

M-Quasihyponormal Composition Operators on Weighted Hardy Spaces

S. Panayappan

Department of Mathematics, Government Arts College
Coimbatore – 641 018, Tamil Nadu, India
panayappan@gmail.com

D. Senthilkumar

Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Engineering College
Coimbatore – 641 022, Tamil Nadu, India
senthilsenkumhari@gmail.com

R. Mohanraj

Department of Mathematics, Sri Ramakrishna Polytechnic College
Coimbatore – 641 022, Tamil Nadu, India
mohanrajsrtc@gmail.com

Abstract

If T is an analytic function mapping the unit disk D into itself, we define the composition operator C_T on the space $H^2(\beta)$ by $C_T f = f \circ T$. In this paper, we investigate the relationship between properties of the symbol T and the quasihyponormality of the operators C_T and C_T^* .

Mathematics subject classification: Primary 47B38, secondary 47B37, 47B35.

Keywords: Weighted Hardy Space, M-quasihyponormal, Quasihyponormal, Hyponormal, Partial isometry, Composition Operators

1. PRELIMINARIES

Let f be an analytic map on the open unit disk D given by the Taylor's series.

$$f(z) = a_0 + a_1z + a_2z^2 + \dots$$

Let $\beta = \{\beta_n\}_{n=0}^\infty$ be a sequence of positive numbers with $\beta_0 = 1$ and $\frac{\beta_{n+1}}{\beta_n} \rightarrow 1$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

The set $H^2(\beta)$ of formal complex power series $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ such that

$$\|f\|_\beta^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |a_n|^2 \beta_n^2 < \infty$$

is a Hilbert space of functions analytic in the unit disc with the inner product.

$$\langle f, g \rangle_\beta = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n \bar{b}_n \beta_n^2 \quad \text{for } f \text{ as above and } g(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b_n z^n.$$

Let D be the open unit disk in the complex plane and let $T: D \rightarrow D$ be an analytic self-map of the unit disk and consider the corresponding composition operator C_T acting on $H^2(\beta)$, i.e.,

$$C_T(f) = f \circ T, \quad f \in H^2(\beta)$$

The operators C_T are not necessarily defined on all of $H^2(\beta)$. They are everywhere defined in some special cases: on the classical Hardy space H^2 (the case when $\beta_n = 1$ for all n). See for example [7], and on a general space $H^2(\beta)$ if the function T is analytic on some open set containing the closed unit disk having supremum norm strictly smaller than one (see [11]). There are a lot of other known properties of composition operators, on the classical Hardy space H^2 (See for example [1], [5] and [7]), and on more general space $H^2(\beta)$ (see [3], [4], [8], [10] and [11]).

In [2], Cowen's and Kriete obtained a nice correlation between hyponormality of composition operators on H^2 and the Denjoy-Wolff point of the induced map.

In [9], Nina Zorboska obtained some results on the hyponormality of composition operators and their adjoints.

In this article, we are interested in the M -quasihyponormality of composition operators and their adjoints.

2. An operator T on a Hilbert space H is called M -quasihyponormal if there exists $M > 0$ such that

$$M^2 T^{*2} T^2 - (T^*T)^2 \geq 0$$

If $M = 1$, T is said to be quasihyponormal.

Furuta *et al.* [6], introduced a new class ‘class A’ operators as follows.

An operator T belongs to class A if and only if

$$(T^* | T |^2 T)^{1/2} \geq T^*T$$

and showed that this class is included in the class of paranormal operators.

Let ω be a point on the open disk.

Define

$$k_\omega^\beta(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n \bar{\omega}^{-n}}{\beta_n^2}$$

Then the function k_ω^β is a point evaluation for $H^2(\beta)$.

Then k_ω^β is in $H^2(\beta)$ and $\|k_\omega^\beta\|^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{|\omega|^{2n}}{\beta_n^2}$.

Thus, $\|K_\omega\|$ is an increasing function of $|\omega|$.

If $f(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n z^n$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f, k_\omega^\beta \rangle_\beta &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{a_n \omega^n \beta_n^2}{\beta_n^2} \\ &= f(\omega) \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$\langle f, k_\omega^\beta \rangle_\beta = f(\omega) \quad \text{for all } f \text{ and}$$

k_ω^β is known as the point evaluation kernel at ω .

It can be easily shown that

$$C_T^* k_\omega^\beta = k_{T(\omega)}^\beta$$

and $k_0^\beta = 1$ (the function identically equal to 1).

Theorem 2.1:

If C_T is M -quasihyponormal then $\|k_{T(0)}^\beta\|_\beta^2 \leq M^2$

Proof:

C_T is M-quasihyponormal.

$$\langle M^2 C_T^{*2} C_T^2 f, f \rangle - \langle (C_T^* C_T)^2 f, f \rangle \geq 0, \text{ for all } f \in H^2(\beta).$$

$$M^2 \langle C_T^2 f, C_T^2 f \rangle - \langle C_T^* C_T C_T^* C_T f, f \rangle \geq 0$$

$$M^2 \langle C_T^2 f, C_T^2 f \rangle - \langle C_T^* C_T f, C_T^* C_T f \rangle \geq 0$$

$$M^2 \|C_T^2 f\|^2 \geq \|C_T^* C_T f\|^2$$

Let $f = k_0^\beta$, we have,

$$M^2 \|C_T C_T k_0^\beta\|_\beta^2 \geq \|C_T^* C_T k_0^\beta\|_\beta^2$$

$$M^2 \|C_T k_0^\beta\|_\beta^2 \geq \|C_T^* k_0^\beta\|_\beta^2$$

$$M^2 \|k_0^\beta\|_\beta^2 \geq \|k_{T(0)}^\beta\|_\beta^2$$

$$\|k_{T(0)}^\beta\|_\beta^2 \geq M^2. \quad [9]$$

Theorem 2.2 :

A partial isometry composition operator C_T on $H^2(\beta)$ is M-quasihyponormal then $M^2 \geq 1$.

Proof :

C_T is M-quasihyponormal.

$$\|C_T^* C_T f\|^2 \leq M^2 \|C_T^2 f\|, \quad \text{for all } f \in H^2(\beta)$$

$$M^2 C_T^{*2} C_T^2 - 2k(C_T^* C_T)^2 + k^2 \geq 0 \quad \text{for all } k > 0$$

$$(M^2 C_T^{*2} C_T^2 - 2k(C_T^* C_T)^2 + k^2) C_T^* C_T \geq 0$$

$$M^2 C_T^* C_T^* C_T C_T C_T^* C_T - 2k C_T^* C_T C_T^* C_T C_T^* C_T + k^2 C_T^* C_T \geq 0$$

$$M^2 C_T^* C_T^* C_T C_T - 2k C_T^* C_T C_T^* C_T + k^2 C_T^* C_T \geq 0$$

$$M^2 C_T^* C_T^* C_T C_T - 2k C_T^* C_T + k^2 C_T^* C_T \geq 0$$

$$M^2 \|C_T^2 f\|^2 - 2k \|C_T f\|^2 + k^2 \|C_T f\|^2 \geq 0$$

Let $f = k_0^\beta$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 \| C_T^2 k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 - 2k \| C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 + k^2 \| C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\geq 0 \\ M^2 \| C_T C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 - 2k \| k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 + k^2 \| k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\geq 0 \\ M^2 \| C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 - 2k + k^2 &\geq 0 \\ M^2 \| k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 - 2k + k^2 &\geq 0 \\ M^2 - 2k + k^2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

By elementary properties of real quadratic form, we get, $M^2 \geq 1$.

Theorem 2.3:

If C_T is hyponormal composition operator on $H^2(\beta)$ and C_T^* is M -quasihyponormal then $M^2 \geq 1$.

Proof :

C_T^* is M -quasihyponormal

$$\begin{aligned} \langle M^2 C_T^2 C_T^{*2} f, f \rangle - \langle (C_T C_T^*)^2 f, f \rangle &\geq 0, \text{ for all } f \in H^2(\beta) \\ M^2 \langle C_T^2 C_T^{*2} f, f \rangle - \langle C_T C_T^* C_T C_T^* f, f \rangle &\geq 0 \\ M^2 \langle C_T^{*2} f, C_T^{*2} f \rangle - \langle C_T C_T^* f, C_T C_T^* f \rangle &\geq 0 \\ M^2 \| C_T^{*2} f \|^2 - \| C_T C_T^* f \|^2 &\geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Let $f = k_0^\beta$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 \| C_T^{*2} k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 - \| C_T C_T^* k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\geq 0 \\ M^2 \| C_T^{*2} k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\geq \| C_T C_T^* k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\ M^2 \| C_T^* k_{T(0)}^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\geq \| C_T k_{T(0)}^\beta \|_\beta^2 \end{aligned}$$

Since C_T is hyponormal, we have $T(0) = 0$. [9]

$$M^2 \| C_T^* k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \geq \| C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2$$

$$\begin{aligned} M^2 \| k_{T(0)}^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\geq \| k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\ M^2 \| k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\geq 1 \\ M^2 &\geq 1 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 2.4:

If C_T be quasihyponormal on the space $H^2(\beta)$, then $T(0) = 0$.

Proof :

Let C_T be quasihyponormal on $H^2(\beta)$ and k_0^β be point evaluation at 0.

Then $\| C_T^* C_T f \|_\beta \leq \| C_T^2 f \|_\beta$ for all f in $H^2(\beta)$ and if $f = k_0^\beta$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \| C_T^* C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &= \| C_T^* k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 = \| k_{T(0)}^\beta \|_\beta^2 = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\beta_n^2} |T(0)|^{2n} \\ &\leq \| C_T^2 k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\ &= \| C_T C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\ &= \| C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\ &= \| k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\ &= 1 \end{aligned}$$

which implies, since $\beta_0 = 1$, that $T(0) = 0$.

Theorem 2.5:

If C_T is of Class A on $H^2(\beta)$ then $T(0) = 0$.

Proof :

If C_T is of Class A, implies

$$\begin{aligned} (C_T^* C_T)^2 &\leq C_T^* |C_T|^2 C_T \\ (C_T^* C_T)^2 &\leq C_T^* (C_T^* C_T) C_T \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 (C_T^* C_T)^2 &\leq C_T^{*2} C_T^2 \\
 \langle C_T^* C_T C_T^* C_T f, f \rangle &\leq \langle C_T^{*2} C_T^2 f, f \rangle \quad \text{for all } f \text{ in } H^2(\beta). \\
 \langle C_T^* C_T f, C_T^* C_T f \rangle &\leq \langle C_T^2 f, C_T^2 f \rangle \\
 \| C_T^* C_T f \|_\beta^2 &\leq \| C_T^2 f \|_\beta^2
 \end{aligned}$$

Let $f = k_0^\beta$, we have,

$$\begin{aligned}
 \| C_T^* C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\leq \| C_T^2 k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\
 \| C_T^* k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\leq \| C_T C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 \\
 \| k_{T(0)}^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\leq \| C_T k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 = \| k_0^\beta \|_\beta^2 = 1 \\
 \| k_{T(0)}^\beta \|_\beta^2 &\leq 1, \text{ by theorem 4, which implies that } T(0) = 0.
 \end{aligned}$$

References

- [1] C. Cowen, Composition Operators on H^2 , J. Operator Theory, 9(1983), 77-106.
- [2] C.Cowen and T.L.Kriete, Subnormality and composition operators on H^2 , J. Funct. Anal., 81(1988), 298-319
- [3] B. MacCluer, Composition Operators on S^p , Houston J. of Math., 13(1987), 245-254.
- [4] B.MacCluer and J. Shapiro, Angular Derivatives and Compact Composition Operators on the Hardy and Bergman Spaces, Canad J. Math., 38(1986), 878-907.
- [5] E.A. Nordgren, Composition Operators in Hilbert Space, In : Hilbert space operators, Lecture Notes in Math., 693, Springer-Verlag (Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, (1977), 37-63.
- [6] S. Panayappan and D. Senthilkumar, Class A Composition Operators, Bull. Cal. Math. Soc., 96(1) (2004), 33-36.
- [7] H.J. Schwartz, Composition Operators on H^p , Thesis U. of Toledo, 1969.
- [8] J. Shapiro, Compact Composition Operators on Spaces of Boundary-regular Holomorphic Functions, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 100(1987), 49-57.

- [9] N. Zorboska, Hyponormal Composition Operators on Weighted Hardy spaces, *Acta Sci. Math.*, 55(1991), 399-402.
- [10] N. Zorboska, Compact Composition Operators on some Weighted Hardy Spaces, *J. Operator Theory*, 22(1989), 233-241.
- [11] N. Zorboska, Composition Operators induced by Functions with Supremum Strictly Smaller than One, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 106(1989), 679-684.

Received: March 5, 2008