

Large Solution of Quasilinear Elliptic Equations under the Keller-Osserman Condition

G. A. Afrouzi and S. Shokooh

Department of Mathematics, Faculty of Basic Sciences
University of Mazandaran, Babolsar, Iran
afrouzi@umz.ac.ir, sd.shokooh@gmail.com

Abstract

In this paper, our main purpose is to consider the quasilinear equation

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = a(x)f(u)$$

on a domain $\Omega \subseteq R^N, N \geq 3$, where a is a nonnegative nontrivial continuous function and f is continuous and nondecreasing on $[0, \infty]$, satisfies $f(0) = 0, f(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$ and the Keller-Osserman condition $\int_1^\infty (F(s))^{-1/p} ds = \infty$ where $F(s) = \int_0^s f(t) dt$. We establish condition on the function a that are necessary and sufficient for the existence of positive solutions, bounded and unbounded, of the given equation.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 35J60, 35B30, 35B40

Keywords: Entire solution; Large solution; Elliptic equation; Sublinear

1 Introduction

We consider the existence of explosive solutions of the problem

$$\operatorname{div}(|\nabla u|^{p-2}\nabla u) = a(x)f(u), \quad x \in \Omega, \quad (1)$$

$$u|_{\partial\Omega} = \infty, \quad (2)$$

where $p > 1$, and $\Omega \subset R^N$ is a bounded domain with a smooth boundary or an un-bounded domain or the whole R^N . We call these explosive solutions of (1) on Ω . If Ω is bounded, we require $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $x \rightarrow \partial\Omega$. within Ω . If Ω , is un-bounded, we also require $u(x) \rightarrow \infty$ as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ within Ω . If $\Omega = R^N$, we call these entire explosive solutions. This problem appears in the study of non-Newtonian fluids [2,19] and non-Newtonian filtration [8,9]. Such problems also arise in the study of the subsonic motion of a gas [21], the electric potential

in some bodies [15], and Riemannian geometry [4].

Explosive solutions of the problem

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta u(x) &= f(u(x)), \quad x \in \Omega \\ u|_{\partial\Omega} &= \infty,\end{aligned}$$

where Ω is a bounded domain in R^N ($N \geq 1$) have been extensively studied, see [1-10,11,17]. A problem with $f(u) = -e^u$ and $N = 2$ was first considered by Bieberbach [3] in 1916. Bieberbach showed that if Ω is a bounded domain in R^2 such that $\partial\Omega$ is a C^2 submanifold of R^2 , then there exists a unique $u \in C^2(\Omega)$ such that $\Delta u = -e^u$ in Ω and $|u(x) - \ln(d(x))^{-2}|$ is bounded on Ω . Here $d(x)$ denotes the distance from a point x to $\partial\Omega$. Rademacher [23], using the idea of Bieberbach, extended the above result to a smooth bounded domain in R^3 . In this case the problem plays an important role, when $N = 2$, in the theory of Riemann surfaces of constant negative curvature and in the theory of automorphic functions, and when $N = 3$, according to [23], in the study of the electric potential in a glowing hollow metal body. Lazer and McKenna [15] extended the results for a bounded domain Ω in R^N ($N \geq 1$) satisfying a uniform external sphere condition and the nonlinearity $f = f(x, u) = p(x)e^u$, where $p(x)$ is continuous and strictly negative on $\bar{\Omega}$. Very recently, Lazer and McKenna [16] obtained similar results when D is replaced by the Monge-Ampere operator and X is a smooth, strictly convex, bounded domain. Similar results were also obtained for $f = p(x)u^a$ with $a > 1$. Posteraro [22], for $f(u) = -e^u$ and $N \geq 2$, proved the estimates for the solution $u(x)$ of Eq. (1.3) and for the measure of Ω comparing with a problem of the same type defined in a ball. In particular, when $N = 2$, Posteraro [22] obtained an explicit estimate of the minimum of $u(x)$ in terms of the measure of Ω :

$$\min u(x) \geq \ln(8\pi/|\Omega|).$$

The existence, but not uniqueness, of solutions of above equation with f monotone was studied by Keller [10]. For $f(u) = -u^a$ with $a > 1$, the problem above is of interest in the study of the subsonic motion of a gas when $a = 2$, and is related to a problem involving super-diffusion, particularly for $1 < a \leq 2$ (see [6,7]). Pohozaev [21] proved the existence, but not uniqueness, for the above problem when $f(u) = -u^2$. For the case where $f(u) = -u^{(N+2)/(N-2)}$ ($N > 2$), Loewener and Nirenberg [17] proved that if $\partial\Omega$ consists of a disjoint union of finitely compact C^∞ manifolds, each having co-dimension less than $N/2 + 1$, then there exists a unique solution of the problem (2). The uniqueness was established for $f(u) = u^a$ with $a > 3$, when $\partial\Omega$ is a C^2 -submanifold and Δ is replaced by a more general second-order elliptic operator, by Kondrat'ev and Nikishken [11]. Marcus and Veron [18] proved the uniqueness for $f(u) = -u^a$ with $a > 1$, when $\partial\Omega$ is compact and is locally the graph of a continuous function defined on an $(N - 1)$ -dimensional space. Explosive solutions of the problem (1) and (2), Diaz and Letelier [5] have proved the existence and uniqueness

of explosive solutions to (1) and (2) both for $f(u) = u^\gamma, \gamma > p - 1$ (super-linear case) and $\partial\Omega$ is of the class C^2 . Very recently, Lu et al. [20] proved the existence of explosive solutions to (1) and (2) both for $f(u) = u^\gamma, \gamma > p - 1, \Omega = R^N$ or Ω being a bounded domain (super-linear case) and $\gamma \leq p - 1, \Omega = R^N$ (sub-linear case) respectively. In [24], which considered the existence of explosive positive solutions to quasilinear elliptic systems. In this paper, we obtain some existence results under following conditions :

(H_1) f is continuous and nondecreasing on $[0, \infty)$, satisfies $f(0) = 0, f(s) > 0$ for $s > 0$

(H_2) f satisfy keller-Osserman condition

$$\int_1^\infty [F(s)]^{-1/p} ds = \infty \quad (F(s) \equiv \int_0^s f(t) dt). \tag{3}$$

2 Main results

Theorem 2.1: Let $\Omega = R^N$ in (1). Suppose f satisfies (3) and there exists a positive number ε such that a satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty t^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(t) dt < \infty, \quad \text{where } \phi(t) = \max_{|x|=t} a(x), \tag{4}$$

and $r^{p(N-1)}\phi(r)$ is nondecreasing for large r . Then Eq. (1) has a nonnegative nontrivial entire bounded solution on R^N .

Theorem 2.2. Suppose that a is spherically symmetric (i.e., $a(x) = a(|x|)$) and $\Omega = R^N$. If f satisfies (3), then Eq. (1) has a nonnegative nontrivial entire solution. Suppose furthermore that $r^{p(N-1)}a(r)$ is nondecreasing for large r . If a satisfies $\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{1}{p-1}} a(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dr = \infty$, then any nonnegative nontrivial entire solution u of (1) is large. Conversely, if (1) has a nonnegative entire solution, then a satisfies

$$\int_0^\infty r^{1+\varepsilon} a(r) dr = \infty \tag{5}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$.

3 Proof of Theorems

Proof of theorem 2.1: Suppose (4) holds. We will show that (1) has a solution by finding an upper solution, v , and a lower solution, w , for which $w \leq v$. To do this, we first prove the existence of w to the equation

$$\Delta_p w = \phi(r)f(w). \quad (6)$$

We note that this equation becomes in this case

$$(r^{N-1}|w'(r)|^{p-2}w'(r))' = r^{N-1}\phi(r)f(w(r))$$

and that any solution w to the integral equation

$$w(r) = 1 + \int_0^r t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} \phi(s) f(w(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt, \quad r > 0,$$

is a solution to (6). To establish a solution to this equation, we use successive approximation. Let $w_0 = 1$ and define the sequence $\{w_k\}$ by

$$w_k(r) = 1 + \int_0^r t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} \phi(s) f(w_{k-1}(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt, \quad \text{for all } k \text{ and all } r \geq 0.$$

Clearly $w_0 \leq w_1$, which, in turn, yields $w_1 \leq w_2$ since f is nondecreasing. Hence the sequence $\{w_k\}$ is an increasing sequence, nondecreasing functions. We now show that the sequence $\{w_k\}$ is bounded above and hence convergence. We note that w_k satisfies

$$(r^{N-1}|w'_k|^{p-2}w'_k)' = r^{N-1}\phi(r)f(w_{k-1}), \quad k \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

and the monotonicity of $\{w_k\}$ yields

$$(r^{N-1}|w'_k|^{p-2}w'_k)' \leq r^{N-1}\phi(r)f(w_k) \quad (8)$$

Choose $R > 0$ so that $r^{p(N-1)}\phi(r)$ is nondecreasing for $r \geq R$. We first show that $w_k(R)$ and $w'_k(R)$, both of which are nonnegative, are bounded above independent of k . To do this, let $\Phi_R = \max\{\phi(r) : 0 \leq r \leq R\}$. Using this and the fact that $w'_k \geq 0$, we note that (8) yields

$$w'_k{}^{p-2} w''_k \leq \Phi_R f(w_k), \quad 0 \leq r \leq R.$$

Multiply this by w'_k and integrate to get

$$(w'_k)^p \leq p \Phi_R \int_1^{w_k(r)} f(s) ds, \quad 0 \leq r \leq R, \tag{9}$$

which yields

$$\int_1^{w_k(R)} \left[\int_1^t f(s) ds \right]^{-1/p} dt \leq \sqrt{p \Phi_R} R.$$

From (3), we now conclude that $w_k(R)$ is bounded above independent of k and using this fact in (9) shows that the same is true of $w'_k(R)$. We now show that w_k is bounded for all $r \geq 0$ and all k .

We have from (8)

$$(N - 1)r^{N-2}w'_k{}^{p-1} + (p - 1)r^{N-1}w''_k w'_k{}^{p-2} \leq r^{N-1}\phi(r)f(w_k),$$

hence

$$(p - 1)r^{N-1}w''_k w'_k{}^{p-2} \leq r^{N-1}\phi(r)f(w_k).$$

Multiplying by $r^{(p-1)(N-1)}w'_k$ and integrating gives

$$(r^{N-1}w'_k(r))^p \leq \frac{(R^{N-1}w'_k(R))^p}{p-1} + \frac{p}{p-1} \int_R^r t^{p(N-1)}\phi(t) \frac{d}{dt} \int_1^{w_k(t)} f(s) ds dt \quad (r \geq R).$$

Using the monotonicity of $t^{p(N-1)}\phi(t)$ for $t \geq r$, we get $C \equiv \left(\frac{(R^{N-1}w'_k(R))^p}{p-1}\right)$

$$(r^{N-1}w'_k(r))^p \leq C + \frac{p}{p-1}r^{p(N-1)}\phi(r)F(w_k(r)),$$

which yields

$$w'_k(r) \leq \sqrt[p]{C}r^{1-N} + \sqrt[p]{\frac{p}{p-1}\phi(r)[F(w_k(r))]^{1/p}} \tag{10}$$

and hence

$$\frac{d}{dr} \int_1^{w_k(r)} [F(t)]^{-1/p} dt \leq \sqrt[p]{C}r^{1-N}[F(w_k(r))]^{-1/p} + \sqrt[p]{\frac{p}{p-1}\phi(r)}.$$

Integrating this and using the fact that

$$\sqrt[p]{\frac{p}{p-1}\phi(r)} = \sqrt[p]{\frac{p}{p-1}r^{(1+\varepsilon)/p}\phi(r)r^{(-1-\varepsilon)/p}} \leq r^{1+\varepsilon}\phi(r) + r^{-1-\varepsilon}$$

for every $\varepsilon > 0$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{w_k(R)}^{w_k(r)} [F(t)]^{-1/p} dt &\leq \sqrt[p]{C} \int_R^r t^{1-N} [F(w_k(t))]^{-1/p} dt + \int_R^r t^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(t) dt + \int_R^r t^{-1-\varepsilon} dt \\ &\leq \sqrt[p]{C} [F(w_k(r))]^{-1/p} \int_R^r t^{1-N} dt + \int_R^r t^{1+\varepsilon} \phi(t) dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon R^\varepsilon}. \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Since for $\varepsilon > 0$ the right side of this inequality is bounded independent of k (note that $w_k(R) \geq 1$), so is the left side and hence, in light of (3), the sequence $\{w_k\}$ is a bounded sequence. Thus $w_k \uparrow w$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$ and hence w is a solution to (6). Furthermore, $w' \geq 0$ and since the sequence $\{w_k\}$ is bounded above, so is w . We let M be the least upper bound of w and note that $M = \lim_{r \rightarrow \infty} w(r)$. Now let v be positive increasing bounded solution of

$$v(r) = M + \int_0^r t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} \psi(s) f(v(s)) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \quad r \geq 0,$$

which, of course, satisfies (6) with w replace with v and $\psi(t) = \min_{|x|=t} a(x)$. It is also clear that $v \geq M$. (the proof of the existence of v and that it has the properties mentioned is virtually identical to the proof for w above and is therefore omitted.) Thus we have that w and v satisfy, respectively,

$$\Delta_p w \geq a(x)f(w), \quad \Delta_p v \leq a(x)f(v)$$

on R^N and $w \leq v$. Hence the standard upper-lower solution principle implies that(1) has a solution u , such that $w \leq u \leq v$ on R^N , which is the desired solution.

Proof of theorem 2.2: For any $a_1 > 0$ a solution of

$$v(r) = a_1 + \int_0^r t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} a(s) f(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt, \tag{12}$$

exists, at least, small r . Since $v' \geq 0$, the only way that the solution can become singular at R is for $v(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \uparrow R$. Thus, we can show that, for each $R > 0$, there exists $C_R > 0$ so that $v(R) \leq C_R$, we have existence. To this end, let $M_R = \max\{a(r) : 0 \leq r \leq R\}$ and consider the equation

$$w(r) = a_2 + M_R^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^r t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} f(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt,$$

where $a_2 > a_1$. The solution to this equation exists for all $r \geq 0$ and of course, it is a solution to $\Delta_p w = M_R^{\frac{1}{p-1}} f(w)$ on R^N . We now show that $v(r) \leq w(r)$ for all $0 \leq r \leq R$ and hence complete the proof of existence. Clearly $v(0) < w(0)$ so that $v(r) < w(r)$ for at least all r near zero. Let $r_0 = \sup\{r : v(s) < w(s) \text{ for all } s \in [0, 1]\}$. If $r_0 = R$, then we are done. Thus assume that $r_0 < R$. Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} v(r_0) &= a_1 + \int_0^{r_0} t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} a(s) f(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \\ &< a_2 + M_R^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_0^{r_0} t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} f(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt = w(r_0). \end{aligned}$$

Thus there exists $\varepsilon > 0$ so that $v(r) < w(r)$ for all $[0, r_0 + \varepsilon)$, contradicting the definition of r_0 . Thus we conclude that $v < w$ on $[0, R]$ for all $R > 0$ and hence v is a nontrivial entire solution of (1). Now let u be any nonnegative nontrivial entire solution of (1) and suppose a satisfies $\int_0^\infty r^{\frac{1}{p-1}} a(r)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dr = \infty$. Then the proof that $u(r) \rightarrow \infty$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ is very similar to part of the proof of theorem 1 of [14] so we provide details here only of the difference between the two proofs. Indeed since u is nontrivial and nonnegative, there exists $R > 0$ so that $u(R) > 0$. Since $u' \geq 0$, we get $u(r) \geq u(R)$ for $r \geq R$, and thus from (14)(since u will satisfy that equation for all $r \geq 0$) we get

$$\begin{aligned} u(r) &= u(0) + \int_0^r t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_0^t s^{N-1} a(s) f(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \\ &\geq u(R) + f(u(R))^{\frac{1}{p-1}} \int_R^r t^{\frac{1-N}{p-1}} \left(\int_R^t s^{N-1} a(s) ds \right)^{\frac{1}{p-1}} dt \rightarrow \infty \quad \text{as } r \rightarrow \infty, \end{aligned}$$

where we have applied Eq.(8) in [13] to establish the limit.

To prove the converse we use an argument similar to part of the proof theorem 1 above. Indeed, if f satisfies (3) and w is a nonnegative entire large solution of (1), then w satisfies

$$(r^{N-1}|w'|^{p-2}w')' = r^{N-1}\phi(r)f(w).$$

Now, as in proof of theorem 2.1, we multiply by $r^{p-1}N - 1w'(r)$, integrate, and use the monotonicity of $r^{p(N-1)}a(r)$ for $r \geq R$ to get (see inequality and its derivation)

$$w'(r) \leq \sqrt[p]{C}r^{1-N} + \sqrt[p]{\frac{p}{p-1}a(r)[F(w(r))]^{1/p}}$$

and hence, as with (11), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{w(R)}^{w(r)} [F(t)]^{-1/p} dt &\leq \sqrt[p]{C} [F(w(R))]^{-1/p} \int_R^r t^{1-N} dt + \int_R^r t^{1+\varepsilon} a(t) dt + \frac{1}{\varepsilon R^\varepsilon} \\ &\leq C_R + \int_R^r t^{1+\varepsilon} a(t) dt, \end{aligned}$$

where $C_R = \sqrt[p]{C} [F(w(R))]^{-1/p} R^{N-2} / (N-2) + 1/(\varepsilon R^\varepsilon)$. Letting $r \rightarrow \infty$, we find that a satisfies (5) since w is large and f satisfies (3). This completes the proof.

References

- [1] V. Anuradha, C. Brown, R. Shivaaji, Explosive nonnegative solutions to two point boundary value problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 26(1996) 613-630.
- [2] G. Astarita, G. Marrucci, *Principles of non-Newtonian fluid mechanics*, McGraw-Hill, 1974.
- [3] L. Bieberbach, $Du = eu$ und die automorphen Funktionen, *Math. Ann.* 77 (1916) 173-212.
- [4] K.-S. Cheng, W.-M. Ni, On the structure of the conformal scalar curvature equation on \mathbb{R}^N , *Indiana Univ. Math. J.* 41 (1992) 261-278.
- [5] G. Diaz, R. Letelier, Explosive solutions of quasilinear elliptic equations: existence and uniqueness, *Nonlinear Anal.* 20 (1993) 97125.
- [6] E.B. Dynkin, Superprocesses and partial differential equations, *Ann. Probab.* 21 (1993) 1185-1262.
- [7] E.B. Dynkin, S.E. Kuznetsov, Superdiffusions and removable singularities for quasilinear partial differential equations, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 49 (1996) 125-176.
- [8] J.R. Esteban, J.L. Vazquez, On the equation of turbulent filtration in one-dimensional porous media, *Nonlinear Anal.* 10 (1982) 1303-1325.
- [9] A.S. Kalashnikov, On a nonlinear equation appearing in the theory of non-stationary filtration, *Trud. Sem. I.G. Petrovski* (1978) (in Russian).
- [10] J.B. Keller, On solutions of $Du = f(u)$, *Commun. Pure Appl. Math.* 10 (1957) 503-510.

- [11] V.A. Kondrat'ev, V.A. Nikishken, Asymptotics, near the boundary, of a singular boundary-value problem for a semilinear elliptic equation, *Diff. Urav.* 26 (1990) 465-468 (English translation: *Differen. Equat.* 26 (1990) 345-348).
- [12] A. V. Lair, Large solution of semilinear elliptic equations under the Keller-Osserman condition, *J. math. Anal. Appl.* 328(2007)1247-1254.
- [13] A. V. Lair, A. W. Wood, Large solution of semilinear elliptic problems, *Nonlinear Anal.* 37(1999)805-812.
- [14] A. V. Lair, A. W. Wood, Large solution of semilinear elliptic equations, *Nonlinear Anal.* 39(2000)745-753.
- [15] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, On a problem of Bieberbach and Rademacher, *Nonlinear Anal.* 21 (1993) 327-335.
- [16] A.C. Lazer, P.J. McKenna, On singular boundary value problems for the Monge-Ampere operator, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 197 (1996)341-362.
- [17] C. Loewner, L. Nirenberg, Partial differential equations invariant under conformal or projective transformations, in: *Contributions to Analysis (A Collection of Paper Dedicated to Lipman Bers)*, Academic Press, New York, 1974, pp. 245-272.
- [18] M. Marcus, L. Veron, Uniqueness of solutions with blow-up at the boundary for a class of nonlinear elliptic equation, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 317 (1993) 559-563.
- [19] L.K. Martinson, K.B. Pavlov, Unsteady shear flows of a conducting fluid with a rheological power law, *Magnitnaya Gidrodinamika* 2 (1971) 5058.
- [20] Q. Lu, Z. Yang, E.H. Twizell, Existence of entire explosive positive solutions of quasi-linear elliptic equations, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 148 (2004) 359-372.
- [21] S. L. Pohzaev, The Dirichlet problem for the equation $\Delta u = u^2$, *Soviet Math.Dokl.* 1(1960)1143-1146
- [22] M.R. Posteraro, On the solutions of the equation $\Delta u = eu$ blowing up on the boundary, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris* 322 (1996) 445-450.
- [23] H. Rademacher, Einige besondere problem partieller Differentialgleichungen, in: *Die Differential- und Integralgleichungen, der Mechanik und Physikl*, second ed., Rosenberg, New York, 1943, pp. 838-845.

- [24] Z. Yang, Existence of entire explosive positive radial solutions for a class of quasilinear elliptic systems, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 288 (2003) 768-783.

Received: May, 2009