

International Journal of Computing and Optimization
Vol. 5, 2018, no. 1, 1 - 4
HIKARI Ltd, www.m-hikari.com
<https://doi.org/10.12988/ijco.2018.832>

Gartner's Hype Cycle: A Simple Explanation

Jose Perez and Vladik Kreinovich

Department of Computer Science
University of Texas at El Paso
500 W. University
El Paso, TX 79968, USA

Copyright © 2018 Jose Perez and Vladik Kreinovich. This article is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

Abstract

In the ideal world, any innovation should be gradually accepted. It is natural that initially some people are reluctant to adopt a new largely un-tested idea, but as more and more evidence appears that this new idea works, we should see a gradual increase in number of adoptees – until the idea becomes universally accepted.

In real life, the adoption process is not that smooth. Usually, after the few first successes, the idea is over-hyped, it is adopted in situations way beyond the inventors' intent. In these remote areas, the new idea does not work well, so we have a natural push-back, when the idea is adopted to a much less extent than it is reasonable. Only after these wild oscillations, the idea is finally universally adopted. These oscillations are known as *Gartner's hype cycle*.

A similar phenomenon is known in economics: when a new positive information about a stock appears, the stock price does not rise gradually: at first, it is somewhat over-hyped and over-priced, and only then, it moves back to a reasonable value.

In this paper, we provide a simple explanation for this oscillation phenomenon.

Mathematics Subject Classification: 34A30 91B26 91B55

Keywords: hype cycle, economics, dynamical systems, linear ordinary differential equations with constant coefficients

1 Gartner's Hype Cycle

How innovations should be adopted. In the ideal world, any good innovation should be gradually accepted.

It is natural that initially some people are reluctant to adopt a new largely un-tested idea. However:

- as more and more evidence appears that this new idea works,
- we should see a gradual increase in number of adoptees –
- until the idea becomes universally accepted.

How innovations are actually adopted. In real life, the adoption process is not that smooth. Usually, after the few first successes:

- the idea is over-hyped,
- it is adopted in situations way beyond the inventors' intent.

In these remote areas, the new idea does not work well; so:

- We have a natural push-back, when the idea is adopted to a much less extent than it is reasonable.
- Only after these wild oscillations, the idea is finally universally adopted.

These oscillations are known as *Gartner's hype cycle*; see, e.g., [2, 3].

A similar economic phenomenon. A similar phenomenon is known in economics: when a new positive information about a stock appears, the stock price does not rise gradually.

- At first, it is somewhat over-hyped and over-priced.
- And only then, it moves back to a reasonable amount.

Problem. How can we explain this phenomenon?

2 Our Explanation

Main idea behind our explanation. Any system is described by some parameters

$$x_1, \dots, x_n.$$

The rate of change \dot{x}_i of each of these parameters is determined by the system's state, i.e.:

$$\dot{x}_i = f_i(x_1, \dots, x_n).$$

In the first approximation, we can replace each expression by the first few terms in its Taylor expansion. For example, we can approximate it by a linear expression:

$$\dot{x}_i = \sum_j a_{ij} \cdot x_j.$$

A general solution of such systems of linear differential equations is known; see, e.g., [1, 4]. In the generic case, it is a linear combination of terms $\exp(\lambda_k \cdot t)$, where λ_k are (possible complex) eigenvalues of the matrix a_{ij} , i.e., roots of the corresponding characteristic equation

$$P(\lambda) = 0.$$

When the imaginary part b_k of $\lambda_k = a_k + i \cdot b_k$ is non-zero, we get:

$$\exp(\lambda_k \cdot t) = \exp(a_k \cdot t) \cdot (\cos(b_k \cdot t) + i \cdot \sin(b_k \cdot t)),$$

i.e., we get oscillations.

Explanation: details. The above argument explain why oscillations appear *sometimes*, but why do we see oscillations practically always?

Here is our explanation for this. The more parameters we take into account, the more accurate our description; thus:

- to get a good accuracy,
- we need to use large n .

Any polynomial can be represented as a product of real-valued quadratic terms. Some of these quadratic terms have real roots. If p_0 is the probability that both roots are real, then:

- for a polynomial of order n ,
- the probability p that all its terms have real roots is:

$$p \approx p_0^{n/2}.$$

For large n , this is practically 0. Thus, practically all polynomials have at least one non-real root. So, almost all systems show oscillations.

This explain why Gartner's hype cycle is ubiquitous.

Acknowledgments. This work was supported in part by the US National Science Foundation grant HRD-1242122.

References

- [1] S. M. Anglin, *ODE and PDE Solutions: Recipes for Solving Constant Coefficient Linear Ordinary and Partial Differential Equations*, CreateSpace Independent Publishing Platform, 2011.
- [2] D. Chaffey and F. Ellis-Chadwick, *Digital Marketing*, Pearsons, Harlow, UK, 2016.
- [3] J. Fenn and M. Raskino, *Mastering the Hype Cycle: How to Choose the Right Innovation at the Right Time*, Harvard Business School Press, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 2008.
- [4] M. D. Greenberg *Ordinary Differential Equations*, Wiley, New York, 2011.

Received: March 31, 2018; Published: April 25, 2018