

# Characterization of Monotonically $T_2$ Spaces<sup>1</sup>

Adnan Al-Bsoul

Department of Mathematics  
Yarmouk University, Irbid, Jordan

## Abstract

In this paper we shall give some characterizations of monotonically  $T_2$ -spaces, and a characterization of Hausdorff spaces.

**Mathematics Subject Classification:** Primary 54A05, 54D10; Secondary 54A99

**Keywords:** Monotonically  $T_2$ -spaces, strongly Monotonically  $T_2$ -spaces, Hausdorff spaces, Urysohn spaces

## 1 Introduction

R. E. Buck introduced *monotonically  $T_2$  spaces* (also called *monotonically Hausdorff spaces*) in [2]. He proved that monotonic  $T_2$  property is hereditary and that the box product of monotonically  $T_2$  spaces is monotonically  $T_2$ . He also proved that every monotonically  $T_2$ -space is  $T_3$  and that the converse is true for first countable spaces. Thus, Niemytzki's tangent disk space (also known as the Moore plane) is monotonically  $T_2$ , and any non-regular space is not monotonically  $T_2$ .

In this paper, we shall give some characterizations of monotonically Hausdorff spaces, and a new characterization of Hausdorff spaces in the same vein. Moreover, we shall prove that the topological property "monotonically Hausdorff" is a strongly properly open hereditary property.

---

<sup>1</sup>This paper is financially supported by Yarmouk University.

## 2 Preliminary Notes

**Definition 2.1** A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *monotonically  $T_2$*  (or *monotonically Hausdorff*) if there is a function  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  assigning to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $g(x, y)$  of  $x$  such that:

$$g(x, y) \cap g(y, x) = \phi; \quad (1)$$

$$\text{for each } M \subseteq X, \text{ if } x \in \overline{\cup\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}, \text{ then } x \in \overline{M}. \quad (2)$$

We call such a function  $g$  a *monotone  $T_2$  operator* (or a *monotone Hausdorff operator*) on  $X$ . If, in addition, the function  $g$  satisfies the following condition:

$$\text{if } z \in g(x, y), \text{ then } g(z, y) \subseteq g(x, y), \quad (3)$$

then, the topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is called *strongly monotonically  $T_2$*  (or *strongly monotonically Hausdorff*), and the function  $g$  is called a *strong monotone  $T_2$  operator* (or a *strong monotone Hausdorff operator*) on  $X$ . Also, if the function  $g$  is satisfying the conditions (1), (2) and in addition the following condition:

$$\text{if } x_0, x_1, \dots, x_{n-1} \text{ are distinct points in } X \text{ and } x_n = x_0, \text{ then } \bigcap_{i=0}^{n-1} g(x_i, x_{i+1}) = \phi. \quad (4)$$

We call such a function  $g$  an *acyclic monotone  $T_2$  operator* (or an *acyclic monotone Hausdorff operator*) on  $X$ .

Now, it is natural to ask the following questions:

**Question 1.** Are the topological properties *monotonically Hausdorff* and *strongly monotonically Hausdorff* equivalent?

**Question 2.** Are the topological properties *monotonically Hausdorff* and *acyclically monotonically Hausdorff* equivalent?

Also, we may ask the following question:

**Question 3.** Is it possible to strengthen (weaken) the conditions in Definition 2.1, to obtain stronger (weaker) classes of spaces?

F. Arenas [1] introduced the property so-called “*properly hereditary property*”. Any topological property satisfying the condition (5) below, is called *properly (open) hereditary property*.

$$\text{If every proper (open) subspace has the property,} \\ \text{the whole space has the property} \quad (5)$$

Moreover, if the property is hereditary for open subspaces, and every proper open subspace has the property, then the whole space has the property, we call such a property *strongly properly open hereditary*.

### 3 Main Results

We shall divide this section into three subsection.

#### 3.1 Properly Hereditary Property

The topological property “monotonically Hausdorff property” is properly open hereditary property, more precisely, we have the following result.

**Theorem 3.1** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. If every proper open subspace of  $X$  is monotonically Hausdorff, then  $X$  is monotonically Hausdorff.*

**Proof.** Pick  $y_1, y_2$  and  $y_3$  any three distinct points in  $X$ , and let  $Y_i = X \setminus \{y_i\}$  for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ . Since the subspace  $Y_i$  is a monotonically Hausdorff subspace for each  $i = 1, 2, 3$ , there exists a monotone Hausdorff operator  $g_i : Y_i \times Y_i \rightarrow \tau_{Y_i}$ .

Define a monotone Hausdorff operator  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  by

$$g(x, y) = \left[ \begin{array}{ll} g_1(x, y) & \text{if } x \neq y_1 \neq y \\ g_2(x, y_1) & \text{if } x \neq y_2 \text{ and } y = y_1 \\ g_2(y_1, y) & \text{if } x = y_1 \text{ and } y \neq y_2 \\ g_3(x, y) & \text{if } \{x, y\} = \{y_1, y_2\} \end{array} \right].$$

So,  $g(x, y) \cap g(y, x) = \phi$  for every pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$ . For the condition (2), let  $M$  be a subset of  $X$ , and assume that  $x \notin \overline{M}$ . So, we have the following cases for  $x$ .

(I) If  $x = y_1$ , so, we have two possibilities: (a)  $y_2 \notin M$ ; (b)  $y_2 \in M$ .

(I. a) If  $y_2 \notin M$ , then  $g(y, y_1) = g_2(y, y_1)$  for all  $y \in M$ . Since  $g_2$  is a monotone Hausdorff operator,

$$y_1 \notin \overline{\cup\{g(y, y_1) : y \in M\}}.$$

(I. b) If  $y_2 \in M$ ,

$$\overline{\cup\{g(y, y_1) : y \in M\}} = \overline{\cup\{g_2(y, y_1) : y \in M \setminus \{y_2\}\}} \cup \overline{g_3(y_2, y_1)},$$

and since  $g_2, g_3$  are monotone Hausdorff operators,  $y_1 \notin \overline{\cup\{g(y, y_1) : y \in M\}}$ .

(II) If  $x \neq y_1$ , then we have four possibilities: (a)  $y_1, y_2 \in M$ ; (b)  $y_1 \notin M, y_2 \in M$ ; (c)  $y_1 \notin M, y_2 \notin M$ ; (d)  $y_1 \in M, y_2 \notin M$ .

(II. a) In this case,

$$\overline{\cup\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}} = \overline{\cup\{g_1(y, x) : y \in M \setminus \{y_1\}\}} \cup \overline{g_2(y_1, x)},$$

so,  $x \notin \overline{\cup\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ .

In the cases (II. b) and (II. c) we have,  $g(y, x) = g_1(y, x)$  for all  $y \in M$ . Hence,  $x \notin \overline{\cup\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ .

(II. d) We have,

$$g(y, x) = \begin{cases} g_3(y_1, y_2) & \text{if } x = y_2 \text{ and } y = y_1 \\ g_2(y_1, x) & \text{if } x \neq y_2 \text{ and } y = y_1 \\ g_1(y, y_2) & \text{if } x = y_2 \text{ and } y \neq y_1 \\ g_1(y, x) & \text{if } x \neq y_2 \text{ and } y \neq y_1 \end{cases}.$$

Thus,  $x \notin \overline{\cup\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ . Therefore,  $X$  is a monotonically Hausdorff space. ■

**Corollary 3.2** *Monotonically Hausdorff is a strongly properly open hereditary property.* ■

In fact, we do not know whether the monotone Hausdorff operator  $g$  in the proof of Theorem 3.1 is a strong (resp., acyclic) monotone Hausdorff operator or not, even if the operators  $g_i$  are strong (resp., acyclic). Thus, we have the following questions.

**Question 4.** *Is strongly monotonically Hausdorff a properly hereditary property?*

**Question 5.** *Is acyclically monotonically Hausdorff a properly hereditary property?*

### 3.2 Some Characterizations of Monotonically $T_2$ Spaces

In the following, we shall show that the condition (1) in Definition 2.1 is not necessary. Moreover, we shall construct a monotone Hausdorff operator  $h$  from any monotone Hausdorff operator  $g$ , such that the open neighborhoods  $h(x, y)$ ,  $h(y, x)$  have disjoint closures for all distinct points  $x, y$ , also, we shall give some characterizations of monotone Hausdorff spaces.

**Theorem 3.3** *A space  $(X, \tau)$  is a monotonically Hausdorff space iff there is a function  $k : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  assigning to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $k(x, y)$  of only one point of the set  $\{x, y\}$ , and satisfying the condition:*

$$\text{For each } M \subseteq X, \text{ if } x \in \overline{\cup\{k(y, x) : y \in M\}}, \text{ then } x \in \overline{M}. \quad (6)$$

**Proof.** For sufficiency, first, we shall show that  $X$  is  $T_1$ . Suppose that  $X$  is not  $T_1$ . Therefore there exist two distinct points  $x_0, y_0$  in  $X$ , such that we can not separate them by open sets. Without loss of generality, assume that  $y_0 \in k(x_0, y_0)$ . Now,  $y_0 \in k(x_0, y_0) \subseteq \overline{k(x_0, y_0)}$ , but  $y_0 \notin \overline{\{x_0\}}$ , contradiction.

Define the function  $h : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  as follows:

$$h(x, y) = \left\{ \begin{array}{ll} k(y, x) & \text{if } x \notin k(x, y) \\ k(x, y) & \text{if } x \in k(x, y) \end{array} \right\},$$

for all  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ . Therefore,  $h(x, y)$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  and does not contain  $y$ . Also  $h$  satisfies the corresponding condition of condition (6), that is,

$$\text{for each } M \subseteq X, \text{ if } x \in \overline{\cup\{h(y, x) : y \in M\}}, \text{ then } x \in \overline{M}.$$

Moreover, if  $A$  is a closed set and  $x \notin A$ , then,  $x \notin \overline{\cup\{h(y, x) : y \in A\}}$ . Hence, there exists an open neighborhood  $U_x$  of  $x$  such that

$$U_x \cap (\cup h\{(y, x) : y \in A\}) = \phi.$$

Thus,  $X$  is regular.

Now, for each  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exist two open neighborhoods  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively, with  $\overline{U_x} \cap \overline{U_y} = \phi$ . Define the function  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  as follows:

$$g(x, y) = h(x, y) \cap U_x.$$

So,  $g(x, y) \cap g(y, x) = \phi$ .

For the condition (2), assume that  $x \in \overline{\cup\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ , since  $g(y, x) \subseteq h(y, x)$ ,  $x \in \overline{\cup\{h(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ , and thus  $x \in \overline{M}$ . Hence  $g$  is a monotone Hausdorff operator, therefore,  $X$  is a monotonically Hausdorff space. ■

**Remark 1** For the function  $k$  in the statement of Theorem 3.3, it is not possible that  $x$  belongs to both sets  $k(x, y)$  and  $k(y, x)$ . In fact, if  $x \in k(x, y) \cap k(y, x)$ , then  $y \notin k(x, y) \cup k(y, x)$ , so  $k(y, x)$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$  and  $k(y, x) \cap \{y\} = \phi$ , whence  $x \notin \overline{\{y\}}$ . But the condition (6) implies that  $x \in \overline{\{y\}}$ , because  $x \in k(y, x) \subseteq \overline{k(y, x)}$ .

In the next theorem, we shall strengthen the condition (1) in Definition 2.1.

**Theorem 3.4** A space  $(X, \tau)$  is a monotonically Hausdorff space iff there is a function  $k : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  assigning to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $k(x, y)$  of  $x$ , satisfying the condition (6) and satisfying the following condition:

$$\overline{k(x, y)} \cap \overline{k(y, x)} = \phi. \tag{7}$$

**Proof.** Assume that  $(X, \tau)$  is a monotonically Hausdorff space with a monotone Hausdorff operator  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$ . Since  $X$  is regular, for each pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$  there exists an open neighborhood  $U_{x,y}$  of  $x$  such that

$$x \in U_{x,y} \subseteq \overline{U_{x,y}} \subseteq g(x, y).$$

Now, define the function  $k : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  by

$$k(x, y) = U_{x,y}.$$

Then  $g$  satisfies the conditions (6) and (7). In fact, if  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , then  $k(x, y) \subseteq g(x, y)$  and  $k(y, x) \subseteq g(y, x)$ , so  $k(x, y) \cap k(y, x) = \phi$ . For the condition (6), assume that  $x \in \overline{\cup\{k(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ . Since  $k(x, y) \subseteq g(x, y)$ , we have  $x \in \overline{\cup\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ , which implies that  $x \in \overline{M}$ . ■

The characterization in Theorem 3.4 would seem to be a stronger property than the monotonically Hausdorff property. However, it is easy to prove the following result.

**Corollary 3.5** *Let  $(X, \tau)$  be a topological space. The following are equivalent:*

- a) *the space  $(X, \tau)$  is a monotonically Hausdorff space.*
- b) *there is a function  $k : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  assigning to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $k(x, y)$  of  $x$ , satisfying the condition (7) and satisfying the following condition:*

$$\text{For each } M \subseteq X, \text{ if } x \in \overline{\cup\{k(y, x) : y \in M\}}, \text{ then } x \in \overline{M}. \quad (8)$$

- c) *there is a function  $h : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  assigning to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $h(x, y)$  of only one point of the set  $\{x, y\}$ , and satisfying the condition (8). ■*

Now, it is obvious that condition (1) in Definition 2.1 is redundant. Thus, we have the following:

**Corollary 3.6** *A space  $(X, \tau)$  is a strongly monotonically Hausdorff space iff there is a function  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  assigning to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $g(x, y)$  of only one point of the set  $\{x, y\}$ , and satisfying the conditions (2) and (3). ■*

### 3.3 Hausdorff Spaces

Return back to condition (6) in Theorem 3.3, and weaken it in the following sense: For each  $M \subseteq X$ , if  $x \in \cup \overline{\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ , then  $x \in \overline{M}$ . This would seem to be a weaker monotonic property than monotonically Hausdorff property. Actually, this is not the case, but it will gives us the following result.

**Theorem 3.7** *A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is Hausdorff iff there exists a function  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  which assigns to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $g(x, y)$  of  $x$  which does not contain  $y$  and satisfies the following condition:*

$$\text{For each } M \subseteq X, \text{ if } x \in \cup \overline{\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}, \text{ then } x \in \overline{M}. \quad (9)$$

**Proof.** For each  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , there exist two disjoint open neighborhoods  $U_x$  and  $U_y$  of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. Then, the set  $U_x \cap (X \setminus \overline{U_y})$  is an open neighborhood of  $x$ . Define the function  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  by:

$$g(x, y) = U_x \cap (X \setminus \overline{U_y})$$

Now, let  $\overline{M} \subseteq X \setminus \{x\}$ , hence  $x \notin \overline{\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$  for all  $y \in M$ , because;

$$\overline{\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}} = \overline{U_y \cap (X \setminus \overline{U_x})} \subseteq \overline{U_y}.$$

Thus,  $x \notin \overline{M}$  implies that  $x \notin \cup \overline{\{g(y, x) : y \in M\}}$ .

Conversely, assume that the function  $g$  exists. Let  $x \neq y$  in  $X$ , so,  $x \in g(x, y)$  and  $y \notin g(x, y)$ . Then  $X$  is  $T_1$ . Since  $x \notin \{y\} = \overline{\{y\}} \subseteq \overline{g(y, x)}$ , so, define the function  $h : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  by

$$h(x, y) = g(x, y) \cap (X \setminus \overline{g(y, x)}).$$

Now,  $h(x, y)$  and  $h(y, x)$  are disjoint open neighborhoods of  $x$  and  $y$ , respectively. ■

Recall that a space  $(X, \tau)$  is a Urysohn space iff for every pair of distinct points  $x, y$  in  $X$  there exist two open neighborhoods  $U, V$  such that  $x \in U, y \in V$  and  $\overline{U} \cap \overline{V} = \phi$ .

**Corollary 3.8** *A topological space  $(X, \tau)$  is a Urysohn space iff there exists a function  $k : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  which assigns to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $k(x, y)$  of  $x$ , such that the conditions (7) and (9) are satisfying. ■*

We do not know what happens if condition (3) in Definition 2.1 is combined with the statement in Theorem 3.7, so we ask the following question:

**Question 6.** *Assume that there exists a function  $g : (X \times X) \setminus \Delta \rightarrow \tau$  which assigns to each ordered pair  $(x, y)$  of distinct points in  $X$  an open neighborhood  $g(x, y)$  of  $x$  that does not contain  $y$  and that satisfies the conditions (3) and (9). Are these conditions equivalent to Hausdorffness? Monotonically Hausdorffness? Strongly monotonically Hausdorffness? Does this give us a new class of spaces?*

Since strongly monotonically Hausdorff implies monotonically Hausdorff, thus, if the answer of question 6 is positive for any part, then the answer will be negative for the other parts, provided that, the answer of Question 1 is negative.

## References

- [1] F. Arenas, *Topological properties preserved by proper subspaces,  $Q$  &  $A$  in General Topology*, **14** (1996), 53 - 57.
- [2] R. E. Buck, *Some weaker monotone separation and basis properties, Topology Appl.*, **69** (1996), 1-12.

**Received: January 4, 2006**