

Primes in the Interval $[2n, 3n]$

M. El Bachraoui

School of Science and Engineering
Al Akhawayn University in Ifrane
P.O.Box 2096, Ifrane 53000, Morocco
m.elbachraoui@au.ma

Abstract. Is it true that for all integer $n > 1$ and $k \leq n$ there exists a prime number in the interval $[kn, (k+1)n]$? The case $k = 1$ is the Bertrand's postulate which was proved for the first time by P. L. Chebyshev in 1850, and simplified later by P. Erdős in 1932, see [2]. The present paper deals with the case $k = 2$. A positive answer to the problem for any $k \leq n$ implies a positive answer to the old problem whether there is always a prime in the interval $[n^2, n^2 + n]$, see [1, p. 11].

Keywords: prime numbers

Mathematics Subject Classification: 51-01

1. THE RESULT

Throughout the paper $\ln(x)$ is the logarithm with base e of x and $\pi(x)$ is the number of prime numbers not greater than x . We let n run through the natural numbers and p through the primes.

Lemma 1.1. *The following inequalities hold:*

1. *If n is even then*

$$\binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n} < \sqrt{6.75}^n.$$

2. *If n is even such that $n > 152$ then*

$$\binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n} > \sqrt{6.5}^n.$$

3. *If n is odd and $n > 7$ then*

$$\binom{\frac{3n+1}{2}}{n} < \sqrt{6.75}^{n-1}.$$

4. If $n > 945$ then

$$\left(\frac{6.5}{\sqrt{27}}\right)^n > (3n)^{\frac{\sqrt{3n}}{2}}.$$

Proof. (1,2) By induction on n . We have

$$\binom{3}{2} < 6.75 \text{ and } \binom{\frac{3 \cdot 154}{2}}{154} > \sqrt{6.5}^{154}.$$

Assume now that the two inequalities hold for $\binom{3n}{2n}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{3n+3}{2n+2} &= \binom{3n}{2n} \frac{(3n+1)(3n+2)(3n+3)}{(n+1)(2n+1)(2n+2)} \\ &= \binom{3n}{2n} \frac{3(3n+1)(3n+2)}{(2n+1)(2n+2)} \\ &= \binom{3n}{2n} \frac{27n^2 + 27n + 6}{4n^2 + 6n + 2}. \end{aligned}$$

It now suffices to note that for all n

$$\frac{27n^2 + 27n + 6}{4n^2 + 6n + 2} < 6.75$$

and for all $n > 12$

$$6.5 < \frac{27n^2 + 27n + 6}{4n^2 + 6n + 2}.$$

(3) By induction on n . We have $\binom{14}{9} < (6.75)^4$. Assume now that the result is true for $\binom{3n+2}{2n+1}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \binom{3n+5}{2n+3} &= \binom{3n+2}{2n+1} \frac{3(3n+4)(3n+5)}{2(n+2)(2n+3)} \\ &< (6.75)^n \cdot 6.75 \\ &= (6.75)^{n+1}. \end{aligned}$$

(4) Note that the following three inequalities are equivalent:

$$\begin{aligned} \left(\frac{6.5}{\sqrt{27}}\right)^n &> (3n)^{\frac{\sqrt{3n}}{2}} \\ n \ln \frac{6.5}{\sqrt{27}} &> \frac{\sqrt{3n}}{2} \ln 3n \\ \frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \ln \frac{6.5}{\sqrt{27}} &> \frac{\ln 3n}{\sqrt{n}}. \end{aligned}$$

Then the result follows since the function $\frac{\ln 3x}{\sqrt{x}}$ is decreasing and

$$\frac{2}{\sqrt{3}} \ln \frac{6.5}{\sqrt{27}} > \frac{\ln(3 \cdot 946)}{\sqrt{946}}.$$

□

Lemma 1.2. 1. If n is even then

$$\prod_{\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \frac{3n}{4}} p \cdot \prod_{n < p \leq \frac{3n}{2}} p < \binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n}.$$

2. If n is odd then

$$\prod_{\frac{n+1}{2} < p \leq \frac{3n}{4}} p \cdot \prod_{n < p \leq \frac{3n+1}{2}} p < \binom{\frac{3n+1}{2}}{n}.$$

Proof. (1) We have

$$(1.1) \quad \binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n} = \frac{(n+1) \cdots \frac{3n}{2}}{\frac{n!}{2}}.$$

Then clearly $\prod_{n < p \leq \frac{3n}{2}} p$ divides $\binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n}$. Furthermore, if $\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \frac{3n}{4}$ then $2p$ occurs in the numerator of (1.1) but p does not occur in the denominator. Then after simplification of $2p$ with an even number from the denominator we get the prime factor p in $\binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n}$. Thus $\prod_{\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \frac{3n}{4}} p$ divides $\binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n}$ too and the required inequality follows.

(2) Similar to the first part. □

To prove Bertrand's postulate, P. Erdős needed to check the result for $n = 2, \dots, 113$, refer to [3, p. 173]. Our theorem requires a separate check for $n = 2, \dots, 945$ but we omitted to list them for reasons of space.

Theorem 1.3. For any positive integer $n > 1$ there is a prime number between $2n$ and $3n$.

Proof. It can be checked (using Mathematica for instance) that for $n = 2, \dots, 945$ there is always a prime between $2n$ and $3n$. Now let $n > 945$. As

$$(1.2) \quad \binom{3n}{2n} = \frac{(2n+1)(2n+2) \cdots 3n}{1 \cdot 2 \cdots n},$$

the product of primes between $2n$ and $3n$, if there are any, divides $\binom{3n}{2n}$. Following the notation used in [3], we let

$$T_1 = \prod_{p \leq \sqrt{3n}} p^{\beta(p)}, \quad T_2 = \prod_{\sqrt{3n} < p \leq 2n} p^{\beta(p)}, \quad T_3 = \prod_{2n+1 \leq p \leq 3n} p,$$

such that

$$(1.3) \quad \binom{3n}{2n} = T_1 T_2 T_3.$$

The prime decomposition of $\binom{3n}{2n}$ implies that the powers in T_2 are less than 2, see [3, p. 24] for the prime decomposition of $\binom{n}{j}$. Moreover, we claim that if a prime p satisfies $\frac{3n}{4} < p \leq n$ then its power in T_2 is 0. Clearly, a prime p with

this condition appears in the denominator of (1.2) but $2p$ does not, and $3p$ appears in the numerator of (1.2) but $4p$ does not. This way p cancels and the claim follows. Furthermore, if $\frac{3n}{2} < p \leq 2n$ then its power in T_2 is 0 because such a prime p is neither in the denominator nor in the numerator of (1.2) and $2p > 3n$. Now by Lemma 1.2 and the fact that $\prod_{p \leq x} p < 4^x$, refer to [3, p. 167], we have that:

- If n is even then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.4) \quad T_2 &< \prod_{\sqrt{3n} < p \leq \frac{n}{2}} p \cdot \prod_{\frac{n}{2} < p \leq \frac{3n}{4}} p \cdot \prod_{n < p \leq \frac{3n}{2}} p \\
 &< 4^{\frac{n}{2}} \binom{\frac{3n}{2}}{n} \\
 &< 4^{\frac{n}{2}} (6.75)^{\frac{n}{2}} \\
 &= \sqrt{27}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

- If n is odd then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (1.5) \quad T_2 &< \prod_{\sqrt{3n} < p \leq \frac{n+1}{2}} p \cdot \prod_{\frac{n+1}{2} < p \leq \frac{3n}{4}} p \cdot \prod_{n < p \leq \frac{3n+1}{2}} p \\
 &< 4^{\frac{n+1}{2}} \binom{\frac{3n+1}{2}}{n} \\
 &< 4^{\frac{n+1}{2}} (6.75)^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \\
 &= 4 \cdot \sqrt{27}^{n-1} \\
 &< \sqrt{27}^n.
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus by (1.4) and (1.5) we find the following upper bound for T_2 :

$$(1.6) \quad T_2 < \sqrt{27}^n.$$

In addition, the prime decomposition of $\binom{3n}{2n}$ yields the following upper bound for T_1 :

$$(1.7) \quad T_1 < (3n)^{\pi(\sqrt{3n})}.$$

See [3, p. 24]. Then by virtue of Lemma 1.1(2), equality (1.3), and the inequalities (1.6), and (1.7) we find

$$(6.5)^n < T_1 T_2 T_3 < (3n)^{\pi(\sqrt{3n})} \sqrt{27}^n T_3,$$

which implies that

$$T_3 > \left(\frac{6.5}{\sqrt{27}} \right)^n \frac{1}{(3n)^{\pi(\sqrt{3n})}}.$$

But $\pi(\sqrt{3n}) \leq \frac{\sqrt{3n}}{2}$. Then

$$(1.8) \quad T_3 > \left(\frac{6.5}{\sqrt{27}} \right)^n \frac{1}{(3n)^{\sqrt{3n}/2}} > 1,$$

where the second inequality follows by Lemma 1.1(4). Consequently, the product T_3 of primes between $2n$ and $3n$ is greater than 1 and therefore the existence of such numbers follows. \square

Corollary 1.4. *For any positive integer $n \geq 2$ there exists a prime number p satisfying*

$$n < p < \frac{3(n+1)}{2}.$$

Proof. The result is clear for $n = 2$. For even $n > 2$ the result follows by Theorem 1.3. Assume now that $n = 2k + 1$ for a positive integer $k \geq 1$. Then by Theorem 1.3 there is a prime p satisfying

$$2(k+1) < p < 3(k+1) = \frac{3(n+1)}{2},$$

and the result follows. \square

REFERENCES

- [1] T. M. Apostol. *Introduction to Analytic Number Theory*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer, 1998. xii+338 pp.
- [2] P. Erdős. Beweis eines satzes von tschebyschef. *Acta Litt. Univ. Sci., Szeged, Sect. Math.*, 5:194–198, 1932.
- [3] P. Erdős and J. Surányi. *Topics in the theory of numbers*. Undergraduate Texts in Mathematics. Springer Verlag, 2003. viii+287 pp.

Received: May 16, 2006