

Σ -*FI*-Lifting Modules

Yanyan Liu

College of Mathematics and Information Science
Northwest Normal University, Lanzhou 730070, Gansu, China
liuyan0828@163.com

Abstract

In this paper, we introduce the concept relative *FI*-lifting modules relative a proper class of short exact sequences of modules as in [4]. It is well known, any finite direct sum of *FI*-lifting modules is again *FI*-lifting (see[9]), but this property is not true in general for infinite direct sums. In this paper we will show that it is true for relative *FI*-lifting modules.

Keywords: *FI*-Lifting modules; strong *FI*-lifting modules; fully invariant submodules; lifting modules; proper class.

1 Introduction

A module M is called (*strong*) *FI*-lifting if every fully invariant submodules N of M contains a (fully invariant) direct summand K of M , such that $N/K \ll M/K$. Lifting modules and their generalizations have been studied by many authors [see 1, 2, 4, 8]. (*strong*) *FI*-lifting modules is the proper generalization of lifting module. It is well known, any finite direct sum of *FI*-lifting modules is again *FI*-lifting (see[9]), but this property is not true in general for infinite direct sums of *FI*-lifting modules. In this article, we introduced (strongly) \mathbb{E} -*FI*-lifting module relative a proper class of short exact sequence s of modules as in [4] and characterize for any direct sums of copies of them are such relative *FI*-lifting modules

2 Preliminary Notes

Throughout this article, R is an associative ring and with nonzero identity and all modules are unital right R -modules, morphisms will operate on the right. We use $N \leq M$ to indicate that N is a submodule of M . Let \mathcal{M} be a skeletally small (full) subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$. $\text{Add}(\mathcal{M})(\text{add}(\mathcal{M}))$ denote

the class of modules which are isomorphic to direct summands of (finite) direct sums of modules of M , $Prod(M)$ denoted the class of modules which are isomorphic to direct summands of direct products of modules of M , $Gen(M)$ denoted the class of modules generated by M , $Cogen(M)$ denoted the class of modules was cogenerated by M . $Cogen'(M)$ denote the class of modules K for which there exist a monomorphism from K to some $M^{(I)}$, and the class $Prod'(M)$ of modules K for which there is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism from K to some $M^{(I)}$.

Let us consider two classes of modules related to M and to a proper class \mathbb{E} of short exact sequences in $Mod-R$. Denote by $\mathbb{E}Add(M)$ the class of modules N for which there is an \mathbb{E} -epimorphism $\bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i \rightarrow N$ with each $M_i \in M$ (or, equivalently, an \mathbb{E} -epimorphism $X \rightarrow N$ with $X \in Add(M)$), and by $\mathbb{E}Prod(M) = \{K \in Mod-R \mid \text{there is an } \mathbb{E}\text{-epimorphism } K \twoheadrightarrow \prod M_i, i \in I \text{ with each } M_i \in M\}$ (or, equivalently, an \mathbb{E} -epimorphism $X \rightarrow N$ with $X \in Prod(M)$).

Definition 2.1 ^[4] Let \mathbb{E} be a class of short exact sequences in $Mod-R$. If an exact sequence $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$ belongs to \mathbb{E} , then f is called \mathbb{E} -monomorphism and g is called an \mathbb{E} -epimorphism. Also, Imf is called an \mathbb{E} -submodule of L and N is called an \mathbb{E} -homophic image of L .

The class \mathbb{E} is called a proper class if it has the following properties:

- P1. \mathbb{E} is closed under isomorphisms.
- P2. \mathbb{E} contains all splitting short exact sequences.
- P3. The class of \mathbb{E} -monomorphism is closed under composition; f, f' are monomorphisms and $f'f$ is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism, then f is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism.
- P4. The class of \mathbb{E} -epimorphism is closed under composition; f, f' are epimorphisms and $f'f$ is an \mathbb{E} -epimorphism, then f is an \mathbb{E} -epimorphism.

Definition 2.2 Let M be a module, $X \leq M$ is called fully invariant (denote $X \triangleright M$), if for every $h \in End_R(M)$, $h(X) \subseteq X$.

Definition 2.3 ^[6] A module M is called (strongly) FI -lifting, if for every fully invariant submodules N of M , there is a (fully invariant) direct summand D of M such that $N/D \ll M/D$.

It is well known, if M is an FI -lifting, A is a fully invariant coclosed submodule of M , then M/A are FI -lifting.

It is easy to proof that any direct summand of strongly FI -lifting is strongly FI -lifting. Clearly, indecomposable FI -lifting module are strongly FI -lifting

module. Noted that (strong) FI-lifting modules is closed under its coclosed fully invariant submodules and its (indecomposable) factor modules. So we have:

Lemma 2.4 ^[4] For an R -module M , the following are equivalent:

- (1) M is Σ - \mathbb{E} -direct injective.
- (2) For every $U \in \text{Cogen}'(M)$ and every $V \in \mathbb{E}\text{Prod}'(M)$, every monomorphism $V \rightarrow U$ is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism.
- (3) For every $U, V \in \mathbb{E}\text{Prod}'(M)$, every monomorphism $V \rightarrow U$ is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism.

Lemma 2.5 ^[4] Let M be a \mathbb{E} -direct injective module. If $U \leq M$, and V is an \mathbb{E} -submodule of M , then every monomorphism $V \rightarrow U$ is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism.

For a module M , denote by $\sigma[M]$ the full subcategory of $\text{Mod-}R$ whose objects are submodules of M -generated modules.

Definition 2.6 ^[6] A module $N \in \sigma[M]$ is called M -singular if $N \cong L/K$ for some $K \supseteq L$ and $L \in \sigma[M]$. A module $N \in \sigma[M]$ is called M -small if $N \ll L$ for some $L \in \sigma[M]$. The modules in the torsion class of the torsion theory in $\sigma[M]$ cogenerated by the M -small modules are called non- M -cosingular.

Definition 2.7 ^[4] A module M is called strong \mathbb{E} -lifting, if it has coclosure property, and the coclosed submodule is an \mathbb{E} -submodule.

Calling a module M has coclosure property, if every submodule of M has coclosed submodule.

3 Main Results

Definition 3.1 A module M is called \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting, if the every fully invariant submodules N of M , there is an \mathbb{E} -submodule D of M such that $N/D \ll M/D$.

It is clearly that every fully invariant coclosed submodule of an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module is \mathbb{E} -submodule. If M has coclosure property, the converse is true.

The following theorem will show that FI-lifting module was generalized by \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.

Theorem 3.2 Let M be a module, consider the following statements:

- (a) M is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.
- (b) M is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.

(c) M is FI-lifting module.

Then

(i) for every module M , (a) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c).

(ii) If the direct summand of M coincide with fully invariant submodule then (c) \Rightarrow (a).

Proof (i) (a) \Rightarrow (b) is clearly. Let $\mathbb{E} = \mathbb{E}_S$ then (b) \Rightarrow (c).

(ii) Since M is FI-lifting module, let N be a fully invariant submodule of M , then there exist a direct summand K of M such that $N/K \ll M/K$ by the hypothesis, K is the fully invariant submodule of M , and clearly K is \mathbb{E} -submodules of M , so M is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.

A module M is called *duo module* provide that every submodule of M is fully invariant submodule. So we have the following:

Corollary 3.3 *If M is a duo module, then the following statements are equivalent:*

(a) M is an strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.

(b) M is an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.

(c) M is FI-lifting module.

Proof By Theorem 3.2 above, the proof is clear.

In general an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module is not \mathbb{E} -lifting module, look at the following example:

Example 3.4 ^[12] *Let p be a prime number, consider Z -module $M = (Z/pZ) \oplus (Z/p^3Z)$.*

M is \mathbb{E}_S -FI-lifting module, but it is not \mathbb{E}_S -lifting module by [11]. However, when M is a duo module, \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module is an \mathbb{E} -lifting.

Definition 3.5 *A module M is called strongly FI-lifting, if for every fully invariant submodules N of M , there is a fully invariant direct summand D of M such that $N/D \ll M/D$.*

If M is a duo module and has coclosure property, strongly \mathbb{E} -lifting module is equivalent to strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.

We well known that if M has the coclosure property, so does every coclosed submodule, every fully invariant (coclosed) submodule and every homomorphic image of M . What about the \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module?

Lemma 3.6 *Let A be a fully invariant coclosed submodule of an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting B , then A is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting. If B has coclosure property, then B/A is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.*

Proof *Let B be an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module, and A be a coclosed submodule of B , let C be a fully invariant submodule of A . Since B is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting, C contains a cosmall submodule D of C in B such that D is an \mathbb{E} -submodule of B , Then D is an \mathbb{E} -submodule of A , since A is the coclosed submodule of B , D is a cosmall submodule of C in B , it follows that D is a cosmall submodule of C in A by [6, Lemma 3.9]. Let C/A a fully invariant coclosed submodule of B/A , then A is a fully invariant coclosed submodule of B , and A is a fully invariant \mathbb{E} -submodule of B , then C/A is an \mathbb{E} -submodule of B/A , so B/A is an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.*

Lemma 3.7 *Let A be a coclosed fully invariant submodule of a strong \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module B . If B has coclosure property, then A and B/A are strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting modules. The proof is similarly to Lemma 3.6.*

Theorem 3.8 *Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. If $M_i (i \in I)$ are \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting modules, then M is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.*

Proof *Let $M_i (i \in I)$ are \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting modules, $S \triangleright M$. There exist $e_i^2 = e_i \in E (= \text{End} M)$ such that $M_i = Me_i$. Since $S \triangleright M, S = \bigoplus_{i \in I} (S \cap M_i) = \bigoplus_{i \in I} Se_i$. Clearly, $\text{End}_R(Me_i) = e_i E e_i$. Because $(Se_i)e_i E e_i = Se_i E e_i \leq Se_i$, so $Se_i \triangleright Me_i = M_i$. Hence there exist \mathbb{E} -submodules D_i of M_i , such that $Se_i/D_i \ll M_i/D_i$, also, $Se_i/D_i \ll M/D_i, S/\bigoplus_{i \in I} D_i \ll M/\bigoplus_{i \in I} D_i$ by ([5, Lemma 2.5]), D_i are \mathbb{E} -submodules of M , so does $\bigoplus_{i \in I} D_i$, hence M is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.*

By [9, Theorem 3.4], any finite direct sum of FI -lifting modules is again FI -lifting. The following two examples show that this property is not true in general for infinite direct sums of FI -lifting modules. Let R be a discrete valuation ring with maximal ideal m . Let $M = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{\infty} R/m^i$ or $M = R^{\mathbb{N}}$. By [10, Corollary 2, P.48], $\text{Rad}(M)$ does not have a supplement in M . Since $\text{Rad}(M)$ is a fully invariant submodule of M , M is not FI -lifting. On the other hand, it is clear that $R/m^i (i \geq 1)$ and R are lifting modules.

Corollary 3.9 *Let $M = \bigoplus_{i \in I} M_i$. If $M_i (i \in I)$ are strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting modules, then M is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting modules. The proof is immediately by Theorem*

3.8 and Lemma 3.7 above.

A module M is said to be $\sum P$ if every direct sum of copies of M has the property P . Now we characterize relative (strongly) \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting modules following from [4].

Theorem 3.10 *Let M be a module, consider the following statements:*

- (a) M is \sum - \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.
- (b) Every module in $\text{Add}(M)$ is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.
- (c) Every fully invariant $N \in \text{Cogen}'(M)$ has an M -small \mathbb{E} -homomorphic image N/Y , such that Y is in $\mathbb{E}\text{Prod}'(M)$.
- (d) Every fully invariant non- M -cosingular module in $\text{Cogen}'(M)$ is in $\mathbb{E}\text{Prod}'(M)$.
- (e) Every fully invariant non- M -cosingular module in $\text{Cogen}'(M)$ is in \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.

Then the following implications hold:

- (1) For every module (a) \Leftrightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (c) \Rightarrow (d).
- (2) If M is \sum - \mathbb{E} -direct injective and has the \sum -coclosure property, then (d) \Rightarrow (e).
- (3) If M is \sum - \mathbb{E} -direct injective and has the \sum -FI-coclosure property, and for every $U \subseteq N \subseteq F \in \mathbb{E}\text{Prod}'(M)$, K/U is M -small, implies U is a cosmall submodule of K in F , then (c) \Rightarrow (a).
- (4) If M is non- M -cosingular, then (e) \Rightarrow (a).

Proof (1)(a) \Rightarrow (b), let M be an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module, by Theorem 2.8, $M^{(I)}$ is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module.

(b) \Rightarrow (a) is clear.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $N \in \text{cogen}'(M)$ and N be a fully invariant submodule, take a monomorphism $f : N \rightarrow M^{(I)}$. $N \triangleright M$, it is easily to get $f(N) \triangleright M^{(I)}$. Since $M^{(I)}$ is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting module, there exist an fully invariant submodule \mathbb{E} -submodule Y' of $M^{(I)}$ such that $Y' \subseteq f(N)$, and $f(N)/Y' \ll M^{(I)}/Y'$. Since $f(N)/Y' \ll M^{(I)}/Y'$, if $Y = f^{-1}(Y')$, then it follows that N/Y is M -small, $Y \in \mathbb{E}\text{Prod}'(M)$, also $N \in \text{cogen}'(M)$, Y is an \mathbb{E} -submodule of N .

(c) \Rightarrow (d) Clear.

(2) Assume that M is \sum - \mathbb{E} -direct injective and has the \sum -coclosure property.

(d) \Rightarrow (e) Let N be a fully invariant and non- M -cosingular module in $\text{cogen}'(M)$, we say N is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting. Consider a monomorphism $f : N \rightarrow M^{(I)}$. Let L be a fully invariant submodule of a non- M -singular module of N . Then $f(L)$ has coclosure in $M^{(I)}$, say K . Hence K is a coclosed in $f(N)$, and thus K is a fully invariant submodule of a non- M -cosingular module. Since $K \in \text{Cogen}'(M)$, we have $K \in \mathbb{E}\text{Prod}'(M)$ by hypothesis. Now by Lemma 1.8 the inclusion $K \rightarrow f(N)$ is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism. Then the inclusion $f^{-1}(K) \rightarrow N$ is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism. Since $f^{-1}(K)$ is coclosed in N , it follows that N is an \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.

(3) If M is Σ - \mathbb{E} -direct injective and has the Σ -FI-coclosure property, and for every $U \subseteq N \subseteq F \in \mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$, K/U is M -small, implies U is a cosmall submodule of K in F , then (c) \Rightarrow (a).

Let N be a fully invariant submodule of $F = M^{(I)}$, where I is any set. By (C) the module $N \in \text{cogen}'(M)$ has an M -small homomorphic image N/Y such that $Y \in \mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$. Then $Y \subseteq N \subseteq F \in \mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$ and N/Y is M -small, hence $N/Y \ll F/Y$ by hypothesis, by Lemma 1.8 the inclusion $N \rightarrow F$ is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism. $M^{(I)}$ has FI-coclosure property, so F is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.

(4) (e) \Rightarrow (a) If M is non- M -cosingular, then every $M^{(I)}$ is non- M -cosingular, hence \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.

Theorem 3.11 Let M be a module, consider the following statements:

- (a) M is Σ -strongly- \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.
- (b) Every module in $\text{Add}(M)$ is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.
- (c) Every module in $\mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$ is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.
- (d) Every non- M -Cogsingular module in $\text{Cogen}'(M)$ is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.
- (e) A module is in $\mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$ if and only if it is in $\text{Cogen}'(M)$ and non- M -cosingular.

Then the following implications holds:

- (1) For every module (a) \iff (b) \iff (c).
- (2) If M is also non- M -cosingular module, then (a) \Rightarrow (e) and (d) \Rightarrow (a).
- (3) If M is Σ - \mathbb{E} -direct injective Σ - \mathbb{E} -lifting, then (e) \Rightarrow (c) and (e) \Rightarrow (d).

Proof (1) (a) \Rightarrow (c) Let $K \in \text{Prod}'(M)$. Then there is an \mathbb{E} -monomorphism $K \rightarrow M^{(I)}$, by Lemma 2.6 K is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting;

(c) \Rightarrow (b) \Rightarrow (a) are clear.

(2) Assume M is non- M -singular.

(a) \Rightarrow (e) by Theorem 2.9, every fully invariant non- M -singular module in $\text{Cogen}'(M)$ is in $\mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$. Conversely, let $K \in \mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$ and take some \mathbb{E} -monomorphism $K \rightarrow M^{(I)}$. Then K is an \mathbb{E} -submodule of $M^{(I)}$, have coclosed in $M^{(I)}$. Now since $M^{(I)}$ is non- M -singular, so is K .

(d) \Rightarrow (a) If M is non- M -singular, then every $M^{(I)}$ is non- M -singular, hence M is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.

(3) Assume M is Σ - \mathbb{E} -direct injective Σ - \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.

(e) \Rightarrow (c), (d) By hypothesis and Theorem 2.9, every module in $\mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$ is \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting. Now let $K \in \mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$ and L be an \mathbb{E} -submodule of K , by an easy variation of Lemm1.9, $L \in \mathbb{E}Prod'(M)$, hence L is non- M -singular, so that L is coclosed in K . Thus K is strongly \mathbb{E} -FI-lifting.

References

- [1] F.W Anderson, K.R Fuller, *Ring and Categories of Modules[M]*,Spring-

- Verlag, New York, 1974. (1976), 361-362.
- [2] B. G. F, M. B. J, R. S. T, *Modules in which every fully invariant submodule is essential in a direct summand*[J], *Comm Algebra*, **303** (2002): 1395-1415.
- [3] B. G. F, M. B. J, R. S. T *Modules in which every fully nvariant submodule in fully invariant submodule*[J], *Comm Algebra*, **304** (2002): 1833-1852.
- [4] S. Crivei, Σ -extending modules and Σ -lifting modules, and proper class, *Comm Algebra*, **36** (2008), 529-545.
- [5] L. Ganesan, N. Vanaja, Modules for which every submodule has a Unique Coclosurer, *Comm Algebra*, **28** (2000), 3427-3440.
- [6] J. Clark, C. Lomp, N. Vanaja, R. Wisbauer, lifting Modules, *Frontiers in Mathematics*, Birkhaeuser Basel, 2006.
- [7] D. Keskin, On lifting modules, *Comm Algebra*, **287** (2000), 3427-3440.
- [8] Y. D Wang, N. Q. Ding. Generalized lifting modules, *International Journal of Mathematics and Mathematical Sciences*, **47390** (2006), 1-9.
- [9] T. Kosan, the lifting condition and fully invariant submodules, *East-West J. Math*, **71** (2005), 99-106.
- [10] H. Zöschinger, Komplementierte Moduln über Dedekindringen, *J. Algebra*, **29** (1974), 42-56.
- [11] D. Keskin, R. Tribak. On \mathcal{T} -noncosingular modules. *Bull. Aust. Math. Soc.*, (2009), pages 1-10.

Received: June, 2010