

Properties of a Class of p -Valent Analytic Functions Defined by Using Convolution

S. M. Khairnar

Department of Mathematics
Maharashtra Academy of Engineering
Alandi, Pune - 412105, Maharashtra, India
smkhairnar2007@gmail.com

S. M. Rajas

Department of Mathematics
G. H. Raison Institute of Engg. & Technology
Pune - 412207, Maharashtra, India
sachin_rajas@rediffmail.com

Abstract

In this paper we have introduced a new class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ of analytic and p -valent functions in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$ and obtain some sharp results including coefficient estimates, closure theorems, distortion theorem, integral operators and some results for convolution of functions in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$. Also we define some operators of fractional calculus and we obtain several sharp results of growth and distortion properties of the functions belonging to the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 30C45, 26A33

Keywords: p -valent Functions, Analytic Functions, starlike, Uniformly convex, Coefficient Estimates, Closure Theorems, Distortion Theorems, Fractional Operators

1 Introduction

Let A denote the class of functions of the form,

$$f(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, a_n \geq 0, p \in \mathbb{N} \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic and p -valent in the unit disc $U = \{z : |z| < 1\}$.

Let S denote the subclass of A consisting of analytic and p -valent functions $f(z)$ in U

Definition 1.1 A function $f(z) \in S$ is said to be p -starlike of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < p$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ \frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

we denote the class of all p -valent starlike functions of order α by $S^*(\alpha)$

Definition 1.2 A function $f(z) \in S$ is said to be convex of order α , $0 \leq \alpha < p$ if and only if

$$\operatorname{Re} \left\{ 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right\} > \alpha \quad (z \in U)$$

we denote the class of all p -valent convex functions of order α by $K(\alpha)$

We note that $f(z) \in K(\alpha)$ if and only if $zf'(z) \in S^*(\alpha)$

The classes $S^*(\alpha)$ and $K(\alpha)$ were first introduced by Owa [3] and studied by Srivastava and Owa [9], Patil and Thakare [5] and A.Shakor S.Teim [1]

Now, the function $S(z) = z^p(1-z)^{-2(1-\alpha)}$, $0 \leq \alpha < p$, $p = 1, 2, 3$.

is well known extreme function for the class $S^*(\alpha)$ setting

$$G(\alpha, n) = \frac{\prod_{i=2}^{n+1} (i - 2\alpha)}{n!}, n \geq 1$$

then $S(z)$ can be written in the form

$$S(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} G(\alpha, n)z^n$$

We note that $G(\alpha, n)$ is a decreasing function in α and that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} G(\alpha, n) \begin{cases} \infty & , \alpha < \frac{1}{2} \\ 1 & , \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \\ 0 & , \alpha > \frac{1}{2} \end{cases}$$

Let $(f * g)(z)$ denote the Hadamard product (Convolution) of two analytic functions $f(z)$ and $g(z)$, that is, if $f(z)$ is given by (1.1) and

$$g(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n,$$

$$\text{then } (f * g)(z) = z^p + \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n b_n z^n,$$

Let T denote the subclass of S consisting of functions of the form

$$f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n, \quad a_n \geq 0 \tag{1.2}$$

A function $f(z)$ defined by (1.1) belong to the class $S(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ if and only if $f(z)$ satisfies the condition

$$\left| \frac{z(f * S(z))' - p(f * S(z))}{(1 - \lambda)(f * S(z)) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z(f * S(z))'} \right| < \beta$$

for some $\alpha, 0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 \leq \lambda < p, 0 \leq \beta < p$ and all $z \in U$.

Further we denote

$$S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta) = S(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta) \cap T$$

2 Coefficient Estimates

Theorem 1 *Let the function $f(z)$ be defined by (1.2).*

Then $f(z)$ is in the class $S(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ if and only if

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n - p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)] a_n G(\alpha, n) \leq p\beta \tag{2.1}$$

and the result is sharp

Proof:- Assume that inequality (2.1) holds true and $|z| = 1$. Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & |z(f * S(z))' - p(f * S(z))| - \beta \left| (1 - \lambda)(f * S(z)) + \frac{\lambda}{p}z(f * S(z))' \right| \\ & \leq \left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} p(n - p)a_n G(\alpha, n)z^n \right| - \beta \left| pz^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(1 - \lambda) + \lambda n]a_n G(\alpha, n)z^n \right| \\ & \leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n - p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]a_n G(\alpha, n) \leq p\beta \end{aligned}$$

by hypothesis

Hence by maximum modulus principle, we have

$$f(z) \in S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$$

Conversly, let $f(z) \in S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$

Then $\left| \frac{z(f * S(z))' - p(f * S(z))}{(1 - \lambda)(f * S(z)) + \frac{\lambda z}{p}(f * S(z))'} \right| < \beta, \quad z \in U$
 That is

$$= \frac{\left| \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} p(n - p)a_n G(\alpha, n)z^n \right|}{\left| pz^p - p(1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n G(\alpha, n)z^n - \lambda \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} na_n G(\alpha, n)z^n \right|} < \beta, \quad (2.2)$$

Since $|Re f(z)| \leq |f(z)|$ for all z , we have

$$\left| Re \left\{ \frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} p(n - p)a_n G(\alpha, n)z^n}{pz^p - p(1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n G(\alpha, n)z^n - \lambda \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} na_n G(\alpha, n)z^n} \right\} \right| < \beta \quad (2.3)$$

Choosing z on real axis and allowing $z \rightarrow 1$

$$\frac{\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} p(n - p)a_n G(\alpha, n)}{p - p(1 - \lambda) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n G(\alpha, n) - \lambda \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} na_n G(\alpha, n)} \leq \beta$$

$$\therefore \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n - p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]a_n G(\alpha, n) \leq p\beta$$

which gives (2.1)

Finally, the result is sharp with extremal function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{p\beta}{[p(n - p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)} z^n, \quad n \geq p + 1 \quad (2.4)$$

Corollary 2.1 : Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$
 Then we have

$$a_n \leq \frac{p\beta}{[p(n - p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)}, \quad n \geq p + 1 \quad (2.5)$$

The equality in (2.5) is attained for the function $f(z)$ given by (2.4)

3 Closure Theorem

Theorem 2 *Let the function $f_j(z), j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ defined by*

$$f_j(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n,j} z^n, a_{n,j} \geq 0 \text{ for } z \in U \tag{3.1}$$

be in the class $S^(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$. Then the function $h(z)$ defined by*

$$h(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n \text{ also belongs to the class } S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta) \text{ where}$$

$$b_n = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=p+1}^{\infty} a_{n,j}$$

Proof:- Since $f_j(z) \in S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ it follows from Theorem 1 that

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)] G(\alpha, n) a_{n,j} \leq p\beta, \quad j = 1, 2, \dots, m$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)] G(\alpha, n) b_n \\ &= \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)] G(\alpha, n) \left(\frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=p}^{\infty} a_{n,j} \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{m} \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)] G(\alpha, n) a_{n,j} \\ &\leq p\beta \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 1, $h(z) \in S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$

Thus we have the result

Theorem 3 *The class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ is closed under convex linear combinations. As a consequence of Theorem 5, there exists extreme points of the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$*

Theorem 4 *Let $f_p(z) = z$ and*

$$f_n(z) = z^p - \frac{p\beta}{[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)] G(\alpha, n)} z^n, \quad n \geq p+1 \tag{3.2}$$

for $0 \leq \alpha < p, 0 \leq \lambda < p$ and $0 \leq \beta < p$.

Then $f(z)$ is in the class $S^(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ if and only if it can be expressed in the form*

$$f(z) = \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_n f_n(z), \text{ where } \mu_n \geq 0, n = p+1$$

$$\text{and } \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \mu_n = p$$

4 Distortion Theorem

Using Theorem 1, we may find bounds of the modulus of $f(z)$ and $f'(z)$ for $f(z) \in S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$

Theorem 5 *If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$, $0 \leq \lambda < p$ and $0 \leq \beta < p$, and either $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{5}{6}$ or $|z| \leq \frac{3}{4}$ then*

$$|f(z)| \geq \max\left\{0, 1 - \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z|^{n-p}\right\}$$

and

$$|f(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z|^{n-p}$$

The bounds are sharp

Proof:- By virtue of Theorem 1, we note that

$$|f(z)| \geq \max\left\{0, |z|^p - \max_{n \in N \setminus \{1\}} \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z|^n\right\}$$

$$|f(z)| \leq |z|^p + \max_{n \in N \setminus \{1\}} \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z|^n$$

for $z \in U$. Hence it suffices to deduce that

$$H(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, |z|, n) = \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z|^n$$

in decreasing function of $n(n \geq p+1)$

Since $G(\alpha, n+1) = \frac{n+1-2\alpha}{n} G(\alpha, n)$, we can see that,

for $|z| \neq 0$, $H(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, |z|, n) \geq H(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, |z|, n+1)$ if and only if

$$I(\alpha, |z|, n) = (n + 1)(n + 1 - 2\alpha) - n^2 |z| \geq 0$$

It is easy to show that $I(\alpha, |z|, n)$ is a decreasing function for α for fixed $|z|$.

Consequently it follows that

$$I(\alpha, |z|, n) \geq I(5/6, |z|, n) = n^2(1 - |z|) + \frac{1}{3}(n - 2) \geq 0$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 5/6, z \in U, n \geq 2$

Further, since $I(\alpha, |z|, n)$ is decreasing in $|z|$ and decreasing in n , we obtain that

$$I(\alpha, |z|, n) > I(1, |z|, n) \geq I(1, 3/4, 2) = 0$$

for $0 \leq \alpha \leq 1, |z| < 3/4$ and $n \geq 2$. Thus $\max_{n \in \mathbb{N} \setminus \{1\}}(\alpha, \lambda, \beta, |z|, n)$ is attained at $n = 2$

Finally, since the function $f_n(z) (n \geq 2)$ defined in Theorem 6 are extreme points of the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$, we can see that the bounds of Theorem 7 are attained by the $f_{p+1}(z)$, that is

$$f_{p+1}(z) = z^p - \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z|^n$$

Theorem 6 *If the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$, $0 \leq \lambda < p$ and $0 \leq \beta < p$ and either $0 \leq \alpha \leq \frac{p}{2}$ or $|z| < \frac{p}{2}$ then*

$$1 - \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} z^{n-p} \leq |f'(z)| \leq 1 + \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} z^{n-p}$$

The bounds are sharp

Proof:-

5 Integral Operators

Theorem 7 *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) is in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ and let d be real number such that $d > -p$ then the function $F(z)$ defined by*

$$F(z) = \frac{d+p}{z^d} \int_0^z t^{d-1} f(t) dt \text{ belongs to } S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta) \tag{5.1}$$

Proof:- From the representation of $F(z)$, it follows that

$$F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} b_n z^n, \text{ where } b_n = \frac{d+p}{d+n} a_n$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)b_n \\ &= \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n) \left(\frac{d+p}{d+n}\right) a_n \\ &\leq \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} [p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)a_n \\ &\leq p\beta \end{aligned}$$

Hence by Theorem 1, $F(z) \in S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$

Theorem 8 *Let the function $F(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ ($a_n \geq 0$) be in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ and let d be real number such that $d > -p$. Then the function $F(z)$ defined by (5.1) is p -valent in $|z| < R$*

Where $R = \inf_n \left\{ \frac{p(d+p)[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)}{n^2\beta(d+n)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}$, $n \geq p+1$

The result is sharp

Proof:- From (5.1), we have $f(z) = \frac{z^{1-d}(z^d F(z))'}{d+p} = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \frac{d+n}{d+p} a_n z^n$

In order to obtain the required result it is sufficient to show that

$$\begin{aligned} & \left| 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right| \leq p \\ \operatorname{Re} \left(1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} - p \right) &\leq \frac{\left| n \left(\frac{d+p}{d+n}\right) (n-p) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \right|}{\left| pz^{p-1} - n \left(\frac{d+p}{d+n}\right) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1} \right|} \\ &\leq \frac{n \left(\frac{d+p}{d+n}\right) (n-p) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}}{pz^{p-1} - n \left(\frac{d+p}{d+n}\right) \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n z^{n-1}} \\ &\leq p \end{aligned}$$

which is equivalent to show that

$$\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^2 \frac{d+n}{d+p} \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n |z|^{n-p} \leq 1$$

As $f(z) \in S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$, we have from Theorem 1,

$$\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^2 \frac{d+n}{d+p} |z|^{n-p} \leq \frac{[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)}{p\beta}$$

$$\text{or } |z| \leq \left\{ \frac{[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)}{\left(\frac{n}{p}\right)^2 \left(\frac{d+n}{d+p}\right) p\beta} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}$$

Setting $|z| = R$, we get the result

$$R =_{n \text{ inf}} \left\{ \frac{p(d+p)[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)}{n^2\beta(d+n)} \right\}^{\frac{1}{n-p}}, \quad n \geq p+1$$

6 Fractional Calculus Operators

In this section our aim is to obtain several growth and distortion properties of functions in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$ involving a family of operators of Fractional Calculus (fractional integral and fractional derivative operators)

First of all, in terms of Gauss hyper geometric function

$${}_2F_1(\delta, \tau; \gamma; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\delta)_k (\tau)_k z^k}{(\gamma)_k k!} \quad (z \in U; \delta, \tau; \gamma \in C; \gamma \neq 0, -1, -2, \dots)$$

where $(m)_k = \frac{\overline{(m+k)}}{\overline{m}}$ denotes the Pochhammer symbol,

we recall the definitions of fractional integral operator $J_{o,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta}$ and the fractional derivative operator $k_{o,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta}$ as follows (cf., eg., [4] and [11] see also [9])

Definition 6.1 *The fractional integral of order μ is defined for a function $f(z)$, by*

$$J_o^{\mu,\xi,\eta} f(z) = \frac{z^{-\mu-\xi}}{\overline{\mu}} \int_0^z (z-t)^{\mu-1} F_1\left(\mu + \xi, -\eta; \mu; 1 - \frac{t}{z}\right) f(t) dt, \quad \mu > 0$$

where $f(z)$ is analytic function is a simple connected region of z -plane containing the origin, and the multiplicity of $(z - t)^{\mu-1}$ is removed by requiring $\log(z - t)$ to be real when $(z - t) > 0$, provided further that

$$f(z) = O(|z|^6)(z \in 0; \epsilon > \max\{0, \xi - \eta\} - 1) \tag{6.1}$$

Definition 6.2 The fractional derivative of order μ is defined for a function $f(z)$, by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{o,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta} f(z) &= \frac{1}{\Gamma(1-\mu)} \frac{d}{dz} \left\{ z^{\mu-\xi} \int_0^z (z-t)^{-\mu} {}_2F_1(\xi-\mu, 1-\eta; 1-\mu; 1-\frac{t}{z}) f(t) dt, \right\} \\ &= \frac{d^n}{dz^n} K_{0,z}^{\mu-\eta,\xi,\eta} f(z), (\eta \leq \mu < n+1; \eta \in N) \end{aligned}$$

where the function $f(z)$ is constrained and the multiplicity of $(z - t)^{-\mu}$ is removed, as in definition 6.1, and ϵ is given by the order estimate (6.1). If following from the definition 1.1 and 1.2 that

$$J_{0,2}^{\mu,-\mu,\eta} f(z) = D_z^{-\mu} f(z), (\mu > 0) \tag{6.2}$$

$$K_{0,z}^{\mu,\mu,\eta} f(z) = D_z^{\mu} f(z), (0 \leq \mu < 1) \tag{6.3}$$

where $D_z^{\mu} f(z) (\mu \in R)$ is the fractional calculus operator consider by Owa [2] and subsequently by Srivastava and Owa [9]

Furthermore, in terms of Gamma functions Definition 6.1 and 6.2

Lemma 6.1 (cf. Srivastava et al. [11]). The (generalized) fractional integral and the (generalized) fractional of a power functions are given by

$$J_{0,z}^{\mu,-\xi,\eta} z^p = \frac{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(p-\xi+1)\Gamma(p+\mu+\xi+1)} \cdot z^{p-\xi} \tag{6.4}$$

where $(\mu > 0; p > \max\{0, \xi - \eta\} - 1)$

and

$$K_{0,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta} z^p = \frac{\Gamma(p+1)\Gamma(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{\Gamma(p-\xi+1)\Gamma(p-\mu+\eta+1)} z^{p-\xi} \tag{6.5}$$

where $(\mu \leq 0; p > \max\{0, \xi - \eta\} - 1)$

Theorem 9 Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (2.1) be in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$, with $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{p}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{p}{2}$ and $0 \leq \beta < p$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\overline{(p+1)} \overline{(p-\xi+\eta+1)}}{\overline{(p+1-\xi)} \overline{(p+1+\mu+\eta)}} |z|^{p-\xi} \times \\ & \left[1 - \frac{\beta(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{(p+1)(p+1-\xi)(p+1+\mu+\eta)[p(n-p)+\beta(\lambda n-p\lambda+p)] \cdot (1-\alpha)} |z| \right] \\ & \leq \left| J_{0,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta} f(z) \right| \leq \\ & \frac{\overline{(p+1)} \overline{(p-\xi+\eta+1)}}{\overline{(p+1-\xi)} \overline{(p+1+\mu+\eta)}} |z|^{p-\xi} \times \\ & \left[1 + \frac{\beta(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{(p+1)(p+1-\xi)(p+1+\mu+\eta)[p(n-p)+\beta(\lambda n-p\lambda+p)] \cdot (1-\alpha)} |z| \right] \end{aligned} \tag{6.6}$$

($z \in U_0; \mu > 0, \max\{\xi, \xi - \eta, -\mu - \eta\} < 2; \xi(\mu + \eta) \leq 3\mu$) and

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\overline{(p+1)} \overline{(p-\xi+\eta+1)}}{\overline{(p+1-\xi)} \overline{(p+1-\mu+\eta)}} |z|^{p-\xi} \times \\ & \left[1 - \frac{\beta(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{(p+1)(p+1-\xi)(p+1-\mu+\eta)[p(n-p)+\beta(\lambda n-p\lambda+p)] \cdot (1-\alpha)} |z| \right] \\ & \leq \left| K_{0,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta} f(z) \right| \leq \\ & \frac{\overline{(p+1)} \overline{(p-\xi+\eta+1)}}{\overline{(p+1-\xi)} \overline{(p+1-\mu+\eta)}} |z|^{p-\xi} \times \\ & \left[1 + \frac{\beta(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{(p+1)(p+1-\xi)(p+1-\mu+\eta)[p(n-p)+\beta(\lambda n-p\lambda+p)] \cdot (1-\alpha)} |z| \right] \end{aligned} \tag{6.7}$$

($z \in U_0; 0 \leq \mu < p, \max\{\xi, \xi - \eta, -\mu - \eta\} < 2; \xi(\mu - \eta) \geq 3\mu$),

$$\text{where } U_0 = \begin{cases} U & , \xi \leq p \\ U/\{0\} & , \xi > p \end{cases}$$

Each of these results is sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by

$$f(z) = z^p - \frac{p\beta}{2[p(n-p)+\beta(\lambda n-p\lambda+p)](1-\alpha)} z^n \tag{6.8}$$

Proof : Applying Theorem 1, we have

$$\sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} a_n \leq \frac{p\beta}{[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)]G(\alpha, n)} \quad (6.9)$$

Next, using the assertion (6.4) of Lemma 1, we find from (1.2) that

$$F(z) = \frac{[(p-\xi+1)][(p+\mu+\xi+1)]}{[(p+1)][(p-\xi+\eta+1)]} z^\xi J_{0,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta} f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \phi(n) a_n z^n \quad (6.10)$$

$$\text{where } \phi(n) = \frac{(p+1)_n (p-\xi+\eta+1)_n}{(p-\xi+1)_n (p+\mu+\xi+1)_n}, \quad \eta \geq p+1 \quad (6.11)$$

The function $\phi(n)$ defined by (6.11) can easily be seen to be non-increasing under the parameter constraints stated in (6.6) and thus we have

$$0 < \phi(n) \leq \phi(p+1) = \frac{(p+1)(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{(p-\xi+1)(p+\mu+\xi+1)} \quad (6.12)$$

The assertion (6.6) of the theorem follows from (6.9), (6.10) and (6.12)

The assertion (6.7) of the theorem can be proved similarly by noting from (6.5) that

$$G(z) = \frac{[(p+1-\xi)][(p+1-\mu+\eta)]}{[(p+1)][(p-\xi+\eta+1)]} z^\xi K_{0,z}^{\mu,\xi,\eta} f(z) = z^p - \sum_{n=p+1}^{\infty} \psi(n) a_n z^n \quad (6.13)$$

where

$$\psi(n) = \frac{(p+1)_n (p-\xi+\eta+1)_n}{(p+1-\xi)_n (p+1-\mu+\eta)_n}, \quad n \geq p+1 \quad (6.14)$$

Thus similarly,

$$0 < \psi(n) \leq \psi(p+1) = \frac{(p+1)(p-\xi+\eta+1)}{(p+1-\xi)(p+1-\mu+\eta)}, \quad n \in N \setminus \{1\} \quad (6.15)$$

The assertion (6.6) and (6.7) are attained

Corollary 6.1 *Let the function $f(z)$ defined by (1.2) be in the class $S^*(\alpha, p, \lambda, \beta)$, with $0 \leq \alpha < \frac{p}{2}$, $0 \leq \alpha < p$ and $0 \leq \beta < p$*

Then

$$\frac{\overline{[(p+1)]}}{\overline{[(p+1+\mu)]}} |z|^{p+\mu} \left[1 - \frac{p(p+1)\beta}{2(p+1)(p+1+\mu)[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z| \right]$$

$$\leq |D_z^{-\mu} f(z)| \leq \frac{\overline{[(p+1)]}}{\overline{[(p+1+\mu)]}} |z|^{p+\mu} \left[1 + \frac{p(p+1)\beta}{2(p+1)(p+1+\mu)[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z| \right]$$

(6.16)

and

$$\frac{\overline{[(p+1)]}}{\overline{[(p+1-\mu)]}} |z|^{p-\mu} \left[1 - \frac{p(p+1)\beta}{2(p+1)(p+1-\mu)[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z| \right]$$

$$\leq |D_z^\mu f(z)| \leq \frac{\overline{[(p+1)]}}{\overline{[(p+1-\mu)]}} |z|^{p-\mu} \left[1 + \frac{p(p+1)\beta}{2(p+1)(p+1-\mu)[p(n-p) + \beta(\lambda n - p\lambda + p)](1-\alpha)} |z| \right]$$

(6.17)

$$(z \in U, 0 \leq \mu < p)$$

Each of these result are sharp for the function $f(z)$ given by (6.8)

References

- [1] A. Shakor, S. Teim, A Class of *p*-valent Functions defined by using Hadamard product, Intl. Jour. of Math. Analysis, 4 (6) (2010), 291-302.
- [2] S. Owa, On the Distortion Theorem I, Kyungpook Math J., 18 (1978), 53-59.
- [3] S. Owa, On Certain Classes of *p*-valent Functions with negative coefficients, Simon Stevin, 59 (1985b), 385-402.
- [4] S. Owa, M. Saigo and H. M. Srivastava, Some Characterization Theorems for Starlike and Convex Functions involving a certain Fractional Operator, Jour. of Math. Analysis Appl., 140 (1989), 419-426.
- [5] D. A. Patil and N. K. Thakare, On Convex Hulls and Extreme Points of *p*-valent Starlike and Convex Classes with applications, Bull. Math. Soc. R. S. Roumania, 27 (75) (1983), 145-160.

- [6] A. Schild and H. Silverman, Convolution of Functions with negative coefficients, *Ann. Univ. Marriae Curie-Sklodowska Sect. A*, 29 (1975), 99-107.
- [7] S. Ruscheweyh, New Criteria for Univalent Functions, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 49 (1975), 109-115.
- [8] H. Silverman and E. Silvia, Prestalike Functions with negative coefficients, *Intl. Jour. Math. Sci.*, 2 (1979), 427-439.
- [9] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa (editors), *Current Topics in Analytic Functions Theory*, World Scientific Publishing Co. Singapore, New Jersey, London and Hongkong (1992).
- [10] H. M. Srivastava and S. Owa, An Applications of Fractional Derivative, *Math. Japan.*, 29 (1984), 384-389.
- [11] H. M. Srivastava, M. Saigo and S. Owa, A Class of Distortion Theorems involving certain of Fractional Calculus, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, 131 (1988), 412-420.
- [12] Ramshankar Mishra and Vinod Kumar, *Geometrical and Analytical Properties of certain classes related to Univalent Functions*, Ph.D. Thesis, (S. J. M. University), Kanpur, February, 2007.

Received: May, 2010