{k}{\beta}$. Suppose the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ are given by
\[ x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary}, \]
\[ \left\{ \begin{array}{l}
F(u_n, y) + \langle Ax_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B)[\mu T_k x_n + (1 - \mu)u_n], \quad n \geq 1,
\end{array} \right. \]
where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are the sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\alpha]$. Suppose that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are chosen so that $r_n \in [a, b]$ for some $a, b$ with $0 < a < b < 2\alpha$ satisfying
(i) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n = 0, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$,
(ii) $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$,
(iii) $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| = 0$.
Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $z \in \Omega$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality
\[ (B - \gamma f)z, z - x \leq 0, \quad x \in \Omega. \]
Equivalently, we have $z = P_\Omega (I - B + \gamma f)(z)$.

Proof. Note that from the condition (i), we may assume, without loss of generality, that $\alpha_n \leq (1 - \beta_n)\|B\|^{-1}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. From Lemma 2.8, we know that if $0 \leq \rho \leq \|A\|^{-1}$, then $\|I - \rho A\| \leq 1 - \rho \gamma$. We will assume that $\|I - B\| \leq 1 - \gamma$. Since $B$ is a strongly positive bounded linear operator on $C$, we have
\[ \|B\| = \sup \{ \|Bx, x\| : x \in C, \|x\| = 1 \}. \]
For any $x$ such that $\|x\| = 1$, we have
\[ \langle ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B)x, x \rangle = 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \langle Bx, x \rangle \]
this show that \((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nB\) is positive. It follows that

\[
\|(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nB\| = \sup \{\|(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nB)x, x\| : x \in C, \|x\| = 1\} \\
\leq \sup \{1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n(Bx, x) : x \in C, \|x\| = 1\} \\
\leq 1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n\gamma.
\]

We note that by hypothesis \(F(T) \cap EP \neq \emptyset\). Put \(u_n := Tr_n(x_n - r_nAx_n)\), \(n \geq 1\). Let \(p \in \Omega = \{T_r(x_n - r_nAx_n)\}\) be a sequence of mappings defined as Lemma 2.4. Since \(I - \lambda_nA\) is a nonexpansive and \(p = Tr_n(p - r_nAp)\).

By (2.2.5), we have

\[
\|u_n - p\|^2 = \|Tr_n(x_n - r_nAx_n) - p\|^2 \\
= \|Tr_n(x_n - r_nAx_n) - Tr_n(p - r_nAp)\|^2 \\
\leq \|(I - r_nA)x_n - (I - r_nA)p\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2 + r_n(r_n - 2\alpha\|Ax_n - Ap\|^2 \\
\leq \|x_n - p\|^2.
\]

Set \(t_n = \mu T_kx_n + (1 - \mu)u_n\). From Lemma 2.9 we see that \(T_k\) is nonexpansive. Thus

\[
\|t_n - p\| = \|\mu T_kx_n + (1 - \mu)u_n - p\| \\
= \|\mu T_kx_n + (1 - \mu)u_n - \mu p - (1 - \mu)p\| \\
= \|\mu(T_kx_n - p) + (1 - \mu)(u_n - p)\| \\
\leq \|\mu(T_kx_n - p)\| + \|(1 - \mu)(u_n - p)\| \\
= \mu\|T_kx_n - p\| + (1 - \mu)\|u_n - p\| \\
\leq \mu\|T_kx_n - Tp\| + (1 - \mu)\|u_n - p\| \\
\leq \mu\|x_n - p\| + (1 - \mu)\|x_n - p\| \\
= \|x_n - p\|
\]

It then follows that

\[
x_{n+1} - p = \|\alpha_n(\gamma f(x_n) - Bp) + \beta_n(x_n - p) + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nB)(t_n - p)\| \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n\gamma)\|t_n - p\| + \beta_n\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\| \\
\leq (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n\gamma)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bp\| \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n\gamma)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\|f(x_n) - f(p)\| + \alpha_n\|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \\
\leq (1 - \alpha_n\gamma)\|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n\gamma/\|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| + \alpha_n\|\gamma f(p) - Bp\| \\
= (1 - (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\beta)\alpha_n)\|x_n - p\| + (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\beta)\alpha_n(\gamma f(p) - Bp) / (\tilde{\gamma} - \gamma\beta).
\]
It follows from induction that
\[(3.3.3) \quad \|x_n - p\| \leq \max\left\{ \|x_1 - p\|, \frac{\|\gamma f(p) - Bp\|}{\bar{\gamma} - \gamma \beta} \right\}, n \geq 1.\]

Hence \(\{x_n\}\) is bounded, so are \(\{u_n\}\) and \(\{t_n\}\). Now,
\[
\|u_{n+1} - u_n\| = \|T_{r_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} - r_{n+1}Ax_{n+1}) - T_{r_n}(x_n - r_nAx_n)\|
\leq \|T_{r_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} - r_{n+1}Ax_{n+1}) - (x_n - r_nAx_n)\|
\leq \|T_{r_{n+1}}(x_{n+1} - r_{n+1}Ax_{n+1}) - (x_n - r_nAx_n) + (r_nAx_n - r_{n+1}Ax_n)\|
\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + |r_n - r_{n+1}||Ax_n|.
\]

It follows that
\[
\|t_{n+1} - t_n\| = \|\mu T_{r_{n+1}}x_{n+1} + (1 - \mu)u_{n+1} - \mu T_k x_n + (1 - \mu)u_n\|
\leq \|\mu T_{r_{n+1}}x_{n+1} - \mu T_k x_n\| + (1 - \mu)||u_{n+1} - u_n||
\leq \mu ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + (1 - \mu)||u_{n+1} - u_n||
\leq \mu ||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + (1 - \mu)||x_{n+1} - x_n|| + (1 - \mu)|r_n - r_{n+1}||Ax_n||
\leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n|| + (1 - \mu)|r_n - r_{n+1}||Ax_n||.
\]

Setting
\[(3.3.5) \quad x_{n+1} = (1 - \beta_n)e_n + \beta_n x_n, \forall n \geq 1,\]
we see that
\[
e_{n+1} - e_n = \frac{\alpha_{n+1}\gamma f(x_{n+1}) + [(1 - \beta_{n+1})I - \alpha_{n+1}B]t_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}}
- \frac{\alpha_n\gamma f(x_n) + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_nB]t_n}{1 - \beta_n}
= \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}}[\gamma f(x_{n+1}) - Bt_{n+1}] + t_{n+1}
- \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n}[\gamma f(x_n) - Bt_n] - t_n.
\]

It follows that
\[(3.3.6) \quad \|e_{n+1} - e_n\| \leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}}\|\gamma f(x_{n+1}) - Bt_{n+1}\| + \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n}\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bt_n\|
+ \|t_{n+1} - t_n\|,\]
which combines with (3.3.4) yields that
\[
\|e_{n+1} - e_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \leq \frac{\alpha_{n+1}}{1 - \beta_{n+1}}\|\gamma f(x_{n+1}) - Bt_{n+1}\|
+ \frac{\alpha_n}{1 - \beta_n}\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bt_n\|.
\]
(3.3.8) \[ + (1 - \mu) |r_n - r_{n+1}| \|Ax_n\|. \]

It follows from the conditions (i), (iii) and that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} (\|e_{n+1} - e_n\| - \|x_{n+1} - x_n\|) \leq 0.
\]

Hence, from Lemma 2.2, one obtains

(3.3.9) \[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|e_n - x_n\| = 0. \]

From (3.3.5), one has \( \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = (1 - \beta_n) \|e_n - x_n\| \). From (3.3.9), we see that

(3.3.10) \[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| = 0. \]

On the other hand, we have

(3.3.11) \[
\begin{align*}
x_{n+1} - x_n &= \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + [(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B]t_n - x_n \\
&= \alpha_n (\gamma f(x_n) - Bt_n) + (1 - \beta_n)(t_n - x_n).
\end{align*}
\]

It follows that

(3.3.12) \[ (1 - \beta_n)\|t_n - x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+1} - x_n\| + \alpha_n \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bt_n\|. \]

From the conditions (i) and (3.3.10), we see that

(3.3.13) \[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \|t_n - x_n\| = 0. \]

Next, we prove that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (\gamma f - B)z, x_n - z \rangle \leq 0, \text{ where } z = P_{\Omega}(I - B + \gamma f)(z).
\]

To see this, we choose a subsequence \( \{x_{n_i}\} \) of \( x_n \) such that

(3.3.14) \[ \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (\gamma f - B)z, x_n - z \rangle = \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle (\gamma f - B)z, x_{n_i} - z \rangle. \]

Since \( \{x_{n_i}\} \) is bounded, there exists a subsequence \( \{x_{n_{i_j}}\} \) of \( \{x_{n_i}\} \) which converges weakly to \( w \) Without loss of generality, we can assume that \( x_{n_i} \rightharpoonup w \). Next, we show that \( w \in F(T) \cap EP \)

First, observe that

\[
\begin{align*}
\|x_{n+1} - p\|^2 &= \|(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B\|t_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \alpha_n \|rf(x_n) - Bp\|^2 \\
&= \|(1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B\|t_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 + \alpha_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Bp\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n \alpha_n \langle x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Bp \rangle \\
&\leq \left[ (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma) \|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n \|x_n - p\|^2 \right] + \alpha_n^2 \|rf(x_n) - Bp\|^2 \\
&= (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)^2 \|t_n - p\|^2 + \beta_n^2 \|x_n - p\|^2 \\
&\quad + 2\beta_n (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma) \|t_n - p\| \|x_n - p\| + c_n.
\end{align*}
\]
Since \( \lim \) this implies that (3.3.16)
\[
\text{Next, we show that } \lim \Rightarrow = (3.3.17)
\]
\[
(1 - \alpha_n \gamma)^2 - 2(1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n + \beta_n^2 \left| t_n - p \right|^2 + \beta_n^2 \left| x_n - p \right|^2
\]
\[
+ (1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n \left| t_n - p \right|^2 + \left| x_n - p \right|^2 \Rightarrow c_n
\]
\[
= \left[ (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)^2 - 2(1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n + \beta_n^2 \left| t_n - p \right|^2 + \beta_n^2 \left| x_n - p \right|^2 \right]
\]
\[
+ \left[ (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n - \beta_n^2 \right] \left( \left| t_n - p \right|^2 + \left| x_n - p \right|^2 \right) + c_n
\]
\[
= (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)^2 \left| t_n - p \right|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n \left| t_n - p \right|^2
\]
\[
+ (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n \left| x_n - p \right|^2 + c_n
\]
\[
= (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)\left| t_n - p \right|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n \left| x_n - p \right|^2 + c_n
\]
\[
\leq (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)\left( \mu \left| x_n - p \right|^2 \right)
\]
\[
+ (1 - \mu) \left| u_n - p \right|^2 + (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n \left| x_n - p \right|^2 + c_n
\]
\[
= (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)\left| x_n - p \right|^2
\]
\[
+ (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)\left( \mu \left| x_n - p \right|^2 + a(b - 2\alpha)\left| Ax_n - Ap \right|^2 + c_n \right)
\]
\[
\leq \left[ (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)\mu + (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \gamma)(1 - \mu) \right] \left| x_n - p \right|^2
\]
\[
+ (1 - \alpha_n \gamma)\beta_n \left| x_n - p \right|^2 + a(b - 2\alpha)\left| Ax_n - Ap \right|^2 + c_n
\]
\[
(3.3.16) \left| x_n - p \right|^2 + a(b - 2\alpha)\left| Ax_n - Ap \right|^2 + c_n
\]
where
\[
c_n = \alpha_n^2 \left| rf(x_n) - Bp \right|^2 + 2\beta_n\alpha_n \left| x_n - p, rf(x_n) - Bp \right|
\]
\[
(3.3.17)
\]
This implies that
\[
-a(b - 2\alpha)\left| Ax_n - Ap \right|^2 \leq \left| x_n - p \right|^2 - \left| x_{n+1} - p \right|^2 + c_n
\]
\[
\leq \left| x_n - x_{n+1} \right| \left( \left| x_n - p \right| + \left| x_{n+1} - p \right| \right) + c_n.
\]

Since \( \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 0 \) and from (3.3.10), we obtain
\[
(3.3.17) \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| Ax_n - Ap \right| = 0.
\]

Next, we show that \( \lim_{n \to \infty} \left| x_n - u_n \right| = 0 \). Consider,
\[
\left| u_n - p \right|^2 = \left| T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n Ax_n) - p \right|^2
\]
\[
= \left| T_{r_n}(x_n - r_n Ax_n) - T_{r_n}(p - r_n Ap) \right|^2
\]
\[
\leq \left( (I - r_n A)x_n - (I - r_n A)p, u_n - p \right)
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{2} \left[ \left| (I - r_n A)x_n - (I - r_n A)p \right|^2 + \left| u_n - p \right|^2 \right]
\]
Next, we have (3.3.19)

Since (3.3.10), (3.3.17) and \( \lim_{n \to \infty} c_n = 0 \), we obtain

(3.3.19) \[ \lim_{n \to \infty} \| x_n - u_n \| = 0. \]

Next, we have

\[ t_n - x_n = \mu(T_k x_n - x_n) + (1 - \mu)(u_n - x_n). \]
It follows that
\[ \mu \|T_k x_n - x_n\| \leq \|t_n - x_n\| + (1 - \mu)\|u_n - x_n\|. \]
From (3.3.13) and (3.3.19), we see that
\[ (3.3.20) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_k x_n - x_n\| = 0. \]
Hence
\[ \|T_k u_n - u_n\| \leq \|T_k u_n - T_k x_n\| + \|T_k x_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - u_n\| \leq 2\|u_n - x_n\| + \|T_k x_n - x_n\|. \]
By (3.3.19) and (3.3.20), we get
\[ (3.3.21) \quad \lim_{n \to \infty} \|T_k u_n - u_n\| = 0. \]
Observe that \( P_\Omega(I - B + \gamma f) \) is a contraction of \( C \) into itself. Indeed, for all \( x, y \in C \), we have
\[ \|P_\Omega(I - B + \gamma f)(x) - P_\Omega(I - B + \gamma f)(y)\| \leq \|(I - B + \gamma f)(x) - (I - B + \gamma f)(y)\| \leq \|I - B\|\|x - y\| + \gamma \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq (1 - \bar{\gamma})\|x - y\| + \gamma \beta \|x - y\| = (1 - (\bar{\gamma} + \gamma \beta))\|x - y\|. \]
Since \( H \) is complete, there exists a unique element \( z \in C \) such that \( z = P_\Omega(I - B + \gamma f)(z) \). Next, we show that
\[ (3.3.22) \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - x_n \rangle \leq 0. \]
We choose a subsequence \( \{u_{n_i}\} \) of \( \{u_n\} \) such that
\[ \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - v_{n_i} \rangle = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - v_n \rangle. \]
Since \( \{u_{n_i}\} \) is bounded, there exists a subsequence \( \{u_{n_{i,j}}\} \) of \( \{u_{n_i}\} \) which converges weakly to \( w \in C \). Without loss of generality, we can assume that \( u_{n_{i}} \rightharpoonup w \). From \( \|T_k u_{n_i} - u_{n_i}\| \to 0 \), we obtain \( T_k u_{n_i} \rightharpoonup w \). Next, we show that \( w \in \Omega \). First, we show that
\[ w \in F(T_k). \]
Assume \( w \notin F(T_k) \). Since \( u_{n_i} \rightharpoonup w \) and \( w \neq T_k w \), it follows by the Opial’s condition that
\[ \liminf_{i \to \infty} \|u_{n_i} - w\| < \liminf_{i \to \infty} \|u_{n_i} - T_k w\| \leq \liminf_{i \to \infty} \{\|u_{n_i} - T_k u_{n_i}\| + \|T_k u_{n_i} - T_k w\|\} < \liminf_{i \to \infty} \|u_{n_i} - w\|. \]
which derives a contradiction. Thus, we have \( w \in F(T_k) = F(T) \). Next, we show that \( w \in EP \). We have \( u_{n_i} \rightharpoonup w \) and \( u_n = T_r(x_n - r_nAx_n) \), for any \( y \in C \) we have

\[
F(u_n, y) + \langle Ax_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq 0.
\]

From (A2), we have

\[
\langle Ax_n, y - u_n \rangle + \frac{1}{r_n} \langle y - u_n, u_n - x_n \rangle \geq F(y, u_n).
\]

Replacing \( n \) by \( n_i \), we get

\[
\langle Ax_{n_i}, y - u_{n_i} \rangle + \langle y - u_{n_i}, \frac{u_{n_i} - x_{n_i}}{r_{n_i}} \rangle \geq F(y, u_{n_i}).
\]

Put \( u_t = ty + (1 - t)w \) for all \( t \in (0, 1] \) and \( y \in C \). Then, we have \( u_t \in C \). So, from (3.3.23) we have

\[
\langle u_t - u_{n_i}, Au_t \rangle \geq \langle u_t - u_{n_i}, Au_{n_i} \rangle - \langle u_t - u_{n_i}, \frac{u_{n_i} - x_{n_i}}{r_{n_i}} \rangle + F(u_t, u_{n_i})
\]

\[
= \langle u_t - u_{n_i}, Au_t - Au_{n_i} \rangle + \langle u_t - u_{n_i}, Au_{n_i} - Ax_{n_i} \rangle
\]

\[
- \langle u_t - u_{n_i}, \frac{u_{n_i} - x_{n_i}}{r_{n_i}} \rangle + F(u_t, u_{n_i}).
\]

Since \( \|u_{n_i} - x_{n_i}\| \to 0 \), we have \( \|Au_{n_i} - Ax_{n_i}\| \to 0 \). Further, from monotonicity of \( A \), we have \( \langle u_t - u_{n_i}, Au_t - Au_{n_i} \rangle \geq 0 \). So, from (A4) we have

\[
\langle u_t - w, Au_t \rangle \geq F(u_t, w),
\]

as \( i \to \infty \). From (A1), (A4) and (3.3.24), we also have

\[
0 = F(u_t, u_t) \leq tF(u_t, y) + (1 - t)F(u_t, w)
\]

\[
\leq tF(u_t, y) + (1 - t)\langle u_t - w, Au_t \rangle
\]

\[
= tF(u_t, y) + (1 - t)\langle y - w, Au_t \rangle
\]

and hence

\[
0 \leq F(u_t, y) + (1 - t)\langle y - w, Au_t \rangle.
\]

Letting \( t \to 0 \), we have, for each \( y \in C \),

\[
0 \leq F(w, y) + \langle y - w, Aw \rangle.
\]

This implies \( w \in EP \). Hence \( w \in \Omega \).

Since \( z = P_\Omega(I - B + \gamma f)(z) \), it follows that

\[
\limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - x_n \rangle = \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - v_n \rangle
\]

\[
= \lim_{i \to \infty} \langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - v_n \rangle
\]

\[
= \langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - w \rangle \leq 0.
\]
It follows from the last inequality, (3.3.13), (3.3.17) and (3.3.19) that
\[(3.3.27) \quad \limsup_{n \to \infty} \langle \gamma f(z) - Bz, t_n - z \rangle \leq 0.\]

Finally, we prove \(x_n \to z\) as \(n \to \infty\). To this end, we calculate
\[
\|x_{n+1} - z\|^2 = \|\alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B)t_n - z\|^2
\]
\[
= \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B)(t_n - z) + \beta_n(x_n - z) + \alpha_n(\gamma f(x_n) - Bz)\|^2
\]
\[
= \|((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B)(t_n - z) + \beta_n(x_n - z)\|^2 + \alpha_n^2\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bz\|^2
\]
\[
+ 2\beta_n\alpha_n(x_n - z, \gamma f(x_n) - Bz)
\]
\[
+ 2\alpha_n\langle((1 - \beta_n)I - \alpha_n B)(t_n - z), \gamma f(x_n) - Bz\rangle
\]
\[
\leq \left(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}\right)\|t_n - z\|^2 + \beta_n\|x_n - z\|^2 + \alpha_n^2\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bz\|^2
\]
\[
+ 2\beta_n\alpha_n\gamma(x_n - z, f(x_n) - f(z)) + 2\beta_n\alpha_n(x_n - z, \gamma f(x_n) - Bz)
\]
\[
+ 2(1 - \beta)\gamma \alpha_n\|t_n - z, f(x_n) - f(z)\|
\]
\[
+ 2(1 - \beta)\alpha_n\langle t_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle - 2\alpha_n^2\langle B(t_n - z), \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle
\]
\[
\leq \left(1 - \beta_n - \alpha_n \bar{\gamma}\right)\|x_n - z\|^2 + \beta_n\|x_n - z\|^2 + \alpha_n^2\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bz\|^2
\]
\[
+ 2\beta_n\alpha_n\gamma\|x_n - z\|^2 + 2\beta_n\alpha_n(x_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz)
\]
\[
+ 2(1 - \beta)\alpha_n\langle t_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle - 2\alpha_n^2\langle B(t_n - z), \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle
\]
\[
= \left[1 - 2(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha \gamma)\alpha_n\right]\|x_n - z\|^2 + \bar{\gamma}^2\alpha_n^2\|x_n - z\|^2 + \alpha_n^2\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bz\|^2
\]
\[
+ 2\beta_n\alpha_n\langle x_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle + 2(1 - \beta)\alpha_n\langle t_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle
\]
\[
+ 2\alpha_n^2\|B(t_n - z)\|^2 + 2\|\gamma f(x_n) - Bz\|^2 + 2\|B(t_n - z)\|\|\gamma f(z) - Bz\|
\]
\[
(3.3.28) \quad + 2\beta_n\langle x_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle + 2(1 - \beta)\langle t_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle.
\]

Since \(\{x_n\}, \{f(x_n)\}\) and \(\{t_n\}\) are bounded, we can take a constant \(M > 0\) such that
\[
\bar{\gamma}^2\|x_n - z\|^2 + \|\gamma f(x_n) - Bz\|^2 + 2\|B(t_n - z)\|\|\gamma f(z) - Bz\| \leq M,
\]
for all \(n \geq 0\). It then follows that
\[(3.3.29) \quad \|x_{n+1} - z\|^2 \leq [1 - 2(\bar{\gamma} - \alpha \gamma)\alpha_n]\|x_n - z\|^2 + \alpha_n\sigma_n,
\]
where
\[
\sigma_n = 2\beta_n\langle x_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle + 2(1 - \beta)\langle t_n - z, \gamma f(z) - Bz \rangle + \alpha_n M.
\]
Using (i), (3.3.26) and (3.3.27), we get \(\limsup_{n \to \infty} \sigma_n \leq 0\). Now applying Lemma 2.6 to (3.3.29), we conclude that \(x_n \to z\). \(\square\)
Corollary 3.2. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $F$ be a bifunction from $C \times C$ into $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying (A1)-(A4). Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and let $T$ be a $k$-strict pseudocontraction of $C$ into itself such that $\Omega = F(T) \cap EP \neq \emptyset$. Define $T_k : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$T_k x = kx + (1-k)Tx, \text{ for all } x \in C.$$  

Let $B$ be a strongly positive bounded linear operator on $C$ with coefficient $\gamma > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < \frac{\gamma}{\beta}$. Suppose the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ are given by

(3.3.30)

\[
\begin{align*}
&x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary}, \\
&F(u_n, y) + \frac{1}{r_n}(y - u_n, u_n - x_n) \geq 0, \forall y \in C, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_nB)\mu Tx_n + (1 - \mu)u_n], \quad n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are the sequences in $[0, 1]$ and $\{r_n\}$ is a sequence in $(0, 2\alpha]$. Suppose that $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ and $\{r_n\}$ are chosen such that $r_n \in [a, b]$ for some $a, b$ with $0 < a < b < 2\alpha$ satisfying

(i) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, 
(ii) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$, 
(iii) $\lim_{n \to \infty} |r_{n+1} - r_n| = 0$.

Then $\{x_n\}$ converges strongly to a point $z \in \Omega$ which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

(3.3.31)

$$\langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad x \in \Omega.$$  

Equivalently, we have $z = P\Omega(I - B + \gamma f)(z)$.

Proof. Taking $A \equiv 0$ in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the desired result. \qed

Corollary 3.3. Let $C$ be a closed convex subset of a real Hilbert space $H$. Let $f : C \rightarrow C$ be a contraction with coefficient $\beta \in (0, 1)$ and let $T$ be a $k$-strict pseudocontraction of $C$ into itself such that $\Omega = F(T) \neq \emptyset$. Define $T_k : C \rightarrow C$ by

$$T_k x = kx + (1-k)Tx, \text{ for all } x \in C.$$  

Let $B$ be a strongly positive bounded linear operator on $C$ with coefficient $\gamma > 0$ and $0 < \gamma < \frac{\gamma}{\beta}$. Suppose the sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ are given by

(3.3.32)

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrary}, \\
x_{n+1} = \alpha_n \gamma f(x_n) + \beta_n x_n + ((1 - \beta_n)(1 - \alpha_nB)\mu Tx_n + (1 - \mu)u_n], \quad n \geq 1,
\end{align*}
\]

where $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$ are the sequences in $[0, 1]$. Suppose that $\{\alpha_n\}$ and $\{\beta_n\}$ satisfying

(i) $\lim_{n \to \infty} \alpha_n = 0$, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \alpha_n = \infty$, 
(ii) $0 < \liminf_{n \to \infty} \beta_n \leq \limsup_{n \to \infty} \beta_n < 1$.  


Then \( \{x_n\} \) converges strongly to a point \( z \in \Omega \) which is the unique solution of the variational inequality

\[
\langle (B - \gamma f)z, z - x \rangle \leq 0, \quad x \in \Omega.
\]

(3.3.33) Equivalently, we have \( z = P_{\Omega}(I - B + \gamma f)(z) \).

Proof. Taking \( A \equiv 0 \), \( F(x, y) = 0 \) for all \( x, y \in C \) and \( r_n = 1 \) in Theorem 3.1, we obtain the desired result. \( \square \)
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