

# Neighborhoods of a Certain Class of Analytic Functions with Negative Coefficients

H. Özlem Güney and S. Sümer Eker

University of Dicle, Faculty of Science and Arts  
Department of Mathematics, 21280, Diyarbakır, Turkey  
ozlemg@dicle.edu.tr & sevtaps@dicle.edu.tr

## Abstract

By making use of the familiar concept of neighborhoods of analytic functions, we prove several inclusion relations associated with the  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhoods of various subclass of univalent functions with negative coefficients.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** 30C45

**Keywords:** analytic function, negative coefficient,  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhood

## 1. Introduction

Let  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  denote the class of functions  $f(z)$  of the form

$$f(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k z^k \quad (a_k \geq 0, n \in \mathbb{N} := \{1, 2, 3, \dots\}) \quad (1.1)$$

which are analytic in the open unit disk  $\mathbb{U} = \{z \in \mathbb{C} : |z| < 1\}$ .

For any  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$  and  $\delta \geq 0$  we define

$$\mathcal{N}_{n,\delta}(f) = \{g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \delta\} \quad (1.2)$$

which was called  $(n, \delta)$ -neighborhoods of  $f(z)$ . So, for  $e(z) = z$ , we see that

$$\mathcal{N}_{n,\delta}(e) = \{g \in \mathcal{A}(n) : g(z) = z - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k z^k, \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|b_k| \leq \delta\}. \quad (1.3)$$

The concept of neighborhoods was firstly by A.W.Goodman[1] and then generalized by ST.Ruschewyh [2].

The main object of the present paper is to investigate the neighborhoods of the following subclass of class  $\mathcal{A}(n)$  of univalent functions with negative coefficients.

A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  if it satisfies

$$Re \left\{ z \frac{2\gamma\lambda r f''(z)z^{2r-1} + \lambda\gamma f'''(z)z^{2r} + (\lambda - \gamma)r f'(z)z^{r-1} + (\lambda - \gamma)f''(z)z^r + (1 - \lambda + \gamma)f'(z)}{\gamma\lambda z^{2r} f''(z) + (\lambda - \gamma)f'(z)z^r + (1 - \lambda + \gamma)f(z)} \right\} > \alpha$$

for some  $r = 1, 2, \dots$ ,  $\alpha(0 \leq \alpha < 1)$ ,  $\lambda, \gamma(0 \leq \gamma \leq \lambda \leq 1)$  and for all  $z \in U$  [7]. We note that  $\mathcal{P}_0(n, \lambda, \alpha, r) \equiv \mathcal{P}(n, \lambda, \alpha r)$ . The classes  $\mathcal{P}(n, \lambda, \alpha r)$  and  $\mathcal{P}(n, \lambda, \alpha r, r)$  were studied by O.Altintas [3] and M.Kamali et al. [4], respectively.  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  was introduced by M.Kamali et al.[5]. The class  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  is generalization of  $\mathcal{P}(n, \lambda, \alpha r)$  by O.Altintas [3].

## 2. A Set of Inclusion Relations Involving $\mathcal{N}_{n,\delta}(e)$

In our investigation of the inclusion relations involving  $\mathcal{N}_{n,\delta}(e)$ , we shall require the following Lemma which was proved in [7].

**Lemma** Let  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$  is in the class  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  if and only if

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \{(k - \alpha)[(k - 1)(\lambda\gamma k + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]k(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma(k - 1) + \lambda - \gamma]\} a_k \leq (1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1). \quad (2.1)$$

Our first inclusion relation involving  $\mathcal{N}_{n,\delta}(e)$  is given by the following:

**Theorem 1** Let

$$\delta_1 = \frac{(n + 1)(1 - \alpha)}{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]}$$

and

$$\delta_2 = \frac{\{(n + 1)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] + (n + 1)(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma]\}}{\{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] + (n + 1)(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma]\}} \times$$

$$\frac{[(1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1)]}{\{(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma] + [n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]\}}.$$

then

$$\mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, 1) \subset \mathcal{N}_{n,\delta_1}(e).$$

and

$$\mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r) \subset \mathcal{N}_{n, \delta_2}(e) \text{ for } r = 2, 3, \dots,$$

respectively.

**Proof** For  $f \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, 1)$ , Lemma is,

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (k - \alpha)[(k - 1)(\lambda\gamma k + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]a_k \leq (1 - \alpha). \quad (2.2)$$

Therefore, Lemma immediately yields,

$$(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq (1 - \alpha).$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{1 - \alpha}{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]}. \quad (2.3)$$

On the other hand, we also find (2.2) and (2.3) that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k[(k - 1)(\lambda\gamma k + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]a_k + \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} (-\alpha)[(k - 1)(\lambda\gamma k + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]a_k$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha) + \alpha[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{(n + 1)(1 - \alpha)}{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]} = \delta_1$$

and for  $f \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  Lemma immediately yields

$$\{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] + (n + 1)(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma]\} \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k$$

$$\leq (1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1)$$

so that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \leq \frac{(1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1)}{\{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] + (n + 1)(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma]\}}. \quad (2.4)$$

On the other hand, we also find (2.1) and (2.4) that

$$\begin{aligned} & (r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma] + [n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1) + \alpha[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} a_k \\ & \leq (1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1) \end{aligned}$$

$$+ \frac{\alpha[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1][(1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1)]}{\{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] + (n + 1)(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma]\}}$$

that is

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} ka_k \leq \frac{\{(n + 1)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] + (n + 1)(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma]\}}{\{(n + 1 - \alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1] + (n + 1)(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma]\}} \times$$

$$\frac{[(1 - \alpha) + (\lambda - \gamma)(r - 1)]}{\{(r - 1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda - \gamma] + [n(\lambda\gamma(n + 1) + \lambda - \gamma) + 1]\}}.$$

### 3. Neighborhoods for the class $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$

In this section, we determine the neighborhoods for the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  which we define as follows. A function  $f(z) \in \mathcal{A}(n)$  is said to be in the class  $\mathcal{P}_{\gamma}^{(\beta)}(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  if there exists a function  $g \in \mathcal{P}_{\gamma}(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  such that

$$\left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| < 1 - \beta \quad (3.1)$$

for  $\beta(0 \leq \beta < 1)$  and  $z \in U$ .

**Theorem 2** If  $g \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  and

$$\beta = 1 - \frac{\{n(n+2r-1-\alpha)[\lambda\gamma(n+1) + (\lambda-\gamma)] + (\lambda-\gamma)(r-1)(1-\alpha)\}\delta}{n(n+1)\{\lambda\gamma(n+1)(n+2r-1-\alpha) + (n+r-\alpha)(\lambda-\gamma) + 1\}}, \quad (3.2)$$

then

$$\mathcal{N}_{n,\delta}(g) \subset \mathcal{P}_\gamma^{(\beta)}(n, \lambda, \alpha, r).$$

**Proof** Suppose that  $f \in \mathcal{N}_{n,\delta}(g)$ . Then we find from (1.2) that

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} k|a_k - b_k| \leq \delta$$

which readily implies the coefficients inequality

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k| \leq \frac{\delta}{n+1}, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Next, since  $g \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  we have from (2.2)

$$\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k$$

$$\leq \frac{(1-\alpha) + (\lambda-\gamma)(r-1)}{\{(n+1-\alpha)[n(\lambda\gamma(n+1) + \lambda-\gamma) + 1] + (n+1)(r-1)[2\lambda\gamma n + \lambda-\gamma]\}}.$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \frac{f(z)}{g(z)} - 1 \right| &< \frac{\sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} |a_k - b_k|}{1 - \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} b_k} \\ &\leq \frac{\delta}{n+1} \frac{n(n+2r-1-\alpha)[\lambda\gamma(n+1) + (\lambda-\gamma)] + (\lambda-\gamma)(r-1)(1-\alpha)}{n\{\lambda\gamma(n+1)(n+2r-1-\alpha) + (n+r-\alpha)(\lambda-\gamma) + 1\}} = 1 - \beta \end{aligned}$$

provided that  $\beta$  is given precisely by (3.2). Thus, by definition of  $\mathcal{P}_\gamma^{(\beta)}(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$ ,  $f \in \mathcal{P}_\gamma^{(\beta)}(n, \lambda, \alpha, r)$  for  $\beta$  given by (3.2), which evidently completes our proof of Theorem 2.

## References

- [1] A.W.GOODMAN; *Univalent Functions and nonanalytic curves*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 8(1957), 598-601.
- [2] ST.RUSHEWEYH; *Neighborhoods of univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 81(1981), 521-527.
- [3] O.ALTINTAS; *On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients*, Math. Japonica, 36(3)(1991), 489-495.
- [4] M.KAMALI and E.KADIOGLU; *A new class of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Math. Balkanica Fasc., 13(1-2)(1999), 29-39.
- [5] M.KAMALI and E.KADIOGLU; *On a subclass of certain starlike functions with negative coefficients*, Atti Sem.Math.Fis.Univ.Modena XLVIII (2000), 31-44.
- [6] H.SILVERMAN; *Univalent Functions with negative coefficients*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 51(1975), 109-116.
- [7] H.ORHAN; *A new class of analytic functions with negative coefficients*, Appl.Math. and Comp., 138(2003), 531-543.

**Received: June 29, 2006**