

On Nörlund Summability Factors of Infinite Series

Santosh K. Saxena

H. N. 419, Jawahar Puri, Badaun, U. P., India
Presently working in Deptt. of Comp. Appl., TMIMT,
Moradabad, U. P., India
ssumath@yahoo.co.in

Abstract

In the present paper, a general theorem concerning the $\varphi - |N, p_n|_k$ summability factors of infinite series, has been proved.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 40D15, 40D25, 40F05.

Keywords: Nörlund Summability, Summability Factors, Infinite Series

1 Introduction.

Let (φ_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers and let $\sum a_n$ be a given infinite series with the sequence of partial sums (s_n) . By (t_n) , we denote the n -th $(C, 1)$ means of the sequence (na_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|C, 1|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see[4])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n} |t_n|^k < \infty, \quad (1)$$

and it is said to be summable $\varphi - |C, 1|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see[8])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |t_n|^k < \infty. \quad (2)$$

If we take $\varphi_n = n$, then $\varphi - |C, 1|_k$ summability reduces to $|C, 1|_k$ summability.

Let (p_n) be a sequence of constants, real or complex, and let us write

$$P_n = p_0 + p_1 + p_2 + \dots + p_n \neq 0, \quad (n \geq 0). \quad (3)$$

The sequence-to-sequence transformation

$$\sigma_n = \frac{1}{P_n} \sum_{\nu=0}^n p_{n-\nu} s_\nu, \quad (4)$$

defines the sequence (σ_n) of the Nörlund mean of the sequence (s_n) , generated by the sequence of coefficients (p_n) . The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $|N, p_n|$, if (see[6])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}| < \infty, \quad (5)$$

and it is said to be summable $|N, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if (see[3])

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{k-1} |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (6)$$

The series $\sum a_n$ is said to be summable $\varphi - |N, p_n|_k$, $k \geq 1$, if

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \varphi_n^{k-1} |\sigma_n - \sigma_{n-1}|^k < \infty. \quad (7)$$

If we take $\varphi_n = n$ and $p_n = 1$ then $\varphi - |N, p_n|_k$ summability becomes $|C, 1|_k$ summability. For any sequence (λ_n) , we write $\Delta\lambda_n = \lambda_n - \lambda_{n+1}$.

2 Known Results.

Concerning the $|C, 1|$ and $|N, p_n|$ summability Kishore [5] has proved the following theorem.

Theorem 2.1 *Let $p_0 > 0$, $p_n \geq 0$ and (p_n) be a non-increasing sequence. If $\sum a_n$ is summable $|C, 1|$, then the series $\sum a_n P_n (n+1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|$.*

Later on Ram [7] has proved the following theorem related to the absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series.

Theorem 2.2 *Let (p_n) be as in Theorem 2.1. If*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} |s_\nu| = O(X_n), \quad n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (8)$$

where (X_n) is positive non-decreasing sequence and (λ_n) is a sequence such that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n |\Delta^2 \lambda_n| X_n < \infty, \quad (9)$$

$$|\lambda_n| X_n = O(1), \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (10)$$

then the series $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n+1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|$.

Bor [1] has proved Theorem 2.2 under weaker conditions in the following form.

Theorem 2.3 *Let (p_n) be a sequence as in Theorem 2.1. If*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} |t_\nu| = O(X_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{11}$$

where (t_n) is the n -th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence (na_n) , and the sequences $(\lambda_n), (X_n)$ are such that conditions (9)-(10) of Theorem 2.2 are satisfied, then the series $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n + 1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|$.

It should be noted that condition (8) implies the condition (11), but the converse need not be true (see[1] for details). Quite recently Bor [2] generalized Theorem 2.3 for $|N, p_n|_k$ summability in the following form.

Theorem 2.4 *Let (p_n) be a sequence as in Theorem 2.1. If*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{1}{\nu} |t_\nu|^k = O(X_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{12}$$

and the sequences $(\lambda_n), (X_n)$ satisfy the conditions (9) and (10) of Theorem 2.2, then the series $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n + 1)^{-1}$ is summable $|N, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

3 Main Result.

The aim of this paper is to generalize Theorem 2.4 for $\varphi - |N, p_n|_k$ summability. Now we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1 *Let (φ_n) be a sequence of positive real numbers. Let (p_n) be as in Theorem 2.1. The sequences (λ_n) and (X_n) satisfy the conditions (9) and (10) of Theorem 2.2 and if*

$$\sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\varphi_\nu^{k-1}}{\nu^k} |t_\nu|^k = O(X_n), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \tag{13}$$

$$\sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k+1}} = O\left(\frac{\varphi_\nu^{k-1}}{\nu^k}\right), \tag{14}$$

then the series $\sum a_n P_n \lambda_n (n + 1)^{-1}$ is summable $\varphi - |N, p_n|_k, k \geq 1$.

It should be noted that if we take $k = 1$ and $\varphi_n = n$ in Theorem 3.1, then we get Theorem 2.3 because in this case condition (13) reduces to condition (11) and condition (14) reduces to

$$\sum_{n=\nu}^m \frac{1}{n^2} = O\left(\frac{1}{\nu}\right), \quad (15)$$

but this always holds. We need the following lemma for the proof of our theorem.

Lemma 3.2 [1] *Under the conditions of (X_n) and (λ_n) , as taken in the statement of Theorem 2.2, the following conditions hold,*

$$nX_n\Delta\lambda_n = O(1), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty, \quad (16)$$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \Delta\lambda_n X_n < \infty. \quad (17)$$

4 Proof of Theorem 3.1.

Let (T_n) be n -th $(C, 1)$ mean of the sequence $(na_n\lambda_n)$, that is

$$T_n = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_\nu \lambda_\nu.$$

Applying Abel's transformation, we have

$$\begin{aligned} T_n &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^n \nu a_\nu \lambda_\nu = \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \Delta\lambda_\nu \sum_{r=0}^{\nu} r a_r + \frac{\lambda_n}{n+1} \sum_{r=0}^n r a_r \\ &= \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu+1) \Delta\lambda_\nu t_\nu + \lambda_n t_n \\ &= T_{n,1} + T_{n,2}, \text{ say.} \end{aligned}$$

Since $|T_{n,1} + T_{n,2}|^k \leq 2^k (|T_{n,1}|^k + |T_{n,2}|^k)$, in order to complete the proof of the Theorem 3.1, it is sufficient to show that

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2.$$

Now, we have that

$$\sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,1}|^k = \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} \left\{ \frac{1}{n+1} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \frac{(\nu+1)}{\nu} \nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu| |t_\nu| \right\}^k$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{2k}} \left\{ \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} \nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu| |t_\nu| \right\}^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k+1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|)^k |t_\nu|^k \times \left\{ \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} 1 \right\}^{k-1} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=2}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k+1}} \sum_{\nu=1}^{n-1} (\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|)^k |t_\nu|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m (\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|)^k |t_\nu|^k \sum_{n=\nu+1}^{m+1} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^{k+1}} \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m (\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|) (\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|)^{k-1} \frac{\varphi_\nu^{k-1}}{\nu^k} |t_\nu|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^m (\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|) \frac{\varphi_\nu^{k-1}}{\nu^k} |t_\nu|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \Delta(\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|) \sum_{r=1}^{\nu} \frac{\varphi_r^{k-1}}{r^k} |t_r|^k \\
 &\quad + O(1) m |\Delta\lambda_m| \sum_{\nu=1}^m \frac{\varphi_\nu^{k-1}}{\nu^k} |t_\nu|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \Delta(\nu |\Delta\lambda_\nu|) X_\nu + O(1) m |\Delta\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |(\nu+1) |\Delta^2\lambda_\nu| - |\Delta\lambda_\nu|| X_\nu + O(1) m |\Delta\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} \nu |\Delta^2\lambda_\nu| X_\nu + O(1) \sum_{\nu=1}^{m-1} |\Delta\lambda_\nu| X_\nu \\
 &\quad + O(1) m |\Delta\lambda_m| X_m \\
 &= O(1), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
 \end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2.

Again,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,2}|^k &= \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |\lambda_n|^k |t_n|^k \\
 &= \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |\lambda_n| |\lambda_n|^{k-1} |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |\lambda_n| |t_n|^k \\
 &= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_n| \sum_{\nu=1}^n \frac{\varphi_\nu^{k-1}}{\nu^k} |t_\nu|^k + O(1) |\lambda_n| \sum_{n=1}^m \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |t_n|^k
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= O(1) \sum_{n=1}^{m-1} \Delta |\lambda_n| X_n + O(1) |\lambda_m| X_m \\
&= O(1), \text{ as } m \rightarrow \infty,
\end{aligned}$$

by virtue of the hypotheses of the Theorem 3.1 and Lemma 3.2. Therefore, we get

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\varphi_n^{k-1}}{n^k} |T_{n,r}|^k < \infty, \text{ for } r = 1, 2.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

References

- [1] H. Bor, Absolute Nörlund summability factors, *Utilitas Math.*, **40** (1991), 231 - 236.
- [2] H. Bor, On a summability factor theorem, *Communications in Mathematical Analysis*, **1(1)** (2006), 46-51.
- [3] D. Borwein and F. P. Cass, Strong Nörlund summability, *Math. Zeith.*, **103** (1968), 94-111.
- [4] T. M. Flett, On an extension of absolute summability and some theorems of Littlewood and Paley, *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc.*, **7** (1957), 113-141.
- [5] N. Kishore, On the absolute Nörlund summability factors, *Riv. Mat. Univ. Parma.*, **6** (1965), 129-134.
- [6] F. M. Mears, Some multiplication theorems for the Nörlund mean, *Bull. Amer. Math. Soc.*, **41** (1935), 875-880.
- [7] S. Ram, On the absolute Nörlund summability factors of infinite series, *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, **2** (1971), 275-282.
- [8] H. Seyhan, *The absolute summability methods*, Ph.D. Thesis, Kayseri, (1995), 1-57.

Received: March 28, 2008